UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

CASE NUMBER: 05-7177M

Denys Ray HUGHES

I, the undersigned complainant, being duly sworn state the following is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief:

Beginning on a date unknown, but no later than on or about July 13, 2005, in Maricopa County, in the District of Arizona, the defendant did possess destructive devices and silencers not registered to him in the National Firearms Registration and Transfer Record, in violation of Title 26 U.S.C., Section 5861(d).

I further state that I am a Special Agent with the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives and that this complaint is based on the following facts:

SEE ATTACHED STATEMENT OF PROBABLE CAUSE INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE HEREIN

Continued on the attached sheet and made a part hereof:	☐ Yes ☐ No
AUTHORIZED BY: SAUSA Lee	
Tristan Moreland	
Name of Complainant	Signature of Complainant
Sworn to before me and subscribed in my presence,	
July 13,2005	at Phoenix, Arizona
Date) ' /	City and State
Edward Voss, U.S. Magistrate Judge Name & Title of Judicial Officer	_ / Jwas o
Name & Title of Judicial Officer	Signature of Judicial Officer

AFFIDAVIT

3 4

I, Tristan Moreland, being duly sworn, depose and say:

5 6

7 I am a Special Agent (SA) of the Department of the Justice, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF). 8 I have been a SA with ATF for over 16 years. 9 received training in the enforcement of Federal firearms, 10 controlled substance laws, 11 explosives, and and have conducted or participated in hundreds of investigations 12 involving violations of the Federal firearm, explosive, and 13 controlled substance laws. I have been the lead agent on 14 the majority of these investigations and have instructed 15 State, local, and even 16 Federal, international officers on the techniques and methods used to perfect such 17 On numerous occasions your affiant has investigations. 18 seized silencers and silencer components and made arrest of 19 persons for illegally possessing silencer components. I 20 have also had occasions to testify in Federal court as an 21 expert in the area of silencer recognition. Additionally, 22 your affiant has been certified by ATF as a Certified 23 24 Explosive Specialist as a result of receiving hundreds of 25 hours of advanced training in the identification, handling, explosive 26 investigation of and explosive and

1 crimes.

2

This affidavit is made in support of a criminal complaint charging Denys Ray Hughes DOB 3/1/47 with violations of Title 26 USC subsection 5861(d), possession of a firearm silencer and destructive device not registered to him in the National Firearms Registration and Transfer Record (NFRTR).

9

On July 11, 2005, your affiant was contacted by ATF SA Wes 10 11 Williams, who is assigned to the ATF field office in SA Williams requested your affiants 12 Wichita, Kansas. 13 assistance in a follow-up investigation related to a Kansas traffic stop that occurred two days prior in rural Kansas. 14 15 As a result of Williams's request, your affiant, SA 16 Williams, and Kansas Sheriffs Deputy Max Barret conversed 17 over the telephone in a three-way conversation. 18 result of this conversation and a review of additional 19 reports generated by Williams and Barret the following was 20 learned:

21

4. On July 8, 2005, at approximately 0035 hours, Denys Ray
HUGHES, DOB: 03/01/1947, with Arizona driver's license
showing an address of 17602 N Cave Creek Rd, #111, Phoenix,

stopped 1 Arizona 85032, was in relation to traffic 2 violations by Deputy Max Barret of Russell County Kansas Sheriff's Department. HUGHES was stopped in a 2005 Green 3 Pontiac Grand Prix, Arizona license plate 823NBY, a car 4 rented in Phoenix, Arizona. Contact was made with HUGHES, 5 6 the sole occupant of the vehicle. Hughes purported to be traveling in a rented car to his sister's residence in 7 Milwaukee, WI. The rental vehicle is to be returned to 8 9 Arizona by 7-20-2005. Deputy Barret observed blankets 10 covering a large number of materials in the car. He then requested consent to search the car, which HUGHES granted. 11 Deputy Barret observed a cooler on the front passenger seat 12 13 which contained books individually packaged in zip lock bags. One book was identified as being a reference book on 14 15 bomb making and another on poisonous plants. A second 16 cooler observed in the back seat contained glass containers which were labeled. labels 17 The indicated the iars individually contained nitrate fertilizer, 18 sulfur, and 19 portions of road flares. Your affiant knows that when 20 combined, these items can make an explosive material. 21 found in the back seat were reloading supplies consisting of gunpowder, shot and primers. Found in the trunk were 22 more books, including books on how to reinforce a bunker. 23 24 Also found in the truck was approximately a dozen firearms

and assorted ammunition including a 10mm handgun, rifles, 1 2 shotguns. Additionally, the trunk contained a pressure cooker, specimen jars, Petri dishes, and a black tactical 3 load bearing vest. Notes found in the vehicle included 4 5 notations to buy cannon fuse, find secure storage, and notes on how to build a bunker. Typically, cannon fuse 6 7 measures 3/32 in size and is commonly used to fuse pipe bombs. Also found was a note which indicated he should not 8 9 carry questionable guns. Hughes told the officers that he could be considered a survivalist/militia member. 10 Hughes was released with all the listed items. 11

12

13 5. As a result of this information, your affiant directed ATF

14 personnel in Wisconsin to attempt to locate HUGHES and

15 contact him regarding the suspicious items. As a result,

16 Hughes was located in rural Wisconsin. A state search

17 warrant was obtained to search the HUGHES residence in

18 Wisconsin relating the manufacture of explosives.

19

20 6. On July 12, 2005, ATF special agents and local officers
21 served the search warrant at HUGHES residence in Wisconsin.
22 Upon doing so a clandestine manufacturing process was
23 discovered, however; it is yet unknown what product was
24 being produced. That search continues as hazardous

1 material experts have been summoned to the scene.

2

3 Your affiant requested ATF special agents in Wisconsin to 4 request consent from HUGHES to search his Phoenix apartment for any dangerous or explosive items. HUGHES was provided 5 6 written consent form, which advises him of his 7 constitutional rights to refuse the consent, his right to 8 counsel, and other legal issues. HUGHES verbally agreed to 9 the consent and signed the form authorizing the search.

10

11 On July 13, 2005, at approximately 0930, your affiant and 8. 12 other ATF special agents entered HUGHES apartment located 13 at 17602 N. Cave Creek Rd., # 111, Phoenix, District of 14 Arizona after confirming HUGHES residency from 15 management and obtaining a key from them. Upon entering 16 the apartment, numerous evidentiary items were observed. 17 These items include two firearm silencers, various silencer 18 components, including metal tubes, spacers, and steel wool. Low explosive gunpowder, metal pipe nipples, including one 19 20 end cap that has been drilled to accommodate a fuse, and In addition to the components listed, 21 metal pipe bodies. 22 affiant observed numerous tools used in manufacturer or silencers and pipe bombs, to include a 23 24 table top drill press.

1

2 On the afternoon of July 13, 2005, has your affiant learned 3 that HUGHES may have revoked his consent search of his 4 Phoenix residence prior to the search. When questioned by Wisconsin authorities on the evening of July 5 12, 2005, at approximately 7:45 p.m. central standard time, 6 7 HUGHES gave verbal and written consent to search his 8 residence at 17602 N. Cave Creek Rd, Phoenix, #111, 9 Arizona. In addition, local Wisconsin authorities has 10 obtained a search warrant for HUGHES residence in 11 Wisconsin. Sometime between 9:00 to 10:00 p.m. central 12 standard time, when being transported for booking by a local police officer, HUGHES indicated to the transport 13 14 officer that he desired to revoke his consent. The local 15 officer, being unaware of the Phoenix consent, but aware of 16 the local Wisconsin search warrant, indicated to HUGHES 17 that they already had a search warrant for his property. 18 HUGHES indicated he understood and the conversation ended.

19

20 10. ATF SA Larry Bettendorf conduct a search of the NFRTR for 21 any items registered to HUGHES. SA Bettendorf advised that 22 a search was conducted and that HUGHES has no items 23 registered to him in the record as required by law.

24

1	11. Based on my knowledge, experience, training, and the
2	circumstances detailed herein, your affiant believes that
3	probable cause exist that Denys Hughes violated Title 26
4	U.S.C. subsections 5861(d) by possessing firearm silencers
5	and a destructive device not registered to him in the
6	NFRTR.
7	
8	
9	
10	Tristan Moreland, Special Agent, ATF
11	
12	i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
13	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO
14	before me this \\\ \frac{1}{2}\text{Qay}
15	of July, 2005.
16	
17 18	> and by
19	Edward C. Voss
0.0	

United States Magistrate Judge