

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA


No. 1:21-mj-02270-AOR

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA

Defendant.



CRIMINAL COVER SHEET

1. Did this matter originate from a matter pending in the Central Region of the United States Attorney=s Office prior to August 9, 2013 (Mag. Judge Alicia Valle)? Yes ☒ No ☐
2. Did this matter originate from a matter pending in the Northern Region of the United States Attorney=s Office prior to August 8, 2014 (Mag. Judge Shaniek Maynard)? Yes ☒ No ☐
3. Did this matter originate from a matter pending in the Central Region of the United States Attorney=s Office prior to October 3, 2019 (Mag. Judge Jared Strauss)? Yes ☒ No ☐

Respectfully submitted,

DANIEL KAHN, ACTING CHIEF
CRIMINAL DIVISION, FRAUD SECTION
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

By: Alexander Kramer
ALEXANDER KRAMER
TRIAL ATTORNEY
Criminal Division, Fraud
Section U.S. Department of
Justice Court ID No.
A5502240
99 NE 4th Street
Miami, Florida 33132-2111 Tel:
(202) 768-1919

AO 91 (Rev. 08/09) Criminal Complaint

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of Florida

United States of America
v.
JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA

Case No. 1:21-mj-02270-AOR

Defendant(s)

CRIMINAL COMPLAINT BY TELEPHONE OR OTHER RELIABLE ELECTRONIC MEANS

I, the complainant in this case, state that the following is true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

On or about the date(s) of 2014-2020 in the county of Miami-Dade in the
Southern District of Florida, the defendant(s) violated:

Code Section

18 U.S.C. § 1956(h)

Offense Description

Conspiracy to launder bribery proceeds in U.S. currency through bank accounts in order to promote the carrying on of specified unlawful activity and conceal the nature, location, source, ownership, and control of the proceeds.

This criminal complaint is based on these facts:

SEE ATTACHED AFFIDAVIT.

☒ Continued on the attached sheet.



Complainant's signature

Olivia Henika, DHS-HSI Special Agent

Printed name and title

Attested to by the Applicant in accordance with the requirements of Fed.R.Crim.P. 4.1 by What's App.
Facetime

Date: 2/10/21



Judge's signature

City and state: Miami, Florida

Magistrate Judge Alicia M. Otazo Reyes

Printed name and title

I, OLIVIA HENIKA, being duly sworn, depose and state as follows:

1. I am a Special Agent with the Department of Homeland Security, Homeland Security Investigations (HSI) and have been so employed since 2019. I am currently assigned to the HSI Office in Key West, Florida. As part of my duties, I investigate crimes involving violations of customs (Title 19, United States Code), immigration (Title 8, United States Code), and other federal laws, which include Anti-Money Laundering (AML) statutes 18 U.S.C. §§ 1956, 1957, and 1960. I have received training on the proper investigative techniques for these violations, including obtaining and analyzing evidence to determine the existence of criminal activity; the use of surveillance techniques; and the application and execution of arrest and search warrants. I have assisted in several investigations that included suspected violations of the above-mentioned AML statutes. I hold a bachelor's degree and a master's degree in Criminology from the University of Florida. I am a graduate of the Criminal Investigator Training Program from the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center (FLETC) in Glynco, Georgia. Prior to becoming a Special Agent I was employed as an Intelligence Analyst for the Monroe County Sheriff's Office, assigned to the South Florida High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area (HIDTA), where I assisted with the investigations of drug trafficking and money laundering organizations. In 2018, I became a Certified Anti-Money Laundering Specialist (CAMS), for which my certification is active.

2. I am familiar with the facts and circumstances set forth below from my personal participation in the investigation, including my examination of reports and records, witness interview notes, my participation in witness interviews, and conversations with other law enforcement officers and other individuals. Because this affidavit is being submitted for the limited purpose of establishing probable cause, it does not include all the facts that I have learned during the course of my investigation. Where the contents of documents and the actions, statements and conversations of others are reported herein, they are reported in substance and in part, unless noted otherwise.

3. Your affiant has participated in a criminal investigation into **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA**, a citizen of Ecuador who is currently located in Florida. Based on the evidence gathered through this investigation, there is probable cause to believe that from in or around 2014 through in or around 2020, **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA**, together with others, conspired to launder monetary instruments, in violation of Title 18, United

States Code, Section 1956(h), that is: knowing that the property involved in a financial transaction represented the proceeds of specified unlawful activity, namely, a bribery scheme that violated United States and Ecuadorian bribery law, conducted and attempted to conduct such a financial transaction which in fact involved the proceeds of specified unlawful activity, with the intent to promote the carrying on of specified unlawful activity and knowing that the transaction was designed in whole or in part to conceal and disguise the nature, the location, the source, the ownership, and the control of the proceeds of specified unlawful activity, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956(a)(1)(A)(i) and (a)(1)(B)(i).

4. Bribery of a foreign official, a felony violation of the FCPA, Title 15, United States Code, Sections 78dd-2 and dd-3, and bribery of a public official of Ecuador, a felony violation of the penal code of the Republic of Ecuador, are specified unlawful activities, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956(c)(7)(B)(iv) and (D).

THE U.S. FOREIGN CORRUPT PRACTICES ACT (FCPA)

5. Your affiant is aware that the FCPA was enacted by Congress for the purpose of, among other things, making it unlawful to act corruptly in furtherance of an offer, promise, authorization, or payment of money or anything of value, directly or indirectly, to a foreign official for the purpose of influencing a foreign official, inducing a foreign official to take or omit to take certain acts, and securing an improper advantage in order to obtain or retain business for or with, or direct business to any, person. 15 U.S.C. §§ 78dd-1, *et seq.*

6. The FCPA prohibits "domestic concerns"—which include companies that are incorporated in the United States or have their principal place of business in the United States—or any officer, director, employee or agent of such domestic concern or stockholder acting on behalf of such domestic concern, from making use of the mails or any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce corruptly in furtherance of an offer, payment, promise to pay, or authorization of the payment of any money, or offer, gift, promise to give, or authorization of the giving of anything of value, to a foreign official or to a person, while knowing that all or part of such money or thing of value would be and had been offered, given, or promised to a foreign official, for purposes of (i) influencing acts or decisions of such foreign official in his official capacity; (ii) inducing such foreign official to do or omit to do acts in violation of the lawful duty of such official; (iii) securing any improper advantage; or (iv) inducing such

foreign official to use his influence with a foreign government or agencies or instrumentalities thereof to affect or influence acts or decisions of such government or agencies or instrumentalities, in order assist the domestic concern to obtain or retain business for or with, or direct business to, any person. 15 U.S.C. § 78dd-2(a) and (h)(1)(B).

7. The FCPA also prohibits any "person"—other than a domestic concern—while in the territory of the United States, from corruptly making use of the mails or any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce or to do any other act in furtherance of an offer, payment, promise to pay, or authorization of the payment of any money, or offer, gift, promise to give, or authorization of the giving of anything of value, to a foreign official or to a person, while knowing that all or part of such money or thing of value would be and had been offered, given, or promised to a foreign official, for purposes of (i) influencing acts or decisions of such foreign official in his official capacity; (ii) inducing such foreign official to do or omit to do acts in violation of the lawful duty of such official; (iii) securing any improper advantage; or (iv) inducing such foreign official to use his influence with a foreign government or agencies or instrumentalities thereof to affect or influence acts or decisions of such government or agencies or instrumentalities, in order assist the such person to obtain or retain business for or with, or direct business to, any person. 15 U.S.C. § 78dd-3(a) and (f)(1).

THE ECUADORIAN PENAL CODE
RELATING TO BRIBERY OF A PUBLIC OFFICIAL

8. From my review of an English translation of the Penal Code of the Republic of Ecuador (the "Ecuadorian Penal Code") in effect from at least in or around February 2014 through the present contains the following relevant provision relating to bribery of a public official, as translated from Spanish:

a. Article 280 [Bribery]: Any public servant and any person that acts on behalf of a state power within any of the State's institutions, listed in the Constitution of the Republic, that receives or accepts, directly or through a third party, an improper economic benefit, or any other type of benefit for himself or for a third party, either to carry out, omit, expedite, delay or condition matters related to his or her functions, shall be punished with a term of imprisonment of one to three years. If the public servant executes an act or fails to carry out the proper act, he or she shall be punished with a term of imprisonment of three to five years. If the conduct described is carried out in

order to commit another crime, the public servant shall be punished with a term of imprisonment of five to seven years. Any person who in any way offers, gives or promises any public servant a donation, gift, promise, advantage, or improper economic benefit in order for such servant to carry out, omit, expedite, delay, or condition matters related to his or her functions, or in order to commit a crime, shall be punished with the same penalties issued against public servants.

RELEVANT ENTITIES AND INDIVIDUALS

9. Instituto de Seguridad Social de la Policia Nacional ("ISSPOL") was the Ecuadorian public institution responsible for managing the financial contributions by Ecuadorian police officers toward their social security. ISSPOL was controlled by the government of Ecuador and performed a function that Ecuador treated as its own, and was an "instrumentality" of the Ecuadorian government as that term is used in the FCPA, Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-2(h)(2)(A) and dd-3(f)(2)(A):

10. **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA**, was a citizen of Ecuador who served as the ISSPOL Risk Director from at least in or around 2014 through at least in or around 2019. **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** also served on ISSPOL's Investment Committee and had influence over ISSPOL investment decisions. **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** was a "foreign official" as that term is defined in the FCPA, Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-2(h)(2)(A) and dd-3(f)(2)(A). **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** is currently located in Florida.

11. "U.S. Investment Fund Companies," a group of companies whose identities are known to your affiant, were incorporated in Florida. Each of the US Investment Fund Companies was a "domestic concern" as that term is defined in the FCPA, Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-2(h)(1)(B).

12. "Investment Advisor," an individual whose identity is known to your affiant, was a citizen of Ecuador who served as the manager, president, and director of the U.S. Investment Fund Companies. Investment Advisor was an officer, director, employee, or agent of a "domestic concern" as those terms are used in the FCPA, Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-2(a).

13. "Investment Advisor Panama Company 1," a company whose identity is known to your affiant, was a Panama registered company that obtained lucrative ISSPOL investment management business. Investment Advisor Panama Company 1 was controlled by Investment Advisor.

14. "Investment Advisor Panama Company 2," a company whose identity is known to your affiant, was a Panama registered company that was controlled by Investment Advisor.

OVERVIEW OF THE SCHEME TO LAUNDER BRIBERY PROCEEDS

15. As described in greater detail below, **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA**, while acting as the ISSPOL Risk Director and sitting on ISSPOL's Investment Committee, received bribes totaling at least approximately \$1,397,066 from the U.S. Investment Fund Companies controlled by Investment Advisor, in exchange for using his official position to assist the U.S. Investment Fund Companies, Investment Advisor, and others to obtain and retain business for Investment Advisor, Investment Advisor Panama Company 1, and others in violation of the FCPA and Ecuador's law against bribery of a public official.

16. In order to conceal and disguise the proceeds of the bribery scheme and with the intent to promote the carrying on of the bribery scheme, **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** and Investment Advisor, together with others, among other things, caused bribery proceeds to be laundered through bank accounts in the United States for the benefit of **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** and others, in violation of U.S. money laundering laws.

INVESTMENT TRANSACTIONS

17. From my review of emails, contracts, documents, bank records, witness interviews and from my participation in this investigation, I have learned, among other things, the following:

18. From at least in or around 2014 through at least in or around 2019, **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA**, as the Risk Director of ISSPOL and sitting on ISSPOL's Investment Committee, had influence over ISSPOL investment decisions, including who ISSPOL hired to invest its funds.

19. In or around December 2015 and January 2016, ISSPOL entered into an agreement with Investment Advisor Panama Company 2 ("swap transaction"). This agreement was reviewed and approved by **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** and others.

20. The payment streams resulting from the swap transaction demonstrates that Investment Advisor's companies received more money earlier and more money overall at the expense of ISSPOL. For example, in 2017, Investment Advisor Panama Company 1 received approximately \$40.5 million as a result of this swap transaction. By contrast, under the swap transaction, ISSPOL

received approximately \$20 million in 2017. As a result of such discrepancies, between in or around 2016 and in or around 2019, Investment Advisor, through his Panama companies, profited approximately \$65 million on this swap transaction.

21. Investment Advisor Panama Company 1 received its payments resulting from the swap transaction in its bank account in the United States. A portion of that money was transferred into U.S. Investment Fund Companies' bank accounts in the United States.

22. Further, from at least in or around 2014 through at least in or around 2019, ISSPOL and Investment Advisor Panama Company 1 entered into bond repurchase transactions whereby Investment Advisor Panama Company 1 sold bonds to ISSPOL with a promise to repurchase the bonds at a set date for a set amount that included interest.

23. Investment Advisor Panama Company 1 has failed to meet payment obligations to ISSPOL on more than half of the bond repurchase transactions. As of in or around August 2020, approximately \$111 million in repurchase agreements were overdue out of a total of approximately \$205 million.

THE BRIBERY AND MONEY LAUNDERING SCHEMES

24. From at least in or around 2014 through at least in or around 2020, Investment Advisor and the entities he controlled paid more than approximately \$2.6 million to ISSPOL officials—including approximately \$1,397,066 for the benefit of **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA**—who had influence over ISSPOL's investment decisions.

25. Investment Advisor directed payments to **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** in at least three ways: (1) payments of approximately \$419,226 made by check payable to **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA**; (2) payments of approximately \$663,000 made to relatives of **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** for his benefit; and (3) payments to a U.S.-based bank account in the name of one of the U.S. Investment Fund Companies and for which Investment Advisor held signatory authority but for which **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** held a debit card in his name that he used for purchases and cash withdrawals of approximately \$313,840.

26. Your affiant has not identified any legal investments **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** had with Investment Advisor or the companies Investment Advisor controlled. A review of available financial documents has not uncovered any initial

payments or investments made by **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** for which Investment Advisor would be managing or maintaining money on behalf of **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA**.

A. February 2016 Transfer of \$80,000 for the Benefit of JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA

27. From in or around December 2015 through in or around January 2016, **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** and Investment Advisor exchanged numerous text messages regarding the closing of the swap transaction with ISSPOL.

28. During this timeframe, and continuing after, **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** and Investment Advisor also exchanged text messages regarding Investment Advisor providing financial benefits to **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA**, and, among other things, Investment Advisor provided money for the benefit of **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA**. In addition, **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** and Investment Advisor promoted the carrying on of the bribery scheme and concealed and disguised, among other things, the nature, the ownership, and the control of the proceeds of the bribery scheme by, among other things, causing, and agreeing to cause, payments to be made into, and expenditures out of, a U.S.-based bank account for which Investment Advisor held signatory authority but for which **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** held a debit card in his name that he used for purchases and cash withdrawals.

29. For example, on or about December 31, 2015, **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** sent a text message to Investment Advisor (translated from Spanish): "Thank you for fixing my financial life and that of my family."

30. On or about February 23, 2016, Investment Advisor caused a transfer via check from a U.S. Investment Fund Companies bank account in the amount of approximately \$80,000 to a relative of **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA**. The relative of **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** deposited the check in a U.S.-based bank account.

B. December 2017 Transfers of Approximately \$20,000 for the Benefit of JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA

31. On or about December 9, 2017, **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** directed Investment Advisor to make payments for his benefit to accounts held by two of his relatives. Specifically, on or about December 9, 2017, **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** sent a text message to Investment Advisor directing him to put "10" into

an account for one relative and another "10" into an account for another relative.

32. On or about December 12, 2017, Investment Advisor caused two separate checks for approximately \$10,000 each to be issued from the U.S.-based bank accounts of U.S. Investment Fund Companies to the two relatives identified by **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA**. These checks were deposited in U.S.-based accounts.

C. June 2018 Transfer of Approximately \$189,000 for the Benefit of JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA

33. On or about June 14, 2018, **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** and Investment Advisor sent each other text messages regarding ISSPOL investments. During this communication, **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** sent a text message to Investment Advisor with an image of a spreadsheet attached. This spreadsheet showed different ISSPOL investments and a line item for percentages owed to individuals, including an individual identified as "JL." The total owed to JL on this spreadsheet was approximately \$289,226.

34. On or about June 15, 2018, Investment Advisor, caused a U.S.-based bank account of U.S. Investment Fund Companies to pay **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** approximately \$189,226 via check. The check was deposited the same day in the Southern District of Florida. Travel records indicate that both Investment Advisor and **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** were physically present in the Southern District of Florida when the check was signed and deposited.

D. May 2019 Transfer of Approximately \$40,000 for the Benefit of JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA

35. On or about May 2, 2019, Investment Advisor, caused a U.S.-based bank account of U.S. Investment Fund Companies to pay **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** approximately \$40,000 via check. The check was deposited at a U.S. bank in the Southern District of Florida on May 6, 2019.

E. Transfers to "Portafolio JL" Account for the Benefit of JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA

36. In or around November 2014, Investment Advisor opened a U.S.-based bank account in the name of "Portafolio JL" that was funded by Investment Advisor Panama Company 1 and the U.S. Investment Fund Companies. Investment Advisor had sole signatory authority over the account. Investment Advisor caused a debit card for this account to be issued to **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA**

AGUINAGA. Your affiant is not aware of any deposits made by **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** into the account.

37. On or about January 12, 2018, while physically located in the Southern District of Florida, Investment Advisor sent text messages to **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** requesting information regarding ISSPOL investments and whether the money had been credited. **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** confirmed the money had been credited and Investment Advisor stated this was (translated from Spanish) "good news." **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** stated the amount ISSPOL had to invest and Investment Advisor told **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** he was working on it and sent a text message stating "47908."

38. On or about January 12, 2018, Investment Advisor caused U.S. Investment Fund Companies to transfer approximately \$47,908 into the Portafolio JL account.

39. Between on or about November 20, 2018 and on or about November 23, 2018, **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** made four ATM withdrawals from the Portafolio JL account in Miami, Florida totaling approximately \$1,526.

40. On or about February 27, 2019, Investment Advisor, while in Miami, Florida, withdrew approximately \$15,000 cash from the Portafolio JL debit card account to purchase a cashier's check payable to a relative of **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA**.

41. Based on the foregoing, there is probable cause to believe that, from in or around 2014 through at least in or around 2020, Investment Advisor, an officer, director, employee, or agent of a "domestic concern" under the FCPA, willfully and corruptly made use of the mails and means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce in furtherance of an offer, payment, and promise to make payments for the benefit of **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** for the purpose of influencing **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** to use his official capacity, securing an improper advantage, and inducing **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** to use his influence to affect ISSPOL's investment decisions, in order to assist the U.S. Investment Fund Companies in obtaining and retaining business for, and directing business to, Investment Advisor, Investment Advisor's Panama companies, and others, in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-2.

42. Based on the foregoing, there is also probable cause to believe that, from in or around 2014 through at least in or around 2020, Investment Advisor, while in the territory of the United States, willfully and corruptly made use of the mails and

means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce or took an act in furtherance of an offer, payment, and promise to make payments for the benefit of **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** for the purpose of influencing **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** to use his official capacity, securing an improper advantage, and inducing **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** to use his influence to affect ISSPOL's investment decisions, in order to assist Investment Advisor in obtaining and retaining business for, and directing business to, himself, Investment Advisor's Panama companies, and others, in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-3.

43. Based on the foregoing, and based on my review of the Ecuadorian Penal Code, there is also probable cause to believe that the bribery scheme conducted by Investment Advisor, **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA**, and others as described above, in which **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA** received bribes from Investment Advisor in exchange for, among other things, obtaining and retaining investment business from ISSPOL, was an offense under, *inter alia*, Ecuadorian law prohibiting bribery of public officials.

44. Based on the foregoing, there is also probable cause to believe that, from in or around 2014 through at least in or around 2020, Investment Advisor, **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA**, and others conspired to commit money laundering. Specifically, there is probable cause to believe that Investment Advisor conspired with **JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA**, and others, to launder bribery proceeds in U.S. currency through bank accounts, including bank accounts in the United States, with the intent to promote the carrying on of specified unlawful activity and in order to conceal the nature, location, source, ownership, and control of the proceeds, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(h).

CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, I believe that probable cause exists to issue a criminal complaint and arrest warrant charging JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA with violating Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(h).

Your affiant therefore respectfully requests that the Court enter a complaint for the foregoing violations and issue a warrant for the arrest of JOHN ROBERT LUZURIAGA AGUINAGA.



OLIVIA HENIKA
SPECIAL AGENT
HOMELAND SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS

Attested to by the applicant in accordance with the requirements of Fed.R.Crim.P. 4.1 by ~~FaceTime~~ WhatsApp 10th day of February 2021.



HONORABLE ALICIA M. OJAZO-REYES
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA