Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: FY 2018 Office of the Secretary Of Defense

Appropriation/Budget Activity R-1 Program

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6:

RDT&E Management Support

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)

PE 0606100D8Z I Budget and Program Assessments

**Date:** May 2017

COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018 Base	FY 2018 OCO	FY 2018 Total	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	22.607	3.973	4.014	3.992	-	3.992	4.009	4.059	4.140	4.224	Continuing	Continuing
101: Budget and Program Assessments	22.607	3.973	4.014	3.992	-	3.992	4.009	4.059	4.140	4.224	Continuing	Continuing

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program supports the Office of the Director, Cost Assessment & Program Evaluation (CAPE). It funds assessments that help to resolve budget and programmatic issues across the full range of the Department's activities. Projects that support this effort help to inform the leadership on program alternatives, capability concept development, design and cost, as well as the appropriate balance of capabilities across the force, and also to identify how well the Department's expenditures are meeting its goals, and how well the force can implement the Defense strategy.

This program provides for analytical research across the entire spectrum of defense issues and concerns. The research agenda is focused on near to long-term problems identified by the Secretary of Defense, and addresses difficult and complex questions linked to program alternatives for current and future capabilities and forces in order to enhance the senior leadership's deliberations and decision-making.

This program provides the scientific and technical engineering services needed for research studies in the development of models and simulations and the evaluation of current analytical tools and scientific methods used to evaluate and assess weapons systems and warfighting capabilities for warfighting environments and scenarios, and related force structure. Deliverables from this program will include reports, briefings, and analyses designed to illuminate critical issues facing the Department. Outcomes include recommendations for new modeling techniques, programmatic alternatives, and scenario development.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018 Base	FY 2018 OCO	FY 2018 Total
Previous President's Budget	4.116	4.014	4.159	-	4.159
Current President's Budget	3.973	4.014	3.992	-	3.992
Total Adjustments	-0.143	0.000	-0.167	-	-0.167
Congressional General Reductions	-	-			
Congressional Directed Reductions	-	-			
Congressional Rescissions	-	-			
Congressional Adds	-	-			
Congressional Directed Transfers	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.143	_			
Internal Realignment	-	_	-0.004	-	-0.004
SRRB Savings	-	-	-0.163	-	-0.163

•	DITOLAGOII ILD	
exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: FY 2018 Office of the Sec	retary Of Defense	<b>Date</b> : May 2017
Appropriation/Budget Activity 400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0606100D8Z I Budget and Program A	ssessments
Change Summary Explanation This program was internally realigned to achieve efficiencies and bet Service Requirement Review Board (SRRB) - As part of the Departm reduction of service contracts		tal reduction accounts for consolidation and

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of the Secretary Of Defense										Date: May 2017			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6					R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0606100D8Z I Budget and Program Assessments				Project (Number/Name) 101 I Budget and Program Assessments			sments	
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018 Base	FY 2018 OCO	FY 2018 Total	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
101: Budget and Program Assessments	22.607	3.973	4.014	3.992	-	3.992	4.009	4.059	4.140	4.224	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			

## A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program supports the Office of the Director, Cost Assessment & Program Evaluation (CAPE). It funds assessments that help to resolve budget and programmatic issues across the full range of the Department's activities. Projects that support this effort help to inform the leadership on program alternatives, capability concept development, design and cost, the appropriate balance of capabilities across the force, and also to identify how well the Department's expenditures are meeting its goals, and how well the force can implement the Defense strategy.

This program provides for analytical research across the entire spectrum of defense issues and concerns. The research agenda is focused on near to long-term problems identified by the Secretary of Defense, and addresses difficult and complex questions linked to program alternatives for current and future capabilities and forces in order to enhance DoD senior leadership's deliberations and decision-making.

This program provides the scientific and technical engineering services needed for research studies in the development of models and simulations and the evaluation of current analytical tools and scientific methods used to evaluate and assess weapons systems and warfighting capabilities for warfighting environments and scenarios, and related force structure. Deliverables from this program will include reports, briefings, and analyses designed to illuminate critical issues facing the Department. Outcomes include recommendations for new modeling techniques, programmatic alternatives, and scenario development.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Title: OSD Support for Programming Budget	3.973	4.014	3.992
<b>Description:</b> This program provides for analytical research across the entire spectrum of defense issues and concerns. The research agenda is focused on near to long-term problems identified by the Secretary of Defense, and addresses difficult and complex questions linked to program alternatives for current and future capabilities and forces in order to enhance senior leadership deliberations and decision-making.			
<ul> <li>FY 2016 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Evaluated and upgraded the cost analysis tools used to inform program, budget, and Defense Acquisition Board reviews.</li> <li>Analyzed war-fighting and joint operations to support major defense reviews, including transformation initiatives, force and weapons systems requirements, and AoAs to support major acquisition decisions; land forces, including the manning, equipping, training, sustaining, and fielding of these forces with special emphasis on the resources needed to accomplish these activities.</li> </ul>			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of the	ne Secretary Of Defense		Date: N	1ay 2017	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0606100D8Z / Budget and Program Assessments				essments
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
<ul> <li>Analyzed mobility requirements and modernization decisions for defense strategy; also, force structure and investment decisions for presence postures.</li> <li>Evaluated scenarios for reducing logistics vulnerabilities, to inclute technological trends; and developed strategies to reduce the imparation and security interest to support transform limit in limit in large to a scenarios of national security interest to support transform limit in large to support transform methodology to reliable evaluate the impact of alternative benefit structures and policies of an analyzed alternative cyber defense strategies to improve the cyber defense by supporting training objectives and advocating for and large modified and supported a wargaming repository.</li> <li>Analyzed Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO) funding of distinguished from DoD base budget resources. Updated normalized Data Warehouse (DRDW) data.</li> <li>Analyzed sexual assault investigations from Service Military Crinting developed or expanded to mitigate the problem.</li> </ul>	or pre-positioning ashore and afloat and the impact of forwarde evaluation of threat databases, demographics, and act of national security resources. Formation initiatives.  Ity forecast costs for budgeting using the tool developed to on future costs.  Deer security and mission assurance of the Department of assisting in the development of a data-driven analysis.  Idata to determine how funding was actually spent as a zation information to be applied to existing Defense Resource.	vard			
FY 2017 Plans:  Studies, analyses, and assessments will be focused on:  - Improving cost analysis tools to inform program, budget, and De - In support of the Weapon System Acquisition Reform Act (WSAF updating cost indices, inflation rates, and escalation rates used in programs.  - Developing, assessing, and enhancing databases that provide cost improving estimates produced by the Defense Employment and which are used to support decision briefs to the President, Congrese.  - Modeling and analyzing aircraft survivability against various three environments. Assessing the ability of aircraft and weapons to ope - Modeling logistical vulnerabilities against various threats and in various effectiveness of proposed improvements.  - Modifying, and supporting a wargaming repository.  - Analyzing OCO funding data to determine how funding was a Providing normalization information that can be applied to existing current budget position.	RA), independently assessing, analyzing, and where appropre preparing the President's Budget for major acquisition sost data for major weapon systems.  Purchases Projection System (DEPPS) and Defense Transess, Secretary of Defense, and Deputy Secretary of Defense at detection approaches and in various operational eration in anti-access/area denial regions.  Various operational environments and assessing the cost and actually spent as distinguished from DoD base budget resonance.	nslator, se.			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of the Sec	Date: N	/lay 2017			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6		ct (Number/Name) Budget and Program Assessments			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		Γ	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
<ul> <li>Developing scenarios and modeling for mobile intelligence targets.</li> <li>In support of the Defense Strategic Guidance, analyzing programs to mission alternatives and acquisition strategies.</li> </ul>	assess alternative platforms; examining the cost of a	all			
FY 2018 Plans:  Studies, analyses, and assessments will be focused on:  - Improving cost analysis tools to inform program, budget, and Defense - In support of the Weapon System Acquisition Reform Act (WSARA), in updating cost indices, inflation rates, and escalation rates used in preparagrams.  - Developing, assessing, and enhancing databases that provide cost database Improving estimates produced by the Defense Employment and Purch which are used to support decision briefs to the President, Congress, S.  - Modeling and analyzing aircraft survivability against various threat detenvironments. Assessing the ability of aircraft and weapons to operation.  - Modeling logistical vulnerabilities against various threats and in various mission effectiveness of proposed improvements.  - Modifying and supporting a wargaming repository.  - Analyzing OCO funding data to determine how funding was actuall Provide normalization information that can be applied to existing Defense current budget position.	andependently assessing, analyzing, and where appraring the President's Budget for major acquisition at a for major weapon systems.  The secretary of Defense, and Deputy Secretary of Defense ection approaches and in various operational in anti-access/area denial regions. It is operational environments. Assessing the cost and by spent as distinguished from DoD base budget researched.	nslator, ise.			

## C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

#### Remarks

# D. Acquisition Strategy

A mix of competitive contracts with commercial firms and research provided by university-affiliated research centers (UARCs), and Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDCs).

3.973

4.014

3.992

**Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals** 

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of the Sec	<b>Date</b> : May 2017	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0606100D8Z I Budget and Program Assessments	Project (Number/Name) 101 / Budget and Program Assessments
E. Performance Metrics		
The products or expected outcomes of this program are studies and an issues of high interest to the Secretary of Defense. Performance is me assessment process. The primary goal is to ensure that study and ana	asured by the quality of the analyses and is monitor	ed through the review of the organizational