Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: FY 2018 Office of the Secretary Of Defense

Touchine and the first of the control of the contro

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6:

RDT&E Management Support

Appropriation/Budget Activity

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)
PE 0604875D8Z I Joint Systems Architecture Development

Date: May 2017

COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior			FY 2018	FY 2018	FY 2018					Cost To	Total
COST (\$ III WIIIIOTIS)	Years	FY 2016	FY 2017	Base	oco	Total	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	Complete	Cost
Total Program Element	13.147	3.007	4.499	4.851	-	4.851	5.213	5.270	5.372	5.484	Continuing	Continuing
P875: Portfolio Systems Acquisition (PSA)	13.147	2.809	3.166	3.451	-	3.451	3.813	3.870	3.972	4.084	Continuing	Continuing
P220: Electronic Warfare Executive Committee	0.000	0.198	1.333	1.400	-	1.400	1.400	1.400	1.400	1.400	Continuing	Continuing

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Department and acquisition reform initiatives call for top down, national security strategy-driven capabilities-based planning. Department of Defense (DoD) Instruction 5000.02 and Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Instruction 3170.01 promulgate capabilities-based requirements and acquisition processes. The JSAD program enables collaborative efforts to achieve these goals with a focus on Major Defense Acquisition Programs (MDAPs). These efforts include warfighting capability-based analyses; assessments of joint capability areas and joint integrating concepts; development of system-related data; integrated roadmaps to support acquisition investment decisions; and assessments of MDAPs in a capability-based analysis; (2) roadmaps; and (3) support tools and guidance. Capability-based analysis provides analysis of the different technology, functionality, and integration impacts of systems on warfighting capability. Acquisition roadmaps guide systems development and associated investment plans. JSAD support tools and guidance initiatives develop systems data, and tools, exploit modeling and simulation and architecture efforts to improve DoD's overall assessment capability. These efforts guide the development and improve the testing and fielding of integrated systems of systems in order to achieve Joint mission capabilities. The Department has also undergone an institutional reorientation or shift in emphasis from organization-specific to enterprise-wide approaches. This means: (1) horizontal integration within the Department and unity of effort through greater interagency collaboration; (2) engaging in a coordinated and portfolio-based approach to planning, programming, budgeting and execution; and (3) significant reforms at the governance, management and execution levels. To accomplish this direction, there needs to be a focused goal and concerted emphasis on shifting from systems acquisition to capabilities-based portfolio management (or portfolio systems acquisition). This program enables collaborative efforts

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: FY 2018 Office of the Secretary Of Defense

Date: May 2017

Appropriation/Budget Activity

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6:

RDT&E Management Support

R-1 Program Eleme	nt (Number/Name)
-------------------	------------------

PE 0604875D8Z I Joint Systems Architecture Development

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018 Base	FY 2018 OCO	FY 2018 Total
Previous President's Budget	3.076	4.499	5.080	-	5.080
Current President's Budget	3.007	4.499	4.851	-	4.851
Total Adjustments	-0.069	0.000	-0.229	-	-0.229
 Congressional General Reductions 	-	-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 	-	-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 	-	-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 	-	-			
 Reprogrammings 	-	-			
 SBIR/STTR Transfer 	-0.069	-			
 SRRB Reductions/Management 	-	-	-0.196	-	-0.196
Realignment					
DTIC Offset Bill	-	-	-0.033	-	-0.033

Change Summary Explanation

Service Requirement Review Board - As part of the Department of Defense reform agenda, the incremental reduction accounts for consolidation and reduction of service contracts.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project J	ustification:	FY 2018 C	Office of the	Secretary (Of Defense					Date: May	2017	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6					PE 060487	am Elemen 75D8Z / Joir re Developn	•	Name)	Project (N P875 / Por		n e) ms Acquisiti	ion (PSA)
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018 Base	FY 2018 OCO	FY 2018 Total	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P875: Portfolio Systems Acquisition (PSA)	13.147	2.809	3.166	3.451	-	3.451	3.813	3.870	3.972	4.084	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Departments 2005 Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR) laid out the need for an institutional reorientation or shift in emphasis from organization-specific to enterprise-wide approaches. This meant: (1) horizontal integration within the Department and unity of effort through greater interagency collaboration; (2) engaging in a coordinated and portfolio-based approach to planning, programming, budgeting and execution; and (3) significant reforms at the governance, management and execution levels. The Department's 2010 QDR report further addressed reforming how we buy, noting that the conventional acquisition process is too long and too cumbersome to fit the needs of the many systems that require continuous changes and upgrades - a challenge that will become only more pressing over time. Better Buying Power (BBP) is the implementation of best practices to strengthen the Defense Department's buying power, improve industry productivity, and provide an affordable, value-added military capability to the Warfighter. Launched in 2010, BBP encompasses a set of fundamental acquisition principles to achieve greater efficiencies through affordability, cost control, elimination of unproductive processes and bureaucracy, and promotion of competition. BBP initiatives also incentivize productivity and innovation in industry and Government, and improve tradecraft in the acquisition of services. The Department will improve how it matches requirements with mature technologies, maintains disciplined systems engineering approaches. To accomplish this direction, there needed to be a focused goal and concerted emphasis on shifting from acquisition of individual systems to portfolio management (or portfolio systems acquisition). This program enables collaborative efforts to implement the QDR direction outlined above and advance BBP initiatives to achieve portfolio systems acquisition goals and to develop and implement acquisition reform initiatives.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Title: Portfolio Systems Acquisition (PSA)	2.809	3.166	3.451
Description: The program is broken up into two focus areas (Portfolio Management and Reform Initiatives) and consolidates work previously performed under various other Program Elements.			
FY 2016 Accomplishments: -Continued and expanded support Mission Area Portfolio Assessments and warfare areas to identify portfolio and program synergies, reduce duplication, and identify opportunities for cost savings. -Conducted additional analyses and supported implementation of updated Better Buying Power (BBP) initiatives. -Provided technical expertise in support of warfare area portfolios including Tactical Air (TACAIR), unmanned systems, electronic warfare, and land warfare and munitions. -Assessed progress of program management initiatives and continued support to a variety of certification and qualification standards activities.			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of the	Secretary Of Defense	Date:	May 2017				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6		oject (Number/Name) 75 I Portfolio Systems Acquisition (PSA					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018			
-Continued "reliability by design" analyses and support to programsContinued radar systems study of G/ATOR and 3DELRR reliabilityStudied requirements generation process to develop criteria to he understand correct entry points for OSD; goal is reduced test costsContinued support to programs/initiate new analysesUpdated roadmaps and where appropriate generated new roadma and Integrated Air and Missile Defense (IAMD)Continued analytical support for the IAMD portfolioProvided analysis and support to the Homeland Defense Coordina (CDWG) Executive Secretary functions within OUSD(AT&L).	y to reduce O&S cost. Ip ensure requirements are based on sound physics and and better milestone readiness. Ips to guide investments in critical areas (e.g., future vertical)	cal lift					
FY 2017 Plans: -Continue and expand support Mission Area Portfolio Assessments reduce duplication, and identify opportunities for cost savings. -Conduct additional analyses and support implementation of update -Provide technical expertise in support of warfare area portfolios. -Assess progress of program management initiatives and continue activities. -Continue "reliability by design" analyses and support to programs. -Develop DoD courses of action and views on homeland defense in multilateral fora. -Provide analytical support to the Homeland Defense Coordinator for Update roadmaps and where appropriate generate new roadmaps weapons and Integrated Air and Missile Defense (IAMD). -Continue analytical support for the IAMD portfolio. -Provide analysis and support to the Homeland Defense Coordinator (CDWG) Executive Secretary functions within OUSD(AT&L).	ed Better Buying Power (BBP) initiatives. support to a variety of certification and qualification stand implementation and compliance issues in multiple bilateral unction within OUSD(AT&L). It to guide investments in critical areas (e.g., future vertical	ards and l lift,					
FY 2018 Plans: -Continue and expand support Mission Area Portfolio Assessments reduce duplication, and identify opportunities for cost savingsConduct additional analyses and support implementation of update -Provide technical expertise in support of warfare area portfoliosAssess progress of program management initiatives and continue activities.	ed Better Buying Power (BBP) initiatives.						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&L FTOJECT JUSTINICATION: 1 1 2010 Office of	the Secretary Of Defense		Date. N	nay 2011	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0604875D8Z I Joint Systems Architecture Development		ct (Number /l I Portfolio Sy	sition (PSA)	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
-Continue "reliability by design" analyses and support to program	ns.				
-Develop DoD courses of action and views on homeland defens	se implementation and compliance issues in multiple bilatera	l and			
multilateral fora.					
-Provide analytical support to the Homeland Defense Coordinat	or function within OUSD(AT&L).				
-Update roadmaps and where appropriate generate new roadm	aps to guide investments in critical areas (e.g., future vertica	ıl lift,			

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

weapons and Integrated Air and Missile Defense (IAMD). -Continue analytical support for the IAMD portfolio.

(CDWG) Executive Secretary functions within OUSD(AT&L).

Exhibit R-24 RDT&F Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of the Secretary Of Defense

-Provide analysis and support to the Homeland Defense Coordinator and DoD-DHS Capability Development Working Group

N/A

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

Not Applicable

E. Performance Metrics

Not Applicable

Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals

Date: May 2017

2.809

3.166

3.451

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project J	ustification:	FY 2018 C	Office of the	Secretary (Of Defense					Date: May	2017	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6					PE 060487	am Elemen 75D8Z / Joir re Developn	•	Name)	Project (N P220 / Elec Committee	ctronic Wan	ne) fare Executi	ve
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018 Base	FY 2018 OCO	FY 2018 Total	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P220: Electronic Warfare Executive Committee	0.000	0.198	1.333	1.400	-	1.400	1.400	1.400	1.400	1.400	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

B Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)

The Electronic Warfare (EW) Executive Committee (EXCOM) - co-chaired by the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics and the Vice Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff - is tasked to provide senior oversight, coordination, budget/capability harmonization, and advice on EW matters to the Secretary of Defense, Deputy Secretary of Defense, and the Deputy's Management Action Group. This program develops, maintains, and implements the overarching DoD EW Strategy and Implementation Plan to achieve Electromagnetic Spectrum (EMS) superiority. This program provides technical analyses, technology assessments, capability and capability gap identification, intelligence and threat evaluations to inform DoD EW requirements, acquisition programs, and investment decisions. This program also advances EW needs in modeling, simulation, test, exercises, experimentation, and training.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in willions)	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Title: Electronic Warfare Executive Committee	0.198	1.333	1.400
Description: Funds are to conduct analytic assessments, threat-projective red-teaming, and physics-based modeling of electronic warfare capabilities to support the Deputy Secretary of Defense-directed Electronic Warfare (EW) Executive Committee (EXCOM).			
FY 2016 Accomplishments: - Developed overarching DoD EW Strategy. Analyzed existing DoD EMS management capabilities and identified promising technologies and coordinated solutions to improve EMS management capabilities. - Analyzed adversary kill-chains to support the development of non-kinetic attack options.			
FY 2017 Plans: - Continue underpinning analysis for EW Strategy and implementation plans. Provide analysis to improve Airborne Electronic Attack System of Systems, advanced electronic protection techniques, passive targeting, and perform assessments of US systems to detect, intercept, and attack advanced threat signals. - Examine options for aircraft survivability equipment (ASE), including Infrared Countermeasures and missile warning programs and develop roadmap to synchronize investment in DoD ASE programs. - Continue EMS management and adversary kill chain analysis. - Examine options for improved capabilities to detect complex threat emitters.			
FY 2018 Plans:			

EV 2016 EV 2017 EV 2018

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of the Secre		Date: May 2017			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0604875D8Z I Joint Systems Architecture Development	Project (I P220 / El Committe	ectronic V	Name) Warfare Execu	utive
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	Y 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
- Perform analytic underpinning for EW Strategy implementation, for synchronization of Services' EW investments, and for advancing DoD EW capabilities, training, exercises, modeling and simulation.			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	0.198	1.333	1.400

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

Not Applicable

E. Performance Metrics

Not Applicable