Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: FY 2018 Office of the Secretary Of Defense

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3:

PE 0603288D8Z I Science and Technology (S&T) Analytic Assessments

Date: May 2017

Advanced Technology Development (ATD)

Appropriation/Budget Activity

COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018 Base	FY 2018 OCO	FY 2018 Total	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	13.299	14.145	12.048	13.154	-	13.154	16.676	16.604	16.873	17.228	Continuing	Continuing
P328: Science and Technology Analytic Assessments	13.299	14.145	12.048	13.154	-	13.154	16.676	16.604	16.873	17.228	Continuing	Continuing

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This Program Element (PE) directly supports the development of innovative capabilities to meet the emerging threats from the diverse range of state and non-state actors confronting the Unites States. These capabilities include: space and terrestrial-based indications and warnings systems, integrated and resilient Intelligence, Surveillance, Reconnaissance (ISR) platforms, strategic lift, long-range precision strike weapons, missile defense technologies, undersea systems, remotely operated vehicles and technologies, special operations forces, the Cyber Mission Force, ground systems, and others outlined in the 2015 National Military Strategy. Analytic assessments are informed comprehensive Kill Chain Analysis (KCA) across all domains and the time continuum from 2015-2035 to identify prioritized operational issues and associated actionable technology focus areas and help to support detailed analyses and assessments to inform and influence programmatic decisions regarding technology development and procurement plans. The science and technology (S&T) analytic assessments performed under this budget item include the following activities:

- Technical threat assessments building on intelligence community products for identifying gaps in U.S. capability for critical threats.
- Independent assessment of critical capability and technology development.
- Architecture development and evaluation to develop new U.S. capability.
- Development of strategic analytic tools enabling the analysis and evaluation of critical capability and technology development.
- Quantitative analysis of potential new technology and concepts to address capability gaps and counter emerging threat technologies.

Due to the complexity of these challenges, the process for developing and executing these analytic assessments span fiscal years and may have multiple phases. The emerging nature of the problem sets makes identification of studies beyond the budget year unlikely. Typically, the ratios of resources applied to quick reaction studies, strategic analysis, and development of analytic tools will be roughly 30/50/20 percent. The first step in the process is to quickly assess gaps and options to fill those gaps; second, produce detailed analysis quantifying key attributes of the challenge, assess options, and provide an operational value assessment; and finally, develop analytic tools to help understanding of complex and longer term challenges. Implementation of this process could span multiple years causing the portfolio to cascade from year to year.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: FY 2018 Office of the Secretary Of Defense

Date: May 2017

Appropriation/Budget Activity

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)
PF 0603288D87 I Science and Technology

PE 0603288D8Z I Science and Technology (S&T) Analytic Assessments

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018 Base	FY 2018 OCO	FY 2018 Total
Previous President's Budget	14.645	12.048	13.166	-	13.166
Current President's Budget	14.145	12.048	13.154	-	13.154
Total Adjustments	-0.500	0.000	-0.012	-	-0.012
 Congressional General Reductions 	-	-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 	-	-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 	-	-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 	-	-			
 Reprogrammings 	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.500	-			
Other Adjustments	-	-	-0.012	-	-0.012

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of the Secretary Of Defense									Date: May 2017			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3					PE 0603288D8Z / Science and Technology				Project (Number/Name) P328 I Science and Technology Analytic Assessments			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018 Base	FY 2018 OCO	FY 2018 Total	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P328: Science and Technology Analytic Assessments	13.299	14.145	12.048	13.154	-	13.154	16.676	16.604	16.873	17.228	Continuing	Continuing

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This Program Element (PE) directly supports the development of innovative capabilities to meet the emerging threats in the diverse range of state and non-state actor's threats confronting the Unites States. These capabilities include: space and terrestrial-based indications and warnings systems, integrated and resilient Intelligence, Surveillance, Reconnaissance (ISR) platforms, strategic lift, long-range precision strike weapons, missile defense technologies, undersea systems, remotely operated vehicles and technologies, special operations forces, the Cyber Mission Force, ground systems, and others outlined in the 2015 National Military Strategy. The science and technology (S&T) analytic assessments performed under this budget item include the following activities:

- Technical threat assessments building on intelligence community products for identifying gaps in U.S. capability for critical threats.
- Independent assessment of critical capability and technology development.
- Architecture development and evaluation to develop new U.S. capability.
- Development of strategic analytic tools enabling the analysis and evaluation of critical capability and technology development.
- Qualitative analysis of potential new technology and concepts to address capability gaps and counter emerging threat technologies.

Due to the complexity of these challenges, the process for developing and executing these analytic assessments span fiscal years and may have multiple phases. The emerging nature of the problem sets makes identification of studies beyond the budget year unlikely. Typically, the ratios of quick reaction studies, strategic analysis, and development of analytic tools will be roughly 30/50/20 percent. The first step in the process is to quickly assess gaps and options to fill those gaps; second, produce detailed analysis quantifying key attributes of the challenge, assess options, and provide an operational value assessment; and finally, develop analytic tools to help understanding of complex and longer term challenges. Implementation of this process could span multiple years causing the portfolio to cascade from year to year with each effort moving through the phases of study, experiment, and evaluation.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Title: Science and Technology Analytic Assessments	14.145	12.048	13.154
Description: Science and Technology Analytic Assessments supports the development of innovative capabilities to meet the emerging threats in the diverse range of state and non-state actor's threats confronting the Unites States. These capabilities include: space and terrestrial-based indications and warnings systems, integrated and resilient Intelligence, Surveillance, Reconnaissance (ISR) platforms, strategic lift, long-range precision strike weapons, missile defense technologies, undersea systems, remotely operated vehicles and technologies, special operations forces, the Cyber Mission Force, ground systems, and others outlined in the 2015 National Military Strategy.			
FY 2016 Accomplishments:			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of t	the Secretary Of Defense	Date: N	lay 2017		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603288D8Z I Science and Technology (S&T) Analytic Assessments	Project (Number/Name) P328 I Science and Technology Ar Assessments			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
In an effort to grow a balanced program, the planned ratio of quid development will be 30/50/20 percent. The activities in FY 2016 mature into development of analytic tools. In general, the following	were more heavily weighted towards studies which may late	er			
Quick Reaction Studies: - Quick Reaction Analytic efforts responded to critical questions systems to identify opportunities or challenges related to develop - Engineered feasibility assessment of developing missiles threa - Engineered feasibility assessment of options for electronic war - Assessed options to counter adversary Command, Control, Corector Reconnaissance (C4ISR). - Conducted a quick-look assessment of future US Army aviation - Conducted a quick-look effort to build a desktop compendium of undersea vehicles. - Assessed future plans and options for Active Protection System - Conducted quick-look independent assessment of Long Range - Assessed land combat area denial options excluding mines an - Assessed of future missile warning systems capability against	oing adversary capabilities. Ints. If are capability applied to missile defense. Intelligence, Surveillance, and Intelligence of US technology efforts focused on countering unmanned Intelligence of US technology efforts focused on countering unmanned Intelligence of US technology efforts focused on countering unmanned Intelligence of US technology efforts focused on countering unmanned Intelligence of US technology efforts focused on countering unmanned Intelligence of US technology efforts focused on countering unmanned Intelligence of US technology efforts focused on countering unmanned Intelligence of US technology efforts focused on countering unmanned Intelligence of US technology efforts focused on countering unmanned Intelligence of US technology efforts focused on countering unmanned Intelligence of US technology efforts focused on countering unmanned Intelligence of US technology efforts focused on countering unmanned Intelligence of US technology efforts focused on countering unmanned Intelligence of US technology efforts focused on countering unmanned Intelligence of US technology efforts focused on countering unmanned Intelligence of US technology efforts focused on countering unmanned Intelligence of US technology efforts focused on Countering unmanned Intelligence of US technology efforts focused on Countering unmanned Intelligence of US technology efforts focused on Countering unmanned Intelligence of US technology efforts focused on Countering unmanned Intelligence of US technology efforts focused on Countering unmanned Intelligence of US technology efforts focused on Countering unmanned Intelligence of US technology efforts focused on Countering unmanned Intelligence of US technology efforts focused on Countering unmanned Intelligence of US technology efforts focused on Countering unmanned Intelligence of US technology efforts focused on Countering unmanned Intelligence of US technology efforts focused on Countering unmanned Intelligence of US technology efforts focused on Countering unmanned	sion.			
Strategic Analysis: - Quantified distributed electronic warfare capabilities achievable - Identified future threat detection and identification capabilities f - Generated techniques for proactive offensive electronic warfare - Conducted system and technology assessments for surface ar - Assessed options for electronic attack against missiles Assessed technologies to counter adversary electronic warfare - Completed the assessment of Multi-Axis/Multi-Threat Raids ag - Assessed counters to Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) threat of - Assessed options for protection of airborne high value air asse - Assessed options for countering adversary Command, Control Reconnaissance (C4ISR) Assessed options to counter adversary SIGINT Explored feasibility and potential of next generation electronic of - Assessed options and identify similarities in countering unmanic	for future electronic support systems. e. and sub-surface warfare. e. ainst U.S. Naval and land based assets. capability. ts (HVAAs). , Communications, Computers, Intelligence, Surveillance, and warfare technologies.	nd			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of the	he Secretary Of Defense	Date: I	May 2017		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603288D8Z I Science and Technology (S&T) Analytic Assessments				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
 Assessed options to counter strategic unmanned air vehicle three 	eats.				
Analytic Tools: - Continued development of a reconfigurable airborne multi-band threat missile systems.	I radar test bed designed to emulate the seekers of emergin	ng			
FY 2017 Plans: In order to accomplish a balanced program, the target ratios of quevelopment is planned to be 30/50/20 percent. Accordingly, the					
Quick Reaction Studies: - Quick Reaction Analytic efforts responding to critical questions systems to identify opportunities or challenges related to developi on the following capability areas: foreign, integrated air and missil capability to counter adversaries; resiliency in US Command, Corand Reconnaissance (C4ISR) systems and options to counter addefensive capabilities, air dominance and missile defense, and ur	ing foreign capabilities. These short studies typically focus le defense capabilities; options for US electronic warfare artrol, Communications, Computers, Intelligence, Surveilland versaries C4ISR capabilities; ground combat offensive and				
Strategic Analysis: - Evaluation of options to counter foreign missile capabilities. - Analysis of options for area denial capability. - Explore feasibility and potential of next generation electronic was - Quantify distributed electronic warfare capabilities achievable in - Identify future threat detection and identification capabilities for - Generation of techniques for proactive offensive electronic warf - Experimental data collection applied to a wider range of ISR cap - System and technology assessments for surface and sub-surface - Evaluate options for a U.S. land based defense against a cruise - Evaluate architecture options for countering Unmanned Aerial V	n an Integrated Air Defense Systems (IADS) region. future electronic support systems. fare. pabilities. ce warfare. e missile raid. //ehicles (UAVs).				
Analytic Tools: - Development of analytic tools to inform and evaluate new techn adversary vulnerabilities from air, land, sea, and space domains.	nologies' potential to counter emerging threats and exploit				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of	the Secretary Of Defense	Date: 1	May 2017	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603288D8Z / Science and Technology (S&T) Analytic Assessments	Project (Number/ P328 / Science an Assessments	⁄ Analytic	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
 Continue testing and data collection of the reconfigurable airb Development of analytic tools to assess and underpin capabili Development of analytic tools to provide inform and provide de 	ities used in war gaming.			
FY 2018 Plans:				
To fully inform the analytic assessments, maintenance and expaincluded in this effort. This will include improvements in the und analysis, assessment, integration, entity relationships and intera Analysis area include: - Continued research of new, emerging and modified Blue and I Analysis data environment. - Conduct a data refresh at the platform and component level of intelligence and technical data.	lerlying data fidelity and breadth, and in all aspects of display actions. Specific tasks that will be executed within the Kill Ch	ain		
 Updated Kill Chain and Target Set assessments in support of Continued development of threat agnostic Operational and Te Expansion of the scope of Operational and Technical Issues in Integration of Science and Technology elements (initiatives, polyoperational and Technical Issues, Kill Chains, Target Sets etc.) Continued development, enhancements, and upgrades to the Results Display System. 	echnical Issues and integration into the KCA environment. nto new Warfare Areas. otential solutions, technologies etc) into the KCA environmer).			
In order to accomplish a balanced program of assessments, the analysis, and analytic tool development is planned to be 20/60/2 2017:				
Quick Reaction Studies: - Quick Reaction Analytic efforts responding to critical questions systems to identify opportunities or challenges related to develoon the following capability areas: foreign, integrated air and miss capability to counter adversaries; resiliency in US Command, Coand Reconnaissance (C4ISR) systems and options to counter a defensive capabilities, air dominance and missile defense, and u	ping foreign capabilities. These short studies typically focus sile defense capabilities; options for US electronic warfare an ontrol, Communications, Computers, Intelligence, Surveilland diversaries C4ISR capabilities; ground combat offensive and	ıd		
Strategic and Operational Analysis:				

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of the Secretar	ry Of Defense		Date: N	lay 2017	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603288D8Z I Science and Technology (S&T) Analytic Assessments	Project (N P328 / Sc Assessme	Analytic		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F'	Y 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
 Evaluation of options to counter foreign missile capabilities. Analysis of options for area denial capability. Explore feasibility and potential of next generation electronic warfare techr Quantify distributed electronic warfare capabilities achievable in an Integra Identify future threat detection and identification capabilities for future electrical electronic warfare. System and technology assessments for surface and sub-surface warfare. Evaluate options for land based defense against a cruise missile raid. Evaluate efficacy of passive systems and counters to passive systems. Assess emerging operational scenarios against future red and blue capabilities. Update existing Kill chain analyses based on emerging red and blue capabilities. Conduct Kill Chain analysis on new threat scenarios and projected threat of the conduct in the capabilities. 	ated Air Defense Systems (IADS) region. tronic support systems. dility timelines. bility assessments.				
Analytic Tools: - Development of analytic tools to inform and evaluate new technologies' postupers adversary vulnerabilities from air, land, sea, and space domains. - Development of analytic tools to provide inform and provide decision supp	,				
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	totals	14.145	12.048	13.154

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

E. Performance Metrics

- Critical gaps in U. S. capability are identified.
- Gaps in U. S. technology development are identified.
- New architectures and evaluation criteria for developing U. S. capability are identified.
- Analytic tools to evaluate new technologies' potential to mitigate and counter emerging threats and exploit adversary vulnerabilities are developed.