Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: FY 2018 Office of the Secretary Of Defense

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3:

PE 0603225D8Z I Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Technology Development

**Date:** May 2017

Advanced Technology Development (ATD)

Appropriation/Budget Activity

COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018 Base	FY 2018 OCO	FY 2018 Total	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	73.850	18.129	17.256	18.662	-	18.662	18.775	18.935	19.241	19.645	Continuing	Continuing
P225: Joint DOD/DOE Munitions	73.850	18.129	17.256	18.662	-	18.662	18.775	18.935	19.241	19.645	Continuing	Continuing

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The mission of the Department of Defense (DoD)/Department of Energy (DOE) Joint Munitions Technology Development Program (JMP) is to develop new and innovative warhead, explosive, fuzing, and lifecycle technologies and tools to enable major improvements in conventional munitions. The JMP supports the development and exploration of advanced munitions concepts and enabling technologies that precede Service-specific system engineering. A Memorandum of Understanding signed in 1985 by DoD and DOE provides the basis for the cooperative effort and for cost-sharing the long-term commitment to this effort. The JMP funds budgeted in this justification are matched dollar for dollar by DOE funds. Through this interdepartmental cooperation, DoD's relatively small investment leverages DOE's substantial investments in intellectual capital and highly specialized skills, advanced scientific equipment and facilities, and computational tools not available within DoD. Under the auspices of the JMP, the integration of DOE technologies with Joint and Individual Services' needs has provided major advances in warfighting capabilities over many years and continues to play a crucial role in the exploration, development, and transition of new technologies needed by the Services.

The JMP seeks to develop technological advances in several munitions subject areas. These include: 1) improved modeling and simulation tools for munitions design and evaluation, including evaluation of vulnerability and the design of insensitive munitions (IM), 2) novel experimental techniques and material property databases to support modeling and simulation, 3) higher power and safer explosives and propellants, 4) miniaturized, lower-cost, and higher reliability fuzes, initiators, power systems, and sensors, 5) design tools to enable development of higher performance warheads and weapons, such as penetrators, that are hardened against high impact loads, and 6) tools to assess the health and reliability of the munitions stockpile and predict lifetimes based on these assessments. The supporting experimental research requires the development of new technologies related to the synthesis, processing, and characterization of advanced munition materials, components, and systems. This involves energetic material research, new fuzing concepts, dynamic testing of munition materials, and advanced characterization including high-rate insitu diagnostics.

The JMP is aligned with the Department's strategic plans and policies such as:

- Munitions for contingency operations, particularly for the reduction of unintended collateral effects.
- Reducing time and cost for acquisition of munitions.
- Rapidly transitioning science and technology (S&T) to support the warfighter in today's conflicts.
- Establishing future core capabilities and maintaining our national S&T capabilities through joint investment and interagency cooperation and teaming.
- Aiding in recruiting and retaining high-caliber scientists and engineers at DoD S&T organizations.
- Developing advanced munitions technologies to support the increased role of conventional weapons to deter and respond to non-nuclear attack, as described in the Nuclear Posture Review report.
- Developing safer munitions that are compliant with IM standards to meet statutory and Department policy requirements.

**Exhibit R-2**, **RDT&E Budget Item Justification**: FY 2018 Office of the Secretary Of Defense **Date**: May 2017

Appropriation/Budget Activity

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)

PE 0603225D8Z I Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Technology Development

The JMP has established a successful collaborative community of DoD and DOE scientists and engineers. This community develops technologies of interest to both Departments within a structured framework of technical reviews and scheduled milestones. The JMP is administered and monitored by the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) and reviewed annually by the Munitions Technical Advisory Committee (TAC), which is comprised of over 25 senior executives from the Army, Navy, Air Force, Special Operations Command, the Defense Threat Reduction Agency, OSD, and DOE. Projects are organized in eight Technology Coordinating Groups (TCG) that bring together the disciplines necessary to properly evaluate technical content, relevance, and progress. The TCGs conduct semi-annual technical peer reviews of JMP projects and plans. DoD Service laboratory technical experts lead each of the TCGs to ensure that the technologies under development address high-priority DoD needs. The JMP also promotes more in-depth technical exchange via short-term visiting scientist and engineer assignments at both the DOE and the DoD laboratories.

The JMP has a long history of successful transitions and significant Return on Investment (ROI).

- The JMP is the primary developer of high-performance structural mechanics computer codes used by DoD, and the primary source for transitioning these codes to the DoD. JMP computational tools are critical to the development and support of DoD programs; a recent tabulation shows that well over 70 DoD programs have been supported by these DOE codes. In FY 2014 it was projected by the High Performance Computing Modernization Program (HPCMP) that JMP-supported codes accounted for 82 percent of all HPCMP Central Processing Unit (CPU) hours, including virtually all HPCMP classified computing. The Department expects this heavy reliance on DOE codes to continue for several reasons, including: preference for using DOE codes because they are export-controlled; DOE codes are scalable, incorporate multiphysics, and run on massively parallel computer systems; and the Department can obtain source codes to modify for individual Service needs. A significant number of defense industrial contractors also use the DOE structural mechanics computer codes.
- The Army Armament Research, Development & Engineering Center (ARDEC) has stated that the DOE computer codes are now routinely used to design all new warheads. The use of these tools has reduced the number of validation tests required for each new warhead from about five to one with concomitant cost and time savings.
- The Army Research Laboratory has used DOE computer codes to develop and deploy new armor solutions to Iraq and Afghanistan with unprecedented speed.
- CHEETAH, a standalone thermochemical computer code, is the most widely used code by DoD and defense contractors for predicting performance of energetic materials.
- The JMP-supported Arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian Three-Dimensional (ALE3D) code was used in a high-explosive press accident investigation which helped determine the root cause. The code was also utilized successfully in the M433E1 mortar bomb design, and has been used to assess blast effects on Unmanned Aerial Vehicles.
- The JMP-supported CTH and Sierra codes were used for the Air Force Massive Ordnance Penetrator (MOP) Quick Reaction Effort (QRC), and the Air Force Research Laboratory Conventional Survivable Ordnance Package (CSOP).
- New munitions' case material and explosive fill technologies provide the warfighter with a lethal and low collateral damage capability. These technologies have been transitioned to the Focused Lethality Munition variant of the Small Diameter Bomb, which is currently fielded. The technologies were also the basis for a new GBU 129 weapon that has been developed to meet a Joint Urgent Operational Need requirement for a low-collateral MK-82 class weapon. The GBU-129/B received the 2014 William J. Perry Award from the Precision Strike Association, recognizing significant contributions to the development, introduction, or support of precision strike systems.
- The Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization (JIEDDO) has supported applications of JMP technologies, including: compact synthetic aperture radar (SAR) systems for counter-Improvised Explosive Device (IED) efforts; pre-deployment training of military personnel by DOE explosive experts on how to recognize feed

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: FY 2018 Office of the Secretary Of Defense

Date: May 2017

### Appropriation/Budget Activity

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)

PE 0603225D8Z I Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Technology Development

stocks and processes for homemade explosives; and use of massively parallel, multiphysics computer codes to understand how explosive blast waves cause brain injury and how to mitigate these injuries.

- An erosive initiator technology developed under the JMP has been transitioned to the Services for use in selectable output weapons and self-destruct capabilities.
- A novel approach to controlling the sensitivity and therefore the initiability of explosives using microwave energy, as well two new, insensitive energetic materials have transitioned to development projects in the Joint IM Technology and Joint Fuze Technology Programs.
- Reliability analysis tools were used by Army Missile Command to assess Rolling Airframe Missile (RAM), Advanced Medium Range Air to Air Missile (AMRAAM), and Tube-launched, Optically-tracked, Wire command data-linked guided Missile (TOW).
- Robotic demilitarization processing systems were installed at several locations, including a system at Hawthorne Army Depot to recover copper shape charge liners, Comp A5, and grenade bodies.
- Characterization and analysis of the Army's Excalibur fusible plug resulted in a savings of at least \$2.000 million.
- The Mortar, Anti-Personnel, Anti-Materiel (MAPAM) projectile used the ViscoSCRAM (Statistical CRAck Mechanics)model for PBXN-110 to determine if flaws in production could safely be fired, potentially saving millions of dollars.
- Provided Photonic Doppler Velocimetry (PDV) adaption technology to a number of DoD laboratories, including ARDEC and ARL.
- Materials and modeling technologies developed and demonstrated in the JMP are being transitioned to a variety of DoD Army programs including the Scalable Technology for Adaptive Response (STAR) Army Technology Objective (ATO), the Future Requirements of Enhanced Energetics for Decisive Munitions (FREEDM) program, and Advanced Warheads for Scalable Effects Munitions (AWSEM).

The JMP also works with the Defense Ordnance Technology Consortium (DOTC) and the National Armaments Consortium (NAC) of industrial suppliers to equitably and efficiently transition JMP technologies to defense industrial contractors. In addition to the computer codes mentioned earlier, the JMP has transitioned case technology for low-collateral weapons, low-temperature co-fired ceramic technology for smaller, less expensive fuze electronic components, and erosive initiator technology for selectable effects weapons to defense industrial suppliers.

The integrated DoD and DOE efforts within the JMP are transitioning new munitions' technologies to the Department and the defense industrial base through the advanced development process. The JMP is a focal point for collaborative work by nearly 300 DoD and DOE scientists and engineers. Technical leaders from both Departments consider the JMP a model of cooperation, both within their respective departments and between departments. The highly challenging technical objectives of the 31 current and two planned JMP projects require multi-year efforts and sustained, long-term investments to achieve success.

The JMP projects are divided into five technical focus areas: 1) Computational Mechanics and Material Modeling, 2) Energetic Materials, 3) Initiators, Fuzes, and Sensors, 4) Warhead and Penetration Technology, and 5) Munitions Lifecycle Technologies.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: FY 2018 Office of the Secretary Of Defense

Decirculary of Defende

**Date:** May 2017

Appropriation/Budget Activity

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3:

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)
PE 0603225D8Z I Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Technology Development

Advanced Technology Development (ATD)

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018 Base	FY 2018 OCO	FY 2018 Total
Previous President's Budget	18.765	17.256	18.679	-	18.679
Current President's Budget	18.129	17.256	18.662	-	18.662
Total Adjustments	-0.636	0.000	-0.017	-	-0.017
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.636	-			
Other Adjustments	-	-	-0.017	-	-0.017

## **Change Summary Explanation**

FY 2018 internal realignment reflects funding for higher Departmental priorities and requirements.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of the Secretary Of Defense							<b>Date:</b> May 2017				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3				R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603225D8Z I Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Technology Development			Project (Number/Name) P225 / Joint DOD/DOE Munitions					
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018 Base	FY 2018 OCO	FY 2018 Total	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P225: Joint DOD/DOE Munitions	73.850	18.129	17.256	18.662	-	18.662	18.775	18.935	19.241	19.645	Continuing	Continuing

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The mission of the Department of Defense (DoD)/Department of Energy (DOE) Joint Munitions Technology Development Program (JMP) is to develop new and innovative warhead, explosive, fuzing, and lifecycle technologies and tools to enable major improvements in conventional munitions. The JMP supports the development and exploration of advanced munitions concepts and enabling technologies that precede Service-specific system engineering. A Memorandum of Understanding signed in 1985 by DoD and DOE provides the basis for the cooperative effort and for cost-sharing the long-term commitment to this effort. The JMP funds budgeted in this justification are matched dollar for dollar by DOE funds. Through this interdepartmental cooperation, DoD's relatively small investment leverages DOE's substantial investments in intellectual capital and highly specialized skills, advanced scientific equipment and facilities, and computational tools not available within DoD. Under the auspices of the JMP, the integration of DOE technologies with Joint and Individual Services' needs has provided major advances in warfighting capabilities over many years and continues to play a crucial role in the exploration, development, and transition of new technologies needed by the Services.

The JMP seeks to develop technological advances in several munitions subject areas. These include: 1) improved modeling and simulation tools for munitions design and evaluation, including evaluation of vulnerability and the design of insensitive munitions (IM), 2) novel experimental techniques and material property databases to support modeling and simulation, 3) higher power and safer explosives and propellants, 4) miniaturized, lower-cost, and higher reliability fuzes, initiators, power systems, and sensors, 5) design tools to enable development of higher performance warheads and weapons, such as penetrators, that are hardened against high impact loads, and 6) tools to assess the health and reliability of the munitions stockpile and predict lifetimes based on these assessments. The supporting experimental research requires the development of new technologies related to the synthesis, processing, and characterization of advanced munition materials, components, and systems. This involves energetic material research, new fuzing concepts, dynamic testing of munition materials, and advanced characterization including high-rate insitu diagnostics.

The JMP is aligned with the Department's strategic plans and policies such as:

- Munitions for contingency operations, particularly for the reduction of unintended collateral effects.
- Reducing time and cost for acquisition of munitions.
- Rapidly transitioning science and technology (S&T) to support the warfighter in today's conflicts.
- Establishing future core capabilities and maintaining our national S&T capabilities through joint investment and interagency cooperation and teaming.
- Aiding in recruiting and retaining high-caliber scientists and engineers at DoD S&T organizations.
- Developing advanced munitions technologies to support the increased role of conventional weapons to deter and respond to non-nuclear attack, as described in the Nuclear Posture Review report.
- Developing safer munitions that are compliant with IM standards to meet statutory and Department policy requirements.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of the Secretary 0	Of Defense		Date: May 2017	
0400 / 3	PE 0603225D8Z I Joint DOD/DOE	- 3 (	umber/Name) nt DOD/DOE Munitions	
	Munitions Technology Development			

The JMP has established a successful collaborative community of DoD and DOE scientists and engineers. This community develops technologies of interest to both Departments within a structured framework of technical reviews and scheduled milestones. The JMP is administered and monitored by the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) and reviewed annually by the Munitions Technical Advisory Committee (TAC), which is comprised of over 25 senior executives from the Army, Navy, Air Force, Special Operations Command, the Defense Threat Reduction Agency, OSD, and DOE. Projects are organized in eight Technology Coordinating Groups (TCG) that bring together the disciplines necessary to properly evaluate technical content, relevance, and progress. The TCGs conduct semi-annual technical peer reviews of JMP projects and plans. DoD Service laboratory technical experts lead each of the TCGs to ensure that the technologies under development address high-priority DoD needs. The JMP also promotes more in-depth technical exchange via short-term visiting scientist and engineer assignments at both the DOE and the DoD laboratories.

The JMP has a long history of successful transitions and significant Return on Investment (ROI).

- The JMP is the primary developer of high-performance structural mechanics computer codes used by DoD, and the primary source for transitioning these codes to the DoD. JMP computational tools are critical to the development and support of DoD programs; a recent tabulation shows that well over 50 DoD programs have been supported by these DOE codes. For FY 2014 it is projected by the High Performance Computing Modernization Program (HPCMP) that JMP-supported codes will have accounted for 82 percent of all HPCMP Central Processing Unit (CPU) hours, including virtually all HPCMP classified computing. The total CPU hours represents an eight-fold increase from FY 2012. The Department expects this heavy reliance on DOE codes to continue for several reasons, including: preference for using DOE codes because they are export-controlled; DOE codes are scalable, incorporate multiphysics, and run on massively parallel computer systems; and the Department can obtain source codes to modify for individual Service needs.
- A significant number of defense industrial contractors also use the DOE structural mechanics computer codes.
- Cheetah, a standalone thermochemical computer code, is the most widely used code by DoD and defense contractors for predicting performance of energetic materials.
- The Army Armament Research, Development & Engineering Center (ARDEC) has stated that the DOE computer codes are now routinely used to design all new warheads. The use of these tools has reduced the number of validation tests required for each new warhead from about five to one with concomitant cost and time savings.
- The Army Research Laboratory has used DOE computer codes to develop and deploy new armor solutions to Iraq and Afghanistan with unprecedented speed.
- New munitions' case material and explosive fill technologies provide the warfighter with a lethal and low collateral damage capability. These technologies have been transitioned to the Focused Lethality Munition variant of the Small Diameter Bomb, which is currently fielded. The technologies were also the basis for a new GBU 129 weapon that has been developed to meet a Joint Urgent Operational Need requirement for a low-collateral MK-82 class weapon. The GBU-129/B received the 2014 William J. Perry Award from the Precision Strike Association, recognizing significant contributions to the development, introduction, or support of precision strike systems.
- The Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization (JIEDDO) has supported applications of JMP technologies, including: compact synthetic aperture radar (SAR) systems for counter-Improvised Explosive Device (IED) efforts; pre-deployment training of military personnel by DOE explosive experts on how to recognize feed stocks and processes for homemade explosives; and use of massively parallel, multiphysics computer codes to understand how explosive blast waves cause brain injury and how to mitigate these injuries.
- The JMP-supported CTH and Sierra codes were used for the Air Force Massive Ordnance Penetrator (MOP) Quick Reaction Effort (QRC), and the Air Force Research Laboratory Conventional Survivable Ordnance Package (CSOP).

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of the Secretary 0	Of Defense		Date: May 2017	
Appropriation/Budget Activity	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)	Project (N	umber/Name)	
0400 / 3	PE 0603225D8Z / Joint DOD/DOE	P225 I Joir	nt DOD/DOE Munitions	
	Munitions Technology Development			

- An erosive initiator technology developed under the JMP has been transitioned to the Services for use in selectable output weapons and self-destruct capabilities.
- A novel approach to controlling the sensitivity and therefore the initiability of explosives using microwave energy, as well two new, insensitive energetic materials have transitioned to development projects in the Joint IM Technology and Joint Fuze Technology Programs.
- Reliability analysis tools were used by Army Missile Command to assess Rolling Airframe Missile (RAM), Advanced Medium Range Air to Air Missile (AMRAAM), and Tube-launched, Optically-tracked, Wire command data-linked guided Missile (TOW).
- Robotic demilitarization processing systems were installed at several locations, including a system at Hawthorne Army Depot to recover copper shape charge liners, Comp A5, and grenade bodies.
- Characterization and analysis of the Army's Excalibur fusible plug resulted in a savings of at least \$2.000 million.

The JMP also works with the Defense Ordnance Technology Consortium (DOTC) and the National Armaments Consortium (NAC) of industrial suppliers to equitably and efficiently transition JMP technologies to defense industrial contractors. In addition to the computer codes mentioned earlier, the JMP has transitioned case technology for low-collateral weapons, low-temperature co-fired ceramic technology for smaller, less expensive fuze electronic components, and erosive initiator technology for selectable effects weapons to defense industrial suppliers.

The integrated DoD and DOE efforts within the JMP are transitioning new munitions' technologies to the Department and the defense industrial base through the advanced development process. The JMP is a focal point for collaborative work by nearly 300 DoD and DOE scientists and engineers. Technical leaders from both Departments consider the JMP a model of cooperation, both within their respective departments and between departments. The highly challenging technical objectives of the 33 current JMP projects require multi-year efforts and sustained, long-term investments to achieve success.

The JMP projects are divided into five technical focus areas: 1) Computational Mechanics and Material Modeling, 2) Energetic Materials, 3) Initiators, Fuzes, and Sensors, 4) Warhead and Penetration Technology, and 5) Munitions Lifecycle Technologies.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Title: Computational Mechanics and Material Modeling	5.453	5.197	5.542
<b>Description:</b> Projects in this technical focus area develop physics-based computational tools, material models, and calibration and validation databases that support the design and development of weapon systems. These capabilities are intended to predict the complex phenomena across significant length, meso to continuum, and time, microsecond to minute, scales. The tools will provide coupled, multi-physics and chemistry modeling capabilities that are scalable to massively parallel architectures for solving very diverse problems across the weapons systems' research and development and acquisition communities. Numeric tools are the foundation that makes possible the integration of mechanics, materials science, physics, and chemistry. This focus area also includes an extensive experimental component consisting of either: 1) phenomenological or "discovery" experiments that provide the physics basis for model development, 2) experiments directly coupled to model development and application, such as characterization, calibration, and validation experiments, or 3) the development of advanced test methods or device development.  The specific projects in computational mechanics and material modeling are:			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of	f the Secretary Of Defense		Date: N	/lay 2017		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603225D8Z I Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Technology Development	Project (Number/Name) P225 / Joint DOD/DOE Munitions				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	Y 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
<ul> <li>CTH shock physics and Sierra/Solid Mechanics (SM) codes &amp;</li> <li>Arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian Three-Dimensional (ALE3D) co</li> <li>Composite case technology and modeling</li> <li>Dynamic properties of materials, modeling and validation</li> <li>Energetic materials and polymers under dynamic and therma</li> <li>Fragment impact and response experiments</li> </ul>	ode and model development.					
FY 2016 Accomplishments:  Produced and distributed the Final Report on experimental question mechanical behavior for energetic materials.  Transitioned Glassy Amorphous Polymer (GAP) Damage mo Coupled Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) and/or ViscoPlasticSe in ALE3D for use by DoD community in calculations requiring e Completed meso-scale study of stress conditions and statistic (Ta).  Enhanced High-Energy Diffraction Microscopy (HEDM) capal Incorporated Thermal/Equation of State (EOS) data in materi Enabled 2D corner turning in Detonation Shock Dynamics (D Tested and modeled damping response in composite specim Minimum Signature Propellant-1 (MSP-1) characterized for R Reaction (ABVR) test and integrated experiments were conducted on Demonstration of Uncertainty Quantification (UQ) Capabilities Interface were conducted.  CTH versions 11.3 and 12.0 were released. Incorporated examples the conducted of the conducted of the damping response of composite specific (SNL) data were performed.  Tested and modeled the damping response of composite specific power propagation in existing (SNL) data were performed.  Tested and modeled the damping response of composite specific power power propagation in existing the propagation of the propagatio	del to DOE code teams.  elfConsistent (VPSC) models with Damage Evolution implementation treatment of plasticity.  cs of loading in the vicinity of grain boundaries for DoD tantal bility to larger plastic deformation.  al model parameter database.  SD) code.  lens.  Reactive Flow Model(s) and analysis of Army Burn-to-Violentated.  on relevant energetic materials using two-stage or three-stage in Sierra coupled codes through integration with the Sierra ascale improvements in version 12.0.  Ing composite models for ALE3D, fit to Sandia National Laborations.  elength and printing with filament.	ented lum e gun. User				

the Secretary Of Defense	Date: I	May 2017		
R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603225D8Z I Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Technology Development	Project (Number/Name) P225 I Joint DOD/DOE Munitions			
	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
or refine the Generalized Initiation Criterion. The Flow model and analysis of Army Burn-to-Violent Reaction Training and Training Steel (SS) test data and proposed next Trai	t lum.			
XFEM) fracture capabilities overnents.  er material.  e modes of failure, such as plugging and shear bands.  ocalization model for use in 3D fragmentation problems when behavior of adiabatic shear bands in 316L SS, transition to	ere			
	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603225D8Z I Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Technology Development  to find the spectrum of impact definitions that are expected for refine the Generalized Initiation Criterion. The Flow model and analysis of Army Burn-to-Violent Reaction ainst 316L Stainless Steel (SS) test data and proposed next addless and micro-inertia effects tests. The foliading in the vicinity of grain boundaries for DoD Tantal the DoD user community. The sand improvements to usability. The rize incipient void nucleation and growth in Ti; validated refund shear collected on specimens representative of system composite models in ALE3D (ysmodel 140), with fit to SNL-to heated and ambient PBX 9502. The factor of the stage of three stage guested in the stage of t	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603225D8Z / Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Technology Development  FY 2016  FY 2	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603225D8Z / Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Technology Development  FY 2016 FY 2017  To find the spectrum of impact definitions that are expected to or refine the Generalized Initiation Criterion. FY 2016 FY 2017  FY 2016 FY 2017  To find the spectrum of impact definitions that are expected to or refine the Generalized Initiation Criterion. FY 2016 FY 2017  FY 2016 FY 2016 FY 2017  FY 2017  FY 2016 FY 2017  FY 2017	

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of	of the Secretary Of Defense		Date: M	ay 2017	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603225D8Z I Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Technology Development		ct (Number/N I Joint DOD/D		S
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
- Continue development of CartaBlanca code for failure and fra	agmentation problems.				
Title: Energetic Materials (EM)			4.815	4.478	4.837
<b>Description:</b> The goals of this technical focus area are to deve to satisfy the competing requirements for smaller, more lethal, a gun and rocket propellants, and, to a lesser extent, pyrotechnic new molecules in a range of particle sizes and morphologies, 2 energetic properties and performance, and 4) computational to formulations are developed with the recognition that costs must processes suitable for scale-up to production levels.	and safer munitions. Work is primarily focused on explosives cs. The projects include development of: 1) new EMs, including the EM formulations, 3) a fundamental understanding of the iols for analysis of performance and sensitivity. New material	s, ng s and			
Both Federal statute and Department policy direct the development sensitive while maintaining explosive or propellant performance combination of new EM development, EM characterization, and prohibitive to qualify weapons for compliance with insensitive meases the only means, to qualify these weapons is with the confew well-designed tests.	t n many				
The Department also needs munitions that provide selectable of thoroughly understand the performance of EMs used in both the systems can provide selectable effects as well as safer munition knowledge of EM detonation physics and in some cases, new leading the control of the c	ne main weapon fill and the initiation systems. Distributed fuz ons, but such complex, small-scale systems require more con	ing			
The desire for smaller and lighter munitions is driven in part by and to some extent by the need to reduce logistical burden, es munitions weight and size requirements while maintaining lether	pecially energy consumption. New EMs are needed to meet				
The Department is working to increase the range and velocity of These applications subject EMs to high accelerations and shoot need to improve our ability to model EM under higher impact to ability to survive in these aggressive environments. DoD may a loads while maintaining lethality and initiability. TCG-III is also a forum for the exchange of information on new characteristics, and physical models that can be used to predict	ck loads. To support the development of these new systems, bads and to characterize relevant properties to determine their also need to develop new, more robust EMs that survive impenergetic materials, their performance and sensitivity	we r			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of th	e Secretary Of Defense	Date: N	/lay 2017				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603225D8Z I Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Technology Development	Project (Number/ P225 / Joint DOD/					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018			
conditions. It is a venue in which collaboration opportunities can be the DOE to the DoD.	be identified to facilitate the transition of technology develo	oped in					
The specific projects in the energetic materials technical focus are - Synthesis, properties, and scale-up of new energetic compounds - Insensitive munitions and surety Cheetah thermochemical code development and experiments Micro- and nano-energetics synthesis and initiation Hazards analysis of energetic materials Reactive processes in energetic materials Development of tools for energetic material performance characterials in the properties of energetic materials Thermal response of energetic materials.	s. terization.						
FY 2016 Accomplishments:  Scaled-up the synthesis of Landau Level Mixing, (LLM): LLM-22 Synthesized and characterized new tri-, quadri-, and pentacyclic molecules.  Published best available models and Sandia Instrumented Therr generation rates of thermal decomposition of a representative MSI Performed heat of formation measurements on LLM-200, 223, a Published results of characterization of damage evolution of PBX temperature history.  Experimentally correlated burn rates to thermal damage state ar Completed MSP1 characterization for unknown-to-detonation tra Benchmarked cook-off violence model of HMX-based PBX using Completed mesoscale sensitivity study to determine key factors Completed Technology Handbook design, installed on server, at tested.	c oxadiazoles as both high-power and insensitive target mal Ignition (SITI) data for pressure dependence and gas P and PBX 9501.  Ind 215.  X 9502 and AP propellant, including permeability as a fund published results.  Ind published results.  In ansition (XDT) model parameterization.  In g thermal-damage burn-rate with sub-scale experiments.  In for shock initiation response in HMX-based explosive.	action of					
<ul> <li>Delivered first kinetics tool for non-ideal EMs front curvature.</li> <li>Systematically evaluated and improved code predictions at low I predictions by expanding library of gaseous and condensed produ</li> <li>Developed and implemented a consistent ionic thermodynamics predictions for all energetic materials.</li> </ul>	cts available for such calculations.						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of the Sec	retary Of Defense	Date: N	lay 2017	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3		roject (Number/N 225 / Joint DOD/L		s
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
<ul> <li>Developed post-detonation carbon kinetics models for conventional ar against small scale experiments.</li> <li>Developed Cheetah thermochemistry for major metallic additives, and fluorides, nitrides, carbides, and borides) to enable thermochemical precent convention, microstructure and initiation properties deposed bemonstrated small-scale flash radiography of research detonators.</li> <li>Full dynamic radiographic comparison of Composition B (CompB) and</li> </ul>	other relevant elements and compounds, (e.g., oxides lictions for elementally rich formulations. ited HNS.			
<ul> <li>FY 2017 Plans:</li> <li>Synthesis of new cyclic, planar structures consisting of imidazole or py</li> <li>Publish results of characterization of damage evolution of gun propella</li> <li>Benchmark Spiral 1 MSP1 HERMES/XDT with cylindrical ABVR experimotor fragment impact tests.</li> <li>Perform X-ray measurements of burn-rates and DDT.</li> <li>Deliver second kinetics tool for non-ideal EM's, possibly initiation behaded integrate CHEETAH code capabilities to facilitate exploratory calculating conditions, EOS tables for hydro simulations, and multiple constraints or</li> <li>Perform experiments on milling technique for nanomaterial production</li> <li>Report on aging of PBXN-103 underwater explosive formulation.</li> <li>Report on first use of Lattice Boltzmann and/or Kinetic Monte Carlo Meter Perform sensitivity testing of energetic binder candidates.</li> <li>Mechanistic determination of the insensitivity of TATB-based formulation.</li> </ul>	ants. riments. Perform pre-test predictions for analog rocket avior. ons (e.g., constant volume explosions at user specified a formulation performance). ethods to model multiscale reaction processes.			
FY 2018 Plans: - Mechanistic determination of the insensitivity of TATB-based formulation	ons.			
Title: Initiators, Fuzes, and Sensors		3.510	3.699	3.830
<b>Description:</b> The goals of this technical focus area are to develop new modeling and simulation tools for fuzing systems. Initiators, fuzes, and sidetonation, to correctly detect intended targets, and to initiate detonation Department's needs to miniaturize fuzing systems. Smaller systems are with smaller and lighter weapons systems, 2) trading volume in munition higher energy and power density power sources, or enhanced guidance example, using of two or more smaller initiating systems, and 4) upgradifuzing systems.	sensors must work reliably together to prevent unintend in when required. Projects in this focus area support the e required for several reasons including: 1) compatibility is for other components such as additional explosives, systems, 3) increasing reliability through redundancy,	for		

	UNGLASSIFIED						
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of	of the Secretary Of Defense		Date: N	/lay 2017			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603225D8Z I Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Technology Development		oject (Number/Name) 25 / Joint DOD/DOE Munitions				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018		
The miniaturization of fuzing systems requires new material an and improved modeling tools for microdetonics. The Departme effects may be achieved with multi-point initiation systems. Su characterization of initiator materials and components, as well a greater precision and to avoid unintended collateral effects who insurgency or counter-terrorist operations, target sensors must focus area are developing technologies to achieve this level of	ent also needs weapons systems with selectable effects, and ich systems are inherently more complex and require improvas more sophisticated modeling and simulation tools. To atten weapons are used in the complex environment of counter be reliable and provide high-fidelity discrimination. Projects	I these ed ain					
The specific projects in the initiators, fuzes, and sensors technically a specific projects in the initiators, fuzes, and sensors technically a set of the specific projects in the initiators, fuzes, and sensors technically a set of detonator development, and initiation and detonation physics of a safe, Arm, Fuze and Fire Technology, comprising Initiation at a comparison of the Advanced Initiation Systems, comprising diagnostics developed for enhanced safety.  Thermal Battery Performance Modeling to develop a multi-property and the safety of t	Inde model development and validation, 1.6 hazard classificated the millimeter scale.  Ind Detonation, and Advanced Firing System Components. In the millimeter scale and Detonation, and Advanced Firing System Components. In the microdetonics, miniature initiation systems, and detorally size modeling capability for thermal batteries.  In the millimeter scale and detoral systems are the million of the million	nators mal,					
FY 2016 Accomplishments:  Designed Probabilistic Shock Threshold Criterion (PSTC) Varianalysis, (e.g., Taylor wave and fragment impact).  Analysis and theoretical model of wave divergence using PS: Performed low-fidelity ignition characterization in small array: Completed ignition characterization of one DoD, and one DO: Collected validation data for reactive burn and equation of state: Demonstrated 500mA/cm2 with <0.5V polarization in thin-film: Demonstrated 1.8V operation at 100mA/cm2 in thin-film therm: Transitioned the next version of the TABS software (TABS-S poro-mechanical and thermo-electrochemical simulations in a second process of the polarization of the Planned for experiments required to validate coupled models. Performed Floret experiments on LX-21 explosive.	TC was conducted. configuration to verify feasibility. DE EM using medium array (36 samples) combinatorial designate models via small-scale shock experiments. In thermal battery against pellet anode and cathode at 525C. In the mal battery against coated separator and cathode at 525C. In the mal battery against coated separator and cathode at 525C. In the mal battery against coated separator and cathode at 525C. In the mal battery against coated separator and cathode at 525C. In the mal battery against coated separator and cathode at 525C. In the mal battery against coated separator and cathode at 525C. In the mal battery against coated separator and cathode at 525C. In the mal battery against coated separator and cathode at 525C. In the mal battery against coated separator and cathode at 525C. In the mal battery against coated separator and cathode at 525C. In the mal battery against coated separator and cathode at 525C. In the mal battery against coated separator and cathode at 525C. In the mal battery against coated separator and cathode at 525C. In the mal battery against coated separator and cathode at 525C. In the mal battery against coated separator and cathode at 525C. In the mal battery against coated separator and cathode at 525C. In the mal battery against coated separator and cathode at 525C. In the mal battery against coated separator and cathode at 525C. In the mal battery against coated separator and cathode at 525C. In the mal battery against coated separator and cathode at 525C. In the mal battery against coated separator and cathode at 525C. In the mal battery against coated separator and cathode at 525C. In the mal battery against coated separator and cathode at 525C. In the mal battery against coated separator and cathode at 525C. In the mal battery against coated separator and cathode at 525C. In the mal battery against coated separator and cathode at 525C. In the mal battery against coated separator and cathode at 525C.	n.					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of the Secretary Of Defense  Date: May 2017					
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603225D8Z I Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Technology Development	Project (Number/Name) P225 I Joint DOD/DOE Munitions		าร	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
<ul> <li>Assessed efficacy of laser initiation of HMX explosive.</li> <li>Collected spot-size data to extend James Model to account for Collected data for assessment of bridge material equations of Section Characterized the performance of nano-TATB (triaminotrinitrober Report on ALEGRA Lagrangian code simulations of Exploding In Air Force Research Laboratory.</li> <li>Built prototype 200nF (nanofarad) multilayer glass capacitors the PIV (Particle Imaging Velocimetry) diagnostic capability was confirmed a Fabricated custom Si Avalanche PhotoDetectors (APDs) optimic Proximity Fuze (PPF) sensor.</li> <li>Prepared report summarizing GPS-denied sensor parameter specification.</li> </ul>	state and conductivity tables. enzene). Foil Initiator (EFI) validation data published and distributed nat can withstand 2000 Vdc (Volts Direct Current). mpleted. ized for low-voltage performance and integration for the Ph	otonic			
<ul> <li>Outline of proposed GPS-denied processor architectures with reference of the proposed GPS-denied processor architectures with reference of the processor architectures architec</li></ul>	nA/cm2 in coated configuration. parameter fitting based on experimental data. material database with properties for thin film thermal batte and publish instructions to build and run a 3D thermal mode ctrochemical single cell battery model.	el.			
<ul> <li>ALE3D.</li> <li>Perform output characterization, large array (&gt;80 samples).</li> <li>Demonstrate an integrated modeling tool for detonator explosiv</li> <li>Determine breakdown mechanisms in multilayer glass capacito prototype capacitor construction.</li> <li>Demonstrate MLGC integration into micro fireset.</li> <li>Micro-optic development, including new designs for detector co</li> <li>Deliver initial GPS-denied sensor hardware prototype and asso</li> </ul>	rs (MLGCs) and eliminate defect formers identified during llector lenses in addition to new VCSEL emitters.	ation.			
FY 2018 Plans: - Deliver initial GPS-denied sensor hardware prototype and asso	•				
Title: Warhead and Penetration Technology			3.214	3.063	3.26
<b>Description:</b> This focus area supports the development of new w processing and characterization, instrumentation, and computation					

UNCLASSIFIED					
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of the Secretary Of Defense  Date: May 2017					
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3  R-1 Program Element (Number/Nam PE 0603225D8Z / Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Technology Development		me) Project (Number/Name) P225 I Joint DOD/DOE Mun			าร
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
directly attributed to our ability to understand and accurately more advances in increasingly sophisticated material processing. The effects with minimum collateral damage is supported by work of multiphase blast explosives (MBX). More recently, increases in through improved warhead integration into munitions using a system.	ne Department's requirement to achieve more precise weapon n controlled fragmentation, non-fragmenting warhead cases n performance and reductions in vulnerability are being achie	n , and			
The goals for penetrator weapons are to investigate, develop, a and performance assessment of the next generation of high penational initiatives to defeat hard and deeply buried targets, who f mass destruction. The work addresses high-velocity penetral advanced high-strength and ultra-high-performance concretes, instrumentation.	rformance, precision strike weapons. This effort directly supich are proliferating worldwide, and to deny/defeat weapons ation into granular materials (sand and soil), penetration into	ports			
The specific projects in the warhead and penetration technolog  - Multiphase blast munitions (MBX) technology.  - Dynamic behavior of concrete. (New start in FY16)  - Integrated munitions modeling & experimentation.  - Modeling of strategic structures subject to ballistic impact or behavior.  - Concrete perforation and penetration modeling and experime.  - Explosive/metal interactions.  - Structure, mechanical & shock-loading response, and modelice.  - Controlled effects warhead materials.	plast. ents.				
FY 2016 Accomplishments: - Developed ALE3D version of multiphase model with improve simulations Microstructural and constitutive property comparison of wrougalloys was conducted.		ınd			
<ul> <li>Investigated powder bed printing parameters and identified structural energetics.</li> <li>Completed oblique HE-driven shock hardening &amp; damage misamples to quantify the joint effects of obliquity and curvature.</li> <li>Conducted plate penetration experiments using Taylor-Anvilleronducted sphere extrusion testing on "Nano-crystalline" Conducted sphere extrusion testing on "Nano-crystalline"</li> </ul>	crostructural quantification on Tantalum on flat and curved p				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of	Date: M	lay 2017			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603225D8Z I Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Technology Development		Project (Number/Name) P225 I Joint DOD/DOE Munitions		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
<ul> <li>Verified Tensile Plasticity (TEPLA) implementation into CartaE response to Lagrange code representation.</li> <li>Evaluated debris-free fragmentation modeling.</li> <li>Issued report on technology gaps for interface models.</li> <li>Completed validation tests of gyro sensor.</li> <li>Implemented improvements into CTH-MPM-Multi-field model f</li> <li>Completed probabilistic studies of projectile penetration/perfor</li> <li>Completed transition of Peridynamics technology to Sierra/SM</li> </ul>	or penetration. ation.				
FY 2017 Plans:  - ALE3D version of MBX model with validated key particulate plathrough use of experiments.  - Exercise ALE3D MBX capability to interact with complex (failing Quasi-static and dynamic characterization of lattice structures.  - Direct numerical simulations of architected structures under dy Development of constitutive models for Additive Manufactured.  - Design, print, and infill suitable architectures for dynamic complete oblique HE driven shock hardening and damage midels.  - Complete oblique HE driven shock hardening and damage midels.  - Utilize Dynamic Tensile-Extrusion experimental facility, diagnocomplete shear localization studies of relevant warhead material.  - Calculate the flat-plate oblique shock experiment performed on recovered sample metallography. Make observations about implemented configuration.  - Issue report on impact energy transfer across threaded interfals. Implement material failure models into CTH-Multipoint Method Implement improved user interface into the Peridynamics-Multipoint Method Implement improved user interface into the Peridynamics-Multipoint Method.	ng) targets.  (namic loading.  (AM) microstructures and homogenization for lattice structures oression.  crostructural characterization on Zirconium/Titanium and Co  stics, and modeling to support warhead material validation als.  In Tantalum and compare results with experimental data and provement needed to the material model and computational or and on Tantalum and account for improvements made vice the ces.  -Multi-field code.	pper/ and code.			
<ul> <li>Implement improved user interface into the Peridynamics-Mult</li> </ul>	iscale (PDMS) code.				
Title: Munitions Lifecycle Technologies		1.137	0.819	1.19	

UNCLASSIFIED						
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of the Secretary Of Defense			<b>Date:</b> May 2017			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603225D8Z I Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Technology Development	Project (Number/Name) P225 I Joint DOD/DOE Munitions		າຣ		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
<b>Description:</b> This focus area supports improving the Department's all and reliability problems caused by materials aging and degradation in typically focus on addressing materials aging and reliability problems future problems or failure mechanisms. The overall objective of this value are able to quantitatively predict materials aging processes and usystems, subassemblies, and/or components. These objectives are a rates at which those aging mechanisms occur, developing predictive stockpile reliability. An additional objective of this work is to develop management and condition-based maintenance.	n weapons systems. Current stockpile assessment me after they occur, rather than anticipating and avoiding work is to develop a toolset of computational models ultimately improve the long-term reliability of weapons achieved by identifying aging mechanisms, quantifying models, and using these models to predict the munition	thods the				
The specific projects in the munitions lifecycle technologies focus are - Predictive Materials Aging, including solder interconnect reliability, - Microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) reliability Military use of commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) electronics Complex system health assessment Physical/chemical reactive transport modeling of material/system as	corrosion of electronics, and adhesive degradation.					
<ul> <li>FY 2016 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Completed Package-on-Package on-Package (PoPoP) experiment</li> <li>Expanded the Package-on-Package PoP model to include PoPoP.</li> <li>Assessed flash layer approach to tin whisker mitigation.</li> <li>Generalized success model for use in other circuits.</li> <li>Validated the most promising tin whisker mitigation methods in actual Refined predictions of adhesive failure: napkin ring tests to identify cure, thermal, and/or dynamic loadings).</li> <li>Performed and reported results on principle component analysis (Package Released to the DoD early prototype of physics-based lifetime pred Developed methodology to compare sub-population characteristics</li> <li>Created software tools for integration Prognostics and Health Monit and strategies, software and documentation.</li> <li>Simulated 3D multi-material aging experiments and executed 3D value.</li> <li>Down selected DoD-relevant materials and worked through logistics.</li> </ul>	ual operating environments. additional parameters necessary for predictive model ( PCA) of data generated from MEMS Gyroscope testing. dictive model based on physics-of-failure (PoF) approacts and reliability toring (PHM) and System Assessment (SA) methodologalidation experiments, on DOE-relevant materials.	ch.				
FY 2017 Plans:	s to obtain samples for subsequent testing.					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of the Secretary Of Defense			Date: May 2017		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603225D8Z I Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Technology Development	Project (Number/Name) P225 / Joint DOD/DOE Munitions			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
<ul> <li>Transition tin whisker mitigation to commercial plating houses.</li> <li>Transition first principles (Dynamic recrystallinzation) tin whisker Demonstrate roll-up of bondpad &amp; connector corrosion models</li> <li>Validate predictions of adhesive degradation in humid environn</li> <li>Develop Accelerated Aging MEMS protocol/statistically-based in Experimentally characterize and model the physical-chemical acomplexity of mechanism.</li> <li>Intermediate delivery of compact lifetime predictive models to the Validate the most promising tin whisker mitigation methods in a</li> </ul>	sker mitigation methods to industry. els to predict system performance / reliability. comments in a unique geometry: smooth stainless steel sured model. el aging response of one to three DoD material(s), dependent of the DoD customer.	ces.			
FY 2018 Plans: - Validate predictions of adhesive degradation in humid environn	nents in a unique geometry: smooth stainless steel surface	es.			

**Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals** 

## C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

#### Remarks

## D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

#### E. Performance Metrics

- 1. Transition of technologies developed by the Joint DoD/DOE Munitions Technology Program are tracked and documented. In FY 2015 there were over 50 transitions to DoD weapons programs and personnel.
- 2. Attendance and technical interactions at the semiannual meetings of the eight Technology Coordinating Groups (TCGs) are tracked and documented.
- 3. Laboratory Five-Year Plans are prepared, evaluated, and analyzed by management and technical staff.
- 4. TCG Chairmen's Annual Assessments for each TCG are critically reviewed by the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) to determine progress, validate transition plans, and verify relevance of each project.
- 5. Project progress toward goals and milestones is assessed at each biannual TCG meeting and critically reviewed annually by the TAC.
- 6. Annual technical reports, papers, and presentations are tracked and documented.

17.256

18.662

18.129