Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: FY 2018 Office of the Secretary Of Defense

Appropriation/Budget Activity R-1 Program

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)
PE 0603161D8Z I Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Countering Nuclear Threats

**Date:** May 2017

,												
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018 Base	FY 2018 OCO	FY 2018 Total	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	180.297	31.149	28.498	32.937	-	32.937	36.085	34.702	37.624	36.641	Continuing	Continuing
P162: Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security	144.751	27.858	27.535	30.871	-	30.871	33.445	33.772	34.723	35.416	Continuing	Continuing
P041: CNT Prevention ADC&P	1.927	0.000	0.000	0.691	-	0.691	1.000	0.005	1.699	0.000	Continuing	Continuing
P040: National Technical Nuclear Forensics Systems	33.619	3.291	0.963	1.375	-	1.375	1.640	0.925	1.202	1.225	Continuing	Continuing

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This Program Element (PE) addresses the need to defend and deter against weapons of mass destruction (WMD) threats and to safeguard personnel; prevent unauthorized access to equipment, installations, material, and documents; and to safeguard the foregoing against espionage, sabotage, damage, and theft. This program oversees advanced engineering development throughout DoD for an integrated and systemic RDT&E approach for countering nuclear threats and nuclear and conventional physical security technology and systems. The funding has been centralized in this Defense-wide PE since the early 1990s and represents a substantial portion of all DoD physical security RDT&E funding. Priorities for this PE RDT&E efforts are driven by inputs from Quadrennial Defense Review guidance, Combatant Command and Service requirements, analysis reports such as "Protecting the Force: Lessons from Fort Hood," January 2010, the Integrated Unit, Base, and Installation Protection Cost Benefits Analysis, Multi-national Work Plans established through the Nuclear Security Summit process, and DoD Directive 5210.41, Security Policy for Protecting Nuclear Weapons-directed requirements and associated security deviation reports.

Under this integrated approach, funds are used to provide advanced component development and prototypes for the Department in seven capability areas: (1) Detection and Assessment; (2) Access Controls; (3) Installation and Transport Security; (4) Storage and Safeguards; (5) Prevention; (6) Decision Support Systems; and (7) Analytical Support. This program will evaluate integrated technologies, representative modes or prototype systems in a high fidelity and realistic operating environment. The projects under the Program Element either (a) lead to Programs of Record which can transition to Program Element 0604161D8Z for systems development and demonstration (SDD); (b) become technology insertions into existing programs; or (c) advance to being a certified Commercial/Government off-the-shelf product. The PE initiatives are coordinated by the Physical Security Enterprise and Analysis Group. This group is responsible for avoiding duplication of effort and when applicable ensure systems integration and promote interoperability and sustainability.

This PE can fund travel to support the requirements of this program.

This appropriation will finance work, including manpower, performed by a government agency or by private individuals or organizations under a contractual or grant arrangement with the government who conduct research (systematic study directed toward fuller scientific knowledge or understanding of the subject studied), development (systematic use of the knowledge and understanding gained from research, for the production of useful materials, devices, systems, or methods, including the design and development of prototypes and processes) and test and evaluation efforts.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: FY 2018 Office of the Secret	ary Of Defense	Date: May 2017
Appropriation/Budget Activity	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)	
0400 December Development Test 0 Feetwaller Defense Mide IDA 4	DE 0000404D07   Novele en en el Ocurrentien el Diversie el C	No accept to the contract of t

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)

PE 0603161D8Z I Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Countering Nuclear Threats

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018 Base	FY 2018 OCO	FY 2018 Total
Previous President's Budget	31.648	28.498	33.677	-	33.677
Current President's Budget	31.149	28.498	32.937	-	32.937
Total Adjustments	-0.499	0.000	-0.740	-	-0.740
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
Congressional Rescissions	-	-			
Congressional Adds	-	-			
Congressional Directed Transfers	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.499	-			
Internal Directed Reduction	-	-	-0.030	-	-0.030
Internal Realignment	-	-	-0.490	-	-0.490
DTIC Offset	-	-	-0.220	-	-0.220

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of the Secretary Of Defense											
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4		PE 060316	31D8Z I Nuc nal Physical	t (Number/ clear and I Security/C	,	Project (Number/Name) P162 I Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security						
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018 Base	FY 2018 OCO	FY 2018 Total	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P162: Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security	144.751	27.858	27.535	30.871	-	30.871	33.445	33.772	34.723	35.416	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This Program Element (PE) addresses the need to defend and deter against weapons of mass destruction (WMD) threats and to safeguard personnel; prevent unauthorized access to equipment, installations, material, and documents; and to safeguard the foregoing against espionage, sabotage, damage, and theft. This program oversees advanced engineering development throughout DoD for an integrated and systemic RDT&E approach for countering nuclear threats and nuclear and conventional physical security equipment (PSE) technology and systems. The funding has been centralized in this Defense-wide PE since the early 1990s and represents a substantial portion of all DoD PSE RDT&E funding. Priorities for this PE RDT&E efforts are driven by inputs from Quadrennial Defense Review guidance, Combatant Command and Service requirements, analysis reports such as "Protecting the Force: Lessons from Fort Hood," January 2010, the Integrated Unit, Base, and Installation Protection Cost Benefits Analysis, Multi-national Work Plans established through the Nuclear Security Summit process, and DoD Directive 5210.41, Security Policy for Protecting Nuclear Weapons-directed requirements and associated security deviation reports.

Under this integrated approach, funds are used to provide PSE advanced component development and prototypes for the Department in seven capability areas: (1) Detection and Assessment; (2) Access Controls; (3) Installation and Transport Security; (4) Storage and Safeguards; (5) Prevention; (6) Decision Support Systems; and (7) Analytical Support. The projects under the Program Element either (a) lead to Programs of Record – which can transition to Program Element 0604161D8Z for systems development and demonstration (SDD); (b) become technology insertions into existing programs; or (c) advance to being a certified Commercial/Government off-the-shelf product. The PE initiatives are coordinated by the Security Policy Verification Committee and the Physical Security Equipment Action Group. These groups work together to avoid duplication of effort and when applicable ensure systems integration and promote interoperability and sustainability.

This PE can fund travel to support the requirements of this program.

This appropriation will finance work, including manpower, performed by a government agency or by private individuals or organizations under a contractual or grant arrangement with the government who conduct research (systematic study directed toward fuller scientific knowledge or understanding of the subject studied), development (systematic use of the knowledge and understanding gained from research, for the production of useful materials, devices, systems, or methods, including the design and development of prototypes and processes) and test and evaluation efforts.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Title: Detection and Assessment	9.787	15.225	17.839

	he Secretary Of Defense		Date: N	1ay 2017		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4		ect (Number/Name) I Nuclear and Conventional Physica rity				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
<ul> <li>Description: The ability to detect an adversary and assess their will design equipment to identify and warn of unauthorized access to the notification and identification of explosive threats or hazard</li> <li>FY 2016 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Developed a Joint detection and assessment capability</li> <li>Developed a multi-sensor detection and discrimination capability</li> <li>Compared dual energy X-Ray vehicle imaging systems</li> <li>Developed a radar processing dynamic structure filter to reduce</li> <li>Finalized development of the Joint Radiological Detection Systems</li> <li>SPAM Transition to Operational Initial Capability (STOIC)</li> <li>Stand-Off Weapon Defeat IPT</li> <li>Thermal Imaging Dual-use for Aerosol Monitoring Alarms and Standards</li> </ul>	s to a specified area or installation as well as equipment rel s. ty to reduce nuisance and false alarms nuisance and false alarms					
<ul> <li>FY 2017 Plans:</li> <li>Develop a Joint detection and assessment capability</li> <li>Develop a multi-sensor detection and discrimination capability</li> <li>Compare dual energy X-Ray vehicle imaging systems</li> <li>Develop a radar processing dynamic structure filter to reduce no</li> </ul>	to reduce nuisance and false alarms					
FY 2018 Plans:  • Develop a Joint detection and assessment capability  • Develop a multi-sensor detection and discrimination capability  • Compare dual energy X-Ray vehicle imaging systems  • Develop a radar processing dynamic structure filter to reduce no	to reduce nuisance and false alarms					
Title: Access Controls			-	2.855	5.554	
<b>Description:</b> Controlling access to safeguard personnel and thei infrastructure and materials is paramount. This capability area w		and				
verification of individuals entering or already within a facility.						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of	the Secretary Of Defense	Date: N	/lay 2017				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4		vject (Number/Name) 62 / Nuclear and Conventional Physic curity					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018			
<ul> <li>Continue to develop a continuous evaluation capability to be a longer meet the criteria for retaining a clearance and have beco</li> </ul>		0					
FY 2018 Plans:  • Continue to develop a continuous evaluation capability to be a longer meet the criteria for retaining a clearance and have beco		o					
Title: Installation and Transport Security		8.820	7.509	0.39			
<b>Description:</b> Robust installation and transport security are vital unauthorized access to key assets such as nuclear weapons are programs and equipment intended to improve the physical securin-transit.	nd special nuclear material. This capability area will focus on	while					
FY 2016 Accomplishments:  • Determined the Operational suitability of an Automated Harbon  • Developed an enterprise Installation Decision Support Initiative support in a secure, web-enabled architecture to be hosted on the	e application providing risk analysis and risk mitigation decisio	n					
FY 2017 Plans:  • Determine the Operational suitability of an Automated Harbor  • Develop an enterprise Installation Decision Support Initiative a support in a secure, web-enabled architecture to be hosted on the secure of the	pplication providing risk analysis and risk mitigation decision						
FY 2018 Plans:  • Determine the Operational suitability of an Automated Harbor  • Conduct a concept demonstration in an operational environment and integrated across land, rail and waterside operating areas to	ent comprised of equipment, technologies and systems deploy	ed					
Title: Storage and Safeguards		-	-	0.00			
<b>Description:</b> Properly securing critical assets to prevent access ensure access is limited to authorized persons is the foundation (e.g., locks, doors, etc.) designed to delay or stop unauthorized	of physical security. This capability area will focus on equipm						
		1					

**UNCLASSIFIED** 

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of the	e Secretary Of Defense	Date: N	1ay 2017				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603161D8Z I Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Countering Nuclear Threats		roject (Number/Name) 162 I Nuclear and Conventional Pl Security				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018			
No efforts currently planned.							
Title: Prevention		-	-	2.56			
<b>Description:</b> The security procedures taken to discourage an adversal unauthorized access to critical assets are at the heart of prevention efforts which have the ability to influence multiple areas.							
FY 2018 Plans:  • Utilize Electronic Warfare / Directed Energy system capabilities for	or feasibility testing against Personal Water Craft threats						
Title: Decision Support Systems		4.836	1.946	3.12			
<b>Description:</b> Decision support systems serve the management, open enterprise to help to make decisions, which may be rapidly changir focus on command and control equipment and projects related to t and the establishment of common architectures / interface standard	ng and not easily specified in advance. This capability area he creation and enhancement of common operating pictur	a will					
FY 2016 Accomplishments:  • Developed a shared and automated content across the security described accurate personnel vetting, access controls, insider threat prevential developed a risk analysis tool to help commanders' in the field management.	on and enhanced security operating environments						
FY 2017 Plans:  • Finalize the development of a shared and automated content acroefficient and accurate personnel vetting, access controls, insider the Finalize the risk analysis tool to help commanders' in the field ma	reat prevention and enhanced security operating environment						
<ul> <li>FY 2018 Plans:</li> <li>Use modeling and simulation to characterize a High Value Unit es</li> <li>Provide a secure communication system for responding forces th systems</li> </ul>							
• Provide a rapid replay or reconstruct system and operator activity							

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of the Secretary 0	Date: May 2017		
Appropriation/Budget Activity	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)	Project (N	umber/Name)
0400 / 4	PE 0603161D8Z I Nuclear and	P162 / Nuc	clear and Conventional Physical
	Conventional Physical Security/Countering	Security	
	Nuclear Threats		

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
<b>Description:</b> This capability area will focus on studies related to physical security topics and operational and management efforts related to day-to-day activities of the DoD Physical Security Equipment/Countering Nuclear Threats RDT&E Program.			
FY 2016 Accomplishments:  Conducted a waterside security stakeholder Table Top Exercise to confirm set of alternatives and select the preferred alternative. Continued to support global nuclear security and support the US Government for the Nuclear Security Summit.			
<ul> <li>FY 2018 Plans:</li> <li>Provide the support necessary to coordinate PSEAG efforts with the Military Services and Agencies, as they relate to the Test &amp; Evaluation of Physical Security Equipment technology for applications within the DOD</li> <li>Provide support to the Services to address physical security RDT&amp;E needs</li> </ul>			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	27.858	27.535	30.871

# C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

**Remarks** 

# D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

## **E. Performance Metrics**

The program performance metrics are established/approved through the DoD Physical Security Enterprise and Analysis Group (PSEAG). The cost, schedule and technical progress is reviewed at quarterly PSEAG meetings. Performance variances are addressed and corrective action(s) is(are) implemented as necessary.

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: FY 2018 Office of the Secretary Of Defense

Appropriation/Budget Activity

0400 / 4

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)

PE 0603161D8Z I Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Countering

Nuclear Threats

Project (Number/Name)

P162 I Nuclear and Conventional Physical

**Date:** May 2017

Security

Product Developmen	roduct Development (\$ in Millions)			FY 2	2016	FY 2	017	FY 2018 Base		1	2018 CO				
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Prior Years - Closed Out Efforts	Various	Various : Various	122.076	-		-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	-
Defense Security Enterprise Architecture	Various	Multiple performers : Multiple locations	3.024	1.450		0.999		-		-		-	-	-	-
Keystone EUCOM Project	Various	Multiple Performers : Multiple Locations	2.804	1.845		1.977		-		-		-	-	-	-
Joint Risk Decision Support Tool	MIPR	AF Civil Engineering Center : Tyndall AFB, FL	2.071	1.800		1.524		-		-		-	-	-	-
Foliage Penetrating Technology Evaluation	MIPR	Naval Surface Warfare Crane : Crane, Indiana	0.504	-		-		2.700		-		2.700	-	-	-
Radar Assisted Area Protection	MIPR	US Army ARDEC : Picatinny Arsenal, NJ	3.979	2.500		-		-		-		-	-	-	-
Automated Harbor Barrier Gate - Operational Suitability	MIPR	CTTSO - Navy Systems Mgmt : JBAB, DC	1.000	1.250		-		-		-		-	-	-	-
Detection & Assessment Follow-on	Various	Multiple Vendors : Multiple Locations	1.500	2.054		2.000		-		-		-	-	-	-
Maritime Expeditionary & Transit Security	MIPR	ARO : Research Triangle Park, NC	0.760	1.255		1.455		-		-		-	-	-	-
US Navy Spike Weapon System, Common Launch Tube	MIPR	NAVAIRWARCENWP China Lake, CA	NDIV : 1.000	1.555		0.984		-		-		-	-	-	-
Thermal Imaging Dual- use for Aerosol Monitoring Alarms and Security	MIPR	ECBC : Aberdeen Proving Ground	0.700	1.678		1.788		-		-		-	-	-	-
Multi-sensor Detection and Discrimination	MIPR	Naval Research Laboratory : Washington, DC	0.590	0.650		0.873		0.400		-		0.400	-	-	-
Tactical Security System	MIPR	Multiple Performers : Multiple Locations	-	-		2.850		-		-		-	-	-	-

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: FY 2018 Office of the Secretary Of Defense

Appropriation/Budget Activity R-1 Pr

0400 / 4

**R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)** PE 0603161D8Z *I Nuclear and* 

Conventional Physical Security/Countering Nuclear Threats Security

Project (Number/Name)

P162 I Nuclear and Conventional Physical

**Date:** May 2017

FY 2018 FY 2018 FY 2018 **Product Development (\$ in Millions) FY 2016** FY 2017 Base oco Total Contract Target Method Performing Prior Award Award Award Award Cost To Total Value of **Cost Category Item** & Type **Activity & Location** Years Cost Date Date Cost Date Cost Date Complete Cost Contract Cost Cost Mobile Integrated US Army ARDEC: **Expeditionary Vehicle** MIPR 2.100 1.150 1.150 Picatinny Arsenal, NJ Inspection Station Engineer Research Linear Sensor System for and Development **MIPR** 1.750 1.097 1.097 Multi-Threat Detection Center: Vicksburgs. MS PI 1N/PI 1 Portable AFI CMC : Hanscom **MIPR** 1.100 1.500 1.500 Intrusion Detection System AFB. MA JIGSAW Enhanced SPAWAR Atl: Capability Suite MIPR 0.800 Charleston, SC **Technology Development** GreyNet - Secure Communications with SPAWAR Atlantic: **MIPR** 1.450 1.732 1.732 Persistent Identification/ Charleston, SC Blue Force Tracking Wide Area Detection AFLCMC: Hanscom **MIPR** 0.800 AFB MA Systems SPAWAR Atlantic: Radar Detection of UAVs **MIPR** 0.700 Charleston, SC Navy - Strategic System Programs: MIPR **HVU Self Escort M&S** 0.275 0.275 Washington Navy Yard. DC Force Protection Pre-shot **TBD** 1.918 1.918 Continuing Continuing TBD: TBD **Sniper Detection Capability** Harbor and Restricted NUWC NWPT: Waterway Counter-UUV/ MIPR 0.975 0.975 Continuing Continuing Newport, RI **AUV System** WISP 20 **TBD** TBD: TBD 1.949 1.949 Continuing Continuing Joint UAS Defeat Project TBD: TBD 0.846 0.846 Continuing Continuing **TBD** 

PE 0603161D8Z: *Nuclear and Conventional Physical Securi...*Office of the Secretary Of Defense

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 9 of 17

R-1 Line #69

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: FY 2018 Office of the Secretary Of Defense

Appropriation/Budget Activity R-1 Prog

0400 / 4

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)
PE 0603161D8Z / Nuclear and

Conventional Physical Security/Countering Nuclear Threats

Project (Number/Name)

P162 I Nuclear and Conventional Physical

**Date:** May 2017

Security

Product Developmen	nt (\$ in Mi	illions)		FY 2	016	FY 2	017	FY 2 Ba		FY 2	2018 CO	FY 2018 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Defense Installation Access Control	TBD	TBD : TBD	0.345	-		-		3.000		-		3.000	Continuing	Continuing	-
Trace Explosive Detection System Improvement	MIPR	NSWC IHEODTD : Indian Head, MD	-	-		-		0.531		-		0.531	Continuing	Continuing	-
Gatekeeper on the Move - Biometrics	TBD	TBD : TBD	-	-		-		1.497		-		1.497	Continuing	Continuing	-
Counter Personal Water Craft - Naval Experiment	MIPR	NSWC Dahlgren : Dahlgren, VA	-	-		-		0.561		-		0.561	Continuing	Continuing	-
Physical Security Enterprise Program	Various	Multiple Performers : Multiple Locations	-	8.441		2.450		3.033		-		3.033	-	-	-
Defense Security CBRN Information Sharing	Various	ARDEC : Picatinny Arsenal, NJ	-	-		-		2.245		-		2.245	Continuing	Continuing	-
		Subtotal	140.353	24.478		25.600		25.409		-		25.409	-	-	-

Support (\$ in Millions)		FY 2016		FY 2017		FY 2018 Base		FY 2018 OCO		FY 2018 Total					
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
World Institute for Nuclear Security	MIPR	Defense Threat Reduction Agency : Ft Belvoir, VA	0.650	0.350		0.350		-		-		-	-	-	-
International Atomic Energy Agency Support	IA	Department of State : Washington, DC	0.500	0.300		-		-		-		-	-	-	-
Physical Security Subject Matter Experts	MIPR	Naval Sea Systems Command : Washington Navy Yard, DC	0.320	0.250		0.250		0.135		-		0.135	-	-	-
Nuclear Security Subject Matter Experts	Various	*** PERFORMING ACTIVITY *** : *** LOCATION ***	-	-		-		0.150		-		0.150	Continuing	Continuing	-

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: FY 2018 Office of the Secretary Of Defense

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)

Project (Number/Name)

Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4

PE 0603161D8Z I Nuclear and

Conventional Physical Security/Countering

P162 I Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security

**Date:** May 2017

Nuclear Threats

Support (\$ in Millions)			FY 2016		FY 2017		FY 2018 Base		FY 2018 OCO		FY 2018 Total				
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Autonomous Defense Accelerator	MIPR	Army Research Lab : Adelphi, MD	-	-		-		0.200		-		0.200	Continuing	Continuing	-
PSEAG Support	MIPR	Army Research Lab : Adelphi, MD	-	-		-		0.536		-		0.536	Continuing	Continuing	-
Texas Engineering Experiment Station	Option/ T&M	Texas A&M University : Texas	-	-		-		0.249		-		0.249	Continuing	Continuing	-
Contingency Response Tool	SS/FFP	Cubic Global Defense : San Diego, CA	-	-		-		0.886		-		0.886	Continuing	Continuing	-
PSEAG Website and PSEAG SharePoint	MIPR	Army Research Lab : Adelphi, MD	0.266	-		-		0.206		-		0.206	Continuing	Continuing	-
		Subtotal	1.736	0.900		0.600		2.362		-		2.362	-	-	-

Test and Evaluation (\$ in Millions)			FY 2016		FY 2017		FY 2018 Base		FY 2018 OCO		FY 2018 Total				
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Citadel Protect	Various	Various : Various	-	-		-		0.140		-		0.140	Continuing	Continuing	-
COTS Indoor Detection System	MIPR	SPAWAR : Charlston, SC	-	-		-		0.473		-		0.473	Continuing	Continuing	-
Development, Test and Evaluation of System Operations Audit and Recording	MIPR	SPAWAR : Charlston, SC	-	-		-		0.591		-		0.591	Continuing	Continuing	-
Comparative Evaluation of Man-Portable Mass Spectrometry Explosive Detection Systems T&E	MIPR	NAVEODTECH : Indian Head, MD	-	-		-		0.918		-		0.918	Continuing	Continuing	-
Comparative Colorimetric T&E	MIPR	NAVEODTECH : Indian Head, MD	-	-		-		0.978		-		0.978	Continuing	Continuing	-
		Subtotal	-	-		-		3.100		-		3.100	-	-	-

Management Services (\$ in Millions)		illions)		FY 2016		FY 2017		FY 2018 Base		FY 2018 OCO		FY 2018 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Prior Years - Completed Efforts	Various	*** PERFORMING ACTIVITY *** : *** LOCATION ***	0.507	-		-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	-
Detection & Assessment IPT	MIPR	AF Security Forces Center : Lackland AFB, TX	0.450	0.350		0.350		-		-		-	-	-	-
DoD Nuclear Weapons Complex Critical Infrastructure Analysis	MIPR	Naval Sea Systems Command : Washington Navy Yard, DC	0.255	0.455		-		-		-		-	-	-	-
Explosive Detection Equipment Guide	MIPR	NAVEODTECH: Indian Head, MD	0.700	0.850		0.985		-		-		-	-	-	-
JASON Study	MIPR	Defense Threat Reduction Agency : Ft Belvoir, VA	0.500	0.525		-		-		-		-	-	-	-
Monterey Institute of International Studies	MIPR	Defense Threat Reduction Agency : Ft Belvoir, VA	0.250	0.300		-		-		-		-	-	-	-
		Subtotal	2.662	2.480		1.335		-		-		-	-	-	-
		[													Target

_												
												Target
	Prior					FY 2018	FY	2018	FY 2018	Cost To	Total	Value of
	Years	FY 2	2016	FY 2	2017	Base	0	co	Total	Complete	Cost	Contract
Project Cost Totals	144.751	27.858		27.535		30.871	_		30.871	_	_	_

Remarks

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: FY 2018 Office of the Secretary Of DefenseDate: May 2017Appropriation/Budget Activity<br/>0400 / 4R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)<br/>PE 0603161D8Z / Nuclear and<br/>Conventional Physical Security/Countering<br/>Nuclear ThreatsProject (Number/Name)<br/>P162 / Nuclear and Conventional Physical<br/>Security



# **PSEAG REQUIREMENTS PROCESS**



**Physical** Performer Capability Gap **PSEAG** DASD(NM) Security **Execution &** Assessment Chairman Requirements **PM Oversight**  Presidential · Identify gaps Harmonize · Final Review · Approve Program Directives amongst peers Prioritize · Present Final · SECDEF, AT&L, Technical Draft to DASD Review NM Guidance Eliminate Service Duplications Priorities · Harmonize the · COCOM Input Inputs

Assistant Secretary of Defense for Nuclear, Chemical and Biological Defense Programs

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: FY 2018 Office of the Secretary Of D	Date: May 2017		
Appropriation/Budget Activity	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)	Project (N	umber/Name)
0400 / 4	PE 0603161D8Z I Nuclear and	P162 / Nuc	clear and Conventional Physical
	Conventional Physical Security/Countering	Security	

# Schedule Details

	St	End		
Events by Sub Project	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
Detection & Assessment				
Detection & Assessment	1	2012	4	2022
Decision Support				
Decision Support	1	2012	4	2022
Storage & Safeguards				
Storage & Safeguards	1	2012	4	2022
Installation & Transport Security				
Installation & Transport Security	1	2012	4	2022
Prevention				
Prevention	1	2012	4	2022
Access Control				
Access Control	1	2012	4	2022
Analytical Support				
Analytical Support	1	2012	4	2022
	I .			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of the Secretary Of Defense										Date: May 2017			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4						61D8Z <i>I Nuc</i>	t (Number/ clear and Security/Co	•	Project (Number/Name) P041 / CNT Prevention ADC&P				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018 Base	FY 2018 OCO	FY 2018 Total	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
P041: CNT Prevention ADC&P	1.927	0.000	0.000	0.691	-	0.691	1.000	0.005	1.699	0.000	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			

# A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Establish a Defense-wide Countering Nuclear Threats (CNT) Materiel Development Program focused on prevention. Addresses capability gaps identified by Services, Combatant Commands, and Joint Staff. The CNT acquisition strategy directly applies to Joint requirements for CNT materiel development and addresses the materiel and sustainment gaps for general purpose Joint Forces including the US Army 20th Support Command / Navy Visit, Board, Search, and Seizure / Technical Support Groups (NIMBLE ELDER and the US Special Operations Command).

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Title: Countering Nuclear Threats	-	-	0.691
<b>Description:</b> Establish a Defense-wide Countering Nuclear Threats (CNT) Materiel Development Program in FY14 based on capability gaps identified by Services, Combatant Commands, and Joint Staff. The CNT acquisition strategy directly applies to Joint requirements for CNT materiel development and addresses the materiel and sustainment gaps for general purpose Joint Forces including the US Army 20th Support Command / Navy Visit, Board, Search, and Seizure / Technical Support Groups (NIMBLE ELDER and the US Special Operations Command).			
FY 2018 Plans:			
Develop an active prevention capability to counter nuclear threats			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	-	_	0.691

## C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

Remarks

## D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

### **E. Performance Metrics**

The program performance metrics are established/approved through the Countering Nuclear Threats Program Manager. The cost, schedule and technical progress is reviewed on a quarterly basis. Performance variances are addressed and corrective action(s) is(are) implemented as necessary.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of the Secretary Of Defense									Date: May 2017				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4					PE 060316	am Elemen 61D8Z I Nuc nal Physical nreats	clear and	,	Project (Number/Name) P040 / National Technical Nuclear Forensics Systems				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018 Base	FY 2018 OCO	FY 2018 Total	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
P040: National Technical Nuclear Forensics Systems	33.619	3.291	0.963	1.375	-	1.375	1.640	0.925	1.202	1.225	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			

## A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Nuclear forensics is the thorough collection, analysis and evaluation of radiological and nuclear material in a pre-detonation state and post-detonation radiological or nuclear materials, devices and debris, as well as the immediate effects created by a nuclear detonation. The ability to identify the source of nuclear material from radioactive debris is critical to our national defense and security. Swift and accurate forensic and attribution (identification) capabilities are vital to developing an appropriate national response to a nuclear event and preventing future attacks in a timely manner.

Nuclear terrorism is one of the most significant and pressing threats identified by national leadership. A credible nuclear forensics program is essential to preventing nuclear terrorism by deterring nations from sponsoring nuclear terrorism. During the Deputy Management Advisory Group process shortfalls and resources to close these gaps were identified and supported by the Deputy Secretary of Defense. The purpose of this program is to develop systems such as ground based prompt diagnostic systems and airborne sample collection systems to provide timely and accurate information to national leadership in the area of nuclear forensics.

Per DoDD 2060.04 OSD AT&L NCB provides guidance and direction for the implementation of the Department of Defense National Technical Nuclear Forensics program. NCB represents DoD interests in all areas of nuclear forensics but emphasizes post-detonation applications due to Presidential guidance assigning the department the lead role in develop, providing, and maintaining post-detonation nuclear forensics capability.

This PE can fund travel to support the requirements of this program.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Title: National Technical Nuclear Forensics Systems	3.29	0.963	1.375	
<b>Description:</b> Advanced development of ground based prompt diagnostic and airborne collection systems. T provide new information that increases accuracy and provides an improved timeline in support of senior leader making.				
FY 2016 Accomplishments:  • Completed installation of prototype prompt diagnostics systems in Metropolitan Areas B & C. Develop and prompt diagnostics systems for testbed use. Continue testing and operational support and integration of prototype prompt diagnostic systems and install in one additional city.				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: FY 2018 Office of the Secretary Off	Of Defense		Date: May 2017
0400 / 4	PE 0603161D8Z / Nuclear and	• `	umber/Name) ional Technical Nuclear Forensics

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
• Procured platform-specific mounting systems to enable operation of Harvester PACS on DCR-designated platform. Continued research for modular air sample collection systems to support National Technical Nuclear Forensics and augment treaty verification capabilities.			
FY 2017 Plans:  • Transition operational support and integration of ground-based prompt diagnostic systems to the Air Force for strategic implementation in key metropolitan areas.			
• Continue Harvester PACS operational support of a modular particulate air sampling capability that augments the Department of Defense mobile nuclear air sampling capability to support collection requirements for treaty verification and National Technical Nuclear Forensics.			
<ul> <li>FY 2018 Plans:</li> <li>Continue Harvester PACS operational support of a modular particulate air sampling capability that augments the Department of Defense mobile nuclear air sampling capability to support collection requirements for treaty verification and National Technical Nuclear Forensics.</li> </ul>			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	3.291	0.963	1.375

## C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

## **Remarks**

# D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

#### **E. Performance Metrics**

The program performance metrics are established/approved through the Countering Nuclear Threats Program Manager. The cost, schedule and technical progress is reviewed on a quarterly basis. Performance variances are addressed and corrective action(s) is(are) implemented as necessary. This is new program focusing on advanced development to meet critical needs.