Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2016 Navy

Appropriation/Budget Activity

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)

1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy I BA 6: RDT&E

Management Support

PE 0605853N / Management, Technical & Intl Supt

Date: February 2015

<u> </u>				,								
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	0.000	81.919	87.038	78.143	-	78.143	106.144	105.268	101.754	103.764	Continuing	Continuing
0149: International Coop RDT&E	0.000	4.288	3.608	2.584	-	2.584	3.818	3.758	3.520	3.642	Continuing	Continuing
1767: Naval War Col Strategic Studies Supt	0.000	5.313	4.762	4.386	-	4.386	4.459	4.578	4.696	4.794	Continuing	Continuing
2098: Navy Postgraduate School (NPS) Studies Support	0.000	13.757	5.000	8.924	-	8.924	13.846	13.230	12.000	12.000	Continuing	Continuing
2221.: JT Mission Assessment Studies	0.000	22.500	24.880	17.063	-	17.063	28.335	28.120	26.802	27.350	Continuing	Continuing
2801: Anti-Tamper	0.000	-	1.374	1.374	-	1.374	1.374	1.374	1.374	1.401	Continuing	Continuing
3025: Mid-Range Financial Improvement Plans	0.000	0.819	0.717	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.536
3027: Defense Critical Infrastructure Program	0.000	-	-	5.800	-	5.800	6.170	6.603	7.107	7.100	Continuing	Continuing
3039: CHENG	0.000	18.186	13.241	10.827	-	10.827	16.002	15.724	14.904	15.215	Continuing	Continuing
3330: Naval Research Laboratory (NRL) Facilities Modernization	0.000	2.392	1.611	13.849	-	13.849	16.429	16.449	16.207	16.801	Continuing	Continuing
3363: PACOM Initiative	0.000	7.664	7.945	13.336	-	13.336	15.711	15.432	15.144	15.461	Continuing	Continuing
3381: JIE Initiiative	0.000	-	8.900	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8.900
9999: Congressional Adds	0.000	7.000	15.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22.000

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

International Cooperative RDT&E: provide program management, execution, and support to implement a broad range of cooperative Naval Research and Development, Test and Evaluation initiatives to improve coalition interoperability, harmonize US Navy requirements with allied and friendly nations, and identify cooperative international opportunities, and improve coalition interoperability. In addition, it develops coherent approaches, coordinating with partner nations, to sea-based missile defense, command, control, communications, computers and intelligence (C4I), and cooperative acquisition programs while also identifying technology to support the Global Maritime Partnership initiative.

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt

Navy

Page 1 of 65

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2016 Navy

Appropriation/Budget Activity

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)

1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy I BA 6: RDT&E Management Support

PE 0605853N I Management, Technical & Intl Supt

Naval War College Strategic Studies Support: Provides research, analysis and gaming activities which serve as a focal point, stimulus, and major source of strategic and operational thought within the Navy, joint and interagency communities. These efforts generate strategic and operational alternatives, quantitative analysis, war gaming and political military assessments, and provide recommendations regarding the formulation and execution of maritime options. The War Gaming Department plans, designs, executes, analyzes and reports on the Navy's Title 10 war games. These war games provide analytical input to the Navy's Strategic Plan, assessments of future concepts, and recommendations to the Navy's Quadrennial Defense Review, force design, and strategy process. The War Gaming Department also designs, executes and analyzes war games for theater security cooperation plans and operational war fighting issues.

Assessment Program: The Navy Assessment Program provides capability-based planning assessment for Joint Capabilities Integration and Development System (JCIDS), conducts analysis to affect war fighting capability trades and enterprise resources, identifies needs, gaps, and overlaps, and assesses alternative solutions to Joint needs. The program supports both the development and use of modeling, simulation and analytically-based warfare and provides business analyses and analytic tools that provide the basis for decision making with respect to concepts of operations (CONOPS), Command, Control, Communications, Computers, Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance (C4ISR) Systems (Information Dominance); warfare systems (Sea Strike, Sea Shield, and Sea Basing) and analytical underpinnings/ basis for programmatic decisions of the Navy's top leadership regarding their architectures, force structure, and the Navy's core "organize, train, and equip mission" (the warfare and provider Enterprises). The program provides overarching Planning, Programming, Budgeting and Execution System (PPBES) analyses and guidance for PPBES which provides gap analysis and investment strategy and total obligation authority allocation. It provides independent capability analysis and assists in structuring follow-on Navy analyses. The program coordinates Navy's position for the enhanced planning process and conducts net assessments. It serves as the lead campaign analysis to approve Navy warfare and support requirements. The program supports "A Cooperative Strategy for 21st Century Seapower 21" as modified by the Maritime Strategy which charts a course for the Navy, Coast Guard and Marine Corps to work collectively with each other and international partners to prevent crises from occurring or reacting quickly should one occur to avoid negative impact to the United States. It serves as an independent assessor providing a broad-view perspective across the Navy staff apart from resource sponsors, with an integrated look at both war fighting and war fighting support programs. The program supports the world class modeling efforts to attain a level of Modeling and Simulation (M&S) capability that is world class and establishes the Navy as a leader in the Department of Defense (DoD) M&S community. It provides Navy alternatives in assessing the implications embedded within resource decisions in a quantified context of costs versus capability versus risk. The program provides independent analytic support to Navy leadership in conjunction with various executive level decision forums. It develops tools and analytical methodologies that assist in evaluating Navy programs and provides technical leadership for the analysis functional area of Naval Modeling and Simulation.

Mid-Range Financial Improvement Plans: This project supports the Research Development Test & Evaluation, Navy (RDTEN) portion of the larger DoD and Navy-wide effort to implement the financial improvement plan. Funding is for the sustainment of clean and auditable statements for RDTEN.

Operations Integration Group: Classified

CHENG: Develops and implements architecture-based systems engineering processes, methods and tools that assure integrated and interoperable systems are delivered to the fleet. This project provides the mission-oriented technical basis for implementing capability-based acquisition management within the Navy to engineer and field Navy and Marine Corps combat systems, weapon systems, and command, control, communications, computers and intelligence (C4I) programs that must operate as family-of-systems (FoS) or system-of-systems (SoS). The focus of this project is on identifying the functions, relationships, and connections between

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt

Navy

Page 2 of 65

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2016 Navy

Appropriation/Budget Activity

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)

1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy I BA 6: RDT&E Management Support

PE 0605853N I Management, Technical & Intl Supt

systems at both the force and unit level and across warfare mission areas, and encompasses three key elements: Systems Engineering to provide the framework for making engineering decisions by war fighting capability at the FoS/SoS level and supports consistent engineering and investment decision-making across Navy and Marine Corps programs within capability-based acquisition portfolios. Naval Collaborative Engineering Environment development and implementation as a DON enterprise resource for Naval integration and interoperability information to enable collaboration and decision support among Fleet organizations, Program Executive Offices, Program Managers, Systems Commands, prime contractors, Resource/Warfare Sponsors and Comptroller organizations. Standards, Policies and Guidelines engineering and technical staff to implement DoN, Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) and Joint integration and interoperability and Anti-Tamper initiatives.

Naval Research Laboratory (NRL)Facilities Modernization: This program has been established to provide a systematic and planned approach to improve vital inhouse science and technology (S&T) laboratory facilities which are reaching or have reached critical stages of deterioration. The program includes restoration and modernization (R&M) initiatives for about 350,000 net square feet, where the average age of the buildings is 67 years old.

The Joint Information Environment (JIE) initiative provides the supporting IT capability framework comprised of shared information technology infrastructure, enterprise services, interoperability with coalition partners and a single security architecture that enables mission commanders to execute mission partnered operations. JIE provides the U.S. configuration controls necessary for enterprise capabilities. By utilizing a U.S enterprise-wide secure Identity and Access Management system, JIE ensures that authorized users at the right classification level gain access to only the data and services they are entitled. The continued development and refinement of a Joint Information Environment will provide for a significant improvement in data sharing within, and between, coalition maritime elements.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
Previous President's Budget	83.494	72.070	76.569	-	76.569
Current President's Budget	81.919	87.038	78.143	-	78.143
Total Adjustments	-1.575	14.968	1.574	-	1.574
 Congressional General Reductions 	-	-0.032			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 	-	-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 	-	15.000			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 	-	-			
 Reprogrammings 	-	-			
 SBIR/STTR Transfer 	-1.576	-			
 Program Adjustments 	-	-	12.530	-	12.530
 Rate/Misc Adjustments 	0.001	-	-10.956	-	-10.956

Congressional Add Details (\$ in Millions, and Includes General Reductions)

Project: 9999: Congressional Adds

Congressional Add: Printed Circuit Board Executive Agent

FY 2015
15.000

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt

Navy

Page 3 of 65

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2016 Navy		Date: February 201	15
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy I BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605853N / Management, Technical & Intl Supt		
Congressional Add Details (\$ in Millions, and Includes General Re	ductions)	FY 2014	FY 2015

Congressional Add Details (\$ in Millions, and includes General Reductions)		FY 2014	FY 2015
	Congressional Add Subtotals for Project: 9999	7.000	15.000
	Congressional Add Totals for all Projects	7.000	15.000

Change Summary Explanation

The FY 2016 funding request was reduced by -\$14.5 million to account for the availability of prior year execution balances.

PE 0605853N: *Management, Technical & Intl Supt* Navy

Page 4 of 65

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy								Date: Febr	uary 2015			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6					R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605853N / Management, Technical & 0149 / Interview Intl Supt					,		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
0149: International Coop RDT&E	-	4.288	3.608	2.584	-	2.584	3.818	3.758	3.520	3.642	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Provides program management, execution, and support to implement a broad range of cooperative naval Research and Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) initiatives to improve coalition interoperability, harmonize US Navy requirements with allied and friendly nations, identify cooperative international opportunities, and improve coalition interoperability. In addition, it develops coherent approaches in coordination with combatant commanders (COCOMs), and appropriate partner nations, to sea-based missile defense, command, control, communications, computers and intelligence (C4I), and cooperative acquisition programs while also identifying technology to support the Global Maritime Partnership Initiative.

The project scope supports the strategic shift to the Pacific Region with emphasis on Maritime Domain Awareness (MDA) and Coalition Interoperability. Relationships have been, and are being initiated with a greatly expanded and diverse group of maritime countries, particularly those with nascent and littoral navies. The project was restructured internally to both maintain ongoing international relationships and projects, while preparing to facilitate support for a global network of maritime nations under MDA and Coalition Interoperability requirements.

Ongoing cooperative RDT&E programs, projects and exchanges are pursued to identify cooperative acquisition programs, enhance OCO efforts and MDA development, fill capability gaps, improve US/coalition interoperability, and set standardization with international partners. Such efforts have resulted in:

- 1. Negotiating and developing approximately 57 international RDT&E Agreements annually with allied and friendly nations;
- 2. Executing approximately 300 Information Exchange Annexes (IEAs) with foreign partners;
- 3. Improving IEA information dissemination with allied and friendly countries and within Department of the Navy (DoN);
- 4. Coordinating Navy inputs to the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (OUSD) Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics (AT&L) Foreign Comparative Test (FCT) Program, and Coalition Warfare Program (CWT) as well as the DoN Technology Transfer Security Assistance Review Boards (TTSARB).
- 5. Represent the US Navy in Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) directed Armaments Cooperation Forums, including the Conference of NATO Armaments Directors' groups {NATO Naval Armaments Group (NNAG)}, and Senior National Representative-Maritime (SNR-M);
- 6. Funding of various international RDT&E support databases including Technical Project Officer (TPO), International Agreement Generators, Information/Data Exchange Agreements, and Project Agreements/Memorandums of Understanding;
- 7. Leading the Engineering and Scientist Exchange Program (ESEP).

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
Title: International Coop RDT&E	4.288	3.608	2.584	_	2.584
Articles:	-	-	-	-	-

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt

Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 5 of 65

LINCL ASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED					
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy					
	PE 0605853N / Management, Technical &		umber/Nan ernational Co		
s/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 201 Total
Maritime Theater Missile Defense (MTMD) Forum that obtained \$8.1M in R&D monies contributions. The multi-lateral forum (9 Maritime Partner countries and the US Navy) mational agreements (Battle Management Command, Control, Communications, gence (BMC4I); Distributed Engineering Plant; Open Architecture; and Modeling and the MTMD has a goal of an at-sea capability demonstration in 2015. In approximately 300 Information Exchange Agreements/Date Exchange Agreements (IEA/ 30 countries. In and support in placement of US Navy and partner nation engineers and scientists under Scientist Exchange Program (ESEP). In the US Navy participation in OUSD(AT&L)Coalition Warfare Program (CWP) selection in the program in the projects contribution to Maritime Domain and irregular warfare including Multi-Mission Aircraft (MMA), Broad Area Maritime in Submarine Littoral Weapons System, and Swimmer Engagement. Coordinate US Navy (F&L) International Cooperation Office. In itiated Strategy Dialogue with Australia, including Air and Expenditionary Warfare Working welopment of requirements and projects. Support NATO Naval Armaments Group (NNAG) ps on cooperative programs including harbor protection, electronic warfare, and Defense (AT) trials. In Expenditionary Warfare Working Group (EWWG). In Expenditionary Warfare Working Group (EWWG). In Expenditionary Warfare Working Group (CIWWG). In Expenditional Agreement Work. In Expenditional Agreement Work. In Expenditional Agreement Work. In Expenditional Agreement Work.					
nication and Information Warfare Working Group (CIWWG). ious Operations Working Group (AOWG) ernational Agreement Work.					

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt

from Partner Nation contributions. The multi-lateral forum (9 Maritime Partner countries and the US Navy)

UNCLASSIFIED Page 6 of 65

	ICLASSIFIED						
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy		Date: Febr	uary 2015				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605853N / Management, Technical & Intl Supt			umber/Nar rnational Co			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in	n Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	
entered into four international agreements (Battle Management Command, Cor Computers and Intelligence (BMC4I); Distributed Engineering Plant; Open Arch Simulation (M&S). The MTMD has a goal of an at-sea capability demonstration-Continue execution of approximately 300 Information Exchange Agreements/IDEA) with more than 30 countries. Continue execution and support in placement of US Navy and partner nation of OSD's Engineer and Scientist Exchange Program (ESEP). Continue to coordinate US Navy participation in OUSD(AT&L) Coalition Warfar processes to meet emerging military capability requirements. Fund various evolving potential cooperative exchanges and projects contributing Awareness (MDA) and irregular warfare including Multi-Mission Aircraft (MMA) Surveillance (BAMS), Submarine Littoral Weapons System, and Swimmer Eng Support to OUSD (AT&L) International Cooperation Office. Support new CNO-Initiated Strategy Dialogue with Australia, including Air and Groups for mutual development of requirements and projects. Support NATO and Five Power Groups on cooperative programs including harbor protection, and Five Power Groups on cooperative programs including harbor protection, and Five Power Groups on cooperative programs including harbor protection, and Five Power Groups on cooperative programs including harbor protection, and Five Power Groups on cooperative programs including harbor protection, and Five Power Groups on Cooperation Warfare Working Group (EWWG). Execution of Assured Precision Strike (APS) Study. Execution of Above Water Working Group (UWWG). Execution of Above Water Working Group (UWWG). Execution of Commnication and Information Warfare Working Group (CIWWG). Execution of Pre-International Agreement Work. Continue execution and support of NATO Maritime EW Trials/NATO Travel. FY 2016 Base Plans: Continue all efforts of FY15 Continue to support Maritime Theater Missile Defense (MTMD) Forum that ob Partner Nation contributions. The multi-lateral forum (9 Maritime Partner count into four in	hitecture; and Modeling and n in 2015. Date Exchange Agreements (IEA/ engineers and scientists under re Program (CWP) selection ion to Maritime Domain pagement. Coordinate US Navy Expenditionary Warfare Working Naval Armaments Group (NNAG) electronic warfare, and Defense stained \$8.1M in R&D monies from tries and the US Navy) entered immunications, Computers and						

UNCLASSIFIED

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt Navy Page 7 of 65 R-1 Line #158

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy		Date: February 2015
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605853N / Management, Technical & Intl Supt	Project (Number/Name) 0149 I International Coop RDT&E

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
-Continue execution of approximately 300 Information Exchange Agreements/Date Exchange Agreements (IEA/ DEA), with more than 30 countries. -Continue execution and support in placement of US Navy and partner nation engineers and scientists under OSD's Engineer and Scientist Exchange Program (ESEP). -Continue to coordinate US Navy participation in OUSD(AT&L)Coalition Warfare Program (CWP) selection processes to meet emerging military capability requirements. -Fund various evolving potential cooperative exchanges and projects contribution to Maritime Domain Awareness (MDA) and irregular warfare including Multi-Mission Aircraft (MMA), Broad Area Maritime Surveillance (BAMS), Submarine Littoral Weapons System, and Swimmer Engagement. Coordinate US Navy Support to OUSD (AT&L) International Cooperation Office. -Support new CNO-Initiated Strategy Dialogue with Australia, including Air and Expenditionary Warfare Working Groups for mutual development of requirements and projects. Support NATO Naval Armaments Group (NNAG) and Five Power Groups on cooperative programs including harbor protection, electronic warfare, and Defense Against Terrorism (DAT) trials. -Continue execution of Expenditionary Warfare Working Group (EWWG). -Execution of Assured Precision Strike (APS) Study. -Execution of Above Water Working Group(AWWG). -Execution of Commnication and Information Warfare Working Group (CIWWG). -Execution of Commnication and Information Warfare Working Group (CIWWG).	FY 2014	FY 2015	Base	OCO	Total
FY 2016 OCO Plans: N/A					
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	4.288	3.608	2.584		2.584

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

PE 0605853N: *Management, Technical & Intl Supt* Navy

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 8 of 65 R-1 Line #158

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy		,	Date: February 2015
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605853N I Management, Technical & Intl Supt	,	lumber/Name) ernational Coop RDT&E
E. Danfarmana and Matrice			

E. Performance Metrics

The Navy International Cooperative RDT&E project supports the implementation of many international cooperative program activities throughout the Department of the Navy (DoN) RDT&E communities. The project funds DoN participation in NATO and OSD lead Armaments Cooperation as well as DoN lead international cooperation that promotes coalition interoperability and set standards with international partners. The focused activities under this project maximize the DoN's efforts by leveraging international technologies and funding to fill capabilities gaps, gain access to foreign research and testing data, and avoid duplication of research and development efforts. The performance goals and metrics are, in cooperation with Maritime Partner nations, to set and harmonize requirements, utilize respective technologies, encourage financial contributions and facilities use, and support forums and work that reduce DoN funding requirements.

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt Navy

Page 9 of 65

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	ustification	: PB 2016 N	lavy							Date: Febr	ruary 2015	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6	n/Budget Activity R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605853N / Management, Technical & Intl Supt				, ,							
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
1767: Naval War Col Strategic Studies Supt	-	5.313	4.762	4.386	-	4.386	4.459	4.578	4.696	4.794	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

coomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)

Naval War College (NWC) research, analysis and gaming activities serve as a focal point, stimulus, and major source of strategic and operational thought within the Navy, Joint and Interagency communities. These efforts generate strategic and operational alternatives, tactical imperatives, quantitative analysis, war gaming, political-military assessments, and provide recommendations to the Chief of Naval Operations (CNO), Fleet Commanders and numbered Fleet Commanders regarding the formulation and execution of maritime options for the President of the United States.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)			FY 2016	FY 2016	FY 2016
	FY 2014	FY 2015	Base	oco	Total
Title: Strategic Studies	1.483	1.476	1.496	-	1.496
Articles:	-	-	-	-	-
Description: Naval War College (NWC) conducts research in strategic studies in response to tasking from the Secretary of the Navy (SECNAV), Chief of Naval Operation (CNO), Fleet Commanders, numbered Fleet Commanders, and Combatant Commanders. NWC also hosts the activities of the CNO's Strategic Studies Group (SSG). The CNO SSG is a select group of senior naval officers handpicked by the CNO, who report to him in the development of revolutionary warfighting and operational concepts, such as Sea Strike and FORCEnet.					
FY 2014 Accomplishments: - Continue research and analysis projects and provide supporting events for OPNAV, the numbered Fleets, Navy Component Commanders, and the Combatant Commanders. - Continue to support the OPNAV Staff on tasked research projects. - Continue CNO SSG tasking from the CNO to SSG XXXII to generate revolutionary operating and warfighting concepts for future Navy and maritime operations. Follow up on CNO and OPNAV actions resulting from SSG XXXI Final Report. - Continue research into the Chinese Maritime capabilities and affairs in order to enhance understanding of global developments and provide studies and advice for the CNO and the fleet.					

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy				Date: Febr	uary 2015		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605853N / Management, Technical & Intl Supt			umber/Nan al War Col		e) trategic Studies Supt	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities	in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	
- Conduct research in support of the refresh to the Navy's Strategy "Cooperati	ve Strategy for the 21st Century."						
FY 2015 Plans: - Continue research and analysis projects and provide supporting events for Component Commanders, and the Combatant Commanders. - Continue to support the OPNAV Staff on tasked research projects. - Continue CNO SSG tasking from the CNO to SSG XXXIII to generate revolu concepts for future Navy and maritime operations. Follow up on CNO and OF XXXII Final Report. - Continue research into the Chinese Maritime capabilities and affairs in order global developments and provide studies and advice for the CNO and the fleet.	tionary operating and warfighting PNAV actions resulting from SSG						
 FY 2016 Base Plans: Conduct research and analysis projects and provided supporting events for ONAVY Component Commanders, and the Combatant Commanders. Continue to support the OPNAV Staff on tasked research projects. CNO SSG tasking from the CNO to SSG XXXV to generate revolutionary op for future Navy and maritime operations. Following up on CNO and OPNAV Final Report. Conduct research into the Chinese Maritime capabilities and affairs in order developments and provide studies and advice for the CNO and the fleet. 	erating and warfighting concepts actions resulting from SSG XXXIV						
FY 2016 OCO Plans: N/A							
Title: Naval War Gaming Support	Articles:	2.721 -	2.528	2.023		2.023	
Description: Naval War College (NWC) conducts strategic and operational w of the Chief of Naval Operations (OPNAV), the numbered Fleets, Fleet Comm Commanders. Each year, 55-60 major war games and associated events pro explore and analyze military, political, informational and economic aspects of scenarios and tactical imperatives.	anders, and the Combatant vide support to efforts that						
FY 2014 Accomplishments:							

PE 0605853N: *Management, Technical & Intl Supt* Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 11 of 65

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy				Date: Febr	ruary 2015	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number PE 0605853N / Management, Te Intl Supt			umber/Nar val War Col	ne) Strategic Si	tudies Sup
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article (Quantities in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
 Continue to conduct 55-60 major war games and related events is and the Combatant Commands. Continue to support CNO and OPNAV with Navy Title X war gamprovide war gaming expertise to other services' Title 10 war games. Continue to provide research, analysis, and war gaming support is such as MDA, Irregular Warfare, cyber, and C4ISR. Continue to foster and sustain cooperative relationships with intergaming, research, and analysis. Continue to conduct research supporting war games co-sponsore Command (NWDC). Continue to conduct advanced research and analysis for OPNAV the implementation of Cooperative Strategy for 21st Century Seapowe Continue to conduct high level policy analytic research and gamin for the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD). Continue war gaming, research and analytical support for Navy cosecurity, and sea control. Continue International War Gaming in support of Maritime Securit Cooperative Strategy for 21st Century Seapower. Continue to conduct research and analysis on key operational characteristics. Warfare, maritime missile defense, global maritime security, maritime homel and sea basing. 	to senior Navy leadership in areas as directed, rnational partners through the use of war ed with Naval Warfare Development on determining measures of effectiveness for er. In addressing Proliferation Security Initiatives ore capabilities, such as deterrence, maritime ty Cooperation and implementation of allenges such as theater anti-submarine					

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt Navy UNCLASSIFIED
Page 12 of 65

	UNCLASSIFIED								
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy									
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number PE 0605853N / Management, Te Intl Supt		umber/Nan val War Col		tudies Sup				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article C	Quantities in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total			
 Continue to conduct 55-60 major war games and related events in and the Combatant Commands. Continue to support CNO and OPNAV with Navy Title X war game provide war gaming expertise to other services' Title 10 war games. Continue to provide research, analysis, and war gaming support to such as MDA, Irregular Warfare, cyber, and C4ISR. Continue to foster and sustain cooperative relationships with interigaming, research, and analysis. Continue to conduct research supporting war games co-sponsore: Command (NWDC). Continue to support Fleet Commanders and advance concepts in infrastructure protection. Continue to conduct advanced research and analysis for OPNAV the implementation of Cooperative Strategy for 21st Century Seapower Continue to conduct high level policy analytic research and gamin for Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD). Continue war gaming, research and analytical support for Navy continue to develop educational materials for the Maritime Advancementary, and sea control. Continue to develop educational materials for the Maritime Advancementary of the conduct research and analysis on key operational chamaritime security, maritime homeland defense, MDA, and sea basing. Continue to conduct research, analysis, and gaming on current analysis. Conduct research, analysis, and war gaming of emerging concepts. 	es, research, and analysis. Continue to senior Navy leadership in areas as directed, mational partners through the use of war and with Naval Warfare Development war fighting areas of interest, such as critical on determining measures of effectiveness for r. In addressing Proliferation Security Initiatives are capabilities, such as deterrence, maritime and Warfighting School. Callenges such as theater ASW, IAMD, global area denial and anti access issues confronting		F1 2015	Dase		Total			

UNCLASSIFIED

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt Page 13 of 65 R-1 Line #158 Navy

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy		<u></u>		Date: Febr	uary 2015	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605853N I Management, Technical & Intl Supt			umber/Nan val War Col		tudies Sup
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quanti	ities in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
battle Provide research, analysis, and war gaming in support of Navy and Mar Board Conduct analytic research on maritime security cooperation planning for Lead the effort to conduct analytical research in operational concepts of Advance the concept of cyber war gaming, including development of cy Support Fleet Commanders and advance concepts in war fighting areas infrastructure protection and counter piracy Support Fleet Commanders with high quality Course of Action Analysis - Provide direct support to Navy operational level programs such as the next Executive Leaders Operational Course, CFMCC Course, and JFMCC Course Develop advanced war gaming analytical methods and tools such as the and web based applications.	r forward based fleets. Cyber Warfare. ber Common Operational Picture. s of interest, such as critical and war games of operational plans. naritime staff Operators Course,					
FY 2016 Base Plans: - Continue to conduct 45-50 major war games and related events in suppand the Combatant Commands. - Continue to support CNO and OPNAV with Navy Title X war games, resprovide war gaming expertise to other services' Title 10 war games. - Continue to provide research, analysis, and war gaming support to seni such as MDA, Irregular Warfare, cyber, and C4ISR. - Continue to conduct research, analysis, and war gaming of emerging codenial and air sea battle. - Continue to provide research, analysis, and war gaming in support of Naby the Naval Board. - Continue to foster and sustain cooperative relationships with internation gaming, research, and analysis. - Continue to conduct analytic research on maritime security cooperation. - Continue to lead the effort to conduct analytical research in opeational.	search, and analysis. Continue to or Navy leadership in areas as directed, oncepts such as anti access and area avy and Marine Corps issues identified al partners through the use of war planning for forward based fleets.					

UNCLASSIFIED

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt Page 14 of 65 R-1 Line #158 Navy

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy				Date: Febr	uary 2015			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/ PE 0605853N / Management, Tec Intl Supt		Project (Number/Name) 1767 I Naval War Col Strategic Studies Su					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities	in Each <u>)</u>	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total		
 Continue to advance the concept of cyber war gaming, including development Picture Continue to support Fleet Commanders and advance concepts in war fighting infrastructure protection and counter piracy. Continue to conduct research supporting war games co-sponsored with Nava Command (NWDC). Continue to support Fleet Commanders and advance concepts in war fighting infrastructure protection. Continue to support Fleet Commanders with high quality Course of Action Ar operational plans. Continue to conduct advanced research and analysis for OPNAV on determing implementation of Cooperative Strategy for 21st Century Seapower. Continue to conduct high level policy analytic research and gaming addressing for Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD). Continue war gaming, research and analytical support for Navy core capabilities security, and sea control. Continue to develop educational materials for the Maritime Advanced Warfig Continue to provide direct support to Navy operational level programs such a Course, Executive Leaders Operational Course, CFMCC Course, and JFMCC Continue to conduct research and analysis on key operational challenges sumaritime security, maritime homeland defense, MDA, and sea basing. Continue to conduct research, analysis, and gaming on current area denial anaval forces. Continue to develop advanced war gaming analytical methods and tools suchoards and web based applications. 	g areas of interest, such as critical al Warfare Development g areas of interest, such as critical nalysis and war games of ning measures of effectiveness for ng Proliferation Security Initiatives ties, such as deterrence, maritime hting School. as the maritime staff Operators Course. ch as theater ASW, IAMD, global and anti access issues confronting							
FY 2016 OCO Plans: N/A								
Title: Warfare Analysis and Research	Articles:	0.380	0.421	0.392		0.392		
Description: Naval War College (NWC) supports senior decision-makers from Department of the Navy, the numbered Fleets, Fleet Commanders and Comba								

PE 0605853N: *Management, Technical & Intl Supt* Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 15 of 65

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy		<u> </u>		Date: Febr	uary 2015			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6		R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605853N / Management, Technical & Intl Supt						
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article	Quantities in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total		
well-informed, objective decisions on strategic, operational and pr research which integrates traditional research and analysis with a								
FY 2014 Accomplishments: Continue to conduct major decision events in support of OPNAV and the Combatant Commanders. Projects are in direct support of warfigl commanders and will expand to include the Commander Seventh the Indian Ocean. Continue to conduct analytical research on key strategic and open missile defense, proliferation security initiative, global maritime semaritime operations headquarters, interconnectivity, and multi-ser. Continue additional evaluation of concepts and decision events: Continue to conduct research targeted at the strategic and policy. Continue to provide direct support to NWC student research gro. 25-30 major decision events will be conducted in support of these FY 2015 Plans: Continue to conduct major decision events in support of OPNAV and the Combatant Commanders. Projects were in direct support of warfifleet commanders and will expand to include the Commander Seventh the Indian Ocean. Continue to conduct analytical research on key strategic and open missile defense, proliferation security initiative, global maritime semaritime operations headquarters, interconnectivity, and multi-ser. Continue additional evaluation of concepts and decision events: Continue to conduct research targeted at the strategic and policy. Continue to provide direct support to NWC student research gro	hting analysis requirements for numbered fleet Fleet (C7F) with particular focus on India and erational challenges such as maritime ballistic curity, maritime situational awareness, vice force deployment. in conjunction with war gaming center. y level decision making within China. ups and war gaming. Se efforts. 7, the numbered Fleets, Fleet Commanders, aghting analysis requirements for numbered Fleet (C7F) with particular focus on India and erational challenges such as maritime ballistic curity, maritime situational awareness, vice force deployment. In conjunction with war gaming center. y level decision making within China.							

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt Navy UNCLASSIFIED
Page 16 of 65

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy				Date: Febr	uarv 2015	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605853N / Management, Technical & Intl Supt		• •	umber/Nan al War Col	ne)	tudies Sup
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities	in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
- 30-40 major decision events will be conducted in support of these efforts.		1 1 2017	1 1 2010	2400		Total
FY 2016 Base Plans: - Continue to conduct major decision events in support of OPNAV, the number and the Combatant Commanders. Projects were in direct support of warfighting numbered fleet commanders and were expanded to include Commander Severations on India and the Indian Ocean. - Conduct analytical research on key strategic and operational challenges such defense, proliferation security initiative, global maritime security, maritime situated operations headquarters, interconnectivity, and multi-service force deployments. Continue additional evaluation of concepts and decision events in conjunctional conduct research targeted at the strategic and policy level decision making to Continue to provide direct support to NWC student research groups and ware 25-30 major decision events will be conducted in support of there efforts.	ng analysis requirements for enth Fleet (C7F) with particular h as maritime ballistic missile ational awareness, maritime t. n with war gaming center. within China.					
FY 2016 OCO Plans: N/A						
Title: NWC Student Research Projects	Articles:	0.117	0.118	0.090	-	0.09
Description: Selected top performing Naval War College (NWC) students to canalysis of current and future strategic and operational challenges and tactical organized under the supervision of the Mahan Scholars Program and the Hals FY 2014 Accomplishments: - Continue to conduct focused research, analysis and war gaming of current a challenges and tactical imperatives by the Halsey Groups and Mahan Scholars programs - Research groups continue to conduct focused research, analysis and free-pl future operational challenges and tactical imperatives arising from regional threats, he denial efforts at the high end of the conflict spectrum in the Pacific, European Comments.	I imperatives. These students are sey Group Program. Ind future strategic/operational ay war gaming of current and someland defense and access					

PE 0605853N: *Management, Technical & Intl Supt* Navy

UNCLASSIFIED Page 17 of 65

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy				Date: Febr	uary 2015		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6				umber/Nan val War Col	ame) ol Strategic Studies Supt		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in	n Each <u>)</u>	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	
CENTCOM) and Northern Command (NORTHCOM) area of responsibility (AO continue in those areas above, and will be expanded to include a detailed focus on cour description, and countering information denial and missile defence at the theater	nter-targeting, operational						
deception, and countering information denial and missile defense at the theate - Continue to Conduct research for the Deputy Secretary of Defense (DEPSEC College.							
FY 2015 Plans: - Continue to conduct focused research, analysis and war gaming of current archallenges							
and tactical imperatives by the Halsey Groups and Mahan Scholars programs. - Research groups continue to conduct focused research, analysis and free-plafuture	ay war gaming of current and						
operational challenges and tactical imperatives arising from regional threats, he denial efforts at the high end of the conflict spectrum in the Pacific, European Comma	and (EUCOM), Central Command						
(CENTCOM) and Northern Command (NORTHCOM) area of responsibility (AC efforts continue in those areas above, and will be expanded to include a detailed focus	,						
operational deception, and countering information denial and missile defense a operational level.	at the theater joint						
 Continue to conduct research for the Deputy Secretary of Defense (DEPSEC College. FY 2016 Base Plans: 	DEF) on matters tasked to the						
- Conduct focused research, analysis and war gaming of current and future stratactical imperatives by the Halsey Groups and Mahan Scholars programs.							
- Research groups continue to conduct focused research, analysis and free-pla future operational challenges and tactical imperatives arising from regional threaccess denial efforts at the high end of the conflict spectrum in the Pacific, Euro Central Command (CENTCOM) and Northern Command (NORTHCOM) area of and analysis efforts continue in those areas above, and will be expanded to incompare the targeting, operational deception, and countering information denial and missile operational level.	eats, homeland defense and opean Command (EUCOM), of responsibility (AOR). Research clude a detailed focus on counter-						

PE 0605853N: *Management, Technical & Intl Supt* Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 18 of 65

UNC	LASSIFIED					
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy				Date: Febr	uary 2015	
1319 / 6				umber/Nam al War Col		tudies Sup
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in E	Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
- Conduct research for Deputy Secretary of Defense (DEPSECDEF) on matters to	asked to the College.			20.00		100
FY 2016 OCO Plans: N/A						
Title: Maritime Headquarters / Maritime Operations Center (MOC) Analysis	Articles:	0.612	0.219	0.385		0.38
Description: Formerly JFMCC/Worldwide Naval Component Commanders (WNC (NWC) conducts research and analysis at the operational level of war, including descriptions (MHQ) and Maritime Operations Centers (MOC), as well as Combin Component Commander (C/JFMCC) activities. These activities include support for development of numbered fleet war games, exercises, education, research and a for development of Professional Military Education for Naval Component Comman Staff personnel, and the Numbered Fleet Commander, including education and reconcept of operations development, training and C/JFMCC advisory and assist te	direct support for the Maritime ned/Joint Forces Maritime for concept and doctrine analysis. NWC is responsible nders and Numbered Fleet esearch initiatives in support of					
FY 2014 Accomplishments: Maritime Staff Operators Course (MSOC): - Expand research into the required competencies for Maritime Staff Operations Course personnel to successfully operate at the operational level of war. - Actively participate in creation and review of existing and emerging doctrine, and development of evolving operational level issues. - Incorporate more interactive technologies for staff collaboration while conducting Conduct research in advanced adaptive intelligence, information warfare, and of tools,	d have active involvement in g distributed operations. ther advanced decision-support					
 including adapting Spiral-developed systems into the MOC classroom environment Conduct research to improve coalition-related MOC education programs for Integration officers (continued improvements). Includes support for Maritime Advanced Warfighting S 	ernational Officers and senior					
with all other operational level of education at NWC and other Service educational facilitie - Examine gaps in education at the Operational Level of War (OLW); develop Couclose same	es.					
gaps (Information management/knowledge management, et al).						

PE 0605853N: *Management, Technical & Intl Supt* Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 19 of 65

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy				Date: Febr	uary 2015	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6		R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605853N I Management, Technical & Intl Supt		umber/Nan al War Col	ne)	tudies Sup
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article C	Quantities in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
Assist and Assess Team (AAT): - Expand research and analysis into integrating lateral and vertical MOC with operations at existing numbered fleet MOCs, USFFC and US Pacif USCYBERCOM and downward to subordinate CTFs and commands. - Conduct research and analysis into potential methods for integrat operations) with traditional kinetic joint fires operations. Cyber ope exploitation) present unique challenges due to the global nature of MOCs and the importance of alignment for effective strategic communicat - Conduct research into how Navy units worldwide can support the of the full spectrum of information and cyber operations. - Provide tailored assistance to Commander, TENTH Fleet and Corresults of above research and analysis efforts and to strengthen staff knowled execution, and assessment. Joint/Combined Forces Maritime Commanders Course (J/CFMCC) - Conduct development/research in national and international implications, and development of new flag course curriculum accordingly. - Conduct research in the impacts of transition from contract to gov with regards to the implementation of CNO's priorities on operational levelopment staff Operators Course (MSOC): - Continue research as noted, including expansion of undertsanding development and education. - Continue research/development on understanding and mitigation	ic Fleet, as well as upward to the new ion of information operations (to include cyber rations (network attack, defense, and the domain, the potential effects on other ion. Commander, TENTH Fleet in the execution mmanders of other MOCs to communicate dge of joint information operations planning, cations of maritime commander leadership ernment employee support for senior mentors well leadership and flag academics. g of emergent warfare areas in MOC			Buse		Total .

UNCLASSIFIED

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt Page 20 of 65 R-1 Line #158 Navy

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy				Date: Feb	ruary 2015	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6 R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605853N / Management, Technical & 1767 / Naval War Coll Intl Supt					tudies Sup	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities	es in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
 Continue research to develop COI to close same gaps (Information managal). Assist and Assess Team (AAT): Conduct research and analysis into integrating emerging doctrinal issues, operations at existing numbered fleet MOCs, USFFC and US Pacific Fleet, USCYBERCOM and downward to subordinate CTFs and commands. Joint/Combined Forces Maritime Commanders Course (J/CFMCC): Continue development/research in national and international implications of leadership roles, emergent doctrine and national/international relationships, curriculum accordingly. Continue research on other senior leader development within MOCs, inclue executives responsible for team leadership and decision making. Continue research to improve JFMCC integration with component commanderspect to emerging joint and service doctrine 	thus improving the effectiveness of as well as upward to the new of evolving maritime commander and development of new flag course ading deputy, chiefs of staff, and other					
FY 2016 Base Plans: All activities - Remain credible, relevant and focused through continued development of edcucational products MSOC - Continue research as noted, including expansion of undertsanding of eme development and education - Continue research/development on understanding and mitigation of gaps in	rgent warfare areas in MOC					
- Continue research to develop COI to close same gaps (Information mana al) Assist and Assess Team (AAT): - Conduct research and analysis into integrating emerging doctrinal issues, of operations at existing numbered fleet MOCs, USFFC and US Pacific Fleet USCYBERCOM and downward to subordinate CTFs and commands. - Conduct research and analysis into potential methods for integration of entraditional MOC operations. Joint/Combined Forces Maritime Commanders Course (J/CFMCC):	gement/knowledge management, et thus improving the effectiveness et as well as upward to the new					

UNCLASSIFIED

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt Page 21 of 65 R-1 Line #158 Navy

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy			Date: February 2015
, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	- , (umber/Name) val War Col Strategic Studies Supt

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
 Continue development/research in national and international implications of evolving maritime commander leadership roles, emergent doctrine and national/international relationships, and development of new flag course curriculum accordingly. Continue research on other senior leader development within MOCs, including deputy, chiefs of staff, and other executives responsible for team leadership and decisionmaking. Continue esearch to improve JFMCC integration with component commanders (JFLCC, JFACC, JSOCC) with respect to emerging joint and service doctrine 					
FY 2016 OCO Plans: N/A					
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	5.313	4.762	4.386	-	4.386

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

E. Performance Metrics

This project provides research, analysis and war gaming to meet the needs of the Secretary of the Navy, the Chief of Naval Operations, and Fleet Commanders. Performance is measured in terms of both the quantity and quality of war games, analysis and the extent to which demand for war games and research products can be accommodated within funding levels. Results of research products and war games are evaluated through customer feedback and the extent to which findings are incorporated into follow-on research and practical applications such as Navy doctrine, operational tactics, and programming decisions made during the Planning, Programming, Budgeting & Execution (PPBE) process.

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt Navy

UNCLASSIFIED Page 22 of 65

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	stification	: PB 2016 N	lavy							Date: Febr	uary 2015					
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6					_	PE 0605853N / Management, Technical & 2098 / Nav					: (Number/Name) Navy Postgraduate School (NPS) Support					
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Cost To Complete	Total Cost				
2098: Navy Postgraduate School (NPS) Studies Support	-	13.757	5.000	8.924	-	8.924	13.846	13.230	12.000	12.000	Continuing	Continuing				
Quantity of RDT&E Articles		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Navy Postgraduate School (NPS) research and analysis activities serve as a focal point, stimulus, and major source of strategic, tactical and operational thought within the Navy communities. These efforts generate strategic and operational alternatives, tactical imperatives, quantitative analyses, technical developments and assessments, and political-military assessments. Also, provide recommendations to the Chief of Naval Operations (CNO), Fleet Commanders and numbered Fleet Commanders regarding the formulation and execution of maritime options for the President of the United States. Research will be conducted to support graduate students theses determination and completion as part of Faculty projects. These research activities also serve as a means for OPNAV Resource Sponsors and Major Commands to have analysis and decision support research conducted in the uses of the applied, soft, and hard sciences in solving diverse and complex resource allocation and strategic issues facing the Navy today and envisioned in the future.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
Title: Faculty and Student Studies, Analysis and Research Articles:	13.757	5.000	8.924	-	8.924
Description: Navy Postgraduate School (NPS) supports senior decision-makers from the Department of the Navy, the Office of the Chief of Naval Operations, Budget Submission Offices and Fleet Commanders in reaching well-informed, objective decisions on strategic, operational and programmatic issues through collaborative research which integrates traditional research and analysis with advanced decision support tools. Student Theses will be an integral part of this program in support of the critical analysis and research conducted by the Faculty.					
FY 2014 Accomplishments: Conducted over 90 Faculty Research projects in support of Navy and Marine Corps in a full gamut of subject areas that include Manpower, Personnel, Training, Education, Logistics, Navy Plans and Policy, Warfare Requirements, Financial Management, and Information Technology and Dominance.					
- Conducted studies in support of OPNAV N1 - Conducted studies in support of Naval Education and Training Command - Conducted studies in support of Bureau of Naval Personnel					

UNCLASSIFIED Page 23 of 65

	UNCLASSIFIED						
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy		Date: February 2015					
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number PE 0605853N / Management, 7 Intl Supt	Project (N 2098 / Nav Studies Su	y Postgrad	ne) uate School	I (NPS)		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quant	<u>ities in Each)</u>	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	
 Conducted studies in support of Navy Personnel Command Conducted studies in support of Navy Recruiting Command Conducted studies in support of Navy Service Training Command Conducted studies in support of Navy Manpower Analysis Center Conducted studies in support of OPNAV N2/N6 Conducted studies in support of OPNAV N3/N5 Conducted studies in support of OPNAV N4 Conducted studies in support of OPNAV N9 Conducted studies in support of US Fleet Forces Command Conducted studies in support of the Secretary of the Navy 							
FY 2015 Plans: Conduct over 40 Faculty Research projects in support of Navy and Marin areas that include Manpower, Personnel, Training, Education, Logistics, Requirements, Financial Management, and Information Technology and - Conduct studies in support of OPNAV N1 - Conduct studies in support of OPNAV N2/N6 - Conduct studies in support of OPNAV N3/N5 - Conduct studies in support of OPNAV N4 - Conduct studies in support of OPNAV N8 - Conduct studies in support of OPNAV N9 - Conduct studies in support of US Fleet Forces Command	Navy Plans and Policy, Warfare						
 Conduct studies in support of the Secretary of the Navy FY 2016 Base Plans: Conduct over 70 Faculty Research projects in support of Navy and Marin areas that include Manpower, Personnel, Training, Education, Logistics, Requirements, Financial Management, and Information Technology and Conduct studies in support of OPNAV N1 Conduct studies in support of OPNAV N2/N6 Conduct studies in support of OPNAV N3/N5 	Navy Plans and Policy, Warfare						

PE 0605853N: *Management, Technical & Intl Supt* Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 24 of 65

Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6 R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605853N / Management, Technical & Intl Supt Project (Number/Name) 2098 / Navy Postgraduate School (NPS) Studies Support	Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy			Date: February 2015
	Appropriation/Budget Activity	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)	Project (N	umber/Name)
Intl Supt Studies Support	1319 / 6	PE 0605853N / Management, Technical &	2098 I Nav	y Postgraduate School (NPS)
		Intl Supt	Studies Su	ıpport

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
 Conduct studies in support of OPNAV N8 Conduct studies in support of OPNAV N9 Conduct studies in support of US Fleet Forces Command Conduct studies in support of the Secretary of the Navy 					
FY 2016 OCO Plans: N/A					
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotal	13.757	5.000	8.924	_	8.924

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

Navy

E. Performance Metrics

This Project provides funding to support continuing need for studies and analysis to meet the needs of the Secretary of the Navy, the Chief of Naval Operations, Resource Sponsors, Major Commands and Fleet Commanders. Performance is measured in terms of both the quantity and quality of the studies, research and analysis products that can be accommodated within funding levels. Results of research products are evaluated through customer feedback and the extent to which findings are incorporated into follow-on research and practical applications such as Navy doctrine, operational tactics, and programming decisions made during the Planning, Programming, Budgeting & Execution (PPBE) process. This project supports research of both Naval Postgraduate School faculty and students,

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 25 of 65

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification	: PB 2016 N	lavy							Date: Febr	uary 2015	
					Project (N 2221. / JT		n e) sessment St	tudies				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
2221.: JT Mission Assessment Studies	-	22.500	24.880	17.063	-	17.063	28.335	28.120	26.802	27.350	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Navy Assessment Program provides capability-based planning assessment for Joint Capabilities Integration and Development System (JCIDS), conducts analysis to affect war fighting capability trades and enterprise resources, identifies needs, gaps, and overlaps, and assesses alternative solutions to Joint needs. The program supports both the development and use of modeling, simulation and analytically-based warfare and provides business analyses and analytic tools that provide the basis for decision making with respect to concepts of operations, Command, Control, Communications, Computers, Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance Systems (Information Dominance); warfare systems (Sea Strike, Sea Shield, and Sea Basing) and analytical underpinnings/basis for programmatic decisions of the Navy's top leadership regarding their architectures, force structure, and the Navy's core "organize, train, and equip mission" (the warfare and provider Enterprises). The program provides overarching Planning, Programming, Budgeting and Execution System (PPBES) analyses and guidance for PPBES which provides gap analysis and investment strategy and total obligation authority allocation. It provides independent capability analysis and assists in structuring follow-on Navy analyses. The program coordinates Navy's position for the enhanced planning process and conducts net assessments. It serves as the lead campaign analysis to approve Navy warfare and support requirements. The program supports "A Cooperative Strategy for 21st Century Seapower 21" as modified by the Maritime Strategy which charts a course for the Navy, Coast Guard and Marine Corps to work collectively with each other and international partners to prevent crises from occurring or reacting quickly should one occur to avoid negative impact to the United States. It serves as an independent assessor providing a broad-view perspective across the Navy staff apart from resource sponsors, with an integrated look at both war fighting and war fighting support programs. The program supports the world class modeling efforts to attain a level of Modeling and Simulation (M&S) capability that is world class and establishes the Navy as a leader in the Department of Defense M&S community. It provides Navy alternatives in assessing the implications embedded within resource decisions in a quantified context of costs versus capability versus risk. The program provides independent analytic support to Navy leadership in conjunction with various executive level decision forums.

Beginning in FY 2014, this project also includes funding for Concept Formulation (CONFORM) efforts. This is a shift of funding from PE 0605152N, Studies and Analysis Support, Project 2092, Naval Warfare Studies. CONFORM for ships, boats and unmanned maritime vehicles must be continuously exercised to remain viable. It takes years to train competent practitioners, and knowledge currency is quickly lost without practice. Evolving threats and technologies drive concepts (and the tools, processes, and skills needed to produce them) towards obsolescence without constant attention. Capability Based Assessments and Analysis of Alternatives (AoA) timelines are insufficient for establishing potential material solution cost versus capability relationships without significant concept formulation work beforehand. Active collaboration between the Office of the Chief of Naval Operations requirement sponsors, Program Offices, and the various System Command (Naval Sea Systems Command, Naval Air Systems Command and Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command) engineers is critical for fully exploring the trade space by conducting analysis for affordability, effectiveness and risk.

The majority of Total Ownership Cost (TOC) is locked into a design before it is even a program. In the later stages of a program it becomes much more costly to make changes that will significantly impact TOC. Investment up front in concept design can have a high payoff in TOC reduction over the life of a platform class.

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 26 of 65

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy			Date: February 2015
Appropriation/Budget Activity	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)	Project (N	umber/Name)
1319 / 6	PE 0605853N I Management, Technical &	2221. <i>I JT</i>	Mission Assessment Studies
	Intl Supt		

This project funds concept development engineering, mission effectiveness analysis, and other analyses for formulation of future surface ship and associated platform force structure along with development of the tools to accomplish these efforts. Advanced platform concept studies and systems technology assessments will be conducted as will the development and upgrade of concept design and engineering tools, methods, and criteria.

Outputs include concept costing and performance parameterization for comparative assessment against capability objectives and synthesis to quantify overall (Fleet) capabilities. These products (expressions of cost vs. capability) will serve as the basis of requirements and Joint Capabilities Integration and Development System analysis, define the trade space for AoA efforts, and underpin discussion of force architecture/structure during Quadrennial Defense Review, Long Range Shipbuilding Strategy builds, and Joint Requirements Oversight Council reviews.

The majority of Total Ownership Cost (TOC) is locked into a design before it is even a program. In the later stages of a program it becomes much more costly to make changes that will significantly impact TOC. Investment up front in concept design can have a high payoff in TOC reduction over the life of a platform class.

This project funds concept development engineering, mission effectiveness analysis, and other analyses for formulation of future surface ship and associated platform force structure along with development of the tools to accomplish these efforts. Advanced platform concept studies and systems technology assessments will be conducted as will the development and upgrade of concept design and engineering tools, methods, and criteria.

Outputs include concept costing and performance parameterization for comparative assessment against capability objectives and synthesis to quantify overall (Fleet) capabilities. These products (expressions of cost vs. capability) will serve as the basis of requirements and Joint Capabilities Integration and Development System analysis, define the trade space for AoA efforts, and underpin discussion of force architecture/structure during Quadrennial Defense Review, Long Range Shipbuilding Strategy builds, and Joint Requirements Oversight Council reviews.

Capabilities-Based Assessment (CBA) is the Joint Capabilities Integration and Development System (JCIDS) analysis process that includes three phases: Functional Area Analysis (FAA), Functional Needs Analysis (FNA), and Functional Solution Analysis (FSA). The results of the CBA are used to develop a joint capabilities document (based on the FAA and FNA) or initial capabilities document (based on the full analysis). CBA funding provides the resource sponsors the means to develop the analytic underpinning required by Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Instruction 3170.01G to support the determination of Naval warfighting capabilities and force structure needed to support the Joint Requirements Oversight Council (JROC)/JCIDS requirements validation process and to inform Program Objective Memorandum programming decisions. This analysis includes evaluation of integration and interoperability gaps of current weapons systems.

Capabilities-Based Assessment (CBA) is the Joint Capabilities Integration and Development System (JCIDS) analysis process that includes three phases: Functional Area Analysis (FAA), Functional Needs Analysis (FNA), and Functional Solution Analysis (FSA). The results of the CBA are used to develop a joint capabilities document (based on the FAA and FNA) or initial capabilities document (based on the full analysis). CBA funding provides the resource sponsors the means to develop the analytic underpinning required by Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Instruction 3170.01G to support the determination of Naval warfighting capabilities and force structure needed to support the Joint Requirements Oversight Council (JROC)/JCIDS requirements validation process and to inform Program Objective Memorandum programming decisions. This analysis includes evaluation of integration and interoperability gaps of current weapons systems.

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt

Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 27 of 65

UNC	CLASSIFIED					
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy			Date: Febr	uary 2015		
1319/6	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605853N / Management, Technical & Intl Supt					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in	Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
Title: Navy Standard Scenarios with Warfare and Warfare Support Analyses	Articles:	1.184 -	1.289	0.799		0.79
FY 2014 Accomplishments: -Develop and continue to update and maintain detailed level Navy Standard sceto develop alternative scenarios in support of Defense Review guidance, Joint's analyses. -Develop, update, and maintain analytic baselines for the MCO based on DPG. -Continue to develop details required to execute analysis of designated Defense respective Multi-Service Force Deployment Plans. -Update the high-level readiness model that fully integrates all aspects of warfigiutilization, training cycles, training centers, depots, etc.) and personnel (recruitmeleployment, retention, etc.) accross the Navy's warfighting platforms(aircraft, ship personnel development centers. -Develop and continue to maintain a framework and common set of processes to five warfare analyses, including scenarios, operational concepts, tactics, capabilit (for Navy, Joint, coalition and threat forces), key assumptions and input data we government approved/provided source material. -Continue to develop scenarios and operational concepts based on government detailed for use in naval and joint campaign analyses. -Continue to develop MOPs and MOEs and recommend appropriate modeling/n-At the mission level, script OPSITS or TACSITS for use in effectiveness analys areas.	tudies, and Navy resource e Planning Scenarios and their hting support (operational nent, training,development, s,submarines,etc.),facilities and o ensure that essential elements ies of platforms and systems re defined and traceable to inputs that are sufficiently nethodology to support analyses.					
-Continue to develop, update and maintain detailed level Navy Standard scenario-Continue to develop alternative scenarios in support of Defense Review guidant resource analyses. -Continue to develop, update, and maintain analytic baselines for the MCO) base-Continue to develop details required to execute analysis of designated Defense respective Multi-Service Force Deployment Plans. -Continue to develop and maintain a framework and common set of processes to five warfare analyses, including scenarios, operational concepts, tactics, capability	ce, Joint studies, and Navy ed on DPG. Planning Scenarios and their o ensure that essential elements					

UNCLASSIFIED

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt Navy Page 28 of 65 R-1 Line #158

UNCI	_ASSIFIED						
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy			Date: February 2015				
	Name) hnical &		roject (Number/Name) 21. I JT Mission Assessment Studies				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in E	ach)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	
(for Navy, Joint, coalition and threat forces), key assumptions and input data were government approved/provided source material. -Continue to develop scenarios and operational concepts based on government in detailed for use in naval and joint campaign analyses. -Continue to develop MOPs and MOEs and recommend appropriate modeling/me-At the mission level, continue to script OPSITS or TACSITS for use in effectivene mission areas.	nputs that are sufficiently thodology to support analyses.						
-Continue to develop, update and maintain detailed level Navy Standard scenarios -Continue to develop alternative scenarios in support of Defense Review guidance resource analyses. -Continue to develop, update, and maintain analytic baselines for the MCO) based -Continue to develop details required to execute analysis of designated Defense Forespective Multi-Service Force Deployment Plans. -Continue to develop and maintain a framework and common set of processes to of warfare analyses, including scenarios, operational concepts, tactics, capabilities (for Navy, Joint, coalition and threat forces), key assumptions and input data were government approved/provided source material. -Continue to develop scenarios and operational concepts based on government in detailed for use in naval and joint campaign analyses. -Continue to develop MOPs and MOEs and recommend appropriate modeling/me-At the mission level, continue to script OPSITS or TACSITS for use in effectivene mission areas.	e, Joint studies, and Navy d on DPG. Planning Scenarios and their ensure that essential elements s of platforms and systems defined and traceable to aputs that are sufficiently						
FY 2016 OCO Plans: N/A							
Title: Capability Based Assessments with Campaign Mission Analyses Analytical	and Technical Support Articles:	2.701 -	2.531	1.584	-	1.584 -	
FY 2014 Accomplishments: -Perform collaborative assessment with capability sponsorsProactively participate in Capability Sponsors' Integrated Process TeamsPresent opposing analytically-based points of view to the CNO and Navy senior I	eadership.						

PE 0605853N: *Management, Technical & Intl Supt* Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 29 of 65

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy				Date: Febr	uary 2015	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605853N / Management, Technical & Intl Supt			umber/Nan Mission Ass		îtudies
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Qua	ntities in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
Provide analytically-based decision recommendations to CNO for bot -Develop CNO investment strategy recommendations and assessmen -Assess capability sponsors' products for senior leadership decision for -Conduct Verification, Validation & Accreditation of warfare, performan -Conduct OCO CBAs that provide a rapid and scalable process to utili investment strategy, and a capability roadmap. -Conduct Tactical Aircraft Recapitalization alternatives and Theater Basessments assessments. -Conduct independent assessment of Anti-Submarine Warfare. -Conduct weapons safety and sea basing capability assessments. -Conduct ISR and METOC assessment to determine the optimal mix of platforms, and processing, analysis and fusion disposition to support in preparation of the environment for both MCOs and OCO. -Perform CBAs to meet the requirements of current and future scenaric constrained economic framework. -Perform rigorous, time critical naval and joint campaign and mission-lemodeling and simulation that illuminate complex warfare issues which Programming, Budgeting Execution process. -Perform analyses and provide technical and engineering support, incle examine the ability to counter a range of coordinate threat capabilities capabilities, or impact of large-scale architecture, mission-level effective capabilities; conduct analyses of alternative force structures that deter deployment or steady-state requirements and respond to transition to -Conduct cost analyses, cost-effectiveness analyses, and analyses of Program Proposal, Navy POM or Warfare Capability Plan. -Develop innovative analysis techniques that evaluate the effectivenes Irregular Warfare and Sea Shaping (influence) activities such as Thea -Provide rigorous business case assessments of complex issues relatimanpower and personnel, training and education, infrastructure, both Medical Program and provider enterprise operations.	ts for Program Review and POM. Journels. J					

PE 0605853N: *Management, Technical & Intl Supt* Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 30 of 65

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy			Date: Febr	uary 2015		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6		R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605853N / Management, Technical & Intl Supt			ne) sessment S	tudies
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article (Quantities in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
-Perform analyses for accreditation of models, use estimate cost at modeled programs such as the Flying Hour Program, ship operation facilities, and base operation support.	·					
-Continue to perform collaborative assessment with capability sport-Continue to proactively participate in Capability Sponsors' Integrate -Continue to present opposing analytically-based points of view to -Continue to provide analytically-based decision recommendations areas. -Continue to develop CNO investment strategy recommendations areas. -Continue to assess capability sponsors' products for senior leader -Continue to conduct Verification, Validation & Accreditation of war -Continue to conduct OCO CBAs that provide a rapid and scalable develop investment strategy, and a capability roadmap. -Continue to conduct Tactical Aircraft Recapitalization alternatives capability trade off assessments. -Continue to conduct independent assessment of Anti-Submarine Northinue to conduct Weapons safety and sea basing capability assessors, platforms, and processing, analysis and fusion disposition preparation of the environment for both MCOs and OCO. -Continue to perform CBAs to meet the requirements of current and decisions within a constrained economic framework. -Continue to perform rigorous, time critical naval and joint campaig on modeling and simulation that illuminate complex warfare issues process. -Continue to perform analyses including joint campaign analysis the of coordinate threat capabilities, high level tradeoffs between service architecture, mission-level effectiveness analyses that determine sof alternative force structures that determine the ability to meet pear requirements and respond to transition to war and contingency oper	the CNO and Navy senior leadership. to CNO for both war fighting and support and assessments for Program Review and ship decision forums. fare, performance, and pricing models. process to utilize a Concept of Operation, and Theater Ballistic Missile Defense cost Warfare. sessments. he optimal mix of Naval ISR and METOC he to support MCOs, the OCO, and intelligence d future scenarios, and make strategic n and mission-level analyses, usually based which support decision-making in the PPBES at examine the ability to counter a range ce capabilities, or impact of large-scale system capabilities; conduct analyses acetime deployment or steady-state					

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt UNCLASSIFIED

Navy

Page 31 of 65

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy		Date: February 2015					
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605853N / Management, Technical & Intl Supt			roject (Number/Name) 221. I JT Mission Assessment		Studies	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article	Quantities in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	
Continue to conduct cost-effectiveness analyses and analyses of Program Proposal, Navy Program Objective Memorandum or War-Continue to develop innovative analysis techniques that evaluate War focus on Irregular Warfare and Sea Shaping (influence) activi-Continue to provide rigorous business case assessments of comprocesses, manpower and personnel, training and education, infra Naval Medical Program and provider enterprise operations. -Continue to use estimate cost and performance of performance-bhour Program, ship operations, ship and aircraft maintenance, space FY 2016 Base Plans: -Continue to perform collaborative assessment with capability sport-Continue to proactively participate in Capability Sponsors' Integra	fare Capability Plan. the effectiveness of operations on the Long ties such as Theater Security Cooperation. blex issues relating to the war fighting support structure, both afloat and ashore readiness, ased modeled programs such as the Flying ares, facilities, and base operation support.	112014	112013	Base	000	Total	
-Continue to present opposing analytically-based points of view to -Continue to provide analytically-based decision recommendations areasContinue to develop CNO investment strategy recommendations Program Objective MemorandumContinue to assess capability sponsors' products for senior leade -Continue to conduct Verification, Validation & Accreditation of war	the CNO and Navy senior leadership. To CNO for both war fighting and support and assessments for Program Review and rship decision forums. If are, performance, and pricing models.						
-Continue to conduct OCO CBAs that provide a rapid and scalable develop investment strategy, and a capability roadmap. -Continue to conduct Tactical Aircraft Recapitalization alternatives capability trade off assessments. -Continue to conduct independent assessment of Anti-Submarine -Continue to conduct weapons safety and sea basing capability as -Continue to conduct ISR and METOC assessment to determine the sensors, platforms, and processing, analysis and fusion disposition preparation of the environment for both MCOs and OCO. -Continue to perform CBAs to meet the requirements of current and decisions within a constrained economic framework.	and Theater Ballistic Missile Defense cost Warfare. sessments. ne optimal mix of Naval ISR and METOC n to support MCOs, the OCO, and intelligence						

UNCLASSIFIED

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt Page 32 of 65 R-1 Line #158 Navy

FY 2014		Date: Febriumber/Nan Mission Ass	ne)	tudies
FY 2014	2221. <i>I ĴT</i>	Mission Ass		tudies
	FY 2015	FY 2016		
3		Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
	4.757	2.977		2.97
•	4.702 s: -	4.702 4.757 s:	s: 4.702 4.757 2.977 	s: 4.702 4.757 2.977

PE 0605853N: *Management, Technical & Intl Supt* Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 33 of 65

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy				Date: Febr	uary 2015	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/ PE 0605853N / Management, Tec Intl Supt		umber/Nan Mission Ass		tudies	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantiti	es in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
-Continue to develop and maintain common baselines from which campaig analyses are executed. -Continue to identify, develop and improve data and modeling. -Continue to lead Navy's participation in OSD/Joint Staff analytic agenda, to data. -Continue to provide coordination across the Navy. -Continue to broker agreements upon assumptions, CONOPS, scenarios, -Continue to lead campaign analysis for OPNAV. -Continue to conduct modeling and simulation support for ongoing OPNAV requirements.	paseline development, and collection and data.					
FY 2016 Base Plans: -Continue to develop and maintain common baselines from which campaig analyses are executed. -Continue to identify, develop and improve data and modeling. -Continue to lead Navy's participation in OSD/Joint Staff analytic agenda, to of data. -Continue to provide coordination across the Navy. -Continue to broker agreements upon assumptions, CONOPS, scenarios, -Continue to lead campaign analysis for OPNAV. -Continue to conduct modeling and simulation support for ongoing OPNAV requirements.	paseline development, and collection and data.					
FY 2016 OCO Plans: N/A						
Title: OSD/Joint Staff Study Analysis and Assessment with Investment Str	ategy Development Articles:	1.712	1.733	0.985		0.985
FY 2014 Accomplishments: -Coordinate and lead OSD/Navy's Analytic Agenda in Defense Planning Science Planning Process, Strategic Planning Guidance, an Integrated Process TeamsProvide overarching PPBES analyses and guidanceProvide analytically-based decision recommendations to OPNAV for joint	d participate in Capability Sponsors'					

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt UNCLASSIFIED

Navy Page 34 of 65 R-1 Line #158

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy				Date: Febr	uary 2015	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number, PE 0605853N / Management, Tell Intl Supt			Project (Number/Name) 2221. I JT Mission Assessme		tudies
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article (Quantities in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
-Conduct net assessments and provide independent analytic supporarious executive level decision forums. -Serve as the Navy's lead to Joint Requirements Oversight Council Capabilities Board. -Provide the lead requirements and acquisition for OPNAV. -Coordinate and lead Navy's role in Defense Planning Guidance, P. Defense Review, and Defense Science Board studies. -Participate in OSD and JS analysis assessment and provided structure -Coordinate and support Joint Analytical Model Improvement Progroupevelop new analytic techniques for informing resource allocation mission-level analyses and develop investment strategy. FY 2015 Plans: -Continue to coordinate and lead OSD/Navy's Analytic Agenda in Eforce Deployment, Enhance Planning Process, Strategic Planning Sponsors' Integrated Process Teams. -Continue to provide overarching PPBES analyses and guidance. -Continue to provide analytically-based decision recommendations areas. -Continue to conduct net assessments and provide independent arconjunction with various executive level decision forums. -Continue to serve as the Navy's lead to Joint Requirements Overs Functional Capabilities Board. -Continue to provide the lead requirements and acquisition for OPN. -Continue to coordinate and lead Navy's role in Defense Planning Quadrennial Defense Review, and Defense Science Board studies. -Continue to participate in OSD and JS analysis assessment and p. Navy. -Continue to coordinate and support Joint Analytical Model Improvers the provide analytic techniques for informing resource and warfare mission-level analyses and develop investment strateg. FY 2016 Base Plans:	Program Decision Memoranda, Quadrennial acture for coordination across the Navy. Tram. decisions; conduct all campaign and warfare Defense Planning Scenario, Multi-Service Guidance, and participate in Capability to OPNAV for joint war fighting and support halytic support to Navy leadership in sight Council, Joint Capabilities Board, and NAV. Guidance, Program Decision Memoranda, support of the coordination across the sement Program. December 2019 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	F1 2014	F1 2013	Base		Total

PE 0605853N: *Management, Technical & Intl Supt* Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 35 of 65

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy		Date: February 2015				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605853N / Management, Technical & Intl Supt			umber/Nan Mission Ass	i me) ssessment Studies	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities	es in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
-Continue to coordinate and lead OSD/Navy's Analytic Agenda in Defense I Force Deployment, Enhance Planning Process, Strategic Planning Guidand Sponsors' Integrated Process Teams. -Continue to provide overarching PPBES analyses and guidance. -Continue to provide analytically-based decision recommendations to OPN/A areas. -Continue to conduct net assessments and provide independent analytic su conjunction with various executive level decision forums. -Continue to serve as the Navy's lead to Joint Requirements Oversight Courunctional Capabilities Board. -Continue to provide the lead requirements and acquisition for OPNAV. -Continue to coordinate and lead Navy's role in Defense Planning Guidance Quadrennial Defense Review, and Defense Science Board studies. -Continue to participate in OSD and JS analysis assessment and provided so Navy. -Continue to coordinate and support Joint Analytical Model Improvement Procontinue to develop new analytic techniques for informing resource allocated and warfare mission-level analyses and develop investment strategy.	AV for joint war fighting and support pport to Navy leadership in ncil, Joint Capabilities Board, and p. Program Decision Memoranda, structure for coordination across the rogram.					
FY 2016 OCO Plans: N/A						
Title: World Class Modeling, Simulation, and Capability Analysis	Articles:	6.716	6.791	4.228	- -	4.228
FY 2014 Accomplishments: -Address the one-year backlog of Modeling and Simulation (M&S) efforts du Specifically modeling or analysis gaps that have been corporately deemed making. -Develop and improve analysis capabilities which support Joint and Navy at allocation decision making by refining the linkages between cost and perfor programs in support of OPNAV analysis and assessment. Areas of tool demission- and campaign-level warfighting models, active and reserve manporand medical capabilities.	critical to improving POM decision- nalytic agendas and resource- mance in performance-modeled velopment and improvement include					

PE 0605853N: *Management, Technical & Intl Supt* Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 36 of 65

LINCL ASSIFIED

UN	CLASSIFIED					
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy		Date: February 2015				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6	Name) hnical &	Project (N 2221. / JT	tudies			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in	n Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
-Focus on integrated analysis capabilities that cut across business and program address cyber warfare and security, optimizing the training pipeline, integrating price performance models, and improving mission- and campaign-level C5ISR -Update the high-level readiness model that fully integrates all aspects of warfigutilization, training cycles, training centers, depots, etc.) and personnel (recruitr deployment, retention, etc.) across the Navy's warfighting platforms (aircraft, shand personnel development centers.	ship maintenance and operations models and representations. ghting support (operational ment, training, development,					
FY 2015 Plans: -Continue to develop and improve the Navy's analysis capabilities which support agendas and resource-allocation decision making by refining the linkages between performance-modeled programs in support of OPNAV analysis and assessment improvement include mission- and campaign-level warfighting models, active a ashore readiness, and medical capabilities. -Continue to focus on integrated analysis capabilities that cut across business are efforts will address cyber warfare and security, optimizing the training pipeline, and operations price performance models, and improving mission- and campair representations. -Develop medical analysis that links to campaign analysis including movement life-saving treatment of injured and recuperation support of injured to support N-Update the high-level readiness model that fully integrates all aspects of warfigutilization, training cycles, training centers, depots, etc.) and personnel (recruited deployment, retention, etc.) across the Navy's warfighting platforms (aircraft, shand personnel development centers.	reen cost and performance in the Areas of tool development and and reserve manpower, afloat and and program accounts. Specific integrating ship maintenance gn-level C5ISR models and of injured between care facilities, lavy Medical Program decisions. In ghting support (operational ment, training, development,					
FY 2016 Base Plans: -Continue to develop and improve the Navy's analysis capabilities which support agendas and resource-allocation decision making by refining the linkages betwoerformance-modeled programs in support of OPNAV analysis and assessment improvement include mission- and campaign-level warfighting models, active a ashore readiness, and medical capabilities. -Continue to focus on integrated analysis capabilities that cut across business are efforts will address cyber warfare and security, optimizing the training pipeline,	teen cost and performance in ont. Areas of tool development and ond reserve manpower, afloat and and program accounts. Specific					

UNCLASSIFIED

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt Page 37 of 65 R-1 Line #158 Navy

Oi Oi	NCLASSIFIED					
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy			Date: Febr	uary 2015		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6	Name) chnical &	Project (N 2221. <i>I JT</i>		Studies		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities	in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
and operations price performance models, and improving mission- and campa representations. -Develop medical analysis that links to campaign analysis including movemen life-saving treatment of injured and recuperation support of injured to support -Update the high-level readiness model that fully integrates all aspects of war utilization, training cycles, training centers, depots, etc.) and personnel (recruit deployment, retention, etc.) across the Navy's warfighting platforms (aircraft, sand personnel development centers.	nt of injured between care facilities, Navy Medical Program decisions. fighting support (operational tment, training, development,					
FY 2016 OCO Plans: N/A						
Title: CONFORM	Articles:	2.998 -	3.530	2.766 -	-	2.766
FY 2014 Accomplishments: - Conduct ship, boat and unmanned marine vehicle concept studies in preparal Assessments (CBAs) and Analysis of Alternatives (AoAs). Studies will be performed support future recapitalization of Surface Combatants, Amphibious Ships, Care emerging program requirements. - Collaborate with Warfare Systems design experts to perform continuous Warring and fleet level. Warfare Systems effectiveness assessment tools will be continuated required to address future concepts and to incorporate improvements in interpretation Additionally, collaboration with aircraft, C4ISR, and networks by continuing dia NAVSEA, NAVAIR, and SPAWAR systems commands will refine fleet level respectively. Refine platform concept stage cost analysis tools to predict cost better in are may not be appropriate. It will continually enhance tools to estimate total owners at the ship and weapons system concept development stage. Conduct cost estimates the ship and weapons system concept development stage. Conduct cost estimates concept design exploration, CBA, and AoA efforts. Further develop Cost Estimate accommodate emerging technologies incorporated in future platforms. Development emerging technologies applicable to future platforms. - Conduct future force structure concept formulation. Fleet synthesis and analymiculates capabilities requirements, platform design and cost and quantitative of the fleet as new platforms are introduced and old ones are retired. Among the content of the fleet as new platforms are introduced and old ones are retired.	formed in a continuous manner to criers, Auxiliary Ships and other of the state of					

PE 0605853N: *Management, Technical & Intl Supt* Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 38 of 65

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6 R-1 Program Element (Number 1988) PE 0605853N / Management, 7							
			Date: Febr	uary 2015			
Intl Supt		Project (Number/Name) 2221. I JT Mission Assessment Studies					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total		
interoperability concepts, force architecture impact studies, Long Range Shipbuilding Schedule (LRSS) support and operational employment concept studies. -Continue CBA's for off-board data transfer for mine warfare systems, Navy Salvage, adversary aircraft, and underwater breathing apparatus. Commence CBA for surface connector replacement and undergraduate flightraining. FY 2015 Plans: -Conduct ship, boat, and unmanned marine vehicle concept studies in preparation for Capabilities Based Assessments (CBAs) and Analysis of Alternatives (AoAs). Studies will be performed in a continuous manner to support future recapitalization of Surface Combatants, Amphibious Ships, Carriers, Auxiliary Ships and other emerging program requirements. -Collaborate with Warfare Systems design experts to perform continuous Warfare Systems analysis at the ship and fleet level. Warfare Systems effectiveness assessment tools will be continually developed and enhanced as required to address future concepts and to incorporate improvements in information technology systems. Additionally, collaboration with aircraft, C4ISR, and networks by continuing dialog and collaboration between NAVSEA, NAVAIR, and SPAWAR systems commands will refine fleet level requirements. -Refine platform concept stage cost analysis tools to predict costs better in areas where weight-based algorithm may not be appropriate. It will continually enhance tools to estimate total ownership costs more accurately at the ship and weapons system concept development stage. Conduct cost estimates in support of future concept design exploration, CBA, and AoA efforts. Further develop Cost Estimating Relationships (CERs) to accommodate emerging technologies incorporated in future platforms. Develop cost estimating tools which incorporate emerging technologies applicable to future platforms.	nt	FY 2015	Base	oco	Total		
includes capabilities requirements, platform design and cost and quantitative tracking of the long-term evolution of the fleet as new platforms are introduced and old ones are retired. Among the areas to the examined are interoperability concepts, force architecture impact studies, Long Range Shipbuilding Schedule (LRSS) support and operational employment concept studies.							

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 39 of 65

U	NCLASSIFIED						
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy	Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy						
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6	Name) chnical &	Project (N 2221. / JT	Studies				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities	in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	
-Conduct ship, boat, and unmanned marine vehicle concept studies in prepara Assessments (CBAs) and Analysis of Alternatives (AoAs). Studies will be per to support future recapitalization of Surface Combatants, Amphibious Ships, Cemerging program requirements. -Collaborate with Warfare Systems design experts to perform continuous War and fleet level. Warfare Systems effectiveness assessment tools will be continuant as required to address future concepts and to incorporate improvements in information Additionally, collaboration with aircraft, C4ISR, and networks by continuing dia NAVSEA, NAVAIR, and SPAWAR systems commands will refine fleet level re-Refine platform concept stage cost analysis tools to predict costs better in are may not be appropriate. It will continually enhance tools to estimate total own at the ship and weapons system concept development stage. Conduct cost econcept design exploration, CBA, and AoA efforts. Further develop Cost Estimaccommodate emerging technologies incorporated in future platforms. Development force structure concept formulation. Fleet synthesis and analyticulates capabilities requirements, platform design and cost and quantitative to the fleet as new platforms are introduced and old ones are retired. Among interoperability concepts, force architecture impact studies, Long Range Shipticand operational employment concept studies.	formed in a continuous manner Carriers, Auxiliary Ships and other fare Systems analysis at the ship nually developed and enhanced formation technology systems. alog and collaboration between equirements. eas where weight-based algorithms tership costs more accurately estimates in support of future mating Relationships (CERs) to op cost estimating tools which tracking of the long-term evolution the areas to the examined are						
FY 2016 OCO Plans: N/A							
Title: Joint Mission Assessment Studies	Articles:	2.487 -	4.249	3.724		3.724	
Description: CBA - The CBA is the JCIDS analysis process that includes three and the FSA. The results of the CBA are used to develop a joint capabilities de FNA) or initial capabilities document (based on the full analysis). CBA funding the means to develop the analytic underpinning required by Chairman of the J3170.01G to support the determination of Naval war fighting capabilities and for the JROC/JCIDS requirements validation process and to inform Program Objections.	ocument (based on the FAA and provides the resource sponsors Joint Chiefs of Staff Instruction orce structure needed to support						

PE 0605853N: *Management, Technical & Intl Supt* Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 40 of 65

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy	Date: February 2015		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6	, ,	- , (umber/Name) Mission Assessment Studies

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
FY 2014 Accomplishments: Continue CBA's for off-board data transfer for mine warfare systems, Navy salvage, adversary aircraft, and underwater breathing apparatus. Commence CBA for surface connector replacement and undergraduate flight training.					
FY 2015 Plans: Complete CBA's for undergraduate flight training and surface connector replacement, and commence CBA's for candidate systems such as neutralization of sea mines in the near-surface zone and the active Radio Frequency free-fall expendable decoy. Expand warfighting gap assessments to address interaction of mission area kill chain platforms, sensors, and weapons in a system-of-system construct.					
FY 2016 Base Plans: Continue Capabilities-Based Assessments (CBA) such as advanced Naval surface fires and Naval aviation training to identify future capability requirements. Develop metrics to describe the effectiveness of solutions, and evaluate current and programmed systems ability to meet capability requirements to determine capability gaps. Expand warfighting gap assessments addressing interaction of mission area kill chain platforms, sensors, and weapons in a system-of-system construct.					
FY 2016 OCO Plans: N/A					
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	22.500	24.880	17.063	-	17.063

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A.

N/A

E. Performance Metrics

The overall goal is to conduct analysis to support the Navy decisions needed to turn strategy and guidance into the Fleet needed within acceptable risk. The May 2007 revision of the Joint Chiefs of Staff's Joint Capabilities Integration and Development System (JCIDS) instruction (CJCSI 3170.01F) requires a Capabilities-Based

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 41 of 65

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy			Date: February 2015
Appropriation/Budget Activity	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)	Project (N	umber/Name)
1319 / 6	PE 0605853N I Management, Technical &	2221. I JT	Mission Assessment Studies
	Intl Supt		
Assessment (CBA) to assess new requirements. A CBA instruction has been d	eveloped by the Chief Navy Office's warfare in	ntegration o	ffice that prescribes a procedure

Assessment (CBA) to assess new requirements. A CBA instruction has been developed by the Chief Navy Office's warfare integration office that prescribes a procedure and structure to this warfighting requirements generation process (JCIDS). A CBA is required to address and validate capability shortfalls or gaps as defined by combatant commanders. It is an analytical process that includes three phases: the Functional Area Analysis, the Functional Needs Analysis, and the Functional Solution Analysis. This process is designed to address future warfighting requirements and analysis needs and improve the quality of Analysis of Alternatives. CBA supports Navy programming decisions and provides the means to develop the analytic underpinning to support the determination of Naval capabilities and force structure recapitalization investments required to fulfill the Maritime Strategy.

The May 2007 revision of the Joint Chiefs of Staff's Joint Capabilities Integration and Development System (JCIDS) instruction (CJCSI 3170.01F) requires a CBA to assess new requirements. A CBA instruction has been developed by the Chief Navy Office's warfare integration office that prescribes a procedure and structure to this warfighting requirements generation process (JCIDS). A CBA is required to address and validate capability shortfalls or gaps as defined by combatant commanders. It is an analytical process that includes three phases: the Functional Area Analysis, the Functional Needs Analysis, and the Functional Solution Analysis. This process is designed to address future warfighting requirements and analysis needs and improve the quality of Analysis of Alternatives. CBA supports Navy programming decisions and provides the means to develop the analytic underpinning to support the determination of Naval capabilities and force structure recapitalization investments required to fulfill the Maritime Strategy.

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt

Navy

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy											Date: February 2015		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6						R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605853N / Management, Technical & 2801 / Ant Intl Supt					Number/Name) ti-Tamper		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
2801: Anti-Tamper	-	-	1.374	1.374	-	1.374	1.374	1.374	1.374	1.401	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			

Note

Realignment from PU 3039 to 2801 starting in FY15.

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)

Perform as the Navy Technical Process Owner for the Anti-Tamper (AT) systems engineering activity that is intended to prevent and/or delay the exploitation of critical technologies in U.S. Systems. Manage the research, design, development, implementation, and testing of AT measures. Coordinate with Department of Defense AT Executive Agent and implement Department of Navy AT policy in conjunction with the Deputy Assistant Secretary Navy. Manage Security and Information Security requirements commensurate with the requirements of ALL Navy Programs throughout their lifecycles.

FY 2016 | FY 2016 | FY 2016

b. Accomplishments/Flanned Flograms (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)			F1 2010	F1 2010	F1 2010
	FY 2014	FY 2015	Base	oco	Total
Title: Anti-Tamper (AT)	-	1.374	1.374	-	1.374
Articles:	-	-	-	-	-
FY 2014 Accomplishments:					
N/A					
FY 2015 Plans:					
Champion new technology with a focused and disciplined approach of development, assessment,					
evaluation, and transition to meet Navy program AT requirements. Maintain role in AT policy development					
for implementation and execution by the SYSCOMs incorporating Navy program AT requirements. Provide secure facilities, networks, computers, video/telephone conference and support personnel for collateral and					
Special Program operational environments to enable Anti-Tamper implementation and execution by SYSCOM's					
programs, incorporating AT requirements.					
FY 2016 Base Plans:					
Continue championing new technology with a focused and disciplined approach of development, assessment,					
evaluation, and transition to meet Navy program AT requirements. Maintain role in AT policy development					
for implementation and execution by the SYSCOMs incorporating Navy program AT requirements. Provide					
secure facilities, networks, computers, video/telephone conference and support personnel for collateral and					

UNCLASSIFIED

Navy Page 43 of 65 R-1 Line #158

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy			Date: February 2015
, , ,	, ,	Project (N 2801 / Anti	umber/Name) i-Tamper

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
Special Program operational environments to enable AT implementation and execution by SYSCOM's programs, incorporating AT requirements.					
FY 2016 OCO Plans: N/A					
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	_	1.374	1.374	-	1.374

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

E. Performance Metrics

Manage the research, design, development, implementation and testing of Anti-Tamper measures for the Department of the Navy. Manage Information Security for all navy programs throughout their lifecycles.

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 44 of 65

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy										Date: February 2015		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6					, , , , ,				Number/Name) d-Range Financial Improvement			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
3025: Mid-Range Financial Improvement Plans	-	0.819	0.717	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.536
Quantity of RDT&E Articles		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

One of DoD's and Navy's priority goals is to gain a clean and auditable financial statement. The Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) Comptroller, in his 8 August 2003 memorandum, directed the Military Departments and Defense Agencies, in coordination with the Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS), to prepare a comprehensive mid-range financial improvement plan to identify measurable steps to ensure each material line is auditable, and ensure all major deficiencies are resolved.

This project supports the Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy (RDT&E,N) portion of the larger DoD and Navy-wide effort to implement the Financial Improvement Plan (FIP). Corrective actions required to resolve known deficiencies and determine resource requirements (people and systems) are being identified.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)			FY 2016	FY 2016	FY 2016
	FY 2014	FY 2015	Base	oco	Total
Title: Mid-Range Financial Improvement Plans	0.819	0.717	-	-	-
Articles:	-	-	-	_	-
Description: Increase from FY13 to FY14 due to increased effort for audit assertion.					
FY 2014 Accomplishments:					
- Continued to participate in the Navy Financial Management Office (FMO) Business Process Standardization					
(BPS) initiatives.					
- Continued to participate in the FMO segment testing which includes Reimbursable Work Order (RWO) Grantor,					
RWO-Performer, transportation of people, CivPay, and Funds Distribution and Reporting for the Navy's assertion					
of Audit readiness.					
- Continued eliminating problem disbursements older than 120 days, narrowing to 60 days, and potentially					
narrowing even further.					
- Continued performing obligation validations ensuring accuracy.					
FY 2015 Plans:					
- Continue all efforts of FY14.					
FY 2016 Base Plans:					

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy			Date: February 2015	
Appropriation/Budget Activity	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)	Project (N	umber/Name)	
1319 / 6	PE 0605853N I Management, Technical &	3025 I Mid-Range Financial Improver		
	Intl Supt	Plans		

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
- The Mid-Range Financial Improvement Plan effort was transferred to PE 0605861N Project 0135 for FY16 and out.					
FY 2016 OCO Plans: N/A					
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	0.819	0.717	-	-	-

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

E. Performance Metrics

Financial records are compliant in accordance with the Chief Financial Officers Act.

PE 0605853N: *Management, Technical & Intl Supt* Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 46 of 65

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy											Date: February 2015			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 319 / 6 R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605853N / Management, Technical & Intl Supt Program Program						,	ure							
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Cost To Complete	Total Cost		
3027: Defense Critical Infrastructure Program	-	-	-	5.800	-	5.800	6.170	6.603	7.107	7.100	Continuing	Continuing		
Quantity of RDT&E Articles		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)

Funds received pursuant to the transfer of budget authority from OUSD Policy (OUSD (P)) Homeland Defense Mission Assurance Directorate will be used for infrastructure analysis, assessment, and research required to support execution of the Defense Critical Infrastructure Program (DCIP). Additionally, the transferred budget authority will be used to provide in-depth/cross-cutting analysis to the Mission Assurance (MA)/DCIP programs at the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD), Joint Staff, Military Departments/Services, Defense Sector Lead Agencies (DSLAs), and Combatant Commands. NSWC-MAD will also perform cyber mission assurance research and provide expertise in infrastructure mitigation techniques.

FY 2016 | FY 2016 | FY 2016

	FY 2014	FY 2015	Base	oco	Total
Title: DCIP Onsite Assessment, Analysis Support, and Reports	-	-	1.700	_	1.700
Articles:	-	-	-	_	-
FY 2014 Accomplishments:					
N/A					
FY 2015 Plans:					
N/A					
FY 2016 Base Plans:					
Mission Assurance Division (MAD) will conduct vulnerability assessments for the Combatant Commanders					
(CCMDs), Services, and Agencies as directed by Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Homeland					
Defense (OASD HD) and in coordination with the Joint Staff. For the performance period, the MAD will support the Joint Mission Assurance Assessment Pilot (JMAAP) assessments as well as other critical infrastructure					
assessments based on OASD HD priorities. These on-site assessments of DCI are required to meet annual					
policy requirements and to ensure assets nominated as Defense Critical Asset (DCA) are fully vetted and					
validated. Assessment teams will normally consist of a mission analyst and four infrastructure analysts, but team					
composition may be modified based on the site and assessment requirements. The assessments include pre-					
site work, on-site work, and post-site report writing. The final assessment report will include collected research such as Baseline Elements of Information (BEI), Benchmarks and Standards, characterizing infrastructure /					
identifying vulnerabilities to missions, and completing the vulnerability portion of the Risk Decision Package.					
destructing terror destructions, and completing the validation of the rack boolean's destage.		I		I	

UNCLASSIFIED

Navy Page 47 of 65 R-1 Line #158

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy				Date: Febr	uary 2015		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/I PE 0605853N / Management, Tec Intl Supt						
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities i	n Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	
The MAD will work with U.S. Air Force Criticality and Risk Assessment (CARA) supplement data evaluation for Critical Infrastructure Protection (CIP) offices a MA Director, the Joint Staff J34 and Service CIP offices							
FY 2016 OCO Plans: N/A							
Title: Central Analytic Capability (CAC) for Research and Data Development	-		0.970	-	0.970		
FY 2014 Accomplishments: N/A							
FY 2015 Plans: N/A							
FY 2016 Base Plans: The MAD will serve as a centralized analytical arm and fusion center for DoD-cand also for worldwide commercial and host nation owned infrastructure that D will provide actionable analytic products and share infrastructure information w partners to meet requirements identified in Policy. They will continue to work w mature the Critical Asset Identification Process (CAIP), including working with to document suggested improvements and identify interdependency trends and They will work with CCMDs, Services, Sectors, and Agencies to conduct deepend develop web services to transfer data between DCIP Systems. The MAD was analysis support of regions and/or specific countries across CCMD areas of resin U.S. Central Command (USCENTCOM), U.S. Pacific Command (USPACOM) (USSOUTHCOM) AORs in the upcoming period.	oD depends upon for MA. They ith DCIP stakeholders and MA ith OASD HD to refine and DCIP community members d remediation opportunities. dive infrastructure research will provide reachback desktop sponsibility (AORs), with focus						
In addition, the MAD will provide analytical and on-site assistance, as required aspects of MA. Additional tasks can include on-site analysis based on OASD F of needs / requirements. Tasks can range between full infrastructure analysis afor operational planning, to specific infrastructure analysis involving telecommuniformation Grid (GIG), and electric power infrastructure security. The MAD will of Defense (OSD) Policy, Acquisition Technology and Logistics Installations and AT&L Operational Energy in developing and refining Energy Security documents.	ID and CCMD prioritization across particular countries inication assets, the Global I assist Office of the Secretary id Environment (AT&L I&E) and						

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 48 of 65

5110	LASSIFIED					
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy				Date: Febr	uary 2015	
1319 / 6	-1 Program Element (Number/ E 0605853N / Management, Tec atl Supt			umber/Nan ense Critica		ture
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in I	Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
procedures, and refining lists of potential mitigation options for energy-related vul an integrating function by providing a source for historical and ongoing DCIP ana research data and feedback, geospatial information, and threat and hazard mapp revise MA benchmark standards in conjunction with Joint Staff, Defense Thread I selected assessment service teams. They will continue to update the DCIP bench information to support MA program execution requirements.	ysis information / assessment ing. They will update and Reduction Agency (DTRA), and					
FY 2016 OCO Plans: N/A						
Title: DoD Cyber Critical Infrastructure Support	Articles:			0.900	-	0.90
FY 2014 Accomplishments: N/A						
FY 2015 Plans: N/A						
FY 2016 Base Plans: The MAD will continue to work with OSD Cyber Policy and OASD HD on the Cybregion. In addition, they will be asked to provide follow on analysis of additional of they will also assist in looking at how to better synchronize nascent cyber process cyber assessments and cyber key terrain analysis, into the DCIP methodology. MAD will engage with CCMDs and Sector Agencies on identifying and developing resilience metrics as they pertain to key critical assets in both public and private of technical and situational Cyber Security information (all threats, particularly industintelligence message traffic, internet, private sources, other government agencies that address cyber security threats and vulnerabilities.	ber studies in other regions. ses and capabilities, such as g cyber key terrain data and lomains They will gather trial control systems) from					
FY 2016 OCO Plans: N/A						
Title: Dependency Analysis for MA and Infrastructure Network Operations	Articles:	- -		0.740	-	0.74
FY 2014 Accomplishments:						

PE 0605853N: *Management, Technical & Intl Supt* Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 49 of 65

	MCLASSII ILD					
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy			Date: Febr	uary 2015		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/ PE 0605853N / Management, Ted Intl Supt					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities	s in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
N/A		112014	1 1 2010	Dusc	- 000	Total
FY 2015 Plans: N/A						
FY 2016 Base Plans: The MAD will provide dependency analysis to the DCIP Community. This an and Sector dependencies upon specific DoD-owned infrastructure, and community the Defense Industrial Base (DIB). Specific priorities in the performant of intelligence and mission continuity of assets, as well as COCOM mission adependency reports. They will research Task Critical Asset (TCA) prioritization the final nomination list for review by Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff (COASD HD. This process will include identifying duplicative nominations and/stakeholders to de-conflict, and also work with Joint Staff to develop a vulner task will synchronize efforts for criticality, threat / hazard and vulnerability as decision packages.	mercial critical infrastructure to nce period will include research assurance and infrastructure on and perform analysis to develop CJCS) and to be signed by the or similar assets and work with rability assessment schedule. The					
FY 2016 OCO Plans: N/A						
Title: Crisis Support, Exercise Support and Emergent Requirements Analysis	s Articles:	-		0.440	-	0.44
FY 2014 Accomplishments: N/A						
FY 2015 Plans: N/A						
FY 2016 Base Plans: The MAD will provide deep dive technical analysis on critical infrastructure is affecting DoD missions and Defense Security Cooperation Agency (DSCA) redevelop inputs and provide the Global Situational Awareness Facility (GSAF Center (NMCC) / Joint Staff J33 personnel and senior leaders with actionable added strategic risk management recommendations for critical decision make provide quick response infrastructure research and analysis to the OASD HE Affairs (OASD(HD&ASA)), CCMDs, Services, Sectors, and Agencies in the first structure is a sector of the control of t	response efforts. They will) / National Military Command e analytic products and value- ing during events. They will D and Americas' Security					

PE 0605853N: *Management, Technical & Intl Supt* Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 50 of 65

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy				Date: Febr	uary 2015	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/ PE 0605853N / Management, Tec Intl Supt		Project (N 3027 / Def Program	ne) Il Infrastruci	ture	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantitie	s in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
recommendations to the OASD (HD&ASA) and the DCIP Community on infr service related to pre-event and post-event analysis for manmade or natural relating to threats. The crisis response / preparation of infrastructure analysis be utilized during planned exercises. Through this support, the MAD's infrastructure analysis will help identify the support risk management recommendations during and after the exercises. requirements for DoD and National initiatives at the direction of the OASD (hinfrastructure research and subject matter expertise (SME) analysis, particip groups, and infrastructure-related inquiries by congress. They will identify er related gaps in coordination with CCMDs and DoD agencies related to MA and their impacts via white papers and briefings. U.S. Northern Command (land on-site liaisons provided per request and approval; participation in USN anticipated as part of this tasking.	I disaster incidents and intelligence is to the DCIP Community will also potential crisis impact and will They will support emergent HD&ASA). This support may include pation in DoD or interagency working merging threat vectors and CIP-and Critical Infrastructure Sectors, USNORTHCOM) submissions					
FY 2016 OCO Plans: N/A						
Title: On-Site Liaison to OASD (HD&ASA) and Data Exchange Working Gro	oups Leadership and Participation Articles:		-	0.180		0.180
FY 2014 Accomplishments: N/A						
FY 2015 Plans: N/A						
FY 2016 Base Plans: The MAD will provide one liaison to reside within the OASD (HD&ASA) office support as team leader for the DCIP within the OASD HD&ASA's Mission As will assist with DCIP policy development, facilitate stakeholder meetings, count and perform other inherently government functions as directed. Responsibility of progress by contractor personnel supporting the Mission Assurance Direct critical infrastructure projects. The liaison will manage tasking and deliverable geospatial products, vulnerability assessments, and technology developments.	ssurance Directorate. The liaison ordinate annual program reviews, ties include tasking and monitoring ctorate on cyber, energy and other les of infrastructure analysis,					

PE 0605853N: *Management, Technical & Intl Supt* Navy

UNCLASSIFIED Page 51 of 65

	UNCLASSIFIED						
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy				Date: Febr	uary 2015		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/ PE 0605853N / Management, Ted Intl Supt		Project (Number/Name) 3027 I Defense Critical Infrastructure Program				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantiti	es in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	
support of the OASD HD&ASA and Mission Assurance Directorate. The lia Homeland Defense at meetings, working groups and conferences.	ison may also be asked to represent						
FY 2016 OCO Plans: N/A							
Title: Mission Assurance Knowledge Management and Technical Informat Maintenance			0.870		0.87		
	Articles:						
FY 2014 Accomplishments: N/A							
FY 2015 Plans: N/A							
FY 2016 Base Plans: This support provides for the network backbone and information technolog day operations, and to respond to crises and exercise events in near real-tinformation. Typical tasks provide an early and deep look at an event information for follow on tailored research. The MAD will develop classified web-based gathering and dissemination capabilities to promote data sharing of MA information enhancing knowledge management, community awareness, and the effect decision support activities. This task supports the delivery mechanism for the multiple CCMDs, Services, Sector Leads, the Department of Homeland Section (DoE), and the platforms upon which several MA-supporting systems reside Common Operational Picture for MA and brokers / shares data to MA participated and administrate the portal / tool interfaces and ensure appropriate able to occur within DCIP systems.	ime with accurate and actionable ming senior leaders and allowing geospatial visualization and data ormation. Research will entail iveness of crisis response and other he analysis provided by the MAD to curity (DHS) / Department of Energy e. This task also assists in providing a ners on behalf of the OASD HD&ASA. ion technology (IT) personnel to						
FY 2016 OCO Plans: N/A							
Accomplish	ments/Planned Programs Subtotals	-	-	5.800	_	5.80	

PE 0605853N: *Management, Technical & Intl Supt* Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 52 of 65

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy		Date: February 2015
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,	umber/Name) ense Critical Infrastructure

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

E. Performance Metrics

Program cost, schedule, and performance are measured using a systematic approach with approved program management methods. The results are presented in a monthly financial execution status report. Reports are to be submitted to the Director, MA and the Policy Resource Management Office in OSD Policy and DUSN (P) by the 15th of each succeeding month. The reports will reflect the progress made on each of the project tasks by deliverable and a separate accumulated cost report. Actual versus planned costs will be reflected in the reports at the request of the sponsor.

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 53 of 65

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy											Date: February 2015			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605853N / Management, Technical & 3039 / CHENG Intl Supt				ne)									
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Cost To Complete	Total Cost		
3039: CHENG	-	18.186	13.241	10.827	-	10.827	16.002	15.724	14.904	15.215	Continuing	Continuing		
Quantity of RDT&E Articles		-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-				

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Develops and implements architecture-based systems engineering processes, methods and tools that assure integrated and interoperable systems are delivered to the fleet. This project provides the mission-oriented technical basis for implementing capability-based acquisition management within the Navy to engineer and field Navy and Marine Corps combat systems, weapon systems, and command, control, communications, computers and intelligence (C4I) programs that must operate as family-of-systems (FoS) or system-of-systems (SoS). The focus of this project is on identifying the functions, relationships, and connections between systems at both the force and unit level and across warfare mission areas, and encompasses three key elements: Systems Engineering to provide the framework for making engineering decisions by war fighting capability at the FoS/SoS level and supports consistent engineering and investment decision-making across Navy and Marine Corps programs within capability-based acquisition portfolios. Naval Collaborative Engineering Environment development and implementation as a DON enterprise resource for Naval integration and interoperability information to enable collaboration and decision support among Fleet organizations, Program Executive Offices, Program Managers, Systems Commands, prime contractors, Resource/Warfare Sponsors and Comptroller organizations. Standards, Policies and Guidelines engineering and technical staff to implement DoN, Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) and Joint integration and interoperability initiatives.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
Title: Standards, Policy, and Guidelines Articles:	4.537 -	3.984	2.484 -		2.484
Description: Standards, Policy and Guidelines conducts and provides policy analysis, and studies, Policy issue identification and resolution. Additionally, management and execution of the Information Support Plan (ISP) process for the DON. Assists program offices with ISP planning & development guidance and assistance, conducts ISP reviews; provides comments/recommendations. Liaison with DoD, Joint Staff, and Other Service acquisition/Systems Engineering					
FY 2014 Accomplishments: -Continued policy analysis, studies and guidance to include issue identification and resolution -Continued Program Office ISP planning and development support -Continued ISP Reviews					
FY 2015 Plans: -Continuation of FY14 efforts and initiatives					
FY 2016 Base Plans:					

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt

Navy

Page 54 of 65

	CASSII ILD						
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy				Date: Febr	uary 2015		
1319/6				Project (Number/Name) 3039 / CHENG			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in	Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	
-Continued policy analysis, studies and guidance to include issue identification a -Continued Program Office ISP planning and development support	and resolution						
FY 2016 OCO Plans: N/A							
Title: Naval System Engineering Resource Center (NSERC)	Articles:	2.805	2.213	2.090 -	-	2.090	
Description: Development and implementation of the Navy Enterprise Architect as a DON enterprise resource for Naval integration and interoperability informati and decision support among Fleet organizations, Program Executive Offices, Programments, prime contractors, Resource/Warfare Sponsors and Comptroller organizations, Program Executive Offices, Programments, prime contractors, Resource/Warfare Sponsors and Comptroller organizations. - Completed Phased Delivery (Phase II) of NEAR FY 2015 Plans:	on to enable collaboration ogram Managers, Systems						
- Continue Phased Delivery (Phase III) of NEAR FY 2016 Base Plans:							
- Continue Phased Delivery of NEAR							
FY 2016 OCO Plans: N/A							
Title: Systems Engineering	Articles:	10.844 -	7.044	6.253 -	-	6.253 -	
Description: Provide the framework for making engineering decisions by war fig Systems/System of Systems level and supports consistent engineering and inventage Navy and Marine Corps programs within-capability based acquisition portfolio							
FY 2014 Accomplishments: - Completed and Deliver the Systems Engineering Human Resource Competence - Continued Corrosion Prevention and Control initiatives - Continued Integration & Interoperability (I&I) initiatives	cy Model						

PE 0605853N: *Management, Technical & Intl Supt* Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 55 of 65

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy	Date: February 2015	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605853N / Management, Technical & Intl Supt	Project (Number/Name) 3039 / CHENG

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
- Continued Program Office SEP review and support					
FY 2015 Plans: - Continue Corrosion Prevention and Control initiatives - Complete and transition I&I initatives to OPNAV/Fleet components - Continue Program Office SEP review and support					
FY 2016 Base Plans: Continue Program Office SEP review and support Continue Corrosion Prevention and Control initiatives					
FY 2016 OCO Plans: N/A					
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	18.186	13.241	10.827	-	10.827

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

E. Performance Metrics

Standards, Policy, and Guidelines: - Alignment of SPGs across the Naval Enterprise and with OSD and Joint organizations will support standard acquisition implementation and improve compatibility and interoperability thereby lowering development and maintenance costs across programs

- Aggregating systems for the purpose of conducting certification and accreditation and consolidating mandatory documentation for aggregations versus individual systems will optimize (cost tradeoffs and focus on high priority issues) certifications, reduce paperwork and associated costs, and put attention on systems engineering. IA, and ISPs for systems in their aggregated operational state vice just the individual system development state. Document costs for major programs are \$1 to \$3.5 Million per system. An aggregation may include 15 or more systems with four or more being major systems. Aggregation presents a high potential for Return on Investment.
- NR-KPP processes will clarify requirements and capabilities (including their metrics) that acquisition programs need to develop systems. This clarification will eliminate guesses in terms of operational needs, thereby reducing the risk of program failure and reducing program and life-cycle costs. Naval Collaborative Engineering Environment (NCEE): Number of customers/ users.

Percentage of time the tool is available.

UNCLASSIFIED

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt Page 56 of 65 R-1 Line #158 Navy

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy		Date: February 2015
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605853N I Management, Technical & Intl Supt	Project (Number/Name) 3039 / CHENG
Number of tools integrated into the system. Systems Engineering: Reviews and comment on all ACAT I system engineering plans prese engineering guidance to the Acquisition Program Manager. Review 80% of the MDAP Gate reviews held in FY10 to provide softw integration and interoperability management guidance to the Acquisiti	vare acquisition process improvement guidance, system	

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt Navy

UNCLASSIFIED Page 57 of 65

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy									Date: Febr	uary 2015		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6 R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605853N / Management, Technical & Intl Supt				3330 / Nav	umber/Nan val Researca Modernization	h Ĺaboratory	(NRL)					
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 FY 2016 OCO Total FY 2017 FY 2018			FY 2019	FY 2020	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
3330: Naval Research Laboratory (NRL) Facilities Modernization	-	2.392	1.611	13.849	-	13.849	16.429	16.449	16.207	16.801	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program has been established to provide a systematic and planned approach to improve vital in-house science and technology (S&T) laboratory facilities which are reaching or have reached critical stages of deterioration. The program includes restoration and modernization (R&M) initiatives for about 350,000 net square feet, where the average age of the buildings is 67 years old.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)			FY 2016	FY 2016	FY 2016
	FY 2014	FY 2015	Base	oco	Total
Title: NRL Facilities Modernization	2.392	1.611	13.849	-	13.849
Articles:	-	-	-	-	-
Description: Critical Science and Technology research cannot be sustained or succeed in deteriorated facilities. World class research can only be accomplished in facilities that are at a minimum "adequate", but preferably "state-of-the-art." Due to their advanced age and deterioration, funds are planned to restore/modernize various laboratory facilities at the Naval Research Laboratory.					
The FY 2016 funding increase will support the restoration/modernization the Naval Research Laboratory, the Navy's world class corporate research laboratory, to ensure they can meet future technological threats. Due to advanced age and deterioration of the facilities, the increase in funding is needed to update various laboratory facilities to further the critical science and technology research for the Navy.					
FY 2014 Accomplishments: - Continued the modernization efforts for the electronics science and technology laboratories, equipment, and specialized facilities.					
FY 2015 Plans: - Continue all efforts of FY14.					
FY 2016 Base Plans: - Starting in FY16 the Naval Research Laboratory, the Navy's only Corporate Research Laboratory, will be completing the first phase of its Corporate Facility Investment Plan (CFIP), a comprehensive plan through					

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt Navy UNCLASSIFIED
Page 58 of 65

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy		Date: February 2015
1	, ,	umber/Name) val Research Laboratory (NRL)
	Intl Supt	 Modernization

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
FY25, to modernize its laboratory to ensure they can meet future technological threats. The funds will be used for specific studies, evaluations, and the special handling of highly critical and sensitive laboratories that will be relocated into refurbished buildings. This includes planning, consolidating and relocating of over 100 laboratories into less than 80 laboratories; careful disassembly of one-of-a-kind facilities and equipment by in-house scientists and experts and contractor support with specialized skills to devise unique plans to disassemble, transport, and reassemble the facilities; and the recalibration and specialized reassembly of the highly specialized equipment (e.g., solid-state electronic devises, lasers, vacuum tubes, electrical connections, reactors, gas sensors and chambers, and chemical connectors and distribution systems).					
FY 2016 OCO Plans: N/A					
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	2.392	1.611	13.849	-	13.849

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

None

E. Performance Metrics

Restoration and modernization of the laboratory facilities will begin in a phased approach until completion.

PE 0605853N: *Management, Technical & Intl Supt* Navy

Page 59 of 65

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy										Date: Febr	ruary 2015	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6				R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605853N / Management, Technical & 3363 / PAC Intl Supt					,			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
3363: PACOM Initiative	-	7.664	7.945	13.336	-	13.336	15.711	15.432	15.144	15.461	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

Note

This funding is for a classified effort.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This funding is for a classified effort.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
Title: PACOM Initiative Articles:	7.664	7.945 -	13.336 -		13.336
FY 2014 Accomplishments: This is a classified initiative.					
FY 2015 Plans: This is a classified initiative.					
FY 2016 Base Plans: This is a classified initiative.					
FY 2016 OCO Plans: N/A					
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	7.664	7.945	13.336	-	13.336

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

This funding is for a classified effort.

PE 0605853N: *Management, Technical & Intl Supt* Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 60 of 65

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Nav	vy	Date: February 2015
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605853N / Management, Technical & Intl Supt	Project (Number/Name) 3363 I PACOM Initiative
E. Performance Metrics		
This funding is for a classified effort.		

PE 0605853N: *Management, Technical & Intl Supt* Navy

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy									Date: February 2015			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6				R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605853N / Management, Technical & Intl Supt				Project (Number/Name) 3381 / JIE Initiiative				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
3381: JIE Initiiative	-	-	8.900	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8.900
Quantity of RDT&E Articles		-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-		

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Joint Information Environment (JIE) initiative provides the supporting IT capability framework comprised of shared information technology infrastructure, enterprise services, interoperability with coalition partners and a single security architecture that enables mission commanders to execute mission partnered operations. JIE provides the U.S. configuration controls necessary for enterprise capabilities. By utilizing a U.S enterprise-wide secure Identity and Access Management system, JIE ensures that authorized users at the right classification level gain access to only the data and services they are entitled. The continued development and refinement of a Joint Information Environment will provide for a significant improvement in data sharing within, and between, coalition maritime elements.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)			FY 2016	FY 2016	FY 2016
	FY 2014	FY 2015	Base	OCO	Total
Title: Strategies for Defense of Data	-	8.900	-	-	-
Articles:	-	-	-	-	-
Description: The Joint Information Environment (JIE) initiative provides the supporting IT capability framework comprised of shared information technology infrastructure, enterprise services, interoperability with coalition partners and a single security architecture that enables mission commanders to execute mission partnered operations. JIE provides the U.S. configuration controls necessary for enterprise capabilities. By utilizing a U.S enterprise-wide secure Identity and Access Management system, JIE ensures that authorized users at the right classification level gain access to only the data and services they are entitled. The continued development and refinement of a Joint Information Environment will provide for a significant improvement in data sharing within, and between, coalition maritime elements.					
FY 2014 Accomplishments: N/A					
FY 2015 Plans: Develop and integrate analytic methodologies and supporting elements that address cyber capabilities, innovations, and strategic engagements in order to produce a strategy for a more defensible and secure cyber architecture specifically designed for U.S. Pacific Command, joint, and coalition mission partners.					
FY 2016 Base Plans:					

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt Navy UNCLASSIFIED Page 62 of 65

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy	Date: February 2015		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6	,	Project (N 3381 / JIE	umber/Name) Initiiative

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
N/A					
N/A					
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	-	8.900	-	-	-

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

Utilize an existing Army contract to develop capabilities to identify and provide risk management for critical infrastructure system vulnerabilities as a result of cyber based attacks in the U.S. Pacific Command area of responsibility. This information is for presentation to the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence (OUSD(I)).

E. Performance Metrics

Develop scenario based, interactive exercise simulations to supplement existing table top, command post, and full scale incident response training and exercise programs. The completion date for the strategy and cyber architecture recommendations is September 2015.

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt Navy

Page 63 of 65

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy									Date: Feb	ruary 2015		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 6						,			Number/Name) ongressional Adds			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
9999: Congressional Adds	-	7.000	15.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22.000
Quantity of RDT&E Articles		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Funds execution of DoD's Executive Agent (EA) for Printed Circuit Board (PrCB) Technologies as established by the 2009 National Defense Authorization Act (Section 256, PL 110-417). The primary deliverable from this effort will be a PrCB and Interconnect Technology Roadmap, or strategic plan, identifying domestic technology gaps, future research and development needs, and any policy changes required to ensure that the DoD has access to PrCB manufacturing capabilities and technical expertise necessary to meet future military requirements. As mandated, the EA will also address DoD PrCB supply chain issues, including diversity and vulnerabilities, and develop trustworthiness requirements for PrCBs used in defense systems.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2014	FY 2015
Congressional Add: Printed Circuit Board Executive Agent	7.000	15.000
FY 2014 Accomplishments: - Complete domestic technology gap analysis & identification of future R&D needs - Complete assessment of vulnerabilities, trustworthiness, and diversity of the PrCB supply chain - Complete joint common strategic weapons systems study/research and development to demonstrate "trusted" PrCB principles and implement proven "trust" measures - Complete establishment of strategic electronic parts commonality framework and strategic parts library to reduce program risk and costs - Complete development of PRCB and interconnect technology roadmap/strategic plan		
FY 2015 Plans: - Complete domestic technology gap analysis & identification of future R&D needs - Complete assessment of vulnerabilities, trustworthiness, and diversity of the PrCB supply chain - Complete joint common strategic weapons systems study/research and development to demonstrate "trusted" PrCB principles and implement proven "trust" measures - Complete establishment of strategic electronic parts commonality framework and strategic parts library to reduce program risk and costs - Complete development of PRCB and interconnect technology roadmap/strategic plan		
Congressional Adds Subtotals	7.000	15.000

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

Navy

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy	Date: February 2015		
, , ,	,	, ,	umber/Name) agressional Adds
	Intl Supt	00007 007	igrosolonar, tado

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

E. Performance Metrics

In 2005, the National Academies national research council (NRC) conducted a study and subsequently issued a report relating to manufacturing trends in printed circuit technology. Within that report, the NRC detailed four critical issue areas that needed to be addressed in the interest of national security. Those issue areas included; Trust, Supply Chain, Organic (DoD) Capability Sustainment, and Technology Development.

Intense global competition in the Printed Circuit Board (PrCB) industry has resulted in a significant reduction in the number of United States PrCB companies, leaving the domestic PrCB industrial base "fragile" and progressively declining in capability and health. Implementation of the strategies developed by the EA for PrCB Technologies will proactively ensure that the U.S. PrCB industry is capable of supporting future DoD electronics requirements. The strategic plan is expected to indentify technology gaps and research and development needs, as well as identify and recommend policy changes.

In addition to benefiting DoD by ensuring access to leading edge PrCB technology, it is expected that the EA program will help the struggling domestic PrCB industry by indentifying future technology research and development needs and securing the domestic military market.

PE 0605853N: Management, Technical & Intl Supt Navy

Page 65 of 65