Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2016 Navy

Date: February 2015

Appropriation/Budget Activity

1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy I BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603609N / Conventional Munitions

COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	206.754	8.380	7.603	7.678	-	7.678	9.208	9.000	8.611	8.790	Continuing	Continuing
0363: Insensitive Munitions Adv. Development	206.754	8.380	7.603	7.678	-	7.678	9.208	9.000	8.611	8.790	Continuing	Continuing

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Most Navy munitions react violently when exposed to unplanned stimuli such as fire, shock and bullet or fragment impact, thus presenting a great hazard to ships, aircraft and personnel. The Insensitive Munitions Advanced Development (IMAD) program will provide, validate, and transition technology to all new weapon developments and priority weapon systems and enable production of munitions insensitive to these stimuli with no reduction in combat performance. Insensitive Munitions (IM) is the Navy's focused effort on propellants, propulsion units, explosives, warheads, fuses and pyrotechnics to reduce the severity of cook-off and bullet/ fragment impact reactions, minimizing the probability for sympathetic detonation, both in normal storage and in use, increasing ship and platform survivability and satisfying performance and readiness requirements.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
Previous President's Budget	8.404	7.603	9.210	-	9.210
Current President's Budget	8.380	7.603	7.678	-	7.678
Total Adjustments	-0.024	-	-1.532	-	-1.532
 Congressional General Reductions 	-	-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 	-	-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 	-	-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.024	-			
 Program Adjustments 	-	-	-	-	-
Rate/Misc Adjustments	-	-	-1.532	-	-1.532

Change Summary Explanation

The FY 2016 funding request was reduced by \$0.935 million to account for the availability of prior year execution balances.

PE 0603609N: Conventional Munitions

Page 1 of 9 Navy

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	Date: February 2015											
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 4					R-1 Progra PE 060360		t (Number/ entional Mur	umber/Name) ensitive Munitions Adv. ent				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
0363: Insensitive Munitions Adv. Development	206.754	8.380	7.603	7.678	-	7.678	9.208	9.000	8.611	8.790	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Energetic materials producibility is demonstrated to assure national capability to produce and load munitions systems. The program leverages are being closely coordinated with other military departments, North Atlantic Treaty organization (NATO) and allied countries to eliminate redundant efforts and maximize efficiency. A joint service IM requirement has been developed and through the IM strategic planning process, all Program Executive Offices (PEO) are implementing IM in their priority munitions. IM are identified as a Department of Defense (DoD) critical technology requirement and considered as part of a weapon design. The IMAD program matures the technology developed by a variety of Science and Technology (S&T) sources for program management integration into weapons systems to meet the IM technical deficiencies documented in the PEO IM Strategic Plans. IMAD provides the link between S&T programs and the program managers (PM) by optimizing IM technologies to meet Navy requirements. IMAD offers risk mitigation for the PMs in terms of IM technical knowledge, expertise and manpower with the state of the art expertise across IM products. Each technology area is divided into subtasks addressing specific munition and munition class IM deficiencies.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)			FY 2016	FY 2016	FY 2016
	FY 2014	FY 2015	Base	oco	Total
Title: Insensitive Munitions Adv. Development	8.380	7.603	7.678	-	7.678
Articles:	-	-	-	-	-
Description: Validate and assess weapon systems plan of action and milestones for IM compliance. Review Insensitive Munitions Strategic Plan (IMSP) for Navy compile and analyze weapon system, energetic material and generic technology IM test data. Perform Threat Hazard Assessments (THAs). Perform analysis of energetic material properties logistic process. Review IM certification and waivers. Support Insensitive Munitions Council (IMC), Insensitive Munitions Coordination Group (IMCG), and IMC Working Group. Support and develop Insensitive Munitions Technology Tool (IMT2). Support North Atlantic Treaty Organization Standardization Agreement (NATO STANAG) and Advanced Operations (AOP) development. Support IMAD program briefs. Support all Navy Joint Services Insensitive Munitions Technical Panel (JSIMTP) meetings. Support Explosive Safety Working Group (ESWG) meetings. Provide task management support for financial management, review of programmatic deliverables and overall task coordination.					
FY 2014 Accomplishments: Evaluated and demonstrated IM propellants and propulsion systems which provide improved or comparable performance to in-service systems and better IM characteristics. Combine candidate IM propellants and case					

PE 0603609N: Conventional Munitions

	UNCLASSIFIED							
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy				Date: Febr	uary 2015			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 4	R-1 Program Element (Number PE 0603609N / Conventional Mu			ct (Number/Name) I Insensitive Munitions Adv. opment				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quant	,	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total		
concepts to demonstrate compliance with IM and performance requiremental multi-mission, high performance rocket motor. Evaluated options for min launched applications. Evaluated and demonstrated IM boost propellant systems providing improved and comparable performance to in-service so Assessed combined candidate IM propellants and case concepts to demperformance requirements. Design a composite booster case for Tomah for cook-off and impact scenarios. Looked at new ways to develop rocket performance requirements and solve IM deficiencies. Resolve IM problem ordnance and container concepts. Assessed the operations utility of cur determine current state of IM and prioritize future funding for IM technology and the comparable of the content of a cooperative effort with AGS LRLAP. The technical focus is on new with demonstrated MK54 ASROC VLA solid propellant rocket IM capabilities and qualify improved booster explosives and insensitive metalized proper Tomahawk weapon systems. Evaluation of all issues and concerns related Performed demonstration and qualification testing of AMRAAM and Side to improve response to combat and hazards. Evaluated and provide a nof Navy munitions. Assessed characterization of MEMS in support of IM and qualified insensitive primer for large caliber gun propellant charges. JIMTP to transition JIMTP's S&T products to address PEO IM requirements comprehensive IM technology requirements list that helps to focus IM technology requirements list that helps to focus IM technology in the comprehensive IM technology requirements in IM compliant rock systems such as Standard Missile and Tomahawk; and to perform proce thermoplastic Elastomer Explosives (TPE) a potential replacement for a FY 2015 Plans: Evaluate and demonstrate IM propellants and propulsion systems which	imum smoke propellants for shoulder formulation for future Tomahawk systems and better IM characteristics. In constrate compliance with IM and lawk which will improve IM performance et propellant formulations that meet ims using top down approach. Evaluated trent and projected IM improvements to orgy. Assessed shielding evaluation of ind cook-off with AUR pallet in support weapons and PIP. Evaluated and that meet performance. Demonstrated ellants that are IM compliant for ited to heated RDX discoloration. Ewinder for joint insensitive munitions include ballistic shield for protection IMAD works collaboratively with the ents. The PEOs IMSPs provide a chnology thrusts throughout DoD. If orts such as: the demonstration (GP) Bombs; the demonstration ket motors for high performance ess development of cook-off resistant all explosives.							

PE 0603609N: Conventional Munitions

Navy

Page 3 of 9

performance to in-service systems and better IM characteristics. Combine candidate IM propellants and case concepts to demonstrate compliance with IM and performance requirements. Demonstrate an insensitive multi-mission, high performance rocket motor. Evaluate options for minimum smoke propellants for shoulder

xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy										
Appropriation/Rudget Activity	Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy									
319 / 4	R-1 Program Element (Number/ PE 0603609N / Conventional Mul	•	0363 / Inse	oject (Number/Name) 63 I Insensitive Munitions Adv. evelopment						
3. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Qu	antities in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total				
aunched applications. Evaluate and demonstrate IM boost propellant providing improved and comparable performance to in-service system combined candidate IM propellants and case concepts to demonstrate equirements. Design a composite booster case for Tomahawk which off and impact scenarios. Look at new ways to develop rocket propel equirements and solve IM deficiencies. Resolve IM problems using the and container concepts. Assess the operations utility of current and particular state of IM and prioritize future funding for IM technology. Assembly a storage canister. Review modeling to solve impact and cook-off effort with AGS LRLAP. The technical focus is on new weapons and a ASROC VLA solid propellant rocket IM capabilities that meet perform proposed to a solve impact and cook-off effort with AGS LRLAP. The technical focus is on new weapons and a systems. Evaluation of all issues and concerns related to heated RD and qualification testing of AMRAAM and Sidewinder for joint insensition and hazards. Evaluate and provide a modular ballistic shield characterization of MEMS in support of IM Navy qualifications. Demonstrative propellant charges. IMAD works collaboratively	ms and better IM characteristics. Assess the compliance with IM and performance in will improve IM performance for cook-llant formulations that meet performance top down approach. Evaluate ordnance projected IM improvements to determine seess shielding evaluation of Tomahawk with AUR pallet in support of a cooperative PIP. Evaluate and demonstrate MK54 nance. Demonstrate and qualify improved compliant for Tomahawk weapon IX discoloration. Perform demonstration tive munitions to improve response to									

PE 0603609N: Conventional Munitions

Navy

UNCLASSIFIED

concepts to demonstrate compliance with IM and performance requirements. Demonstrate an insensitive multi-mission, high performance rocket motor. Evaluate options for minimum smoke propellants for shoulder launched applications. Evaluate and demonstrate IM boost propellant formulation for future Tomahawk systems providing improved and comparable performance to in-service systems and better IM characteristics. Assess combined candidate IM propellants and case concepts to demonstrate compliance with IM and performance

Page 4 of 9 R-1 Line #53

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy	Date: February 2015	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 4	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603609N / Conventional Munitions	Project (Number/Name) 0363 I Insensitive Munitions Adv. Development

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
requirements. Design a composite booster case for Tomahawk which will improve IM performance for cook- off and impact scenarios. Look at new ways to develop rocket propellant formulations that meet performance requirements and solve IM deficiencies. Resolve IM problems using top down approach. Evaluate ordnance and container concepts. Assess the operations utility of current and projected IM improvements to determine current state of IM and prioritize future funding for IM technology. Assess shielding evaluation of Tomahawk VLS storage canister. Review modeling to solve impact and cook-off with AUR pallet in support of a cooperative effort with AGS LRLAP. The technical focus is on new weapons and PIP. Evaluate and demonstrate MK54 ASROC VLA solid propellant rocket IM capabilities that meet performance. Demonstrate and qualify improved booster explosives and insensitive metalized propellants that are IM compliant for Tomahawk weapon systems. Evaluation of all issues and concerns related to heated RDX discoloration. Perform demonstration and qualification testing of AMRAAM and Sidewinder for joint insensitive munitions to improve response to combat and hazards. Evaluate and provide a modular ballistic shield for protection of Navy munitions. Assess characterization of MEMS in support of IM Navy qualifications. Demonstrate and qualify Insensitive Primer for large caliber gun propellant charges. IMAD works collaboratively with the JIMTP to transition JIMTP's S&T products to address PEO IM requirements. The PEOs IMSPs provide a comprehensive IM technology requirements list that helps to focus IM technology thrusts throughout DoD. FY 2016 will continue to support additional efforts such as: the demonstration and qualification of IM improved booster explosive for GP Bombs; the demonstration and qualification of insensitive metalized propellants in IM compliant rocket motors for high performance systems such as Standard Missile and Tomahawk; and to perform process development of cook-off resistant TPE a potential repl					
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	8.380	7.603	7.678	_	7.678

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

IMAD is assigned as a non-ACAT program and therefore does not have program milestones like the ACAT I to IV programs. IMAD develops and evaluates IM technologies for use in Navy weapon systems and is not part of a particular weapon acquisition program.

PE 0603609N: Conventional Munitions Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 5 of 9

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Navy										
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 4	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603609N / Conventional Munitions	Project (Number/Name) 0363 I Insensitive Munitions Adv. Development								
E. Performance Metrics										
Quarterly program reviews										

PE 0603609N: Conventional Munitions Navy

					UIN	ICLAS	SIFIED												
Exhibit R-3, RDT&E I	Project C	ost Analysis: PB 2	2016 Navy	/				,				Date:	February	2015					
Appropriation/Budge 1319 / 4	et Activity	1				R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603609N / Conventional Munitions Development Project (Number/Name) 0363 / Insensitive Munitions Development													
Product Developmen	nt (\$ in M	illions)		FY 2	2014	FY:	2015		2016 ise		2016 CO	FY 2016 Total							
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract				
PROPULSION DEV. AND EVAL.	WR	NAWC DIV/CHINA LAKE : WX	92.664	2.191	Nov 2013	2.350	Nov 2014	2.447	Nov 2015	-		2.447	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing				
EXPLOSIVES DEV. AND EVAL.	WR	NSWC/INDIAN HEAD DIV. : WX	78.368	2.271	Nov 2013	1.884	Nov 2014	1.843	Nov 2015	-		1.843	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing				
ORDNANCE DEV. AND EVAL.	WR	NSWC/DAHLGREN: WX	22.750	1.397	Nov 2013	1.213	Nov 2014	0.992	Nov 2015	-		0.992	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing				
GUN PROPULSION AND EVAL.	WR	NSWC/INDIAN HEAD DIV. : WX	5.207	1.573	Nov 2013	1.309	Nov 2014	1.442	Nov 2015	-		1.442	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing				
		Subtotal	198.989	7.432		6.756		6.724		-		6.724	-	-	-				
Management Service	es (\$ in M	lillions)		FY 2	2014	FY:	2015		2016 ise	FY 2016 OCO						FY 2016 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract				
PROGRAM MANAGEMENT SUPT	WR	NOSSA : IN HEAD MD	5.653	0.156	Nov 2013	0.157	Nov 2014	0.159	Nov 2015	-		0.159	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing				
PROGRAM MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	MIPR	DTIC : FT BELVOIR VA	2.112	0.792	Nov 2013	0.690	Nov 2014	0.795	Nov 2015	-		0.795	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing				
		Subtotal	7.765	0.948		0.847		0.954		-		0.954	-	-	-				
			Prior Years	FY 2	2014	FY:	2015		2016 ise		2016 CO	FY 2016 Total	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract				

Remarks

PE 0603609N: Conventional Munitions Navy

Project Cost Totals

206.754

8.380

UNCLASSIFIED Page 7 of 9

7.603

7.678

R-1 Line #53

7.678

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB	2016 Na	avy																					Date	: Fe	brua	ary :	201	5	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 4										_		Elei I / C		•				•		036	3 / /	•	ı mbe nsitiv nt			•	s Ad	V.	
		F	Y 20	14		F	Y 20	15		F	Y 20)16		F	Y 2	017			FY	2018	3		FY 2	019			FY	2020)
				14 3	4)15 3	4)16 3	4	- Ē		017 3	4	1	FY 2	1	4	1	FY 2		4	1	FY 2		4
Proj 0363					4				4				4	- Ē			4	1		1		1			4	1			4

PE 0603609N: Conventional Munitions Navy

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2016 Navy		Date: February 2015	
, , ,	PE 0603609N / Conventional Munitions	• `	umber/Name) ensitive Munitions Adv. ent

Schedule Details

	Start		End	
Events by Sub Project	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
Proj 0363				
Insensitive Munitions Adv. Development: TBD	1	2014	1	2020

PE 0603609N: Conventional Munitions Navy