Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2016 Office of the Secretary Of Defense

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6:

PE 0606100D8Z I Budget and Program Assessments

Date: February 2015

RDT&E Management Support

Appropriation/Budget Activity

COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	14.572	4.005	4.093	4.123	-	4.123	4.161	4.210	4.259	4.325	Continuing	Continuing
101: Budget and Program Assessments	14.572	4.005	4.093	4.123	-	4.123	4.161	4.210	4.259	4.325	Continuing	Continuing

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program supports the Office of the Director, Cost Assessment & Program Evaluation (CAPE). It funds assessments that help to resolve budget and programmatic issues across the full range of the Department's activities. Projects that support this effort help to inform the leadership on program alternatives, capability concept development, design and cost, the appropriate balance of capabilities across the force, and also to identify how well the Department's expenditures are meeting its goals, and how well the force can implement the Defense strategy.

This program provides for analytical research across a spectrum of issues and concerns. The research agenda is focused on near to long-term problems identified by the Secretary of Defense, and addresses difficult and complex questions linked to program alternatives for current and future capabilities and forces in order to enhance the senior leadership's deliberations and decision-making.

This program provides the scientific and technical engineering services needed for research studies in the development of models and simulations and the evaluation of current analytical tools and scientific methods used to evaluate and assess weapons systems and warfighting capabilities for warfighting environments and scenarios, and related force structure. Deliverables from this program will include reports, briefings, and analyses designed to illuminate critical issues facing the Department. Outcomes include recommendations for new modeling techniques, programmatic alternatives, and scenario development.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
Previous President's Budget	4.068	4.100	4.150	-	4.150
Current President's Budget	4.005	4.093	4.123	-	4.123
Total Adjustments	-0.063	-0.007	-0.027	-	-0.027
Congressional General Reductions	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
Congressional Rescissions	-	-			
Congressional Adds	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.063	-			
Economic Assumptions	-	-	-0.027	-	-0.027
• FFRDC	-	-0.007	-	-	-
<ul><li>Reprogrammings</li><li>SBIR/STTR Transfer</li><li>Economic Assumptions</li></ul>	-	-		- -	-0.027 -

hibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2016 Office of the Sec	retary Of Defense	Date: February 2015		
propriation/Budget Activity 00: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6: 0T&E Management Support	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0606100D8Z I Budget and Program Assessments			
Change Summary Explanation  Program reduced minimally to better align with Department priorities.				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Office of the Secretary Of Defense								Date: February 2015				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6					R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0606100D8Z I Budget and Program Assessments				Project (Number/Name) 101 / Budget and Program Assessments			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
101: Budget and Program Assessments	14.572	4.005	4.093	4.123	-	4.123	4.161	4.210	4.259	4.325	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program supports the Office of the Director, Cost Assessment & Program Evaluation (CAPE). It funds assessments that help to resolve budget and programmatic issues across the full range of the Department's activities. Projects that support this effort help to inform the leadership on program alternatives, capability concept development, design and cost, the appropriate balance of capabilities across the force, and also to identify how well the Department's expenditures are meeting its goals, and how well the force can implement the Defense strategy.

This program provides for analytical research across a spectrum of issues and concerns. The research agenda is focused on near to long-term problems identified by the Secretary of Defense, and addresses difficult and complex questions linked to program alternatives for current and future capabilities and forces in order to enhance DoD senior leadership's deliberations and decision-making.

This program provides the scientific and technical engineering services needed for research studies in the development of models and simulations and the evaluation of current analytical tools and scientific methods used to evaluate and assess weapons systems and warfighting capabilities for warfighting environments and scenarios, and related force structure. Deliverables from this program will include reports, briefings, and analyses designed to illuminate critical issues facing the Department. Outcomes include recommendations for new modeling techniques, programmatic alternatives, and scenario development.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Title: OSD Support for Programming Budget	4.005	4.093	4.123
<b>Description:</b> This program provides for analytical research across a spectrum of issues and concerns. The research agenda is focused on near to long-term problems identified by the Secretary of Defense, and addresses difficult and complex questions linked to program alternatives for current and future capabilities and forces in order to enhance the senior leadership's deliberations and decision-making.			
FY 2014 Accomplishments: - Evaluated and upgraded the cost analysis tools used to inform program, budget, and Defense Acquisition Board reviews Analyzed war-fighting and joint operations to support major defense reviews, including transformation initiatives, force and weapons systems requirements, and AoAs to support major acquisition decisions; land forces, including the manning, equipping, training, sustaining, and fighting these forces with special emphasis on the resources needed to accomplish these activities.			

	UNCLASSIFIED				
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Office of the	ne Secretary Of Defense		Date: F	ebruary 201	5
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	Project (Number/Name) 101 / Budget and Program Assessmen				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
<ul> <li>Analyzed mobility requirements and modernization decisions for defense strategy; force structure and investment decisions for pre presence postures.</li> <li>Evaluated scenarios for reducing logistics vulnerabilities to include technological trends. Developed determinations of impact on national Analyzed sea and airbase scenarios to support transformation in Evaluated medical cost growth to reliably forecast costs for budge alternative benefit structures and policies on future costs.</li> <li>Continued to analyze alternative cyber defense strategies to imp Department of Defense, by support of training objectives and scerdata driven analysis.</li> <li>Continued to analyze sexual assault investigations from Service that can be developed or expanded to eliminate the problem.</li> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Continue to evaluate and upgrade the cost analysis tools used to reviews.</li> <li>Continue to analyzed war-fighting and joint operations to support force and weapons systems requirements, and AoAs to support mequipping, training, sustaining, and fighting these forces with speciativities.</li> <li>Analyze mobility requirements and modernization decisions for a defense strategy; force structure and investment decisions for pre presence postures.</li> <li>Evaluate scenarios for reducing logistics vulnerabilities to include technological trends; and developed strategies to reduce the imparation and provided cost growth to reliably forecast costs for budget benefit structures and policies on future costs.</li> <li>Analyze alternative cyber defense strategies to improve the cyber by support of training objectives and scenarios and advocating for Continue to analyze sexual assault investigations from Service Matacan be developed or expanded to eliminate the problem.</li> <li>FY 2016 Plans:</li> </ul>	de evaluation of threat databases, demographics, and onal security resources. Initiatives. Initiatives are proved to evaluate the impact of prove the cyber security and mission assurance of the narios and advocating for and assisting in the development. Military Criminal Investigative Organizations to identify protein inform program, budget, and Defense Acquisition Board at major defense reviews, including transformation initiatives are proved to the resources needed to accomplish these arilifit aircraft, sealift vessels, and tankers in support of the positioning ashore and afloat and the impact of forward are evaluation of threat databases, demographics, and act on national security resources. It imports a company to the development of the Department of Degraphics and assisting in the development of a data driven analysis and assisting in the development of a data driven analysis.	grams s, ing, enative fense,			

Armbit N-2A, No rac i roject dustinication. I b 2010 Office of the decretary of belefise		Date: 1 Columny 2010				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0606100D8Z I Budget and Program Assessments	<b>Proj</b> e	essments			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	
Studies, analyses, and assessments will be focused on:						
- Improving cost analysis tools to inform program, budget, and	Defense Acquisition Board reviews					
- In support of WSARA, independently assessing, analyzing, ar	nd, where appropriate, updating cost indices, inflation rates,	and				
escalation rates used in preparation of the President's budget f	or major acquisition programs.					
- Developing and enhancing databases that provide cost data f	or major weapon systems					
- Improving estimates produced by the Defense Employment a	nd Purchases Projection System and Defense Translator, wl	hich				
are used in decision briefs to the President, Congress, Secreta	ry of Defense, and Deputy Secretary of Defense. The transl	lator				
accounts for the distribution of Defense spending among the in	dustries producing the goods and services that support DoD	).				

## C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

cost and mission effectiveness of proposed improvements.
- Scenarios and modeling for mobile intelligence targets.

examining the cost of all mission alternatives and acquisition strategies.

**Exhibit R-2A RDT&E Project Justification:** PB 2016 Office of the Secretary Of Defense

- Modeling and analysis of aircraft survivability against various threat detection approaches and in various operational

- Modeling and analysis of logistical vulnerabilities against various threats and in various operational environments. Assessing the

- In support of the Defense Strategic Guidance, analyzing rotary wing programs to assess alternative platforms for combat rescue;

environments. Assessing the ability of aircraft and weapons to operate in anti-access/area denial regions.

N/A

#### Remarks

### D. Acquisition Strategy

A mix of competitive contracts with commercial firms and research provided by university affiliated research centers (UARCs), and Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDCs).

#### **E. Performance Metrics**

The products or expected outcomes of this program are studies and analyses to support resource allocation decisions, major defense acquisition decisions, and issues of high interest to the Secretary of Defense. Performance is measured by the quality of the analyses and is monitored through the review of the organizational assessment process. The primary goal is to ensure that study and analytical products are timely, clear, complete, accurate, responsive, balanced, and objective.

**Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals** 

Date: February 2015

4.005

4.093

4.123