Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2016 Office of the Secretary Of Defense

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)

Appropriation/Budget Activity

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6:

RDT&E Management Support

PE 0605104D8Z I Technical Studies Support and Analysis

Date: February 2015

| COST (\$ in Millions) | Prior Years | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 Base | FY 2016 OCO | FY 2016 Total | FY 2017 | FY 2018 | FY 2019 | FY 2020 | Cost To Complete | Total Cost |
|-------------------------|----------------|---------|---------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------------------|---------------|
| Total Program Element | 63.952 | 21.200 | 24.466 | 24.936 | - | 24.936 | 25.863 | 25.574 | 25.062 | 25.398 | Continuing | Continuing |
| P421: Technical Studies | 63.952 | 21.200 | 24.466 | 24.936 | - | 24.936 | 25.863 | 25.574 | 25.062 | 25.398 | Continuing | Continuing |

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program is a key source of funding for the Office of the Secretary of Defense and the Joint Staff to manage studies, analysis, management, and technical support efforts strategically to improve and support policy development, decision making, management and administration of DoD programs and activities. Studies and analysis will examine current and alternative policies, plans, operations, strategies and budgets, providing essential means for managing and responding to the ever-changing complex international, political, technological, economic, military, and acquisition environments in which national security planning decisions are made. Independent analyses from subject matter experts are instrumental for senior defense planners in making informed choices regarding requirements for force planning and strategic deployment of assets taking into account technological challenges and resource constraints, and there is a strong need to incorporate the effects of operational analysis in force planning assessments. With the expanding complexities of security threats in the geopolitical environment, the need for objective analysis and forward looking planning for the mid and long-term is vital.

Budget estimates reflect transfer of the Global Theater Security Cooperation Management Information Systems (TSCMIS) program to the Defense Security Cooperation Agency beginning in FY 2014.

| B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions) | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 Base | FY 2016 OCO | FY 2016 Total |
|---|---------|---------|--------------|-------------|---------------|
| Previous President's Budget | 21.930 | 24.501 | 25.104 | - | 25.104 |
| Current President's Budget | 21.200 | 24.466 | 24.936 | - | 24.936 |
| Total Adjustments | -0.730 | -0.035 | -0.168 | - | -0.168 |
| Congressional General Reductions | - | -0.035 | | | |
| Congressional Directed Reductions | - | - | | | |
| Congressional Rescissions | - | - | | | |
| Congressional Adds | - | - | | | |
| Congressional Directed Transfers | - | - | | | |
| Reprogrammings | - | - | | | |
| SBIR/STTR Transfer | -0.720 | - | | | |
| Budget Adjustments | - | - | -0.168 | - | -0.168 |
| Internal transfer | -0.010 | - | - | - | - |

Change Summary Explanation

In FY 2014 and beyond Global Theater Security Cooperation Management Information Systems was transferred to Defense Security Cooperation Agency.

| xhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2016 Office of the Sec | retary Of Defense | Date: February 2015 |
|--|---|--|
| ppropriation/Budget Activity 400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6: DT&E Management Support | R-1 Program Element (Number/N PE 0605104D8Z / Technical Studie | s Support and Analysis |
| As part of the Department of Defense reform agenda, the budget es | timate reflects a stable long-term trend | in the number and cost of reports and studies. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Office of the Secretary Of Defense | | | | | | Date: Febr | uary 2015 | | | | | |
|---|----------------|---------|---------|-----------------|----------------|--|-----------|---------|---|---------|---------------------|---------------|
| Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6 | | | | | | am Elemen 04D8Z / Tec nd Analysis | • | • | Project (Number/Name) P421 / Technical Studies | | | |
| COST (\$ in Millions) | Prior Years | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 Base | FY 2016 OCO | FY 2016 Total | FY 2017 | FY 2018 | FY 2019 | FY 2020 | Cost To Complete | Total Cost |
| P421: Technical Studies | 63.952 | 21.200 | 24.466 | 24.936 | - | 24.936 | 25.863 | 25.574 | 25.062 | 25.398 | Continuing | Continuing |
| Quantity of RDT&E Articles | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program is a key source of funding for the Office of the Secretary of Defense and the Joint Staff to manage studies, analysis, management, and technical support efforts strategically to improve and support policy development, decision making, management and administration of DoD programs and activities. Studies and analysis will examine current and alternative policies, plans, operations, strategies and budgets, providing essential means for managing and responding to the ever-changing complex international, political, technological, economic, military, and acquisition environments in which national security planning decisions are made. Independent analyses from subject matter experts are instrumental for senior defense planners in making informed choices regarding requirements for force planning and strategic deployment of assets taking into account technological challenges and resource constraints, and there is a strong need to incorporate the effects of operational analysis in force planning assessments. With the expanding complexities of security threats in the geopolitical environment, the need for objective analysis and forward looking planning for the mid and long-term is vital.

Budget estimates for FY 2014 and future years reflect transfer of the Global Theater Security Cooperation Management Information Systems (TSCMIS) program to the Defense Security Cooperation Agency.

| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|
| Title: Technical Studies and Analyses Support for the Office of the Secretary of Defense | 21.200 | 24.466 | 24.936 |
| FY 2014 Accomplishments: Technical Support for the USD(Acquisition, Technology & Logistics): Provided studies and analyses of: | | | |
| Personal protection equipment from weapons of mass destruction, space portfolio architectures, Allied technology and warfighting capability planning, strategic command and control recapitalization requirements, Arctic mission requirements, conventional munitions, network interoperability in the acquisition process, space and missile platforms industrial base capabilities assessments, cyber infrastructure planning, technical requirements for arms control treaty compliance, future technology requirements in defense manufacturing, foreign investment in the defense industry, improving the use of commercial technology from small manufacturers, impacts of regulations on industry, the shipbuilding supplier industrial base, the effect of service life extension on support costs, measuring system reliability, modeling supply chain performance in the acquisition process, maintenance technology investment planning, strategic basing requirements, DoD installation sustainability, DoD energy and logistic strategic planning, NATO investment planning, identifying acquisition program risk, support to Defense Science Board task forces (strategic surprise risks, energy systems for forward remote operating bases, cyber policy, and ballistic and cruise missile | | | |

| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Office of | the Secretary Of Defense | | Date: F | ebruary 201 | 5 |
|---|---|---------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6 | | | | | |
| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) | | | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 |
| defense), small business investment and acquisition strategy, the program, and DoD contracting policies toward small businesses | | (SBIR) | | | |
| Technical Support for the Director, Cost Assessment and Progra Provided studies and analyses regarding the following areas: | am Evaluation: | | | | |
| Contingency operations planning, vertical lift mission capabilities and reserve personnel force models, assessments in support of the industrial base, defense laboratory infrastructure, countering independent cost estimates and economic research, comparative systems configurations and force levels, and continuation of dev long-term trends, strength and affordability of the defense programmer. | scenario analyses, cyber requirements, cost assessments of violent extremism, technical studies and analyses to suppose analyses of alternative strategic and conventional weapor elopment of critical management instruments for measuring | rt s | | | |
| Technical Support for the USD(Policy): Provided studies, analyses, and activities in the following areas: | | | | | |
| Regional and strategic defense posture, campaign planning in so counterproliferation, strengthening regional peacekeeping opera relationships, allied crisis coordination planning, cyber conseque capabilities, homeland defense and civil/reserve support requires simulations of areas of interest for legislative and executive bran | tions, NATO policy engagement, international defense trade ence management, space strategic guidance planning and s ments, border security and evolving threats, and strategic-le | ensing | | | |
| Technical Support for the USD(Personnel & Readiness): Provided studies and analyses in the following areas: | | | | | |
| Recruiting and retention issues, combat force mix skills required controlling healthcare and manpower costs, forecasting the impart of potential force drawdowns, reserve component readiness and planning, civilian workforce demographics and sustainability, imprequirements, and the most efficient and effective uses of the To | acts and the development of mitigation strategies for impacts I sustainability, military compensation policy, cyber workforc proving outcomes in DoD education activities, civilian deploy | e e | | | |
| Technical Support for the USD(Intelligence): Provided studies and analyses of: | | | | | |

| | Date | : February 201 | 5 |
|--|---------------------------|----------------|---------|
| nt (Number/Name) chnical Studies | | | |
| | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 |
| nteroperability | | | |
| | | | |
| ons of mass destruction off attack capabilities, es | | | |
| | | | |
| urance, weapons system untering strategic al requirements, defer es for evolving mission and energy require I task forces on variou taining small business | nse n ements, is | | |
| | | | |
| esments in support of ost estimates and eco tions and force levels, strength and affordabil | and | | |
| | | | |
| | G | | |

| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Office of | the Secretary Of Defense | | Date: F | ebruary 2015 | 5 |
|--|---|--|---------|--------------|---------|
| Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6 | R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605104D8Z I Technical Studies Support and Analysis | Project (Number/Name) P421 / Technical Studies | | | |
| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) | | | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 |
| Regional and strategic defense posture, maritime domain aware planning, deterrence and counterproliferation requirements, stratechnological advances affecting arms control agreements, span pandemic crisis response capabilities, allied human rights assur simulations of areas of interest for legislative and executive brain | ategic effects of climate change, NATO requirements planning ce and cyber strategic guidance planning, humanitarian and rance, energy strategies and national security, and strategic- | | | | |
| Technical Support for the USD(Personnel & Readiness): Studies and analyses in the following areas: | | | | | |
| Active and reserve recruiting and retention issues, compensation the impacts and the development of mitigation strategies for imprecovery care for wounded and disabled personnel, reserve contotal force cost analyses | pacts of potential force drawdowns, education benefit efficier | ncy, | | | |
| Technical Support for the USD(Intelligence): Studies and analyses of: | | | | | |
| Surveillance technologies and capabilities, risk management, ar | nd military intelligence capabilities | | | | |
| Technical Support for the Joint Staff conducting joint research w | vith OSD: | | | | |
| Studies and analyses with OSD addressing mobility capabilities environments, force programming planning, and basing requirer | | | | | |
| FY 2016 Plans: Technical Support for the USD(Acquisition, Technology & Logis Studies and analyses of: | tics): | | | | |
| Joint warfighting capability and technology planning, strategic at capabilities, space portfolio architectures, industrial base capab manufacturing technology, acquisition policy effectiveness, glob requirements, allied defense capabilities, strategic basing requirementsy requirements, NATO policy planning, treaty compliance to Defense Science Board task forces on various evolving technical policy planning. | ilities assessments, cyber operational requirements, defense al defense industry trends, technologies for evolving mission rements, DoD installations planning, logistics supply chain a requirements, identifying acquisition program risk, support | ו | | | |

| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Office of | the Secretary Of Defense | Date: F | ebruary 201 | 5 |
|--|--|--|-------------|---------|
| Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6 | R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605104D8Z I Technical Studies Support and Analysis | Project (Number/Name) P421 / Technical Studies | | |
| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) | | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 |
| acquisition strategy, the effectiveness of the Small Business Innotoward small businesses | ovation Research (SBIR) program, and DoD contracting pol | icies | | |
| Technical Support for the Director, Cost Assessment and Progra Studies and analyses regarding the following areas: | am Evaluation: | | | |
| Requirements regarding investment and resource planning such force readiness, personnel force models, assessments in suppor requirements, technical studies and analyses to support independent analyses of alternative strategic and conventional weapons system development of critical management instruments for measuring the program | rt of scenario analyses, special operations and force support ndent cost estimates and economic research, comparative ems configurations and force levels, and continuation of | | | |
| Technical Support for the USD(Policy): Studies, analyses, and activities in the following areas: | | | | |
| Requirements regarding geopolitical posture and policy such as policy planning, deterrence and counterproliferation requirement NATO requirements planning, technological and external effects planning, contingency operations, countering emerging terrorist of for legislative and executive branch decision-makers | ts, international defense trade and industrial relationships, s on strategic requirements, space and cyber strategic guida | ince | | |
| Technical Support for the USD(Personnel & Readiness): Studies and analyses in the following areas: | | | | |
| Requirements regarding sustaining and planning for the force su compensation analyses, military personnel recovery care, reserveducational issues, gender and equal opportunity, and strategies | ve component readiness and sustainability, military family a | nd | | |
| Technical Support for the USD(Intelligence): Studies and analyses of: | | | | |
| Requirements regarding intelligence related resources and need management, and military intelligence capabilities | ds such as surveillance technologies and capabilities, risk | | | |

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 7 of 8

PE 0605104D8Z: *Technical Studies Support and Analysis* Office of the Secretary Of Defense

| Exhibit R-2A , RDT&E Project Justification : PB 2016 Office of the Secretary | y Of Defense | Date: H | ebruary 2016 | Ō | | | |
|--|--|---------|-----------------------------------|---------|--|--|--|
| Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6 | R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605104D8Z I Technical Studies Support and Analysis | • | t (Number/Name) Technical Studies | | | | |
| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) | | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | | | |
| Technical Support for the Joint Staff conducting joint research with OSD: | | | | | | | |

Joint Studies and analyses with OSD based upon operational lessons learned, mobility capabilities, supply chain requirements, homeland defense, force programming planning, and basing requirements

Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals 21.200 24.466 24.936

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

E. Performance Metrics

FY 2016 BA: \$24.936 FY 2016 BA Assoc w/Metrics: \$24.936M Percent FY 2016 BA Assoc w/Metrics: 100%

This program conducts approximately seventy-five actions per fiscal year to support a wide variety of national security goals of the Department and is designed to encourage a collaborative research approach among the components of OSD and the Joint Staff. The research and study projects supported by this program are closely integrated with the strategic goals of the Department of Defense. The focus of studies varies across a wide spectrum including weapons systems cost analysis, strengthening and leveraging alliances, human resource and military personnel management, examination of innovative technologies, application of technology to operational doctrine, and many other issues of emerging importance. Most of the actions are long to intermediate-range in outlook, and the program allows organizational leaders to plan and guide their research toward meeting their highest-priority goals and other high-level guidance such as executive branch performance management objectives, the Quadrennial Defense Review, and the National Security Strategy of the United States of America.

In following the program efficiencies guidance of the Secretary of Defense, the scope of studies and analyses has been limited as necessary in order to focus upon issues of the highest strategic importance to the Department of Defense while continuing to make every effort to support requirements from legislative direction.