Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2016 Office of the Secretary Of Defense

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3:

PE 0603000D8Z I Joint Munitions Advanced Technology

Date: February 2015

Advanced Technology Development (ATD)

Appropriation/Budget Activity

COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior			FY 2016	FY 2016	FY 2016					Cost To	Total
COST (\$ III WIIIIOHS)	Years	FY 2014	FY 2015	Base	oco	Total	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Complete	Cost
Total Program Element	32.843	19.709	26.650	25.915	-	25.915	25.941	26.001	26.197	26.551	Continuing	Continuing
P002: Insensitive Munitions Advanced Technology	29.129	16.312	19.788	19.229	-	19.229	19.248	19.293	19.446	19.701	Continuing	Continuing
P301: Enabling Fuze Advanced Technology	3.714	3.397	6.862	6.686	-	6.686	6.693	6.708	6.751	6.850	Continuing	Continuing

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program addresses advanced technology development associated with improving the lethality, reliability, safety, and survivability of munitions and weapon systems. The goal is to develop and demonstrate joint enabling technologies that can be used by the Program Executive Offices (PEO) as they develop their specific weapon programs. The program invests in and demonstrates technologies from a Joint Service perspective, thus maximizing efficiencies, ensuring the development of technology with the broadest applicability while avoiding duplication of efforts.

Munition Area Technology Groups (MATGs) and Fuze Area Technology Groups (FATGs) have been established for each munition and capability area and are tasked with: 1) coordinating, establishing, and maintaining 2018 and 2023 year technology development plans and roadmaps, 2) coordinating biannual meetings to review technical and programmatic details of each funded and proposed effort, 3) developing and submitting Technology Transition Agreements in coordination with appropriate PEO for insertion in their Insensitive Munition (IM) Strategic Plans / Fuze Technology Development Plan, and 4) interfacing with other MATGs / FATGs and IM / fuze science and technology projects as appropriate. The Joint Insensitive Munitions Technical Program (JIMTP) and Joint Fuze Technical Program (JFTP) will utilize a Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) (consisting of senior Department of Defense (DoD) and Department of Energy (DOE) laboratory representatives and senior Munitions PEO representatives) to provide program oversight, policy, direction, and priorities during its annual meeting.

The IM effort will demonstrate enabling technologies needed to develop weapons in compliance with IM requirements established in United States Code, Title 10, Chapter 141, Section 2389 and DoD Instruction 5000.1. This effort will take promising technologies demonstrated at the laboratory scale and transition them into demonstration programs utilizing generic hardware based on priority munitions identified in the PEO IM Strategic Plans. Mature and demonstrated IM technology can be transitioned, thereby decreasing their program costs and schedule risk and facilitating spin-offs to other non-compliant munitions within their portfolios.

The JIMTP investments focus on five Munition Areas: 1) High Performance Rocket Propulsion, 2) Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion, 3) Blast and Fragmentation Warheads, 4) Anti-Armor Warheads, and 5) Gun Propulsion. MATGs, under tri-service leadership, have developed technology roadmaps for each Munition Area which are used to guide investments based on goals consistent with the PEO IM Strategic Plans. These IM technologies, alone or in combination, will be incorporated in hardware, simulating real-world munitions, to demonstrate their utility and feasibility as part of Technology Transition Agreements with PEOs.

The Enabling Fuze Advanced Technology effort will also demonstrate fuze enabling technologies needed to develop weapons that address priority capability areas identified in the Guidance for Development (GDF) of the Force, the Secretary of Defense Memorandum, DoD Policy on Cluster Munitions and Unintended Harm

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2016 Office of the Secretary Of Defense

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3:

PE 0603000D8Z I Joint Munitions Advanced Technology

**Date:** February 2015

Advanced Technology Development (ATD)

Appropriation/Budget Activity

to Civilians, and shortfalls in current weapon systems. This effort will take promising technologies demonstrated at the laboratory scale and transition them into demonstration weapons and programs based on priority capabilities and technology needs identified and validated by the PEOs and the Heads of the Service Science and Technology (S&T) communities. In this way, promising multi-point initiation architectures, high reliability fuze architectures, survivable components, modular fuze packaging, and components produced based on ease of manufacturing can be integrated into munitions applications and its ability to address required capability needs will be validated. Mature fuze technologies will be transitioned to Weapon PEO's and/or Industry, thereby decreasing program costs and schedule risk while facilitating technology into potentially broader range of munitions applications.

The JFTP investments focus on four specific capability areas that have been identified by the Department strategic guidance and current shortfalls in weapon systems and as validated by the PEOs and the Service S&T communities. These capability areas are: 1) Hard Target Survivable Fuzing, 2) Tailorable Effects Weapon Fuzing, 3) High Reliability Fuzing, and 4) Enabling Fuze Technologies and Common Architecture. These Fuzing technologies will be incorporated in weapon applications to demonstrate their maturity and utility as part of Technology Transition Agreements with PEOs.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
Previous President's Budget	20.012	26.688	26.897	-	26.897
Current President's Budget	19.709	26.650	25.915	-	25.915
Total Adjustments	-0.303	-0.038	-0.982	-	-0.982
Congressional General Reductions	-	-			
Congressional Directed Reductions	-	-			
Congressional Rescissions	-	-			
Congressional Adds	-	-			
Congressional Directed Transfers	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-0.010	_			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.293	_			
<ul> <li>Realignment for Higher Priority Programs</li> </ul>	-	-	-0.908	-	-0.908
• FFRDC SEC 8104	-	-0.038	-	-	-
Economic Assumptions	_	_	-0.074	-	-0.074

## **Change Summary Explanation**

Funding decreases were used to pay for higher priority DoD bills.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Office of the Secretary Of Defense  Date: February 2015												
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3				R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603000D8Z I Joint Munitions Advanced Technology				Project (Number/Name) P002 I Insensitive Munitions Advanced Technology				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P002: Insensitive Munitions Advanced Technology	29.129	16.312	19.788	19.229	-	19.229	19.248	19.293	19.446	19.701	Continuing	Continuing

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Insensitive Munitions (IM) effort addresses advanced technology development associated with improving the lethality, reliability, safety, and survivability of munitions and weapon systems. The goal is to develop and demonstrate joint enabling technologies that can be used by program managers as they develop their specific weapon programs. The program invests in and demonstrates technologies from a Joint Service perspective, thus ensuring the development of technology with the broadest applicability while avoiding duplication of efforts – providing efficiencies and cost savings for the Department.

This effort will demonstrate enabling technologies needed to develop weapons in compliance with IM requirements established in United States Code, Title 10, Chapter 141, Section 2389 and DoD Instruction 5000.1 and 5000.02. This effort will take promising technologies demonstrated at the laboratory scale and transition them into demonstration programs utilizing generic hardware based on priority munitions identified in the Program Executive Office (PEO) IM Strategic Plans. Mature demonstrated IM technology can be transitioned, thereby decreasing their program costs and schedule risk and facilitating spin-offs to other non-compliant munitions within their portfolios.

The Joint Insensitive Munitions Technology Program (JIMTP) investments focus on five Munition Areas: 1) High Performance Rocket Propulsion, 2) Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion, 3) Blast and Fragmentation Warheads, 4) Anti-Armor Warheads, and 5) Gun Propulsion. Munition Area Technology Groups (MATG), under triservice leadership, have developed technology roadmaps for each Munition Area which is used to guide investments based on goals consistent with the DoD IM Strategic Plan. These IM technologies, alone or in combination, will be incorporated in hardware, simulating real-world munitions, to demonstrate their utility and feasibility as part of Technology Transition Agreements with PEOs.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Title: High Performance Rocket Propulsion (HPP)	3.311	4.086	3.967
<b>Description:</b> HPP focus on the development and demonstration of technologies to improve the IM response of HPP systems, rocket motors with Ammonium Perchlorate and with or without a metal fuel, for rockets and missiles launched from air, ground, and sea platforms. These technologies, when applied to rocket motors, improve IM response to one or more threats, while not degrading the response to other IM threats and, at minimum, maintaining munition performance. Technologies include, but are not limited to, rocket propellant ingredients, including synthesis, characterization and scale-up; reduced smoke or smoky propellants, including formulation, characterization and scale-up; rocket motor case design; materials for active and passive thermal mitigation; shock mitigation materials and techniques; passive and active coatings; active and passive venting techniques for motor cases or containers; ignition systems; sensors; and thrust mitigation techniques. Operating conditions may be controlled or widely varying in both temperature and vibration. The 2018 and 2023 year goals of the HPP MATG are concentrated on			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Office of the Secr	retary Of Defense	Date: F	ebruary 2015	j		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	PE 0603000D8Z / Joint Munitions Advanced P00	Project (Number/Name) P002				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016		
solving the IM response of missile propulsions systems due to Fragment Performance Propulsion rocket motors, and solving the Fast Cook Off remotors.						
FY 2014 Accomplishments:  - Loaded seven-inch diameter rocket motor cases with propellant to sup delivered assets and finalized motor fabrication for testing. Conducted be Received additional rocket motors, prepared and conducted baseline fas mitigation technologies and performed final IM testing.  - Completed bond line evaluation and demonstrated 30 gallon mix proceed Performed testing of 30 gallon mix properties. Procured rocket motor may available proof of concept.  - Conducted individual IM component testing, integrated into rocket motor full suite of IM tests with baseline and less reactive propellants.  - Prepared, loaded, and conducted IM testing on novel small diameter meases.  FY 2015 Plans:	aseline slow cook off and fragment impact IM testing. It cook off and bullet impact IM tests. Integrated IM Less for a less-reactive high performance propellant. Leterials, cast motors, and conducted component testing to case, cast rocket motors for IM testing, and conducted					
<ul> <li>Conduct sub-scale bullet and fragment impact demonstration tests and containing an extinguishable rocket propellant.</li> <li>Complete design and component testing of slow cook-off mitigation de</li> <li>Perform component-level testing to validate component designs for ser</li> <li>Demonstrate slow cook-off mitigation sensor performance and validate</li> </ul>	vice components for HPP rocket motor. nsor for surface and air-launched systems.					
<ul> <li>FY 2016 Plans:</li> <li>Conduct slow and fast cook-off demonstration tests of 50 to 70 pound of the polymer of the po</li></ul>	n to programs of record.					
Title: Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion (MSP)		1.846	2.420	2.342		
<b>Description:</b> MSP focuses on the development and demonstration of ted The development and demonstration of minimum signature (MS) rocket to improve munition IM response to one or more threats, while not degrading maintaining munition performance. Technologies include, but are not lim MS propellant formulations, including synthesis, characterization and scale	technologies, when applied to munition systems, will ng the response to other IM threats and, at minimum, nited to, MS rocket propellant formulations; ingredients fo	r				

	UNCLASSIFIED					
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Office of the	Secretary Of Defense	Date: F	ebruary 2015			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	PE 0603000D8Z I Joint Munitions Advanced PO	Project (Number/Name) P002 I Insensitive Munitions Advanced Technology				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016		
venting techniques; rocket motor case design; ignition systems; and technologies toward higher burning rate MS propellants with state-of 2023 year goals of the MSP MATG are concentrated on solving the Impact, Slow Cook Off, and Shaped Charge Jet (SCJ) threats.	the-art energy and reduced shock sensitivity. The 2018 an					
FY 2014 Accomplishments:  - Conducted IM, structural, and ballistic testing on full-scale demons requirements.  - Demonstrated reduced sensitivity minimum signature propellant be budget activity (BA) 6.4 Insensitive Munitions Technology Transition  - Designed and integrated mitigation technologies to reduce respons propellant characterization and sub-scale IM tests.	allistic and IM properties in full-scale test for transition to Program and insertion into weapon systems.					
FY 2015 Plans:  - Produce 660 kilograms of innovative explosive fill for general purporand lethality testing to validate performance.  - Model and design feasible detonation train, scale up novel bomb for testing to validate performance.						
<ul> <li>Conduct bullet impact, fragment impact, and slow cook-off testing very explosive material.</li> </ul>		el				
<ul> <li>Scale up and conduct small scale tests on novel bomb fill to optimi performance testing of fill and initiation study.</li> <li>Scale up to produce 100 pounds of unique munition fill material to warhead assemblies. Prepare for full scale IM testing.</li> <li>Produce 800 pounds of main fill replacement explosive formulation</li> </ul>	conduct performance and sensitivity testing in generic					
FY 2016 Plans:  - Manufacture motor cases and complete propellant formulation down and conduct static firing of motors.  - Conduct static motor tests, and fragment impact and slow cook-off	•	rs				
Title: Blast and Fragmentation Warheads (BFW)		6.705	7.584	7.39		
<b>Description:</b> BFW focus on the development and demonstration of the development and demonstration of explosive ingredients, explosimentions, improve IM response to one or more threats, while not de	sives, and warhead and fuze technologies, when applied to					

	UNCLASSIFIED					
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Office of the	e Secretary Of Defense	D	ate: February 201	5		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 20	014 FY 2015	FY 2016		
maintaining munition performance. Technologies include, but are initial formulation development, scale-up, warhead/charge configur containers, protection / packaging materials and systems, shock mapplications vary but include high performance warhead fills, boos and/or fragmentation charges. Munition operating conditions may such as temperature and vibration, and other factors such as cost, on the intended munition application. The 2018 and 2023 year goar response of blast fragment warheads to the Sympathetic Detonation	ration, venting techniques for both munitions and their nitigation liners, initiation devices, techniques, and technoloter explosives, bulk demolition charges, and bulk fills for blue controlled or have widely varying environmental conditionavailability, and reliability may be critically important dependent of the BFW MATG are concentrated on solving the IM	gies. ast ons,				
FY 2014 Accomplishments:  - Demonstrated fault tolerant redundant initiation system capable of initiating unique explosive formulation at hot and cold temperatures:  - Conducted formulation characterization and initial performance assembly.  - Conducted modeling and simulation effort on novel bomb fill to or representative articles for initiation testing and design detonation to the explosive and computational analysis to apply as a design tool to surequirements with less sensitive explosives and other mechanical lifebricated for testing and IM mitigation designs were tested against and shaped charge jet threats.  - Synthesized adequate quantities of a unique munition fill material and critical diameter tests.  - Demonstrated new form of matter and efficient method of productions.	and sensitivity testing using novel explosive for grenade optimize formulation, scaled up best candidates, and filled rain for insensitive fill.  Substantiate the feasibility of meeting IM and performance IM design features in unique warheads. Hardware was set slow and fast cook-off, fragment impact, sympathetic reacted to conduct small scale mixes for scale-up, detonation velocities.	ction, ocity,				
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Produce 660 kilogram of innovative explosive fill for general purp to validate performance.</li> <li>Model and design feasible detonation train, scale up novel bomb sympathetic reaction testing to validate performance.</li> <li>Conduct bullet impact, fragment impact, and slow cook-off testing explosive material.</li> <li>Scale up and conduct small scale tests on novel bomb fill to optin performance testing of fill and initiation study.</li> </ul>	o formulation to 150 gallon mix quantity, fill full-scale assets	for				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Office of the	e Secretary Of Defense	Date: F	ebruary 2015	;		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3						
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016		
- Scale up to produce 1000 pounds of unique munition fill material warhead assemblies. Prepare for full scale IM testing.	to conduct performance and sensitivity testing in generic					
<ul> <li>FY 2016 Plans:</li> <li>Scale up novel bomb formulation to 150 gallon mix quantity, and performance.</li> <li>Conduct SCJ and fragment impact testing on unique munition fill</li> <li>Conduct pressing evaluation study, load, and begin IM testing of</li> </ul>	material in representative hardware.	date				
Title: Anti-Armor Warheads (AAW)		3.031	3.705	3.59		
<b>Description:</b> AAW focuses on the development and demonstration fuze technologies for improving Insensitive Munitions (IM) of AAW explosives, and warhead and fuze technologies, when applied to mot degrading the response to other IM threats and, at minimum, must are not limited to, new ingredient synthesis and characterization configuration, venting techniques for both munitions and their contamitigation liners, and initiation devices, techniques, and technological fills, booster explosives, and all other technology to mitigate the vice operating conditions may be controlled or have widely varying enviother factors such as cost, availability, and reliability may be critical. The 2018 and 2023 year goals of the AAW MATG are concentrated Fragment Impact, Sympathetic Reaction, and Shaped Charge Jet threats for Cookoff, and Sympathetic Reaction / Shaped Charge Jet threats for	munitions. The development of explosive ingredients, nunitions, improve IM response to one or more threats, whin naintaining munition performance. Technologies include, n, initial formulation development, scale-up, warhead/chargainers, protection/packaging materials and systems, shock es. Applications vary, but include high performance warhed lent response of AAW munitions to IM threats. Munition ronmental conditions, such as temperature and vibration, ally important depending on the intended munition applicated on solving the IM response of anti-armor warheads to the threats for larger munitions and the Fragment Impact, Slow	ge ad and on.				
FY 2014 Accomplishments: - Finalized higher velocity munition IM design. Fabricated, loaded - Completed performance validation studies and initial IM testing for booster.		on				
FY 2015 Plans:  - Conduct performance and IM testing on higher velocity munition  - Conduct ballistic assessment and IM testing for two unique energy  - Conduct integration and design efforts with prototype AAW technology	getic materials as a replacement munition booster.	gate				

	UNCLASSIFIED					
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Office of t	the Secretary Of Defense	Date: F	ebruary 2015	j		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603000D8Z I Joint Munitions Advanced Technology	Project (Number/Name) P002 I Insensitive Munitions Advanced Technology				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016		
- Conduct baseline assessment of unique munition system.						
FY 2016 Plans: - Perform modeling and simulation of venting and other mitigatio formulation, development, and down-select, and begin IM testing		lant				
Title: Gun Propulsion (GP)		1.419	1.993	1.927		
<b>Description:</b> GP focuses on the development and demonstration and demonstration of gun propulsion technologies, when applied (IM) response to one or more threats, while not degrading the resperformance. Technologies include, but are not limited to, gun propulsion gynthesis, characterization and scale-up), cartridge cast reduced sensitivity primer propellant and primer systems, and rot include both large and medium caliber munitions, as well as propoperating requirements vary, and other factors such as barrel life be critically important depending on the intended munition application concentrated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munition.	to munition systems, will improve munition Insensitive Muni- sponse to other IM threats and, at minimum, maintaining mu- ropellant formulations, ingredients for gun propellant formula- se and packaging design, active and passive venting technic bust primers for insensitive propellants. Applications vary, building charges for mortars and shoulder launched munitions as and operation over varying environmental conditions may ation. The 2018 and 2023 year goals of the GP MATG are	tions nition ations ques, ut				
FY 2014 Accomplishments:  Optimized the propellant formulations, conducted characterizat scale engineering ballistic tests on components for use in should.  Conducted baseline cook-off testing of large caliber ammunition venting design selection and survivability assessment.  Conducted propellant formulation, characterization tests, and s munition item.	er fired weapon system. n item and conducted modeling and simulation to assist in					
FY 2015 Plans:  - Conduct thermal and venting analysis, full-scale fast and slow of formulations for use in shoulder fired weapon systems.  - Produce prototype of large caliber ammunition item with venting.  - Conduct component design and manufacturing of large caliber propellant formulation and component design to conduct small so	g and prepare for full scale IM testing. munition item, and conduct performance testing. Integrate					
FY 2016 Plans:  - Conduct performance and environmental testing on propulsion  - Conduct full scale IM and performance testing of prototype of la						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Office of the Secretary 0	Date: February 2015			
Appropriation/Budget Activity	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)	Project (N	umber/Name)	
0400 / 3	PE 0603000D8Z / Joint Munitions Advanced	P002 I Insensitive Munitions Advanced		
	Technology	Technology	/	

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
- Conduct integrated technology testing of large caliber munition item to ready for transition to program of record.			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	16.312	19.788	19.229

### C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

			FY 2016	FY 2016	FY 2016					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2014	FY 2015	<b>Base</b>	000	<u>Total</u>	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Complete	<b>Total Cost</b>
• 0602000D8Z P000:	12.288	13.545	13.082	-	13.082	13.106	13.108	13.262	13.442	Continuing	Continuing
BA2 Insensitive Munitions											

#### Remarks

### D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

#### **E. Performance Metrics**

- 1) Transitions of technologies developed by the program are tracked and documented by technology maturity.
- 2) MATG Technology Roadmaps are prepared, evaluated, and analyzed by JIMTP management and technical staff.
- 3) Chairman's Annual Assessments for each MATG are critically reviewed by the TAC to determine progress, transition plans, and relevance of each project.
- 4) Projects progress toward goals and milestones is assessed at each MATG meeting.
- 5) Annual technical reports and papers are tracked and documented for the Program.
- 6) External Peer Reviews of Projects are conducted as part of Joint Army/Navy/NASA/Air Force meetings.
- 7) Technology Transition Agreements are in place with Munition programs.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Office of the Secretary Of Defense								Date: February 2015				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3				R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603000D8Z I Joint Munitions Advanced Technology				<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P301 <i>I Enabling Fuze Advanced Technology</i>				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P301: Enabling Fuze Advanced Technology	3.714	3.397	6.862	6.686	-	6.686	6.693	6.708	6.751	6.850	Continuing	Continuing

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This effort will demonstrate fuze enabling technologies needed to develop weapons that address priority capability areas identified in the Guidance for Development of the Force, the Secretary of Defense Memorandum, DoD Policy on Cluster Munitions and Unintended Harm to Civilians, and shortfalls in current weapon systems. This effort will take promising technologies integrated and tested to technology maturity and demonstrate the technologies to technological maturity utilizing weapon hardware based on priority capabilities and technology needs identified and validated by the Program Executive Officers (PEOs) and the Heads of the Service Science and Technology (S&T) communities. Mature demonstrated fuze technology will be transitioned, thereby decreasing their program costs and schedule risk and facilitating spin-offs to other munitions within their portfolios. Under the Joint Fuze Technology Program (JFTP), investments are focused on specific capability areas that have been identified by Department strategic guidance and current shortfalls in weapon systems and validated by the PEOs and Heads of the Service S&T communities. These four capability areas are: 1) Hard Target Survivable Fuzing, 2) Tailorable Effects (TE) Weapon Fuzing, 3) High Reliability Fuzing, and 4) Enabling Fuze Technologies and Common Architecture.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Title: Hard Target Fuzing	0.960	1.841	1.561
<b>Description:</b> The Hard Target Fuzing challenges are grouped into three Technology Areas. First, improved modeling and simulation capabilities provide the validated computational tools necessary for hard target applications. Second, basic phenomenology & understanding of the Fuze Environment is the science-based endeavor of providing the test equipment, instrumentation, and analysis techniques for experimentation and data gathering necessary for next generation fuzing. Third, hard target survivable fuze components are developed to increase the effectiveness of facility denial munitions by improving the prediction tools and testing methodologies to evaluate the survivability and functionality of legacy and future fuzes. Development of these technologies will enable next generation boosted and hypersonic penetrators to execute missions against hardened and deeply buried targets.			
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Conducted validation experiments on advanced fuze High-G modeling and simulation tools.</li> <li>Continued to develop survivable modular fuze technology for application into multi-role common miniature munitions with distributed/embedded fuzes.</li> <li>Used high fidelity modeling and simulation code and test methods for Air Force Quick Reaction Capability (QRC) Penetrator Program.</li> </ul>			
FY 2015 Plans:			

	UNCLASSIFIED				
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Office of the Secr	retary Of Defense	Date: F	ebruary 2015		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603000D8Z I Joint Munitions Advanced Technology Proje	Project (Number/Name) 1301 / Enabling Fuze Advanced Technolo			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	
<ul> <li>Conduct high speed weapon hard target tests, to include high shock da</li> <li>Transition survivable modular fuze technology for application into multi embedded fuzes.</li> </ul>					
<b>FY 2016 Plans:</b> Start development of advanced fuze packaging and alternate low-cost menvironments.	edia detection sensor for to measure post impact weapon				
Title: Tailorable Effects Fuzing		0.741	1.591	1.64	
<b>Description:</b> Develop fuzing for tailorable effects weapons that encompassed weapon (Dial-a-Yield) and/or the ability to generate selectable effects (diamulti-point technologies; electronic safe and arm based multi-point initiated MicroElectro-Mechanical Systems (MEMS) based multi-point initiators for fuzing for tailorable effects weapons. These technologies will enable weaminimizing unintentional collateral effects.	rected blast, fragmentation). Develop initiation and ors for tunable output – scalable yield warheads; r tunable output/scalable yield warheads; and smart				
FY 2014 Accomplishments: - Conducted tests of warhead initiation architecture and control technolo reducing collateral damage will benefit using tailorable effects technologi					
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Conduct demonstration tests of warhead initiation and selectable archit</li> <li>Continue to develop component technologies for multi-mode, multipoin counting algorithms and optimize detonation timing.</li> </ul>					
FY 2016 Plans: Conduct weapon demonstration testing of multi-mode, multipoint sequen	tial timing fuze designs against representative target sets.				
Title: High Reliability Fuzing		0.993	1.860	1.820	
<b>Description:</b> Develop high reliability fuzing architectures, fuzing compon features. This program's fuzing technologies are critical to enable the negreater than 99 percent reliability. Evolving DoD emphasis on increased new and novel approaches for achieving increased fuze reliability while in higher weapon reliability expectations and harsher weapon system operareliability than available using current technologies.	ext generation of cluster munitions to achieve the required weapon system reliability is driving the need to consider maintaining or enhancing fuze design safety. DoD policy,				

**UNCLASSIFIED** 

PE 0603000D8Z: *Joint Munitions Advanced Technology* Office of the Secretary Of Defense

	UNCLASSIFIED					
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Office of the	ne Secretary Of Defense	Date: F	ebruary 2015			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3		roject (Number/Name) 301				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016		
FY 2014 Accomplishments: - Refined the design, along with increasing level of integration, ar maintaining safety by eliminating single-point and common-mode - Demonstrated high reliability miniature fuzes in air-gun testing, technology maturity.	failures.	hile				
FY 2015 Plans:  - Develop and demonstrate phase two high reliability MEMS fuze and arming (S&A) in Guided Mortar round and bomb fuze bellows  - Begin to develop fuze system communication and interface tech (DPICM) to increase reliability with minimal disruption to the dispe	motors. nologies for Dual-Purpose Improved Conventional Munition					
FY 2016 Plans: Conduct laboratory and projectile dispense testing of fuze commureliability with minimal disruption to the dispense event.	nication and interface technologies for DPICM to increase					
Title: Enabling Fuze Technologies		0.703	1.570	1.661		
<b>Description:</b> Develop common / modular fuze architectures; inno fuze setting capability, tools, and modeling; and fuzing power sour effective solutions while meeting or exceeding the performance of enable future weapon applications to be more mission adaptive at	rces. These fuzing technologies will provide smaller, more of existing technologies. Development of these technologies	cost				
FY 2014 Accomplishments: - Conducted joint program with Industry to develop sensor technologies Began transition from budget activity (BA) 6.2 efforts of advanced development.		ogy				
FY 2015 Plans:  - Conduct air-drop demonstration testing miniature retard and implementation testing miniature retard and implementation in the conduct testing of advanced, exploitation resistant proximity see						
FY 2016 Plans: Complete projectile firing testing of advanced, exploitation resistar	nt proximity sensors against representative target sets.					
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subt	otals 3.397	6.862	6.686		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Office of the Secretary	Date: February 2015		
Appropriation/Budget Activity	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)	Project (N	umber/Name)
0400 / 3	PE 0603000D8Z I Joint Munitions Advanced	P301 <i>I Ena</i>	abling Fuze Advanced Technology
	Technology		
O Other Drawns Frankling Organism (A in Millians)			

### C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

			FY 2016	FY 2016	FY 2016					Cost To	
Line Item	FY 2014	FY 2015	<b>Base</b>	OCO	<u>Total</u>	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Complete	<b>Total Cost</b>
• 0602000D8Z P204: <i>BA2</i>	5.405	6.492	6.270	-	6.270	6.282	6.282	6.357	6.442	Continuing	Continuing
Enabling Fuze Technology											

#### Remarks

### **D. Acquisition Strategy**

N/A

### **E. Performance Metrics**

- 1) Transitions of technologies developed by the Program are tracked and documented by technology maturity.
- 2) Fuze Area Technology Groups (FATG) Technology Roadmaps are prepared, evaluated, and analyzed by Joint Fuze Technology Program (JFTP) management and technical staff.
- 3) Chairman's Annual Assessments for each FATG are critically reviewed by the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) to determine progress, transition plans, and relevance of each project.
- 4) Project progress toward goals and milestones is assessed at each FATG meeting.
- 5) Annual technical reports and papers are tracked and documented for the Program.
- 6) Technology Transition Agreements are in place with Munition programs.