Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2016 Defense Information Systems Agency

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)

Date: February 2015

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 7:

PE 0303610K / Teleport Program

Operational Systems Development

Appropriation/Budget Activity

COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	35.383	5.147	2.697	1.736	-	1.736	0.732	0.740	2.534	2.556	Continuing	Continuing
NS01: Teleport Generation 1/2	35.383	5.147	2.111	0.434	-	0.434	0.732	0.740	2.534	2.556	Continuing	Continuing
NS02: Teleport Generation 3	0.000	-	0.586	1.302	-	1.302	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

Program MDAP/MAIS Code: Project MDAP/MAIS Code(s): N81

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Department of Defense (DoD) Teleport system is a satellite communications (SATCOM) gateway that links the deployed warfighter to the Global Information Grid. The DoD Teleport program has fielded system capabilities incrementally using a multi-generational approach with Generation 1 and 2 Full Deployment authorized by DoD Chief Information Officer on February 18, 2011. DoD Teleport Generation 3 consists of three phases; Phases 1 and 2 are in Production and Deployment while Phase 3 is in Engineering and Manufacturing Development. Each DoD Teleport investment increases the warfighter's ability to communicate with a world-wide, net-centric set of information capabilities, which is vital for the DoD to maintain a persistent presence among its adversaries.

Currently, the Teleport system operates as an upgrade of satellite communication capabilities at selected DoD satellite communications gateways. This system provides deployed warfighters with seamless worldwide multi-band SATCOM connectivity to the Defense Information System Network (DISN) Service Delivery Nodes and legacy tactical command, control, communications, computers, and intelligence systems. It also provides centralized integration capabilities, contingency capacity, and common interfaces to access the DISN.

DoD Teleport's goal is to provide secure, seamless, interoperable, and economical upgrades to DoD SATCOM Gateways and meet the growing throughput requirements of the deployed warfighter.

The primary beneficiaries of the DoD Teleport investment are the DoD Combatant Commanders, Military Departments, Defense Agencies, and the warfighter. DoD Teleport Generation 3 is designed to meet the growing demands of the warfighter through the execution of the following phases:

Phase 1: Gateway Advanced Extremely High Frequency [Extended Data Rate] terminals provides tactical users with a 350% bandwidth increase in survivable, antijam communications through all peacetime and combat operations by installing Navy Multiband Terminals (NMT) at select Teleport sites. In addition to enhanced throughput, the NMT maintains compatibility with legacy waveforms and current tactical terminals.

Phase 2: Gateway Wideband Global SATCOM X/Ka-band terminals provides enhanced Wideband Global System (WGS) X/Ka capability to warfighters worldwide by installing terminals from the Modernization of Enterprise Terminal (MET) program at DoD Teleport and other gateway sites. This gateway enhancement allows Teleport to replace end-of-life Defense Satellite Communications System (DSCS) terminals while remaining interoperable with tactical WGS X/Ka-band users. The MET enhancement provides a 300% Ka-band capacity increase and an 1100% X-band capacity increase to current enterprise terminal X/Ka capabilities. Additionally, it

PE 0303610K: *Teleport Program*Defense Information Systems Agency

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 1 of 15

R-1 Line #205

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2016 Defense Information Systems Agency

Date: February 2015

Appropriation/Budget Activity

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 7:

PE 0303610K / Teleport Program

Operational Systems Development

enables the DoD Teleport system to maintain operational availability consistent with Generation 2 requirements and reduce the overall life-cycle cost of X/Ka capabilities across the DoD.

Phase 3: Mobile User Objective System (MUOS) to Legacy Ultra High Frequency (UHF) systems interoperability will provide interoperability between MUOS users and legacy UHF users by installing MUOS-to-Legacy UHF SATCOM Gateway Component (MLGC) suites of equipment at DoD Teleport sites. MUOS is the next generation DoD UHF SATCOM system that will provide the warfighter with modern worldwide mobile communication services, utilizing the Wideband Code Division Multiple Access waveform for use in the military UHF SATCOM band. MLGC suites will provide critical continuity and interoperability as DoD tactical satellite users transition from legacy waveforms and radios to the Joint Tactical Radio System.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
Previous President's Budget	5.147	2.697	2.498	-	2.498
Current President's Budget	5.147	2.697	1.736	-	1.736
Total Adjustments	-	-	-0.762	-	-0.762
 Congressional General Reductions 	-	-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 	-	-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 	-	-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
Other Adjustments	-	-	-0.762	=	-0.762

Change Summary Explanation

The decrease of -\$0.762 in FY 2016 is due to a planned realignment of funding between RDT&E and Procurement and a reduction in Joint Interoperability Certifications.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification:	PB 2016 D	efense Info	rmation Sy	stems Ager	псу				Date: Febr	uary 2015	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 7	t (Number/ ort Program	ber/Name) Project (Number/Name) NS01 / Teleport Generation 1/2										
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
NS01: Teleport Generation 1/2	35.383	5.147	2.111	0.434	-	0.434	0.732	0.740	2.534	2.556	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

B Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)

The Teleport program will implement an integrated test approach that will combine the objectives from multiple testing disciplines (e.g., developmental test, operational test, interoperability, and information assurance) throughout the testing lifecycle to support needed system evaluations. The Teleport program executes its own test events to achieve this integrated approach, but will partner with each phase's respective program office generated test activities to leverage the data needed to satisfy Teleport program test objectives. An approach summary for Teleport Gen 1/2 follows:

Generation 1/2 Technology Refresh/Technology Insertion: Funding will be used to maintain the Joint Interoperability Certification of the DoD Teleport System as the system is upgraded and refreshed with new components.

B. Accomplishments/Flanned Frograms (\$ in Millions)	F 1 2014	F1 2015	F 1 2016
Title: Teleport Program	5.147	2.111	0.434
FY 2014 Accomplishments: Continued a technology refresh schedule and testing activities required to sustain Generations-1/2 fielded capabilities by implementing Joint Internet Protocol Modem (JIPM), iDirect 2.X, and MUOS to DISN capabilities at select teleport sites. Generation 3 funding supported preparation for the Operational Test Readiness Review (OTRR), operational testing, and operational validation for both Generation 3 Phase 1 and Phase 2. These events are required for Phase 1 and Phase 2 to enter the Full Deployment Decision (FDD) in FY 2015. Conducted developmental MUOS MVG (formerly MUOS to DSN) test and evaluation required to obtain KDP B in FY2015.			
FY 2015 Plans: Will continue documentation development in support of Generation 3 Phase 3 Milestone C decision scheduled for 4th quarter of FY 2015. Will continue research and developmental testing of gateway convergence and mesh technologies that will provide further flexibility and resiliency to the DoD Teleport /Gateway systems.			
The decrease of -\$3.036 from FY 2014 to FY 2015 is due to the planned realignment of funds from RDT&E to Procurement in order to support DoD Teleport tech refresh/insertion efforts and the separation of reporting for Teleport Generation 1/2 and Generation 3 beginning in FY 2015.			
FY 2016 Plans: Will conduct interoperability testing and evaluations on the DoD Teleport system as Commercial-off-the-shelf components and software are replaced to ensure the system is capable to meet our intended operational environment.			

PE 0303610K: *Teleport Program*Defense Information Systems Agency

EV 2014 EV 2015 EV 2016

Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 7	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0303610K / Teleport Program		ct (Number/I I Teleport Ge	,	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
The decrease of -\$1.677 from FY 2015 to FY 2016 is due to a planne to support Generation 3 hardware acquisition activities.	ed realignment of funding between RDT&E and Procure	ement			
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Su	btotals	5.147	2.111	0.434

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Defense Information Systems Agency

			FY 2016	FY 2016	FY 2016					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2014	FY 2015	Base	OCO	<u>Total</u>	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Complete	Total Cost
• O&M, DW/	28.370	13.975	13.979	-	13.979	14.121	14.285	14.285	-	Continuing	Continuing
PE0303610K: <i>O&M, DW</i>											
 Procurement, DW/ 	68.075	52.462	33.210	-	33.210	29.104	23.003	23.064	-	Continuing	Continuing
PE0303610K: Procurement, DW											
 Military Construction, 	-	9.600	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
DW: PE0303610, MILCON											

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

The Teleport Program Office (TPO) uses the DoD preferred evolutionary acquisition approach to acquire Commercial off the Shelf (COTS) and modified COTS equipment when possible. The three TPO procuring agencies, Program Manager Defense Communications and Army Transmission Systems, the Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command, and Defense Information Technology Contracting Organization (DITCO) provide direct contracting support. Assistance from other Departments including Army, Navy, and Air Force is acquired via Military Interdepartmental Purchase Request for both organic and contracted support. The TPO maximizes the use of performance-based contracts and requires contractors to establish and manage specific earned value data to mitigate risk and monitor deviations from cost, schedule, and performance objectives. Performance is evaluated thorough post-award contract reviews, performance assessment during quarterly program reviews. The MLGC program will use various contract types to employ the vendor best suited to deliver the program's capabilities to the warfighter.

E. Performance Metrics

Teleport Cost and Schedule Performance Metrics:

Teleport manages and tracks its cost and schedule performance parameters using a tailored Earned Value Management System (EVMS) process, integrating the program plan, the program schedule, Work Breakdown Structure (WBS), and financial data. Progress is monitored/documented monthly showing percentages complete for schedule and cost. Formal updates with changes to the schedule are documented against the program baseline.

Teleport Program Metrics:

PE 0303610K: *Teleport Program*Defense Information Systems Agency

Date: February 2015

Exhibit R-2A, **RDT&E Project Justification**: PB 2016 Defense Information Systems Agency **Date**: February 2015

Appropriation/Budget Activity R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) Project (Number/Name)

0400 / 7 PE 0303610K / Teleport Program NS01 / Teleport Generation 1/2

RDT&E funds will be used to maintain an interoperability certification of the fielded DoD Teleport system in light of required/desired system changes. These changes are certified in standalone test events or as part of DoD Interoperability Communications Exercises (DICE). Percentage will be computed by dividing the number of changes under test by the number deemed DoD Interoperable.

Performance metrics have been established in four measurement areas: 1) customer results, 2) mission and business results, 3) processes and activities, and 4) technology. Specific measurement indicators and units of measure vary by measurement area, and metrics in each of the aforementioned areas are measured annually. Teleport will use the same measurement areas for performance metrics in FY 2014, FY 2015 and FY 2016:

Generation 1/2 Metric

Test and Evaluation of IP Modem

FY 2014 Target: 2 Acheived/2 Required

FY 2015: N/A FY 2016: N/A

Percentage of system changes resulting in interoperability certification

FY 2014: 100% FY 2015: 100% FY 2016: 100%

Number of G3P1 Operational Test Events

FY 2014: N/A FY 2015: N/A

FY 2016: 1 Planned/1 Required

Number of G3P2 Operational Test Events

FY 2014: N/A FY 2015: N/A

FY 2016: 1 Planned/1 Required

Number of completed program events to develop, test, implement, and field and transfer

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Defense Information Sy	stems Agency	Date: February 2015
Appropriation/Budget Activity	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)	Project (Number/Name)
0400 / 7	PE 0303610K / Teleport Program	NS01 I Teleport Generation 1/2

FY 2014: 7 Acheived/8 Required

FY 2015: 8 Planned/8 Required

FY 2016: 8 Planned /8 Required

MLGC to TPO

Number of completed program events to develop, test, implement, and field and transfer

FY 2014: 6 Acheived/6 Required FY 2015: 5 Planned/6 Required FY 2016: 6 Planned /6 Required

MVG to TPO

Number of completed program events to develop, test, implement, field and transfer

FY 2014: 6 Completed/6 Required FY 2015: 6 Planned/6 Required FY 2016: 6 Planned /6 Required

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2016 Defense Information Systems Agency

Appropriation/Budget Activity

0400 / 7

PE 0303610K / Teleport Program

Date: February 2015

Project (Number/Name)
NS01 / Teleport Generation 1/2

Product Developme	nt (\$ in Mi	illions)		FY 2	2014	FY 2	2015		2016 ise		2016 CO	FY 2016 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Engineering Technical & Design Services (GDS)	Various	SSC Atlantic : Various	0.352	0.010	Feb 2014	0.539	Nov 2014	-		-		-	0.150	1.051	1.051
Engineering Technical & Design Services (MLGC)	Various	Various Locations : Various	0.743	0.010	May 2014	0.356	Nov 2014	-		-		-	0.410	1.519	Continuing
Engineering Services	C/CPFF	STF Ltd. : Fredericksburg, VA	0.297	-		-		-		-		-	-	0.297	0.297
Engineering Services	IA	SPAWAR Atlantic : Charleston, SC	0.075	-		-		-		-		-	-	0.075	0.075
Engineering Technical & Design Services (MVG)	IA	SSC Atlantic:Various : Various	0.320	-		0.244	Nov 2014	-		-		-	-	0.564	0.564
Engineering Technical & Design Services (Digital IF)	IA	CERDEC : TBD	0.904	-		-		-		-		-	-	0.904	0.904
	•	Subtotal	2.691	0.020		1.139		-		-		-	0.560	4.410	-

s)			FY 2	2014	FY 2	2015					FY 2016 Total			
Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
C/FFP	BAH : McLean, VA	15.711	0.600	Feb 2014	0.670	Nov 2014	-		-		-	-	16.981	Continuing
SS/CPFF	SAIC : Falls Church, VA	0.166	-		-		-		-		-	-	0.166	0.166
C/CPAF	STF : Fredericksburg, VA	0.157	-		-		-		-		-	-	0.157	0.157
IA	SPAWAR : Charleston, SC	1.221	-		-		-		-		-	-	1.221	1.221
MIPR	SSC Atlantic, STF : Charleston, SC	1.050	0.050	Oct 2013	-		-		-		-	1.100	2.200	2.200
IA	CERDEC : Various	0.071	-		-		-		-		-	-	0.071	0.710
IA	PM DCATS : Ft. Belvoir, VA	0.352	-		-		-		-		-	-	0.352	0.352
	Contract Method & Type C/FFP SS/CPFF C/CPAF IA MIPR	Contract Method & Type Activity & Location C/FFP BAH: McLean, VA SS/CPFF SAIC: Falls Church, VA C/CPAF STF: Fredericksburg, VA IA SPAWAR: Charleston, SC IA CERDEC: Various PM DCATS: Ft.	Contract Method & Type Performing Activity & Location Prior Years C/FFP BAH : McLean, VA 15.711 SS/CPFF SAIC : Falls Church, VA 0.166 C/CPAF STF : Fredericksburg, VA 0.157 IA SPAWAR : Charleston, SC 1.221 MIPR SSC Atlantic, STF : Charleston, SC 1.050 IA CERDEC : Various 0.071 IA PM DCATS : Ft. 0.352	Contract Method Performing Activity & Location Years Cost	Contract Method Performing Activity & Location Years Cost Date	Contract Method & Performing Activity & Location Prior Years Cost Date Cost	Performing Prior Award Date Cost Date	FY 2014 FY 2015 Base Contract Method & Performing & Type Activity & Location Years Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Cost C	FY 2014 FY 2015 Base	FY 2014 FY 2015 Base Odd	FY 2014 FY 2015 Base OCO	Contract Method & Type Activity & Location Prior Years Cost Date Da	FY 2014 FY 2015 Base OCO Total	Contract Method & Performing Activity & Location Years Cost Date Date

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E F	Project C	ost Analysis: PB 2	2016 Defe	nse Infor	mation Sy	ystems A	gency					Date:	February	2015	
Appropriation/Budge 0400 / 7	t Activity	1					•	ement (N Teleport P	umber/Na Program	ame)	_	(Numbe Teleport (r/Name) Generation	1/2	
Support (\$ in Millions	s)			FY 2	2014	FY 2	015		2016 ise		2016 CO	FY 2016 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Engineering Technical Support (Tech Refresh)	IA	SPAWAR : Charleston, SC	0.740	-		-		-		-		-	0.380	1.120	1.500
Engineering Technical Support (Tech Refresh) 2	IA	PM DCATS : Ft. Belvoir, VA	1.432	-		-		-		-		-	-	1.432	1.432
Program Office Support	TBD	PLD : TBD	1.356	1.578	Jan 2014	-		-		-		-	1.578	4.512	4.512
Program Office Support Engineering	IA	JITC : Ft. HUA, AZ	0.371	-		-		-		-		-	-	0.371	0.371
Engineering Technical Support (Spectral Warrior)	IA	NRL : NRL	0.552	-		-		-		-		-	-	0.552	0.552
Engineering Technical Support (NSSEG)	Various	SSC Atlantic : Various	0.729	-		-		-		-		-	-	0.729	0.729
		Subtotal	23.908	2.228		0.670		-		-		-	3.058	29.864	-
Test and Evaluation	(\$ in Milli	ons)		FY 2	2014	FY 2	2015		2016 ise		2016 CO	FY 2016 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Testing Support Services (Tech Refesh)	MIPR	JITC : Ft. Huachuca	8.784	2.899	Jan 2014	0.302		0.434	Nov 2015	-		0.434	3.558	15.977	Continuing
		Subtotal	8.784	2.899		0.302		0.434		-		0.434	3.558	15.977	-
			Prior Years	FY 2	2014	FY 2	015		2016 ise		2016 CO	FY 2016 Total	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
		Project Cost Totals	35.383	5.147		2.111		0.434		-		0.434	7.176	50.251	-

Remarks

chibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2016	Deletise	111101	mau	OII C	узістт						4 /NI	ما ممد	/ N I -		- \	D.,	-:-	-4 / k		ate: [_	713	
ppropriation/Budget Activity 00 / 7									Elem					ame	₽)					nber/ ort G				/2	
	F.V.	004			EV 004	_	1	5 V 0	040				47				_			V 004	10			· · ·	00
	1 2	2014	_		FY 201 2 3	_	1	FY 2	016 3 4	١.	FY 1 2	20	1 <i>1</i> 3 4	1		/ 201 2 3	_	ļ 1		Y 201 2 3		4		Y 20 2	20 3
Teleport Program	1 2	J	7	•	2 3	-	•		3 1		1 2	• '	J T		' 4	2 3		, i	<u> </u>	2 3	<u>' '</u>	7	•	_	9
Generation Three - Phase 3 FDD MUOS - Legacy																									
MUOS to Legacy Gateway Component																									
Phase 2 Testing – First Article Testing																									
Phase 3 Operational Assessment – Northwest																									
Ms C Decision																									
MUOS to Defense Switched Network																									
KDP B																									
Installation					,																				
T&E (DT/OT)																									
KDP C																									
IOC																									
Generic Discovery Server																									
KDP B																									
Installation																									
T&E (DT/OT)																									
KDP C																									
IOC																									

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2016 Defense Information System	ns Agency		Date: February 2015
Appropriation/Budget Activity	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)	Project (N	umber/Name)
0400 / 7	eport Generation 1/2		

Schedule Details

	Sta	art	En	d
Events by Sub Project	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
Teleport Program				
Generation Three - Phase 3 FDD MUOS - Legacy	4	2014	2	2015
MUOS to Legacy Gateway Component				
Phase 2 Testing – First Article Testing	2	2014	2	2014
Phase 3 Operational Assessment – Northwest	3	2014	4	2014
Ms C Decision	4	2014	4	2014
MUOS to Defense Switched Network				
KDP B	3	2014	3	2014
Installation	3	2014	3	2014
T&E (DT/OT)	3	2014	4	2014
KDP C	4	2014	4	2014
IOC	3	2014	4	2014
Generic Discovery Server				
KDP B	1	2014	1	2014
Installation	1	2014	1	2014
T&E (DT/OT)	1	2014	3	2014
KDP C	2	2014	3	2014
IOC	2	2014	4	2014

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju		Date: February 2015										
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 7		_	am Elemen 0K / Telepo	•		Number/Name) Eleport Generation 3						
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total			FY 2019	FY 2020 Complete		Total Cost
NS02: Teleport Generation 3	-	-	0.586	1.302	-	1.302	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

Project MDAP/MAIS Code: N81

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Teleport program will implement an integrated test approach that will combine the objectives from multiple testing disciplines (e.g., developmental test, operational test, interoperability, and information assurance) throughout the testing lifecycle to support needed system evaluations. The Teleport program executes its own test events to achieve this integrated approach, but will partner with each phase's respective program office generated test activities to leverage the data needed to satisfy Teleport program test objectives. An approach summary for Teleport Generation 3 follows:

Generation 3: Funding will be used to execute Pre-Milestone C documentation preparation and acquisition activities for Generation 3 Phase 3.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Title: Teleport Program	-	0.586	1.302
Description: Generation 3: Funding will be used to execute Pre-Milestone C documentation preparation and acquisition activities for Generation 3 Phase 3.			
FY 2014 Accomplishments: FY 2014 accomplishments for Teleport Gen 3 are included in the Teleport Gen 1/2 submission.			
FY 2015 Plans: Will continue documentation development in support of Generation 3 Phase 3 Milestone C decision scheduled for 4th quarter of FY 2015.			
The increase of \$0.586 from FY 2014 to FY 2015 is due to the separation of reporting between Generation 3 acquisition reporting and non-Generation 3 reporting.			
FY 2016 Plans: Will conduct operational testing and evaluations on the DoD Teleport Generation 3 Phase 3 implementation.			
The increase of \$0.716 from FY 2015 to FY 2016 is due to the continuation of DoD Teleport Generation 3 acquisition testing as the Gen 3 Phase 3 capabilities are implemented.			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	-	0.586	1.302

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Defense Information Sy	stems Agency		Date: February 2015
Appropriation/Budget Activity	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)	Project (N	umber/Name)
0400 / 7	PE 0303610K / Teleport Program	NS02 / Tele	eport Generation 3

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

The Teleport Program Office (TPO) uses the DoD preferred evolutionary acquisition approach to acquire Commercial off the Shelf (COTS) and modified COTS equipment when possible. The three TPO procuring agencies, Program Manager Defense Communications and Army Transmission Systems, the Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command, and Defense Information Technology Contracting Organization (DITCO) provide direct contracting support. Assistance from other Departments including Army, Navy, and Air Force is acquired via Military Interdepartmental Purchase Request for both organic and contracted support. The TPO maximizes the use of performance-based contracts and requires contractors to establish and manage specific earned value data to mitigate risk and monitor deviations from cost, schedule, and performance objectives. Performance is evaluated thorough post-award contract reviews, performance assessment during quarterly program reviews. The MLGC program will use various contract types to employ the vendor best suited to deliver the program's capabilities to the warfighter.

E. Performance Metrics

Generation 3 Cost and Schedule Performance Metrics:

Teleport manages and tracks its cost and schedule performance parameters using a tailored Earned Value Management System (EVMS) process, integrating the program plan, the program schedule, Work Breakdown Structure (WBS), and financial data. Progress is monitored/documented monthly showing percentages complete for schedule and cost. Formal updates with changes to the schedule are documented against the program baseline.

Generation 3 Program Metrics:

RDT&E funds will be used to perform acquisition testing.

Across appropriations, performance metrics have been established in four measurement areas: 1) customer results, 2) mission and business results, 3) processes and activities, and 4) technology. Specific measurement indicators and units of measure vary by measurement area, and metrics in each of the aforementioned areas are measured annually. Teleport will use the same measurement areas for performance metrics in FY 2014, FY 2015 and FY 2016.

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2016 Defense Information Sy		Date: February 2015	
11	, ,	, ,	umber/Name)
0400 / 7	PE 0303610K / Teleport Program	NS02 / Tel	eport Generation 3

Support (\$ in Millions	s)			FY 2	2014	FY 2	2015	FY 2 Ba		FY 2	2016 CO	FY 2016 Total	5		
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Program Office Support	C/FFP	BAH : McLean, VA	0.000	-		-		0.700	Nov 2014	-		0.700	-	0.700	Continuing
Testing Support Services	MIPR	JITC : Fort Huachuca	0.000	-		0.586		0.602		-		0.602	-	1.188	1.188
		Subtotal	0.000	-		0.586		1.302		-		1.302	-	1.888	-

	Prior Years	FY 20 ⁻	14 FY 2	FY 2			Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Project Cost Totals	0.000	-	0.586	1.302	-	1.302	-	1.888	-

Remarks

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2016	Defer	nse	Infor	mati	ion S	Syste	ms.	Ager	псу													Dat	te: F	ebru	ary	201	5	
							, , ,										t (Number/Name) Teleport Generation 3											
		FY	2014	1		FY 2	2015	;		FY 2	2016	,		FY 2	2017			FY	2018	3		FY	2019	•		FY	202	:0
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Teleport Generation 3			'												,													
Generation Three - Phase 3 FDD MUOS																												

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2016 Defense Information Syste	ms Agency	Date: February 2015
1	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)	Project (Number/Name)
0400 / 7	PE 0303610K / Teleport Program	NS02 / Teleport Generation 3

Schedule Details

	St	art	E	nd
Events by Sub Project	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
Teleport Generation 3				
Generation Three - Phase 3 FDD MUOS	4	2014	2	2015