Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2016 Army **Date:** February 2015

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) Appropriation/Budget Activity

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army I BA 6: RDT&E PE 0605602A I Army Technical Test Instrumentation and Targets

Management Support

1 1												
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Cost To	Total Cost
	Itais	1 1 2014	1 1 2013	Dase	000	IOlai	1 1 2017	1 1 2010	1 1 2013	1 1 2020	Complete	CUSI
Total Program Element	-	63.944	45.573	51.550	-	51.550	52.773	49.718	54.607	57.071	-	-
628: Developmental Test Technology & Sustainment	-	45.350	32.991	41.688	-	41.688	42.691	34.265	35.911	38.021	-	-
62C: Modeling and Simulation Instrumentation	-	18.594	12.582	9.862	-	9.862	10.082	15.453	18.696	19.050	-	-

Note

FY16 increase is required to preserve Test and Evaluation test capability.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This Program Element provides critical front-end investments for development of new test methodologies; test standards; advanced test technology concepts for long range requirements; future test capabilities; advanced development of modeling and simulation (M&S) and instrumentation prototypes; and the full development of test instrumentation for the United States Army Test and Evaluation Command (ATEC), which includes the Operational Test Command (OTC) at Ft Hood, Texas; Aberdeen Test Center (ATC), Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland; White Sands Test Center (WSTC) at White Sands Missile Range (WSMR), New Mexico; Electronic Proving Ground (EPG), Fort Huachuca, Arizona; Yuma Test Center (YTC) at Yuma Proving Grounds (YPG), Arizona (including the Cold Regions Test Center (CRTC), Fort Greely, Alaska and the Tropics Regions Test Center (TRTC), at various locations); and Redstone Test Center (RTC), Redstone Arsenal, Alabama. OTC consists of three forward Test Directorates (Airborne and Special Operations Test Directorate, Fort Bragg, North Carolina; Integrated Test and Evaluation Directorate, Fort Bliss, Texas; and the Fires Test Directorate, Fort Sill, Oklahoma) together with four other Test Directorates (Aviation; Maneuver; Mission Command; Maneuver Support and Sustainment) at Ft Hood, Texas. These activities support the development and fielding cycle of all Army acquisition programs including rapid fielding initiatives. Sustainment funding maintains existing testing capabilities at all locations by replacing unreliable, uneconomical, and irreparable instrumentation, as well as incremental upgrades of hardware and software for modeling and simulation (M&S) and instrumentation systems to assure adequate test data collection capabilities. This data supports acquisition milestone decisions for all commodity areas throughout the Army including programs such as the Joint Light Tactical Vehicle (JLTV), Network Integration Evaluation (NIE), Patriot Advance Capability Phase 3 (PAC-3), Warfighter Information Network - Tactical (WIN-T), Stryker, Bradley, Guided Multiple Launch Rocket System (GMLRS), Joint Tactical Radio System (JTRS), and the Distributed Common Ground System - Army (DCGS-A).

Date: February 2015

Appropriation/Budget Activity

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2016 Army

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army I BA 6: RDT&E

Management Support

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)

PE 0605602A I Army Technical Test Instrumentation and Targets

3. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total
Previous President's Budget	66.025	45.596	42.618	-	42.618
Current President's Budget	63.944	45.573	51.550	-	51.550
Total Adjustments	-2.081	-0.023	8.932	-	8.932
 Congressional General Reductions 	-	-0.023			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 	_	-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	_	-			
Congressional Adds	_	-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 	_	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-2.081	-			
 Adjustments to Budget Years 	-	-	8.932	-	8.932

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Army								Date: February 2015				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 2040 / 6					PE 060560	am Elemen 02A I Army ation and Ta	Technical Te		Project (Number/Name) 628 / Developmental Test Technology of Sustainment			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
628: Developmental Test Technology & Sustainment	-	45.350	32.991	41.688	-	41.688	42.691	34.265	35.911	38.021	-	-
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

Note

Homemade Explosive Characterization Study ends in FY 2015.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program provides critical front-end investments for development of new test methodologies, test standards, advanced test technology concepts for long range requirements, future test capabilities, and advanced instrumentation prototypes for subordinate commands of the Army Test and Evaluation Command (ATEC). These capabilities are required to support developmental testing requirements of high priority Army systems supporting Army modernization efforts. Where practical, efficiencies will be gained through the common use of developmental instrumentation in operational testing. A key element is sustaining aging instrumentation which maintains existing capabilities at test facilities by replacing unreliable, uneconomical and irreparable instrumentation, as well as lifecycle replacement and incremental upgrades of instrumentation and software, reducing their average age to assure adequate testing capabilities. This project develops and sustains developmental test instrumentation and capabilities that provide the data necessary to support acquisition milestone decisions for all commodity areas throughout the Army. Significant examples include new instrumentation for the testing of body armor and other soldier protective equipment, advanced methods for testing the survivability of ground vehicles and aircraft, a new six degree-of-freedom vibration system to improve missile testing efficiency, and an expanded instrumentation suite in support of the growing mission to test Command, Control, Communication and Computer (C4) systems.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Title: Developmental Test Technology Investment	41.446	30.549	41.688
Description: Develops, acquires and sustains critical test technology and instrumentation: Provides the necessary test instrumentation, computer and communications systems, data collection, analysis and reporting equipment and other test capabilities to successfully develop and test Army weapons and equipment. Provides the necessary live, virtual and constructive environment, hardware-in-the-loop capabilities and models and simulations needed for testing the Army materiel. Acquires instrumentation for reliability, availability and maintainability (RAM) data collection on tracked and wheeled vehicles; ballistic transducers for measuring chamber pressures during ammunition tests; supports development of common data collection instrumentation used in testing across all test commodity areas and test lifecycles; acquires instrumentation for electromagnetic environmental effects (E3) on ground and air systems; continues replacement and upgrade of range control instrumentation, radar, optics and telemetry equipment used in missile testing; acquires data recorders, signal conditioning equipment, data processing equipment and other instrumentation for various aircraft tests; upgrades natural environments test instrumentation used for testing weapon systems, vehicles, munitions and support equipment in extreme hot desert plus tropic environments as			

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) well as extreme cold conditions; continues upgrade of survivability/vulnerability te protection systems; upgrades and replaces mobile range communications equipn advanced test technologies and instrumentation for testing next generation mater spectral sensors, and advanced soldier systems. FY 2014 Accomplishments: Continued to provide, acquire and upgrade instrumentation for RAM, ballistic, mis	nent and digital end devices; and develops			ology & FY 2016
well as extreme cold conditions; continues upgrade of survivability/vulnerability te protection systems; upgrades and replaces mobile range communications equipm advanced test technologies and instrumentation for testing next generation mater spectral sensors, and advanced soldier systems. FY 2014 Accomplishments: Continued to provide, acquire and upgrade instrumentation for RAM, ballistic, mis	nent and digital end devices; and develops	ive	FY 2015	FY 2016
protection systems; upgrades and replaces mobile range communications equipn advanced test technologies and instrumentation for testing next generation mater spectral sensors, and advanced soldier systems. FY 2014 Accomplishments: Continued to provide, acquire and upgrade instrumentation for RAM, ballistic, mis	nent and digital end devices; and develops			
Continued to provide, acquire and upgrade instrumentation for RAM, ballistic, mis		.		
all test commodity areas and support the test capability of live fire survivability tes		oss		
FY 2015 Plans: Continue to provide, acquire and upgrade instrumentation for RAM, ballistic, miss test commodity areas and support the test capability of live fire survivability testing	•	ss all		
FY 2016 Plans: Will continue to provide, acquire and upgrade instrumentation for RAM, ballistic, r all test commodity areas and support the test capability of live fire survivability test armor testing methods and instrumentation in accordance with recommendations Technology (NIST). Initiate development of next-generation communications and	ting. Will complete enhancements to body from the National Institute of Standards and			
Title: Homemade Explosive Characterization Study		3.462	2.442	
Description: Homemade explosives are the prevalent underbody threat in Opera Currently live fire testing cannot use Army G2-validated homemade explosive sur greatly from test-to-test. This study will characterize subscale and full scale repeathomemade explosive charge for use in live fire test events and compare the performance this homemade explosive characterization will inform efforts to improve combat versions.	rogate because its performance has varied tability of Army G2-validated surrogate ormance relative to TNT standard. Results f	rom		
FY 2014 Accomplishments: Continued to obtain data to quantify target responses of homemade explosive surthreats used in live fire testing and provide data set to support future verification, underbody blast modeling and simulation tools.	•			
FY 2015 Plans:				

UNCLASSIFIED Page 4 of 7

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)

Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals

2040 <i>l</i> 6	PE 0605602A I Army Technical Test Instrumentation and Targets	628 <i>I E</i>	28 I Developmental Test Technologustainment		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Complete the quantification of target responses of homemade ex used in live fire testing and provide data set to support future veri modeling and simulation tools.					
Title: Army Test and Evaluation Command (ATEC) Common Test and Evaluation	st Technology for Developmental Testing, Operational Testi	ing,	0.442	-	-
Description: Army Test and Evaluation Command (ATEC) Command Testing, and Evaluation. Provides support for development of a Tof common tools and standards; support for critical Test Technological Simulation, Threats, Data Management, and Networks; and support for critical Test Technological Simulation, Threats, Data Management, and Networks; and support for critical Test Technological Simulation, Threats, Data Management, and Networks; and support for critical Test Technological Simulation, Threats, Data Management, and Networks; and Support for Command (ATEC) Command	est and Evaluation Enterprise Architecture to facilitate use ogy Domain Focus Areas of Instrumentation, Modeling and				
FY 2014 Accomplishments: Due to the consolidation of headquarters functions within ATEC, appropriate headquarters account. This project will continue to su and control software.					

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Army

Appropriation/Budget Activity

N/A

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

E. Performance Metrics

N/A

Date: February 2015

Project (Number/Name)

45.350

32.991

41.688

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Army									Date: February 2015			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 2040 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605602A I Army Technical Test Instrumentation and Targets Project (Number/Name) 62C I Modeling and Simula				•							
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Base	FY 2016 OCO	FY 2016 Total	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
62C: Modeling and Simulation Instrumentation	-	18.594	12.582	9.862	-	9.862	10.082	15.453	18.696	19.050	-	-
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

Note

Not applicable for this item.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The US Army Test and Evaluation Command (USATEC) plans, conducts and reports on operational tests, assessments and experiments in order to provide essential information for the acquisition and fielding of War Fighting Systems. Operational Test (OT) Instrumentation collects required data from both the systems being tested and the surrounding activities. OT simulation enhances the live forces conducting operational testing by simulating additional units, message traffic, effects, and terrain. The Army's OPTEMPO has reduced the number of tactical units and vehicles available to support OT, making augmentation through simulation needed at times to test in a realistic, operational environment. The Program Executive Office for Simulation, Training, and Instrumentation (PEO STRI) Project Manager for Instrumentation, Targets and Threat Simulators (PM ITTS) provides development of major simulation and instrumentation systems while ATEC adapts systems from other organizations, purchases off-the-shelf systems, develops minor new systems, and sustains all ATEC simulation and instrumentation systems. The OT Simulation and Instrumentation (S&I) (Sustainment and Minor Development) program funds the expertise and the adaptation, purchases, minor development and sustainment requirements that support systems undergoing OT. Costs unique to specific systems under test may require Program Manager (PM) funding.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Title: Modeling, Simulation and Instrumentation	18.594	12.582	9.862
Description: Develop and enhance ATEC's simulation/stimulation of Mission Command, Fire Support, Air Defense, Reconnaissance and Surveillance, and Network systems. Improve and sustain our Real-Time Casualty Assessment (RTCA) (including geo-pairing) capabilities. Plus develop, enhance, and sustain our Performance Instrumentation Systems, Time Space Positioning Information (TSPI) and Telemetry Systems, and Imaging Systems together with their associated data management.			
FY 2014 Accomplishments: FY14 Planned Programs - Sustain and develop ATEC's simulation/stimulation of Mission Command, Fire Support, Air Defense, Reconnaissance and Surveillance, and Network systems. Begin an effort to improve our Real-Time Casualty Assessment (RTCA) (including geo-pairing) capabilities to support future AMPV and the Bradley Performance Improvement Program (PIP), Stryker PIP, and Abrams PIP OTs. Plus develop and sustain our Performance Instrumentation Systems and associated data			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2016 Army		Date: I	ebruary 201	5			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 2040 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605602A I Army Technical Test Instrumentation and Targets	Project (Number/ 62C / Modeling an Instrumentation	•	tion			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) management, Time Space Positioning Information (TSPI) and Teler Systems and associated data management.	metry Systems and associated data management, and	FY 2014 Imaging	FY 2015	FY 2016			
FY 2015 Plans: FY15 Planned Programs - Continue to sustain and enhance ATEC's Air Defense, Reconnaissance and Surveillance, and Network system (RTCA) (including geo-pairing) capabilities to support future AMPV (PIP), Stryker PIP, and Abrams PIP OTs. Sustain and develop our Finanagement, Time Space Positioning Information (TSPI) and Teler Systems and associated data management.	ms. Continue to improve our Real-Time Casualty Asses and the Bradley Performance Improvement Program Performance Instrumentation Systems and associated o	ssment data					
FY 2016 Plans: FY16 Planned Programs - Will continue to sustain and enhance AT Surveillance, and Network systems. Continue to improve our Real-Tapabilities to support future AMPV, and the Bradley Performance I OTs. Sustain and develop our Performance Instrumentation System Systems, and Imaging Systems and associated data management.	Fime Casualty Assessment (RTCA) (including geo-pairing mprovement Program (PIP), Stryker PIP, and Abrams Fins, Time Space Positioning Information (TSPI) and Tele	PIP					

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

E. Performance Metrics

N/A

Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals

18.594

12.582

9.862