Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Navy

Appropriation/Budget Activity

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)

1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy I BA 4: Advanced

PE 0603725N I Facilities Improvement

Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)

COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	10.930	3.116	3.019	2.588	-	2.588	5.754	4.883	3.217	3.286	Continuing	Continuing
0995: Naval Facilities System	8.636	1.313	1.387	0.816	-	0.816	1.405	1.862	1.884	1.921	Continuing	Continuing
3155: Force Protection Ashore	2.294	1.803	1.632	1.286	-	1.286	0.852	1.025	1.333	1.365	Continuing	Continuing
3347: Navy Expeditionary Energy Development	0.000	-	-	0.486	-	0.486	3.497	1.996	-	-	-	5.979

<sup>\*</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

## A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Mission Description and Budget Item Justification:

This program provides for capabilities to: a) overcome performance limitations and reduce the life cycle cost of shore facilities and, b) provide protection against terrorist attacks for shore installations and their operations. The program focuses on technical and operational issues of specific Navy interest, where there are no unbiased test validated Commercial Off the Shelf (COTS) solutions available, and where timely capabilities may not materialize without specific demonstration or validation by the Navy. Additionally, the program completes the development of technologies originating from Navy, DOD and other sources of Science and Technology programs, including the National Science Foundation (NSF), the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) and Department of Energy (DOE). Validated technologies are implemented in the Navy's Military Construction (MILCON) and Facilities, Sustainment Restoration and Modernization (FSRM) program, and Antiterrorism and Force Protection (ATFP) Other Procurement, Navy (OP,N) program.

Project 0995 addresses the following Navy facilities requirements during FY 2012 through FY 2018: Advance Technology for Waterfront Facilities Repair and Enhancements, Facilities Technologies to Reduce the Cost of Facilities Sustainment, Restoration and Modernization for reducing the total ownership cost (TOC) of future and existing Facilities and addressing natural and catastrophic risk of critical Naval Waterfront Facilities. This project is consistent with recommendations of two National Academy of Sciences Reports: "The Role of Federal Agencies in Fostering New Technology and Innovation in Building" and "Federal Policies to Foster Innovation and Improvement in Constructed Facilities."

Started in FY2006 the Force Protection Ashore Project 3155 addresses selective topics in modeling, and material technologies to reduce the vulnerability of installations; and reduce the acquisition and operating costs of protective technologies. The demonstrations and validations provide the independent, technical and operational test data for the development of competitive performance specifications to acquire the required capabilities. The ATFP project is coordinated with other DOD programs.

PE 0603725N: Facilities Improvement

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Navy

Appropriation/Budget Activity

1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy I BA 4: Advanced

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603725N / Facilities Improvement

Date: March 2014

Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)

Adjustments

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	<u>FY 2015 Base</u>	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	3.401	3.019	3.446	-	3.446
Current President's Budget	3.116	3.019	2.588	-	2.588
Total Adjustments	-0.285	-	-0.858	-	-0.858
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.006	-			
<ul> <li>Program Adjustments</li> </ul>	-	-	0.500	-	0.500
<ul> <li>Rate/Misc Adjustments</li> </ul>	-0.001	-	-1.358	-	-1.358
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-0.278	-	-	-	-

PE 0603725N: Facilities Improvement Navy

Page 2 of 13

R-1 Line #60

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification:	PB 2015 N	lavy							Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 4					_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				Number/Name) aval Facilities System			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
0995: Naval Facilities System	8.636	1.313	1.387	0.816	-	0.816	1.405	1.862	1.884	1.921	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	0.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program provides the Navy with new engineering capabilities that are required to overcome specific performance limitations of Naval shore facilities while reducing the cost of sustaining the Naval shore infrastructure. The program focuses available RDT&E resources on satisfying facility requirements where the Navy is a major stakeholder or where there are no tested validated Commercial Off the Shelf (COTS) solutions available, and a timely solution will not emerge without a Navy sponsored demonstration and validation. The program completes the development and validation of facility technologies originating in Navy science and technology programs, plus a variety of other sources which includes the National Science Foundation (NSF) and the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). Validated technologies are implemented in the Navy's Military Construction (MILCON) and Facilities Sustainment Restoration and Modernization Programs (FSRM). The Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act of 2009 laid down very specific guidelines for the correction of corrosion deficiencies in DoD shore facilities which is estimated to be \$1.9B (DOD Annual Cost of Corrosion for the Department of Defense Facilities and Infrastructure July 2010).

Project 0995 addresses two Navy facilities requirements: 1) waterfront facilities repair, upgrade and service life extension; and, 2) validation testing/performance monitoring of critical facilities (such as dry docks, piers, runways, magazines, etc.), testing and evaluation of the performance of alternative materials, and surfacing concepts, and, methods and corrosion technologies to reduce the cost of Sustainment, Restoration and Modernization (SRM).

Waterfront facilities, repair, upgrade and service life extension:

An urgent requirement exists for early identification of strategies and solution recommendations for seismic risk at Naval Facilities, and especially nuclear capable waterfront facilities. Recent Pacific Rim earthquakes have heightened anxiety levels on perceived huge risks to Navy waterfront facilities in the region. The sub-project will provide analysis and solution recommendations for facilities impacted by seismic risk. Waterfront facilities repair and upgrade: About 75% of the Navy's waterfront facilities are over 45 years old. They were designed for a service life of 25 years which was to satisfy the mission requirements existing at that time. The over aged reinforced concrete requires costly and repetitive repairs. Besides providing more pier side ship maintenance and thus reduce dry dock costs, these piers must be strengthened to support concentrated crane loads up to 140 tons when piers were originally not designed for concentrated loads. Piers were previously designed to service one or possibly two particular ship classes. Berthing flexibility is now limited by mooring and utility arrangements. This sub-project addresses new material design methods, and retrofit methods which extends the service life of existing waterfront facilities by an additional 15 or more years. The project also addresses updating the mission based service, environmental, and protection loading requirements imposed by changes in platforms, operations and threats. Other initiatives include: leveraging Building Information Modeling (BIM) technology to provide for enhanced facilities management processes and waterfront utilities service enhancements using models to achieve flexible berthing arrangements consistent with current and future platform mooring configurations and hotel service requirements including Facilities and Infrastructure Integrated Product Support for Acquisition Category (ACAT) Programs.

Technologies to reduce the cost of Sustainment, Restoration and Modernization (SRM):

PE 0603725N: Facilities Improvement

Navy

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Navy		Date: March 2014				
	et Activity  R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603725N / Facilities Improvement  PE 0603725N / Facilities Improvement  O995 / Naval Facilities System					
Technologies to reduce the cost of SRM issues of high operational significance DoD shore facilities is estimated to be \$433M (DOD Annual Cost of Corrosion of demonstrate and validate the cost and reliability of advanced corrosion technologues where the cost of construction industries. These facility corrosion technologies to reduce the cost of correcting, the deficiencies in the Navy SRM backlog. The monitoring of enhanced facility designs and coatings for facilities and equipment	for the Department of Defense Facilities and I ogies in order to assure their acceptance and will accelerate the validation, commercialization sub-projects include the continuing effort to	Infrastructure July 2010). This effort will implementation in traditionally conservative on, and wide-spread implementation required				

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Waterfront facilities, repair, upgrade and service life extension:  Articles:	0.464	0.553	0.270 -
FY 2013 Accomplishments:  Waterfront facilities, repair, upgrade and service life extension: Continue analysis and solution set development for a CVN capable dry-dock and waterfront seismic analysis and standard seismic risk mitigation procedures. Transition approved methodology for analysis and assessment of remaining Navy dry docks to incorporate into Navy policies and procedures. Complete second generation waterfront BIM and integrate into corporate standards and specifications. Initiate identification of operational and maintenance issues of waterfront facilities associated with supporting the new class of ships, submarines and the Ford Class Carrier. Develop modeling and simulation of pier, dry dock, wharf and waterfront facility configurations with new ship and submarine platforms and associated systems.			
FY 2014 Plans:  Waterfront facilities, repair, upgrade and service life extension: Complete analysis and solution of CVN capable dry-dock and waterfront seismic analysis and standard seismic risk mitigation procedures and apply methodology to other dry docks and critical waterfront structures. Continue to identify and validate operational and maintenance issues of waterfront facilities associated with supporting the Navy's new class of ships and submarines, including the Ford Class Carrier. These new ships will create compatibility issues with existing infrastructure in terms of berthing loading, hotel services, and movement of people, ordnance and equipment. Modify and validate modeling and simulation of pier, dry dock, wharf and waterfront facility configurations with new ship and submarine platforms and associated systems. Initiate investigation of natural and catastrophic events on critical waterfront facilities.			
FY 2015 Plans:  Complete waterfront seismic analysis and standard seismic risk mitigation procedures for other dry docks and critical waterfront structures. Continue to identify and validate operational and maintenance issues of waterfront facilities associated with supporting the Navy's new class of ships and submarines, including the Ohio Class Replacement Submarine. Continue to investigate natural and catastrophic events on critical waterfront facilities and begin to develop risk identification and mitigation methodologies.  Initiate sea level rise effects on critical infrastructure. Initiate investigation of special design and maintenance requirements for Naval Facilities in the arctic environment.			
Title: Sustainment, Restoration & Modernization:	0.849	0.834	0.546

PE 0603725N: Facilities Improvement

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Navy			Date: March 2014
1	, ,	, ,	umber/Name) val Facilities System
131374	1 L 0000120N 11 aciiilles improvement	USSS I IVAV	ai i aciiiles System

1319/4	PE 0603725N / Facilities Improvement	0995 I Naval Faci	lities System	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, A	rticle Quantities in Each)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
	Α	rticles: -	-	-
and coatings for facilities and equipment. Continue transition and methods. Continue field (validation) testing of high temp Prevention & Control projects, emphasizing sustainable desi	on testing/performance monitoring of enhanced facility designs performance of alternative materials, and surfacing concepts erature resistant pavement joint sealants. Initiate new Corrosio gn and improved lifecycle cost reductions. Continue evaluation riteria to support the transition of new technologies into the sho	n		
and improved lifecycle cost reductions. Continue improved cand green aggregate constituents. Continue evaluation of support the transition of new technologies associated the sh	ion Prevention & Control projects, emphasizing sustainable destruction and crack repair technologies utilizing afforblutions to develop associated design and construction criterial fore facilities infrastructure. Initiate new Corrosion Prevention & ad lifecycle cost reductions. Initiate investigations for retrofitting andards.	ordable to		
and improved lifecycle cost reductions. Continue improved cand green aggregate constituents. Continue investigations for standards. Continue evaluation of solutions to develop associated the shore facilities infrastructure.	ion Prevention & Control projects, emphasizing sustainable destruction and crack repair technologies utilizing affor retrofitting existing facilities to conform to high performance becated design and construction criteria to support the transition of e. Initiate new Corrosion Prevention & Control projects, emphanitiate development of design criteria for closed piers and whare	ordable building of sizing		

## C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

### Remarks

## D. Acquisition Strategy

The Projects identified in this budget have been carefully selected to respond to both the facilities support for new Acquisition Category Programs, to address TOC considerations of an evolving and aging infrastructure, and to facilitate rational risk based decisions and solutions to protect and decrease risk levels for Department of the Navy-critical infrastructure and facilities. Each project has been assessed to ensure that it is addressing legitimate risks and requirements of the shore establishment. The results of these projects will be the development of design and construction criteria and or components that directly impact the shore facilities.

PE 0603725N: Facilities Improvement

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 5 of 13

R-1 Line #60

1.313

1.387

0.816

**Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals** 

xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 N	Navy	Date: March 2014
ppropriation/Budget Activity 319 / 4	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603725N / Facilities Improvement	Project (Number/Name) 0995 I Naval Facilities System
Performance Metrics		
Quarterly Program Reviews are conducted with the major enchmarks at midyear and end-of-year for PY1 and CN	or performers to include funds status discussion, schedule review, Y, and review of accomplishments and issues to date.	assessment of plan to actual to meet FME

PE 0603725N: Facilities Improvement

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 6 of 13

			01101710011					
Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Prof	ile: PB 2015 Nav	у				Date: N	March 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 4				r <mark>am Element (Nu</mark> 25N <i>I Facilities Im</i>		Project (Number/Name) 0995 I Naval Facilities System		
Continue Waterfront Facilities, Repair, Upgrade and Service Life Extension	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
	1Q 2Q 3Q 4Q	1Q 2Q 3Q 4Q 10 Continue V		1Q 2Q 3Q 4Q es, Repair, Upgrad			1Q 2Q 3Q 4Q	
		Drydock Seismic An	Sea Level Rise E Infrastr	ucture				
	Phase II 3D Ships Graphics	Tsunami Eff	ects at Selected I	Navy Ports				
	Waterfront Facil	lities Improvement Bu	uilding Information	n Model Phase II				
	:	Synthetic Line Evaluation for Fendering						
		Investigate Special Design and Maint requirements in Artic Environme						
2015OSD - 0603725N - 0995								

PE 0603725N: Facilities Improvement Navy

**UNCLASSIFIED** Page 7 of 13

R-1 Line #60

Date: March 2014  ppropriation/Budget Activity 319 / 4  Continue Sustainment, Restoration & Moderization  FY 2013 FY 2014 FY 2015 FY 2016 FY 2017 FY 2018 FY 2018 FY 2019  10 20 30 40 40 10 20 30 40 40 10 20 30 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40				UNULAGGI							
Continue Sustainment, Restoration & Moderization  FY 2013 FY 2014 FY 2015 FY 2016 FY 2017 FY 2018 FY 2019  1Q   Q   Q   Q   Q   Q   Q   Q   Q   Q	xhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Pro	ofile: PB 2015 Nav	у				Date:	March 2014			
10   20   30   40   40   40   40   40   40											
Continue Sustainment, Restoration & Moderization  Joint Strike Fighter Pavement Development Portable Spray Guns Smart/Standard Magazine Design  Cold Spray for Corrosion Repair and Welding of Stainless Steel Silane Based Penatrating Concrete Sealers Level Spot Treatment Protocol and Maintenance Index Durable Green Concrete Seismic Analysis of Earth-Covered Magazines  Develop Criteria for Seismic Design of Closed Piers and Wharves Corrosion Prevention and Control Influence of Mositure Condition of Concrete Substrate											
Development Portable Spray Guns Smart/Standard Magazine Design  Cold Spray for Corrosion Repair and Welding of Stainless Steel Silane Based Penatrating Concrete Sealers Level Spot Treatment Protocol and Maintenance Index Durable Green Concrete Seismic Analysis of Earth-Covered Magazines  Develop Criteria for Seismic Design of Closed Piers and Wharves Corrosion Prevention and Control  Influence of Mositure Condition of Concrete Substrate		1Q 2Q 3Q 4Q	1Q 2Q 3Q 4Q				1Q   2Q   3Q   4Q	1Q   2Q   3Q   4Q			
Smart/Standard Magazine Design  Cold Spray for Corrosion Repair and Welding of Stainless Steel  Silane Based Penatrating Concrete Sealers  Level Spot Treatment Protocol and Maintenance Index  Durable Green Concrete  Seismic Analysis of Earth-Covered Magazines  Develop Criteria for Seismic Design of Closed Piers and Wharves  Corrosion Prevention and Control  Influence of Mositure Condition of Concrete Substrate		Joint Strike Fig Develo	hter Pavement opment								
Cold Spray for Corrosion Repair and Welding of Stainless Steel  Silane Based Penatrating Concrete Sealers  Level Spot Treatment Protocol and Maintenance Index  Durable Green Concrete  Seismic Analysis of Earth-Covered Magazines  Develop Criteria for Seismic Design of Closed Piers and Wharves  Corrosion Prevention and Control  Influence of Mositure Condition of Concrete Substrate		Portable S	pray Guns	1		iii	1 1 1 1				
Silane Based Penatrating Concrete Sealers  Level Spot Treatment Protocol and Maintenance Index  Durable Green Concrete  Seismic Analysis of Earth-Covered Magazines  Develop Criteria for Seismic Design of Closed Piers and Wharves  Corrosion Prevention and Control  Influence of Mositure Condition of Concrete Substrate			Smart/Standard	Magazine Design							
Level Spot Treatment Protocol and Maintenance Index  Durable Green Concrete  Seismic Analysis of Earth-Covered Magazines  Develop Criteria for Seismic Design of Closed Piers and Wharves  Corrosion Prevention and Control  Influence of Mositure Condition of Concrete Substrate											
Durable Green Concrete  Seismic Analysis of Earth-Covered Magazines  Develop Criteria for Seismic Design of Closed Piers and Wharves  Corrosion Prevention and Control		Sil	ane Based Penatra	ating Concrete Sea	alers						
Seismic Analysis of Earth-Covered Magazines  Develop Criteria for Seismic Design of Closed Piers and Wharves  Corrosion Prevention and Control  Influence of Mositure Condition of Concrete Substrate		Level S			nce Index						
Develop Criteria for Seismic Design of Closed Piers and Wharves  Corrosion Prevention and Control  Influence of Mositure Condition of Concrete Substrate											
Corrosion Prevention and Control  Influence of Mositure Condition of Concrete Substrate		Seis	smic Analysis of Ea	arth-Covered Maga	,——						
Influence of Mositure Condition of Concrete Substrate					Develop Criteria		n of Closed Piers				
					Corrosion Preve	ntion and Control					
2015OSD - 0603725N - 0995		Influence of Mositure Condition of Concrete Substrate									
2015OSD - 0603725N - 0995											
	2015OSD - 0603725N - 0995										

PE 0603725N: Facilities Improvement Navy

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification:	PB 2015 N	lavy						Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 4					_	<b>am Elemen</b> 25N <i>I Faciliti</i>	•	•		umber/Name) e Protection Ashore		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO #	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
3155: Force Protection Ashore	2.294	1.803	1.632	1.286	-	1.286	0.852	1.025	1.333	1.365	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	0.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>\*</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Protection of Navy Installations against terrorist activities requires deployment of advanced technology for force protection capabilities. This antiterrorism and force protection (AT/FP) ashore project will develop, demonstrate and validate technologies for the following: access control and integrated perimeter security surveillance sensors and intelligent electronic security systems for automated intruder detection (Installation Protection); perimeter security; waterside protection against craft and swimmer intrusion; secure and efficient operations centers and emergency management centers including human and information support systems (Command and Control). Programs currently being evaluated are standard-based enterprise physical security system integration and automation; Command, Control, and Communications (C3) capabilities for emergency operations; integrated and networked mass notification systems (MNS); Waterside intelligent video security systems; integrated over-the-water sensors and analytics for automated course of action planning; identifying and interdicting malevolent threats - watercraft, swimmers, divers, and unmanned underwater vessels (UUVs) to reduce injury and death to the war fighter and damage to high value units (HVUs). Through demonstration and validation of risk modeling and simulation models, the potential of emerging technologies will be evaluated and installation security strategies that reduce manpower and other costs will be formulated. These demonstrations and validations derive advanced technology from science and technology programs of government academia and industry. The technology evaluation and validation produces data for performance specifications used for competitive procurement. All work will be coordinated with other programs and through industry forums as appropriate.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Force Protection Ashore	1.803	1.632	1.286
Articles:	-	-	-
FY 2013 Accomplishments:			
-Complete Waterside Intelligent Video evaluation (OT) and procurement specification development.			
- Continue demonstration and validation of Swimmer/Diver Intent Recognition and Interdiction project.			
- Begin integration and demonstration of Automated Sensor Assessment and Course of Action Planning (COAP) project Test &			
Evaluation (DT) for EHSS.			
- Initiate Integrated Physical Security and Access Control (PS/AC) Automation project for sensor management, monitoring and			
response capability development.			
- Continue Net-centric Mass Notification System IP-enabled WAAN development and testing (DT/OT) at operational Navy			
Installation with various COTS Vendors for Navy enterprise and inter-service/agency interoperability.			
FY 2014 Plans:			

PE 0603725N: Facilities Improvement

Navy

	5110 E 100 II 1 E 1				
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Navy	Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 4	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603725N / Facilities Improvement		Project (Number/Name) 3155 / Force Protection Ashore		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
<ul> <li>Continue Integrated PS/AC Automation project with the Region evaluation (DT)</li> <li>Continue integration and demonstration of Automated Sensor A EHSS.</li> </ul>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
<ul> <li>Complete integration and validation of advanced beyond swimr EHSS.</li> </ul>	mers and diver detection, tracking, and interdiction capabili	ties into			
- Complete Net-centric Mass Notification System specification de -Initiate Waterside Protection - Boat Barrier Electronic Infrastruct existing Electronic Harbor Security System (EHSS) sensors and sensors and sensor infrastructure to augment performance in the	ture project to assess impact of next generation boat barrie research, identify, integrate, implement and evaluate mitig				
FY 2015 Plans: - Complete integration and demonstration of Automated Sensor adocument baseline specifications.	· · ·				
-Continue Boat Barrier Electronic Infrastructure project with a par operational setting and integrated with existing Port Security Bar - Initiate Waterside Protection - Boat Barrier Electronic Infrastruc existing Electronic Harbor Security System (EHSS) sensors and	riers and remote gate operations devices. cture project to assess impact of next generation boat barrie	ers on			
sensors and sensor infrastructure to augment performance in the - Initiate ship-to-shore common information exchange project to security forces and docked ships.	e presence of shadow zones caused by the new barriers. rapidly share information and communications between sho	ore			
- Initiate versatile access control project to develop, integrate and	d test an access control system that is open architecture, e	nables			

## C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

biometrics and handles multiple credentials (driver's license, passport, etc.).
- Complete PS/AC automation project with an operational evaluation in NSW (OT).

N/A

#### Remarks

## D. Acquisition Strategy

Demonstration and validation is conducted for maximum transfer and interaction with industry such as to influence the industry COTS with the results of this demonstration and prototype validation. Acquisition is based on performance specifications enabled by this project.

PE 0603725N: Facilities Improvement Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 10 of 13

R-1 Line #60

1.803

1.632

1.286

**Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals** 

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Navy  Date: March 2014						
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 4	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603725N / Facilities Improvement	Project (N 3155 / Ford	umber/Name) ce Protection Ashore			
E. Performance Metrics						
Quarterly Program Reviews to include funds status, schedule review and asse	essment of plan to actual.					

PE 0603725N: Facilities Improvement

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 11 of 13

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Navy												
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 4			,				Project (Number/Name) 3347 I Navy Expeditionary Energy Development					
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO #	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
3347: Navy Expeditionary Energy Development	-	-	-	0.486	-	0.486	3.497	1.996	-	-	-	5.979
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	0.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>\*</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Development of advanced Environmental Control Unit (ECU) for expeditionary force camp shelters will reduce the heating and air-conditioning (HVAC) fuel consumption by 50% and also will reduce fuel transport convoys, and attendant manpower casualties and handling labor.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Expeditionary Environmental Control Unit (EECU)	-	-	0.486
Articles:	-	-	-
FY 2013 Accomplishments:			
N/A			
FY 2014 Plans:			
N/A			
FY 2015 Plans:			
Initiate/transition full scale development of S&T innovative concepts developed by ARPA-E to TRL 6 with funding from the			
Assistant Secretary of Defense Office of Operational Energy Plans and Programs.			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	-	-	0.486

## C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

Navy

#### Remarks

## D. Acquisition Strategy

Development of this technology to TRL8 will be shared and coordinated with U.S. Army CERDEC for potential acquisition through the DOD Program Manager for Mobile Electric Power( Army managed PM). The new Expeditionary Environmental Control Unit available for procurement by all Services will save fuel and associated logistics support.

PE 0603725N: Facilities Improvement

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 12 of 13

R-1 Line #60

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Navy	Date: March 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 4	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603725N / Facilities Improvement	Project (Number/Name) 3347 I Navy Expeditionary Energy Development
E. Performance Metrics		
October 2014 Initiate Planning for Development Contracts		

PE 0603725N: Facilities Improvement Navy