Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Navy

Appropriation/Budget Activity

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)

1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy I BA 4: Advanced

PE 0603582N / Combat System Integration

Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)

	= 0.00 pm = 1.00 pm =											
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO [#]	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	329.953	45.131	4.396	20.881	-	20.881	33.195	32.355	29.196	29.814	Continuing	Continuing
0164: Combat System Integration	316.943	33.208	-	11.528	-	11.528	24.147	23.033	21.377	21.825	Continuing	Continuing
3312: MTMD-Maritime Theater Missile Defense Forum	3.135	3.952	4.396	9.353	-	9.353	9.048	9.322	7.819	7.989	Continuing	Continuing
9B88: Automated Test and Re- Test	9.875	7.971	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17.846

[#] The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Chief of Naval Operations (CNO) created the Navy's Strike Force Interoperability (SFI) Program in 1998 in response to critical shortfalls in the introduction of integrated and interoperable system of systems to deploying Strike Forces. Naval Sea Systems Command (NAVSEA) acts as management lead for Joint System Command (SYSCOM) system certification policy and guidance and certifies platforms for interoperability within the platform and throughout the enterprise, in accordance with Commander, US Fleet Forces Command/Commander, Pacific Fleet (COMUSFLTFORCOM/COMPACFLT) Inst. 4720.3B (OCT 2008), C5ISR Modernization Policy. COMUSFLTFORCOM/COMPACFLT Inst. 4720.3B also requires that NAVSEA act as administrative agent for COMNAVNETWARCOM Command and Control, Communications, Computers, and Combat Systems Integration Modernization Process (C5IMP) and execution agent for Navy Command and Control, Communications, Computers, and Combat Systems Integration (C5I) Modernization Conferences (NCMC). This program conducts Interoperability Assessments that are required to certify Aircraft Carriers, Amphibious Assault Ships, and Surface Combatants in accordance with the Naval Warfare System Certification Policy (NWSCP), NAVSEAINST 9410.2A, NAVAIR 5230.20, SPAWAR 5234.1.

The SFI Program ensures overall strike force interoperability is characterized and assessed. NAVSEA is assigned central United States Navy (USN) responsibility for interoperability, directing the development of policy and architecture for Strike Force warfare systems engineering and implementation of a common warfare systems engineering process. There are three priorities within the Strike Force Interoperability Program: 1) Support Fleet As-Is state which includes Navigation System Certification (NAVCERT), Interoperability Capabilities & Limitations, and Interoperability Tactical Information Coordinator Technical Aids (TIC TECHAIDs); 2) Ship system modernization (non-HME) including warfighting capability & other C5I upgrades. This includes C5IMP Baseline Management and Non-Aegis Combat System Integration Testing; and 3) Ship Warfare System Certification & Force Level Assessments. This includes Warfare Systems Certification, Interoperability Certification, Force Level Interoperability Analysis, Assessments, and reports recommending force level interoperability improvements to the program offices for implementation at the systems level.

In addition to these core efforts, this program also aims to improve the efficiency of testing processes through the Automated Test and Re-test (ATRT) program and engages in efforts designed to ensure the U.S. Navy is interoperable with Joint and Coalition forces through the Maritime Theater Missile Defense (MTMD).

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Navy

Appropriation/Budget Activity

1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy I BA 4: Advanced

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)
PE 0603582N I Combat System Integration

Project 0164 Combat System Integration:

Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)

This project consist of five key pillars executed within the SFI program, beginning in FY13: 1) Command & Control, Communications, Computer, Combat Systems, and Intelligence Modernization Process (C5IMP) and Fleet Readiness. The C5IMP validates the introduction of new systems into the Fleet and ensures system maturity prior to installation, thereby reducing risk and enhancing readiness and effectiveness of deploying ships and strike groups; 2) Warfare Systems Certification, which is essential to validating the maturity and operational performance of warfare systems prior to fleet delivery and deployment; 3) Navigation Certification (NAVCERT) and Electronic Charting and Display System - Navy (ECDIS-N) certification, which certifies ship electronic charting capability and certifies the accurate transmission of navigation data to combat and weapons systems; 4) Combat Systems Integration Testing (CSIT), formerly known as Warfare Systems Integration and Interoperability Testing (WSI2T), which is essential in the identification of critical integration and interoperability issues. CSIT also provides Objective Quality Evidence (OQE) for warfare systems operational decisions for installation and deployment; and 5) Interoperability Certification and Assessment, the independent assessment of Strike Group Warfare Systems operational performance. Interoperability Assessments examine force level engagement threads, aircraft control, air battle-space management, and operational displays. Assessments of deploying ships in Strike Force configurations are accomplished through the utilization of the Navy's Distributed Engineering Plant (DEP), which is the cornerstone for the Distributed Integration & Interoperability Assessment Capability (DIIAC) Concept. It is a U.S. Fleet Forces Command and Commander In Chief, U.S Pacific Fleet (COMPACFLT) requirement that all Strike Forces undergo Interoperability Assessment testing in the DEP prior to deployment. Interoperability Certification results are used to develop fleet tactical tools

Project 3312 Maritime Theater Missile Defense Forum (MTMD):

This project funds participation in the Maritime Missile Defense Projects Framework Memorandum of Understanding of 2004 (as amended in 2009). Known as the Maritime Theater Missile Defense (MTMD) forum, it promotes interoperability with the Navies of nine participating nations (Australia, Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Netherlands, Spain, United Kingdom and the United States). This project funds participation in several Project Arrangements and includes maritime contribution to the NATO Active Layered Theater Ballistic Missile Defense (ALTBMD) project, now known as NATO Ballistic Missile Defense (BMD). Engineering analysis and recommendations from MTMD activities are provided to European, Pacific and Central Combatant Commands to influence present day operations. Specifically, the MTMD Forum is addressing challenges with "Maritime Allied Air Defense in Support of Ballistic Missile Defense Operations" that face the Combatant Commanders during present day operations.

The MTMD forum provides protection against the proliferation of short, medium and long-range Ballistic Missile (BM) and Advanced Anti-Ship Cruise Missile (ASCM) threats through the creation of an interoperable sea-based Integrated Air and Missile Defense (IAMD)capability among coalition nations. This includes protection across the full spectrum of these threats through the enhanced utilization of existing sea-based systems to protect against current threats while progressively improving and developing systems and system-of- systems to effectively counter evolving threats.

MTMD supports USN participation in several MTMD related Project Arrangements and Working Groups including:

(1) Battle Management Command, Control, Communications, Computers, and Intelligence (BMC4I) to define and develop architectures as well as to perform engineering to address coalition capability gaps.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Navy Date: March 2014

Appropriation/Budget Activity

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) 1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy I BA 4: Advanced PE 0603582N I Combat System Integration Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)

- (2) Modeling & Simulation (M&S) to establish and maintain a maritime coalition M&S testbed and to perform legacy and future systems simulation testing.
- (3) Coalition Distributed Engineering Plant (CDEP) to establish and maintain a maritime coalition Hardware-in-the-Loop Testbed and to conduct CDEP testing.
- (4) Open Architecture (OA) to develop Interface Standards and Data Models.
- (5) Test Planning and Execution (TPEX) to develop Test Plans, oversee exercise participation and conduct post event data analysis and reporting.
- (6) Operational Requirements (OR) to develop a Coalition Maritime Missile Defense Operational Concept Document and to identify operational constraints and tactical constructs surrounding coalition maritime missile defense activities.

Project 9B88 Automated Test and Retest (ATRT):

The Navy, through Automated Test and Re-Test (ATRT) is developing an automated test/analysis capability, which is applicable at phases within system development and integration which provides reproducible and quantitative evaluation of system performance with reduced levels of effort and schedule in order to support one of the Navy's priority initiatives of reduction of Total Ownership Cost (TOC). Funding will provide additional work towards ongoing testing and analysis efforts within the Combat Systems Integration Testing (CSIT), formerly known as Warfare Systems Integration and Interoperability Testing (WSI2T), AEGIS Combat System Advanced Capability Build (ACB) 12, Antisubmarine Warfare Integrated Common Processor/Acoustic Rapid Commercial Off The Shelf (COTS) Insertion, the Littoral Combat Ship (LCS) Mission Module development and other major acquisition programs. In addition, funding will support the development of standards, specifications, and guidance to facilitate NAVSEA-affiliated programs' adoption of this TOC-reducing discipline and technology.

Per Congressional direction, starting in FY14, the ATRT project moves to Program Element 0603597N under Project Unit 9B88C: "Automated Test and Re-Test -Congressional".

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	56.551	36.570	41.949	-	41.949
Current President's Budget	45.131	4.396	20.881	-	20.881
Total Adjustments	-11.420	-32.174	-21.068	-	-21.068
 Congressional General Reductions 	-	-0.069			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 	-	-22.100			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 	-	-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 	-	-10.005			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.691	-			
 Program Adjustments 	-	-	-20.641	=	-20.641
 Rate/Misc Adjustments 	-	-	-0.427	=	-0.427
 Congressional General Reductions 	-4.729	-	-	-	-
Adjustments					

PE 0603582N: Combat System Integration

Navy

UNCLASSIFIED Page 3 of 18

	1102/10011 125	
Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Navy		Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy I BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603582N / Combat System Integration	
Congressional Directed Reductions -6.000 Adjustments	-	-
Change Summary Explanation		
 FY13 reflects congressionally mandated sequestration reduction, F 3004 reductions. 	Y13 Small Business Innovative Research Assessments a	nd Division G, Section 3001 and
2. FY14 decrease in funding resulted from Congressional reductions a		
3. FY15 decrease in funding from previous President's Budget submis		services, Navy Warfare Center
Fee Rate adjustments, and realignment of funds to match projected ex	xpenditures.	

PE 0603582N: Combat System Integration Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 4 of 18

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification:	PB 2015 N	lavy							Date: Mar	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 4				_	am Elemen 32N / Comb	•	•	Project (N 0164 / Con		ne) n Integratior	1	
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO [#]	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
0164: Combat System Integration	316.943	33.208	-	11.528	-	11.528	24.147	23.033	21.377	21.825	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	0.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

[#] The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Project 0164: Combat System Integration:

This project funds the Strike Force Interoperability Program through the following pillars: 1) Command & Control, Communications, Computer, Combat Systems, and Intelligence Modernization Process (C5IMP) and Fleet Readiness. The C5IMP validates the introduction of new systems into the Fleet and ensures system maturity prior to installation, thereby reducing risk and enhancing readiness and effectiveness of deploying ships and strike groups; 2) Warfare Systems Certification, which is essential to validating the maturity and operational performance of warfare systems prior to fleet delivery and deployment; 3) Navigation Certification (NAVCERT) and Electronic Charting and Display System - Navy (ECDIS-N) certification, which certifies ship electronic charting capability and certifies the accurate transmission of navigation data to combat and weapons systems; 4) Combat Systems Integration Testing (CSIT), formerly known as Warfare Systems Integration and Interoperability Testing (WSI2T), which is essential in the identification of critical integration and interoperability issues. CSIT also provides Objective Quality Evidence (OQE) for warfare system certification decisions for installation and deployment; and 5) Interoperability Certification and Assessment, the independent assessment of Strike Group Warfare Systems operational performance. Interoperability Assessments examine force level engagement threads, aircraft control, air battle-space management, and operational displays. Assessments of deploying ships in Strike Force configurations are accomplished through the utilization of the Navy's Distributed Engineering Plant (DEP), which is the cornerstone for the Distributed Integration & Interoperability Assessment Capability (DIIAC) Concept. It is a U.S. Fleet Forces Command and Commander In Chief, U.S Pacific Fleet (COMPACFLT) requirement that all Strike Forces undergo Interoperability Assessment testing in the DEP prior to deployment. Interoperability Certification results are used to develop fleet tactical tools (Capabilities & Limitations (C&L) and Tactical Information Coordinator Technical Aids (TIC TECHAIDs)), which ensure that operators understand the interoperability capabilities and limitations of their combat systems.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Navigation System Certification (NAVCERT)	1.462	-	1.200
Articles:	-	-	-
Description: This project funds assessments in support of NAVCERT associated with modernizations and/or new capability upgrades. A NAVCERT communicates to NAVSEA, Ship Program Managers (SPMs), Type Commanders (TYCOMs), and the Fleet that shipboard navigation systems are properly installed and in good physical condition and operating to specified accuracy and requirements. A NAVCERT certifies: (1) Ship electronic charting display capability ensuring safety at sea. (2) Accurate transmission of navigation data to combat and weapons systems ensuring ordnance is delivered on target. (3) Aircraft inertial alignment system which is critical for returning aircraft. A successful NAVCERT is required for Warfare Systems Certification			

PE 0603582N: Combat System Integration

Navy

UNCLASSIFIED Page 5 of 18

	UNCLASSII ILD				
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Navy		,	Date: M	larch 2014	
				lame) tem Integrati	on
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article (•		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Decisions (WSCD), TOMAHAWK Weapons System (TTWCS) Cer Certifications, and Electronic Charting and Display System-Navy (Example 2017)					
OPNAVINST 9420.2 and NAVSEAINST 9420.4 requires that a Natinitial installation/new construction, major overhaul/modification/repdata, changes to the navigation baseline configuration, greater that (5) years since previous NAVCERT to ensure safe navigation.	pair when it is determined to impact the accuracy of naviga	ation			
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Performed 26 NAVCERTs on cruisers, destroyers, carriers, and an incorporate fleet input and lessons learned.	nphibs. Initiate update of NAVSEA Instruction 9420.4A to				
FY 2014 Plans: Continuing critical NAVCERT efforts to include performing limited N	NAVCERTs on cruisers, destroyers, carriers and amphibs.	,			
FY 2015 Plans: Plans are to perform 18 NAVCERTs on cruisers, destroyers, carrie	ers, and amphibs. Issue update of NAVSEA Instruction 942	20.4A.			
<i>Title:</i> Command, Control, Communications, Computer, Combat Sy Fleet Readiness (C5ISR)			2.060	-	1.000
Description: This project funds engineering assessments of proposinstallation and risk associated with installs of equipment outside of support the fleet C5I Modernization Policy (per COMUSFLTFORCO associated with C5ISR modernization in both afloat and ashore unand certified warfighting capabilities in order to meet theater operathrough engineering analysis, of the critical linchpins needed to actimprovement item to be installed in a ships baseline (Baseline Chaupgrades for the Fleet Commanders, and researching and analyzing required maturity can break the warfare system package installed coordination with the FLTCDRs and TYCOMs as well as other mer resolve C5IMP modernization issues thereby reducing risk and entitative groups. Strike Group Engineers (SGEs) analyze planned C5 capability, interoperability, and modernization issues in a Strike Group recommendations for resolution.	osed C5I capability modernizations to determine maturity for normal modernization windows. This project is required to OM/COMPACFLT Inst. 4720.3B), to manage operational rits ensuring deploying units receive improved, interoperability and requirements. This is done by determining the maturity interoperability for each proposed C5IMP capability ange), developing installation recommendations of C5I system installation or operating problems. Failure to achieve on a ship and impact strike group capabilities. There is clombers of the C5IMP community to address, coordinate, and hancing readiness and effectiveness of deploying ships and configurations of ships and Strike Groups; identify and an	o isks le, rity, tem se ad ad nalyze			

	UNCLASSIFIED								
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Navy			Date: M	larch 2014					
				oject (Number/Name) 64 / Combat System Integration					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Qua	ntities in Each)	F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015				
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Provided C5IMP and Strike Group Engineering support for all CSGs, A interdeployment cycle, including preparations for deployment of 6 CSG Facilitated review, assessment, and execution of C5I installations durin Modernization Conference (NCMC) and 12 monthly baseline events. R of risk related to the installation of Consolidated Afloat Network and En decision makers with a clear understanding of the risks, benefits, and r Office is now executing these key mitigation steps toward achieving int MH-60R LAMPS helicopter interoperability with the AEGIS Combat Systems.	is, 3 ARGs and 40 independent deployers during FY1: ing 100 CNO availabilities in FY 13. Supported 1 Naval tisk Assessment & Mitigation: Identification and analys terprise Services (CANES) onboard 12 ships to provious initigations related to this installation; the CANES Progeroperable installation of this system. Reviewed the	C5I sis de							
FY 2014 Plans: Continuing critical C5IMP efforts to include facilitating review, assessm Availabilities in FY14.	ent, and execution of C5I installations during CNO								
FY 2015 Plans: Facilitate review, assessment, and execution of C5I installations during NCMC and 12 monthly baseline events.	g approximately 100 CNO Availabilities in FY15. Suppo	ort 1							
Title: Combat System Integration Testing (CSIT)	An	ticles:	2.200	-	-				
Description: This program funds Land-Based Test Sites to conduct information Integrated Combat Systems at NSWC Dahlgren, VA and at Wallops Isl (ACDS) Block 0/1 and FFG Combat Direction System testing at Combat System Testing (formerly known as SEA05H Warfare Systems Integrate the identification of critical combat systems integration issues. CST also certification decisions to support installation and deployment approvals the test labs to provide an integrated test environment similar to shipbot	tegration testing for the Ship Self Defense Mark 1/2 and, VA as well as the Advanced Combat Direction Stat Direction Systems Activity Dam Neck, VA. Combat tion and Interoperability Testing (WSI2T)), is essential o provides Objective Quality Evidence (OQE) for warfa. OPN/OMN funds support the maintenance and upgra	ystem in are							
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Program Combat Systems integration planning/conduct/execution/OQE 5C (LSD 50/52) & CVN 75/76. This effort will end in FY13.	E reporting for the following platforms: LHD 1, SSDS I	MOD							
FY 2014 Plans: N/A									
FY 2015 Plans:									

PE 0603582N: Combat System Integration

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 7 of 18

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Navy			Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 4	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603582N / Combat System Integration	,	umber/Name) nbat System Integration

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
N/A	F1 2013	F1 2014	F1 2015
Title: Interoperability Certification and Assessment Articles:	17.068 -	-	3.552
Description: This project funds Interoperability assessments via the Distributed Engineering Plant, the technical assessment of interoperable systems to meet mission requirements, the updating of Strike Group Capabilities and Limitations (C&L) and the updating of the Tactical Information Coordinator Technical Aids (TIC TECHAIDs). Efforts of the project ensure NAVSEA/ PEOs are delivering mature and interoperable warfare systems at the platform and strike group level, NAVSEA provides strike force interoperability certification and assessments. This program focuses on new systems and platforms under development. Interoperability Assessments of deploying ships in Strike Force configurations is accomplished through the utilization of the Navy's Distributed Engineering Plant (DEP), which provides operational configurations for all naval combat systems located at multiple Navy land-based sites located across the country and connected via networking technology. It is a U.S. Fleet Forces Command requirement that all Strike Forces undergo Interoperability Assessment testing in the DEP prior to deployment. The DEP provides the only opportunity for comprehensive interoperability testing of combat system and C5I configuration items prior to shipboard delivery for operational use in surface combatant platforms and strike group units. Further, the DEP provides the mechanism to support the surface Navy's participation in the Joint testing environments as well as the MTMD Coalition forces interoperability testing. The Distributed Integration & Interoperability Assessment Capability (DIIAC) will leverage the existing DEP (facilities, skilled resources, live assets, and network connectivity) and ATRT applications to test and assess battle force interoperability. The result of DEP testing is fed into the development of fleet tactical tools: C&L and TIC TECHAIDs, which ensure that operators understand the interoperability capabilities and limitations of their combat systems. C&Ls are delivered for Strike Groups and their Coalition			
FY 2013 Accomplishments: The Distributed Engineering Plant (DEP) conducted seven test events culminating in 224 lab hours of testing. Testing included the following: 1. DEP Common Connectivity Device (CCD)/Gateway Terminal Emulator (GTE) comparison testing.			
 Digital Air Control (DAC) testing and test bed validation. Aegis AMIIP Risk Mitigation Test Aegis AMIIP Interoperability Certification Test Navy Continuous Training Environment (NCTE) Proof of Concept, network engineering test 			
DEP executed requisite pre-test planning, network engineering and data analysis to include development of products and deliverables. These products and deliverables include: (1) event scheduling and planning, (2) test procedure development and test planning working group meetings, (3) network maintenance, configuration management and equipment configuration list, (4) data management and analysis plan, data analysis working groups, analysis briefs and assessment final reports. DEP			

	UNCLASSIFIED				
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Navy		Date	: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 4		ect (Number/Name) I Combat System Integration			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Qu	antities in Each)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
testing, network configuration and data analysis supports the Naval V Objective Quality Evidence (OQE) used in Warfare Systems Certifica Certification Decision (WSCD). DEP completed development to one of use as a common certification scenario. DEP completed a Navy Contrest to explore feasibility of connecting DEP to the NCTE network the DDG-1000 and elements of CVN-78. DEP conducted connectivity and Ballistic Missile Defense (BMD) Exercise 13-2. Conducted interopera DEP provided connectivity and support of a Cross Domain Solution leevents. Provided C&L documents for 65 Strike Groups comprised of 2 E-2Cs, MH-60s, EA-6Bs and P-3s). Conducted analysis of force level management, and operational displays, focusing on deploying Strike TIC TECHAIDs delivered for 35 SG ships, 15 ARG ships, 45 indepen	tion Installation Assessment (WSIA) and Warfare Syste of four Interoperability Mission Area Scenarios (IMAS) for inuous Training Environment (NCTE) Proof of Concept refore potentially creating a land based test bed for LCS d stability analysis of Multi-TDL-J communications during bility assessments of LCS-1 and AEGIS AMIIP Baseline reading to a completion of MTMD CDEP PA-2 interoperation 237 ships and 110 Naval Air Squadrons (covering F/A-1) and agreement threads, aircraft control, air battlespace Groups and new systems and platforms under developeration.	m or s, g es. bility 8s,			
FY 2014 Plans: Continuing critical Interoperability Certification and Assessment effort AMIIP baselines and AEGIS Baseline 9. Conduct research and provid C&L website for 65 strike groups comprised of 237 ships, 110 Naval and P-3s). Limited deliveries of TIC TECHAIDS for SG ships, ARG s	de limited updates to Interoperability C&L documents or Air Squadrons (covering F/A 18s, E-2Cs, MH-60s, EA-6	the			
FY 2015 Plans: Conduct one DEP test event to support the Interoperability Assessme execution, and reconstruction with data analysis. Conduct 2 Interoper AEGIS baseline 9) to provide OQE for Warfare Systems Certification. documents on the C&L website for 65 strike groups comprised of 237 MH-60s, EA-6Bs and P-3s). TIC TECHAIDS will be delivered for 35 Ships.	rability Certification assessments (SSDS baseline 10.09). Conduct research and provide updated Interoperability ships, 110 Naval Air Squadrons (covering F/A 18s, E-2	.00, & C&L			
Title: Warfare Systems Certification	An	10.4		5.776	
Description: This project funds the conduct of Warfare Systems Cert operational risk assessments, using Objective Quality Evidence (OQE of warfare systems and Navy surface platforms. As directed by COM Modernization Policy, and in accordance with NAVSEAINST 9410.2, NAVSEA will perform these assessments based on OQE obtained the testing. NAVSEA engineering analyses are developed and staffed for through Warfare Systems Certification Readiness Reviews (WSCRR)	tification against set criteria. This includes providing E), to ensure installation readiness and deployment readilUSFLTFORCOM/COMPACFLT Inst. 4720.3B, C5ISR Naval Warfare System Certification Policy (NWSCP), rough testimony of subordinate activities and/or independent criteria not met. NAVSEA accomplishes these efforts	liness			

PE 0603582N: Combat System Integration Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 9 of 18

	UNCLASSIFIED				
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Navy		Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 4	• •	ect (Number/Name) I Combat System Integration			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quan	ntities in Each)	F	2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Warfare Systems Certification Decisions (WSCD) to support the installa platforms. The purpose of the WSCRR is to review and approve the Wasystems against the WSCP and monitor satisfaction of established criter WSIA is to provide the Fleet with an early assessment of risk and character to support sail-away and any shipboard test and training events for New (RCOH) or lead ships that are test platforms for major modernization efficient installation of the warfare system(s) for in-service platforms. This allows and training decisions. The purpose of the WSCD is to provide warfare scharacterization of the warfare systems maturity and readiness to suppose is released following the WSCD. An operational risk assessment character subject ship's deployment is developed from OQE gathered from 20 This pillar also ensures that aggregate deficiencies and workarounds deanalysis of all work-arounds documented in Techniques & Procedures (Reports (TR)).	arfare System Certification Plan (WSCP), monitor war ria to facilitate a WSIA and WSCD. The purpose of the acterization of the warfare systems maturity and reading Construction (NC) phase, Refueling Complex Overh fort and/or an assessment to support an authorization is the Fleet to make informed installation, testing/exercisystems certification including an assessment of risk port deployment. A NAVSEA certification decision mest certification warfare systems maturity and readiness to subdifferent criteria for each decision point meeting/pando not render the operator ineffective by conducting an	fare ness aul for ise, and sage upport el.			
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Conducted 152 Warfare Systems Certification Events (WSCRRs, WSIA 68/78, LHA 1/6, LHD 1, LPD 17, LSD 41/49 and LCS Ship Class and an development and implementation of processes to support the increase i 17 to 20 to include: review and adjudication of Warfare Systems trouble (TTP) and workarounds to assess the aggregate workload of the operat test the stressful endurance of warfare systems; Develop an Integrated environment, classified to secret level.	mphibious assault ships. Funding provided supported in necessary criteria identified in the update NWSCP reports; review of Tactics, Techniques and Procedur tors to meet mission requirements; develop method to	the from es			
FY 2014 Plans: Continuing critical Warfare System Certification efforts to include supportation above ship classes.	rting limited Warfare Systems Certification Events for				
FY 2015 Plans: Support approximately 64 Warfare Systems Certification Events for aborevised Naval Warfare Systems Certification Policy.	ove ship classes. Update the NWSCP and implement				

PE 0603582N: Combat System Integration Navy

Page 10 of 18

R-1 Line #48

33.208

11.528

Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Navy			Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)	Project (N	umber/Name)
1319 / 4	PE 0603582N / Combat System Integration	0164 / Con	mbat System Integration

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

			FY 2015	FY 2015	FY 2015					Cost To	
Line Item	FY 2013	FY 2014	Base	OCO	<u>Total</u>	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Complete	Total Cost
 OPN 2960: (ICSTD/ 	5.240	4.963	4.016	-	4.016	9.031	9.230	9.389	9.588	Continuing	Continuing

DEP): Integrated Combat System Test Division/ Distributed Engineering Plant

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

RDTEN funding under this line supports independent certification of the integration of major capability upgrades acquired by Program Executive Offices (PEOs) into host Navy Platforms and Strike Forces. The RDTEN engineering and certification activities at field sites do not involve direct procurement of equipment or engineering services, and hence no acquisition strategy is required. The major capability upgrades evaluated under this program fall under their associated PEOs' acquisition strategies.

E. Performance Metrics

Quarterly Program Reviews and Baseline Assessments

PE 0603582N: Combat System Integration

Navy

Page 11 of 18

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification:	: PB 2015 N	lavy							Date: Marc	ch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 4						am Elemen 32N / Comb			3312 <i>I MTI</i>	Project (Number/Name) 312 / MTMD-Maritime Theater Missile Defense Forum			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO #	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
3312: MTMD-Maritime Theater Missile Defense Forum	3.135	3.952	4.396	9.353	-	9.353	9.048	9.322	7.819	7.989	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	0.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			

[#] The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This project funds participation in the Maritime Missile Defense Projects Framework Memorandum of Understanding of 2004 (as amended in 2009). Known as the Maritime Theater Missile Defense (MTMD) forum, it promotes interoperability with the Navies of nine participating nations (Australia, Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Netherlands, Spain, United Kingdom and the United States). This project funds participation in several Project Arrangements and includes maritime contribution to the NATO Active Layered Theater Ballistic Missile Defense (ALTBMD) project, now known as NATO Ballistic Missile Defense (BMD). Engineering analysis and recommendations from MTMD activities are provided to European, Pacific and Central Combatant Commands to influence present day operations. Specifically, the MTMD Forum is addressing challenges with "Maritime Allied Air Defense in Support of Ballistic Missile Defense Operations" that face the Combatant Commanders during present day operations.

The MTMD forum provides protection against the proliferation of short, medium and long-range Ballistic Missile (BM) and Advanced Anti-Ship Cruise Missile (ASCM) threats through the creation of an interoperable sea-based Integrated Air and Missile Defense (IAMD)capability among coalition nations. This includes protection across the full spectrum of these threats through the enhanced utilization of existing sea-based systems to protect against current threats while progressively improving and developing systems and system-of- systems to effectively counter evolving threats.

MTMD supports USN participation in several MTMD related Project Arrangements and Working Groups including:

- (1) Battle Management Command, Control, Communications, Computers, and Intelligence (BMC4I) to define and develop architectures as well as to perform engineering to address coalition capability gaps.
- (2) Modeling & Simulation (M&S) to establish and maintain a maritime coalition M&S testbed and to perform legacy and future systems simulation testing.
- (3) Coalition Distributed Engineering Plant (CDEP) to establish and maintain a maritime coalition Hardware-in-the-Loop Testbed and to conduct CDEP testing.
- (4) Open Architecture (OA) to develop Interface Standards and Data Models.
- (5) Test Planning and Execution (TPEX) to develop Test Plans, oversee exercise participation and conduct post event data analysis and reporting.
- (6) Operational Requirements (OR) to develop a Coalition Maritime Missile Defense Operational Concept Document and to identify operational constraints and tactical constructs surrounding coalition maritime missile defense activities.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Maritime Theater Missile Defense Forum (MTMD)	3.952	4.396	9.353
Articles:	-	-	-

PE 0603582N: Combat System Integration

Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 12 of 18

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Navy		Date:	March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 4	Project (Number	Number/Name) TMD-Maritime Theater Missile				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article	Quantities in Each)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
Description: This project funds participation in the MTMD forum to This project funds participation in the Modeling and Simulation (MacComputers and Intelligence (BMC4I), Coalition Distributed Engine Architecture (OA), and Operational Requirements (OR).	&S), Battle Management, Command, Control, Communicat	ions,				
(1) BMC4I: Completed version 1.0 of MTMD Target Architecture Report and completed version 1.0 of the System Tactical Data Lin the Integrated Warfare Systems Laboratory and provided risk assallied air defense in support of present-day ballistic missile defens (2) M&S completed Polaris Air Defense Model tuning to enable Nathe-loop, modeling & simulation and tactical BMD exercise in June reduction and run-for-record M&S tests of the MTMD Baseline Arc (3) CDEP project completed the multi-national hardware-in-the-loot tests and the run-for-record hardware-in-the-loop tests of the MTM Enclave for data sharing among the nations and projects within the (4) The Open Architecture Radar Interface Standard (OARIS) was international standards body for review and approval. (5) TPEX conducted Rapid Arrow 2012 at-sea demonstrations an Readiness Review #1, Rim of the Pacific Exercise (RIMPAC) 2014 (6) Operational Requirements working group completed an update fleet operational guidance to the M&S and CDEP tests, and provide operational events. (7) Completed the Project Arrangement for Next Generation Infracost estimates for prototype development. Cost estimates were considered as a provided in previous budget exhibits). FY 2014 Plans: (1) BMC4I will provide architecture inputs to M&S, CDEP, and TP Architecture No. 1. BMC4I will develop initial information exchange threads.	ak Interoperability Report. Conducted limited test operation essments in support of Combatant Commander requests for the missions. Bay participation in Joint Project Optic Windmill, a hardward established and conducted the MTMD M&S testbed and conducted the chitecture. By testbed and conducted a test readiness review, risk reduction of the mission of the single Encry testbed and submitted to the Object Management Ground conducted initial post-test analysis, Joint Warrior 152 Test 4 Initial Planning and delivered the Master Test Plan Versical to the MTMD Operational Concept Document, provided ded operators to participate in Joint Project Optic Windmill for the Search and Track, which included industry surveys and considered prohibitive in the current state of declining defensions for prototype development (prototype development was PEX to finalize test architectures consistent with Target	s at or e-in- d risk uction ption up, an st on 3.0. for d se s not				

PE 0603582N: Combat System Integration Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 13 of 18

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Navy			Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 4	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603582N / Combat System Integration			Name) itime Theater	Missile
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article C	Quantities in Each)	F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
 (2) M&S will update system models and the M&S testbed to meet T analysis of Baseline Architecture tests completed in FY13 and providemonstration risk reduction activities. (3) CDEP will update the hardware-in-the-loop testbed to meet Targof Baseline Architecture tests completed in FY13. CDEP will deliver document Version 1 (Baseline Architecture). (4) Development of the Force Level Open Architecture Technical Stestablish minimum requirements for a multinational data model to in (5) TPEX will continue planning and range preparations for the magnification of the 2015 test events. Test Plan will be delivered will be configured for the 2015 test events. Test architectures will be Defense Agency. Risk Reduction analysis and tests will be comple (6) Operational Requirements working group will continue to provid events, support Force-Level function development/maturation, supplexelopment of tactics, techniques and procedures. Operational fra MTMD multi-national, Force-Level mission planning. 	get Architecture No. 1 requirements and conduct data and reversion 1 of the Integrated Capabilities & Limitations tandard will commence. This standard will be developed tangency interoperability among the nations. Joint Warrior 152 in 2015 following the Test Readiness Review in March 2014. Tange developed and negotiated with NATO BMD and the Misseld. The developed and operator oversight to test and evaluation port training development for test events and coordinate.	o 5 and gets ssile			
(1) BMC4I will continue engineering analysis and multi-national into Architecture No. 2. BMC4I will evaluate Recommended Point Solution correcting coalition interoperability gaps. BMC4I will finalize informations. (2) M&S will complete analysis of Target Architecture No. 1 M&S to improve information exchange requirements identified by BMC4I. (3) CDEP will complete analysis of Target Architecture No. 2 hardware recommendations to improve information exchanges required to coarchitecture risk assessment and Version 2 of the Capabilities & Lir (4) Open Architecture will continue development of the Force Leve CDEP test results will be used to improve the details of the standard (5) TPEX will finalize preparations for and conduct MTMD participal Demonstration will include live tracking events and a combination of Ballistic Missile Defense test scenarios among the nations will be or kind ever conducted. Planning for RIMPAC 2016 will continue and	tions and provide final recommendations for the implement remation exchange requirements in preparation for at-sea ests and provide assessments and recommendations to ware-in-the-loop tests and provide assessments and induct the at-sea demonstrations. CDEP will provide the finitations document to support the at-sea demonstrations. I Open Architecture Technical Standard. Inputs from M&S d. ation as part of Joint Warrior 152. This 2015 At-Sea of live and simulated engagements. Integrated Air Defensionducted. This will be the first multi-national maritime test	final S and e and			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Navy			Date: March 2014
' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603582N / Combat System Integration	- 3 (umber/Name) MD-Maritime Theater Missile orum

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
(6) Operational Requirements working group will continue to provide fleet inputs and operator oversight to test and evaluation events. The Operational Concept Document will be updated as will final tactics, techniques and procedures in support of the atsea demonstrations in 2015 and 2016.			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	3.952	4.396	9.353

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

			FY 2015	FY 2015	FY 2015					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	Base	<u>000</u>	<u>Total</u>	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Complete	Total Cost
• 0605853N: <i>PU 014</i> 9	1.771	2.500	2.563	-	2.563	2.627	2.692	2.760	-	Continuing	Continuing
INTERNATIONAL											
COOP MANAGEMENT,											
TECHNICAL AND INTL SUPT											
 0603790N: PU 2293 NATO 	0.500	0.500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

N/A

E. Performance Metrics

Quarterly Program Reviews and Baseline Assessments

PE 0603582N: Combat System Integration Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 15 of 18

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Navy										Date: Mar	March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 4					_		it (Number / at System I	•		umber/Name) omated Test and Re-Test			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO #	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
9B88: Automated Test and Re- Test	9.875	7.971	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17.846	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	0.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			

^{*} The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The use of these funds by Navy, through Automated Test and Re-Test (ATRT), will further develop an automated test/analysis capability, which is applicable at phases within system development, integration, and certification which provides reproducible and quantitative evaluation of system performance with reduced levels of effort and schedule in order to support one of the Navy's priority initiatives of reduction of Total Ownership Cost (TOC). Funding will provide additional work towards ongoing testing and analysis efforts within the Integrated Combat System Test Facility (ICSTF), AEGIS Combat System Advanced Capability Build (ACB) 16, Submarine Federated Tactical System and Virginia Class Submarines, the Littoral Combat Ship (LCS) Mission Module/ Combat Management System development and other major acquisition programs. In addition, funding will support the development of standards, specifications, and guidance to facilitate NAVSEA-affiliated programs' adoption of this TOC-reducing discipline and technology.

Per Congressional direction, starting in FY14 and through the outyears, the ATRT project moves to Program Element 0603597N under Project Unit 9B88C: "Automated Test and Re-Test - Congressional".

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Automated Test and Re-Test	7.971	-	-
Articles:	-	-	-
Description: The use of these funds by Navy, through Automated Test and Re-Test (ATRT), will further develop an automated test/analysis capability, which is applicable at phases within system development, integration, and certification which provides reproducible and quantitative evaluation of system performance with reduced levels of effort and schedule in order to support one of the Navy's priority initiatives of reduction of Total Ownership Cost (TOC). Funding will provide additional work towards ongoing testing and analysis efforts within the Integrated Combat System Test Facility (ICSTF), AEGIS Combat System Advanced Capability Build (ACB) 16, Submarine Federated Tactical System and Virginia Class Submarines, the Littoral Combat Ship (LCS) Mission Module/ Combat Management System development and other major acquisition programs. In addition, funding will support the development of standards, specifications, and guidance to facilitate NAVSEA-affiliated programs' adoption of this TOC-reducing discipline and technology.			
FY 2013 Accomplishments:			
The ATRT program will be concluding (8) FY12 ATRT pilot implementation projects throughout NAVSEA-affiliated PEOs. Because			
of Sequestration, there are only (2) FY13 efforts in process for award for PEO IWS and PEO LCS to execute. The ATRT program			

PE 0603582N: Combat System Integration

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 16 of 18

R-1 Line #48

Navy

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Navy			Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)	Project (N	umber/Name)
1319 / 4	PE 0603582N / Combat System Integration	9B88 / Aut	omated Test and Re-Test

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
has continued to facilitate the development of standards, specifications, and guidance for the use of ATRT tools and processes. With the recent engagement of other SYSCOMs in ATRT, a community of practice is being developed across the system			
commands to further engage programs about the automated testing disciplines established and in development.			
FY 2014 Plans:			
Per Congressional direction, starting in FY14 and through the outyears, the ATRT project moves to Program Element 0603597N under Project Unit 9B88C: "Automated Test and Re-Test - Congressional".			
FY14 plans stated under the new Program Element.			
FY 2015 Plans:			
Per Congressional direction, starting in FY14 and through the outyears, the ATRT project moves to Program Element 0603597N under Project Unit 9B88C: "Automated Test and Re-Test - Congressional".			
FY15 plans stated under the new Program Element.			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	7.971	_	-

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A **Remarks**

Navy

D. Acquisition Strategy

The Program Strategy for the ATRT program includes the following:

- Investigation of applicable similarities to industry standards, specifications, and processes that are relevant to ATRT program to recognize best practices and leverage opportunities
- Development of standards and specifications for ATRT tools/processes
- Funding and execution of ATRT startup projects within acquisition programs per submission of proposals and Business Case Analyses (BCA)
- Development of training and outreach efforts to promote awareness of automated testing and analysis body of knowledge and available tools/processes
- Setup and maintain an ATRT portal for the collection and dissemination of body of knowledge
- Produce Contract Language Guidebook for ATRT

E. Performance Metrics

Progress towards meeting the objectives of the ATRT efforts will be monitored via the following:

- Progress Briefs at Quarterly ATRT Stakeholders Meetings
- Bi- Monthly ATRT Program Reviews

PE 0603582N: Combat System Integration

Page 17 of 18

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Navy		Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1319 / 4	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603582N / Combat System Integration	Project (Number/Name) 9B88 / Automated Test and Re-Test
- Return on Investment Metrics based on work hours for test process execution - before and after automation - Return on Investment Metrics based on work hours for test process execution - before and after automation		