Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense

Appropriation/Budget Activity

RΔ /ι· D

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 4:

PE 0604400D8Z I Unmanned Aircraft Systems Common Development

Date: March 2014

Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)

·												
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO [#]	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	24.161	11.233	8.263	3.702	-	3.702	3.150	3.519	4.030	3.884	Continuing	Continuing
P440: UAS Airspace Integration	13.591	7.347	4.703	2.600	-	2.600	2.230	2.390	2.690	2.627	Continuing	Continuing
P442: Interoperability	10.282	3.455	3.060	0.898	-	0.898	0.700	0.900	1.100	1.000	Continuing	Continuing
P443: Unmanned Systems Road Maps	0.288	0.431	0.500	0.204	-	0.204	0.220	0.229	0.240	0.257	Continuing	Continuing

[#] The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

Note

PE 0305220F: GLOBAL HAWK DEVELOPMENT/FIELDING contains funding for the Common-ABSAA development.

PE 0305219A: MQ-1 Sky Warrior A UAV contains additional funding for GBSAA development.

PE 0305220N: RQ-4 UAV (BAMS UAS) contains funding for an initial common RQ/MQ-4 ABSAA capability via a Pilot In The Loop (PITL) Due Regard system.

The FY2014 President's Budget transferred \$83.169M (FYDP) to the above UAS programs' PEs.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Department of Defense (DOD) UAS Common Development is a joint effort to develop and demonstrate common standards, architectures, and technologies that address UAS-specific issues across all Military Services. The intent is to increase interoperability and effectiveness by promoting cooperative development of solutions that are applicable across major classes of UAS. This effort will initially focus on addressing DOD UAS integration into the National Airspace System (NAS) and demonstration of a common, interoperable ground station architecture and associated interface standards.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	12.368	8.300	4.321	-	4.321
Current President's Budget	11.233	8.263	3.702	-	3.702
Total Adjustments	-1.135	-0.037	-0.619	-	-0.619
 Congressional General Reductions 	-	-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 	-	-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 	-	-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
 SBIR/STTR Transfer 	-	-			
 Strategic efficiency Reduction 	-1.135	_	-0.619	-	-0.619
FY 2014 Baseline Adjustment	-	-0.037	-	-	-

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretar	y Of Defense	Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0604400D8Z I Unmanned Aircraft Systems Comm	on Development		
Change Summary Explanation The FY2014 President's Budget transferred \$83.169M (FYDP) to the	above UAS programs' PEs.			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense											Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4						,				Project (Number/Name) P440 / UAS Airspace Integration			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO [#]	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
P440: UAS Airspace Integration	13.591	7.347	4.703	2.600	-	2.600	2.230	2.390	2.690	2.627	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			

[#] The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

Note

ABSAA and GBSAA technology development transitioned to UAS programs of record during FY2013.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Global Hawk (GH) and the Triton, as well as other Group 3-5 UAS, need a sense-and-avoid (SAA) capability as an alternate means of compliance to Title 14 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 91.111 and Part 91.113, requirement to see-and-avoid other aircraft. The Air Force is leading the effort to develop an Airborne Sense and Avoid (ABSAA) system that is suitable to support operations within US and foreign national airspace. The RQ-4 Global Hawk, MQ-4C Triton, MQ-1B Predator, MQ-1C Gray Eagle, and MQ-9 Reaper all have a requirement for SAA capability and will leverage the technology being developed by the Air Force. The Army is leading the development of a Ground Based Sense-and-Avoid (GBSAA) system using existing technology to provide a near-term solution for improved airspace access, both for terminal operations and for operations/training within the GBSAA system's coverage area (e.g., Gray Eagle at El Mirage, Shadow operations at Cherry Point).

Provides joint funding to support development of common operating concepts, standards, modeling and simulation, and technology to enable DoD UAS to routinely access the national and international airspace systems.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Title: Unmanned Aircraft System Airspace Integration Initiatives	7.347	4.703	2.600	
Description: Starting in FY 2010 the Department's sense-and-avoid (SAA) developmental efforts are enhanced by this defense-wide program element. This program provides joint funding to accelerate the development of SAA technology and standards to enable UAS to routinely access the national and international airspace systems. This program also develops UAS airspace integration requirements and standards, as well as supports the modeling, simulation, and operational analysis needed to validate the systems and standards. In FY2013 ABSAA and GBSAA efforts transitioned to the Services.				
FY 2013 Accomplishments: ABSAA - Development transitioned to Service Programs of Record funding with a re-planned acquisition strategy.				
Standards Development - Completed update of MIL-HDBK-516 for airworthiness criteria, standards, and methods of compliance for both fixed and rotary wing UAS, and began finalizing all Proof of Concurrence Reports for Tri-Service Technical Airworthiness Authority (TAA) signatures. Kicked off SAA systems airworthiness criteria, standards, and methods of compliance development.				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of	of Secretary Of Defense		Date: N	larch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4	_	Project (Number/Name) P440 <i>I UAS Airspace Integration</i>				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Conducted a detailed study of the certification of commercial-conducted a high priority safety gap identified by the Sense and Average Risk Assessment (PRA) methodologies to support the develop a potential methodology for evaluating the effectiveness of SA. Integration Concept of Operations (CONOPS) and conducted challenges.	void Science Research Panel (SARP). Leveraged Probabilist oment of a safety case for integrating UAS in the NAS. Develo A system algorithms. Started updating the current UAS Airsp	tic ped				
Modeling & Simulation (M&S) - Supported analysis of modeling gaps, as identified by the SARP.	g and simulation requirements to address high priority researd	ch				
GBSAA – The GBSAA SIPT continued efforts to develop a conincluded Common Display work, development of top-level requirement of SAA concepts, definitions and requirements. The UAS operators in Huntsville, AL and at Ft Huachuca, AZ to refitests, a Common Display Quick Study utilizing 15 Army participal control personnel - was conducted with a focus on intruder price requirements and a high-level description of its approval proceprovide a framework for a written agreement between DoD/Arr Ft Hood, TX. Army GBSAA is considered a "pathfinder" for SA requirements are base-lined and the development of software begin in July 2014. Cherry Point MCAS began flying segregate (COA) in June 2013. Cherry Point's COA approval provides a management.	direments, and participation in the SARP workshops - leading The display work included Display Usability Tests conducted wine the Alert & Traffic Display subsystem. In addition to the uspants – including manned pilots, unmanned operators and air pritization schemes for a common display. Army's top level GE as were submitted to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAM) and the FAA on full integrated GBSAA operations, starting A system certification by the FAA. Army GBSAA Block 0 system certification is underway with integration testing scheduled to the ded transit GBSAA operations under a Certificate of Authorization.	the vith sability traffic BSAA A) to y with em				
FY 2014 Plans: Standards Development - Complete and publish the update of of compliance for both fixed and rotary wing UAS, and SAA sy Decision Process (MDP) methodologies to better understand of a safety case for integrating UAS in the NAS. Complete and Research and facilitate a DoD-wide exemption to 14 CFR 91.1 operational analysis to assist DoD in overcoming UAS AI chall	stems. Leverage Probabilistic Risk Assessment (PRA) and M SAA system conflict mitigation strategies to support the develor I publish an update to the UAS Airspace Integration CONOPS 13 to enable specified DoD UAS operations in the NAS. Cond	larkov opment S.				

Exhibit R-2A , RDT&E Project Justification : PB 2015 Office of Secretary O	f Defense		Date: N	/larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0604400D8Z I Unmanned Aircraft Systems Common Development	Project (Number/Name) P440 I UAS Airspace Integration			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) Modeling & Simulation (M&S) - Support analysis of modeling and simulation as identified by the SARP.	requirements to address high priority research		2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
FY 2015 Plans: Standards Development - Complete and publish the update of MIL-HDBK-52 of compliance for both fixed and rotary wing UAS, and SAA systems. Condusafety case development issues in order to facilitate expanded UAS access safety gaps as identified by the SARP. Coordinate system requirements and development organizations. Conduct operational analysis to assist DoD in ormodeling & Simulation (M&S) - Support analysis of modeling and simulation as identified by the SARP.	uct ongoing analysis of UAS Airspace Integratio to the NAS. Conduct analysis to address high p I safety guidelines within appropriate standards vercoming UAS AI challenges.	n riority			

Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

E. Performance Metrics

N/A

7.347

4.703

2.600

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense											Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4						R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0604400D8Z I Unmanned Aircraft Systems Common Development				Project (Number/Name) P442 I Interoperability			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO #	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
P442: Interoperability	10.282	3.455	3.060	0.898	-	0.898	0.700	0.900	1.100	1.000	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			

^{*}The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)

The UAS Common Ground Station Demonstration project will develop and demonstrate an interoperable, standards-based, open ground station architecture for RQ/MQ-4 (Global Hawk/Triton), MQ-1 (Predator/Gray Eagle), MQ-5 (Hunter), MQ-8 (Fire Scout), MQ-9 (Reaper), and future UAS. The intent is to improve joint- and coalition-interoperability and to promote competition through the implementation of open standards and open architectures.

			
Title: UAS Common Ground Station Demonstration	3.455	3.060	0.898
Description: Develop and demonstrate an interoperable, standards-based, open ground station architecture for RQ/MQ-4 (Global Hawk/TRITON), MQ-1 (Predator/Gray Eagle), MQ-5 (Hunter), MQ-8 (Fire Scout), MQ-9 (Reaper), and future UAS. The intent is to improve joint- and coalition-interoperability and to promote competition through the implementation of open standards and open architectures.			
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Released UAS Control Segment (UCS) V3.0 and v3.1, and completed development of the Open Business Model (OBM) which incorporates (tri-Service approved) common contracting language for UAS ground control stations. OSD completed development and deployment of the UCS Repository. The UCS Repository allows the rapid re-use of UAS "apps" across tri-Services. UCS was demonstrated in a live Army Bi-Directional Remote Video Terminal (BDRVT) Shadow Flight Test; this provided risk reduction and expedited Army BDRVT/ One System Remote Video Terminal (OSRVT) program of record delivery. UCS was expanded/adopted beyond UAS into the maritime domain.			
FY 2014 Plans: Release UCS V3.2 and v3.3, integrate Army/Navy flight safety critical and information assurance requirements; complete alignment with the Joint Common Unmanned System Architecture (JCUA), Universal Systems Interoperability Profile (USIP), and Future Airborne Capability Environment (FACE) standard; and demonstrate UCS in hardware-in-the-loop Unmanned Maritime System (UMS) maritime simulations.			
FY 2015 Plans: Release UCS V3.4 and v3.5 and demonstrate UCS in a live UMS maritime operational test.			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	3.455	3.060	0.898

FY 2013

FY 2014

FY 2015

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Date: March 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0604400D8Z I Unmanned Aircraft Systems Common Development	Project (Number/Name) P442 I Interoperability
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A Remarks		
D. Acquisition Strategy n/a		
E. Performance Metrics n/a		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense											Date: March 2014		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						,				Project (Number/Name) P443 I Unmanned Systems Road Maps			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO #	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
P443: Unmanned Systems Road Maps	0.288	0.431	0.500	0.204	-	0.204	0.220	0.229	0.240	0.257	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			

^{*}The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This effort supports the Department's Unmanned Systems Roadmap and updates. The Unmanned Systems Roadmap provides a DoD vision for the continuing development, fielding and employment of unmanned systems technologies. This roadmap defines a common vision, establishes the current state of unmanned systems in today's force, and outlines a strategy for the common challenges that must be addressed to achieve the shared vision.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Unmanned Systems Roadmap	0.431	0.500	0.204
Description: Develops and updates the Department's Unmanned Systems Roadmap.			
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Updated the Department's Unmanned Systems Roadmap and performed related studies supporting the Department's vision for unmanned systems.			
FY 2014 Plans: Update the Department's Unmanned Systems Roadmap and perform related studies supporting the Department's vision for unmanned systems.			
FY 2015 Plans: Update the Department's Unmanned Systems Roadmap and perform related studies supporting the Department's vision for unmanned systems.			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	0.431	0.500	0.204

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense		Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0604400D8Z I Unmanned Aircraft Systems Common Development	Project (Number/Name) P443 I Unmanned Systems Road Maps
E. Performance Metrics Provide up-to-date Unmanned Systems Roadmap providing a DoD vision for the continuing development, fielding and employment of unmanned systems technologies.		