Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 2:

PE 0602000D8Z I Joint Munitions Technology

Date: March 2014

Applied Research

Appropriation/Budget Activity

COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	20.298	18.701	17.959	20.065	-	20.065	20.085	20.177	20.181	20.421	Continuing	Continuing
P000: Insensitive Munitions	14.474	12.895	13.936	13.571	-	13.571	13.580	13.569	13.561	13.729	Continuing	Continuing
P204: Enabling Fuze Technology	5.824	5.806	4.023	6.494	-	6.494	6.505	6.608	6.620	6.692	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program addresses applied research associated with improving the lethality, reliability, safety, and survivability of munitions and weapon systems. The goal is to develop and demonstrate joint enabling technologies that can be used by the Program Executive Officers (PEOs) as they develop their specific weapon programs. The program invests in and demonstrates technologies from a Joint Service perspective, thus maximizing efficiencies, ensuring the development of technology with the broadest applicability while avoiding duplication of efforts.

Munition Area Technology Groups (MATGs) and Fuze Area Technology Groups (FATGs) have been established for each munition and capability area and are tasked with: 1) coordinating, establishing, and maintaining 2018, and 2023 year technology development plans and roadmaps, 2) coordinating biannual meetings to review technical and programmatic details of each funded and proposed effort, 3) developing and submitting Technology Transition Agreements in coordination with appropriate PEOs for insertion in their Insensitive Munitions (IM) Strategic Plans / Fuze Technology Development Plan, and 4) interfacing with other MATGs / FATGs and IM / fuze science and technology projects as appropriate. The Joint Insensitive Munitions Technology Program (JIMTP) and Joint Fuze Technology Program (JFTP) will utilize a Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) (consisting of senior Department of Defense (DoD) and Department of Energy (DOE) laboratory representatives, and senior Munitions PEO representatives) to provide program oversight, policy, direction, and priorities during its annual meeting.

The Insensitive Munitions (IM) effort will demonstrate enabling technologies needed to develop weapons in compliance with requirements established in United States Code, Title 10, Chapter 141, Section 2389 and DoD Instruction 5000.1. This effort will take promising technologies demonstrated at the laboratory scale and transition them into demonstration programs utilizing generic hardware based on priority munitions identified in the PEO IM Strategic Plans. Mature demonstrated IM technology can be transitioned, thereby decreasing their program costs and schedule risk and facilitating spin-offs to other non-compliant munitions within their portfolios.

The JIMTP investments focus on five Munition Areas: 1) High Performance Rocket Propulsion (HPP), 2) Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion (MSP), 3) Blast and Fragmentation Warheads (BFW), 4) Anti-Armor Warheads (AAW), and 5) Gun Propulsion (GP). MATGs, under tri-service leadership, have developed technology roadmaps for each Munition Area that are used to guide investments based on goals consistent with the DoD IM Strategic Plan. These IM technologies, alone or in combination, will be developed and tested at the small-scale, and for eventual incorporation in hardware, simulating real-world munitions, to demonstrate their utility and feasibility.

The Enabling Fuze Technology effort will also demonstrate fuze enabling technologies needed to develop weapons that address priority capability areas identified in the Guidance for Development of the Force (GDF), the Secretary of Defense Memorandum, DoD Policy on Cluster Munitions and Unintended Harm to Civilians, and

**UNCLASSIFIED** 

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense

Appropriation/Budget Activity R-1 Programme R-1 Programme

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 2: Applied Research

**.** 2:

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)

PE 0602000D8Z I Joint Munitions Technology

Date: March 2014

shortfalls in current weapon systems. This effort will develop fuzing technologies and mature them for transition into advanced technology (6.3) programs and/or design tools and protocols for weapon fuzing. In this way, the Service and Industrial base weapon and fuze will be able to heavily leverage and apply these emerging and promising technologies in fuzing modeling and simulation tools, multi-point initiation, high reliability fuze architectures, survivable components, modular fuze packaging, and fuze sensor.

The Joint Fuze Technology Program investments focus on four specific capability areas that have been identified by Department strategic guidance and current shortfalls in weapon systems and will be validated by the PEOs and the Heads of the Service Science and Technology (S&T) communities. These capability areas are:

1) Hard Target Survivable Fuzing, 2) Tailorable Effects Weapon Fuzing, 3) High Reliability Fuzing, and 4) Enabling Fuze Technologies and Common Architecture.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	20.615	20.065	21.556	-	21.556
Current President's Budget	18.701	17.959	20.065	-	20.065
Total Adjustments	-1.914	-2.106	-1.491	-	-1.491
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-2.000			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-1.701	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.028	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.178	-			
Strategic Efficiency Savings	-	-	-1.491	-	-1.491
FFRDC Adjustments	-	-0.106	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Other Program Adjustments</li> </ul>	-0.007	-	-	-	=

## **Change Summary Explanation**

The reduction is a strategic efficiency approach to reduce funding and staffing. As a result, we provide a better alignment of funding and provide support to a smaller military force.

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 2 of 14

xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense								Date: Marc	te: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2			R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0602000D8Z / Joint Munitions Technology  Project (Number/Name) P000 / Insensitive Munitions				•					
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	#					FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P000: Insensitive Munitions	14.474	12.895	13.936	13.571	-	13.571	13.580	13.569	13.561	13.729	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Joint Insensitive Munitions (IM) Technology Program (JIMTP) aims at developing the enabling technologies needed to build weapons in compliance with requirements established in statute (United States Code, Title 10, Chapter 141, Section 2389) and regulation (DoDI 5000.1 and CJCSI 3170.01F). This effort will take promising technologies developed at the laboratory scale and transition them into demonstration programs utilizing generic hardware based on the priority munitions identified in the DoD IM Strategic Plan. Mature and demonstrated IM technology can be transitioned, thereby decreasing the program costs and schedule risk. This will additionally promote spin-offs to other non-compliant munitions within the DoD portfolio. Without new technology, future variants of current weapon systems will have the same, or worse, response to IM stimuli. New weapon developments will face similar challenges.

The JIMTP investments focus on five Munition Areas: 1) High Performance Rocket Propulsion, 2) Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion, 3) Blast and Fragmentation Warheads, 4) Anti-Armor Warheads, and 5) Gun Propulsion. Munition Area Technology Groups (MATGs), under tri-service leadership, have developed technology roadmaps for each Munition Area that are used to guide investments based on goals consistent with the DoD IM Strategic Plan. The program is structured around these five areas with clear cross-cutting tasks.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: High Performance Rocket Propulsion (HPP)	2.894	3.772	3.699
Description: High Performance Rocket Propulsion (HPP) focuses on the development and demonstration of technologies to improve the IM response of HPP systems (rocket motors with Ammonium Perchlorate and with or without a metal fuel) for rockets and missiles launched from air, ground, and sea platforms. These technologies, when applied to rocket motors, improve to one or more threats, while not degrading the response to other IM threats and at least maintaining munition performance. Technologies include, but are not limited to, rocket propellant ingredients (including synthesis, characterization and scale-up), reduced smoke or smoky propellants (including formulation, characterization and scale-up), rocket motor case design, materials for active and passive thermal mitigation, shock mitigation materials and techniques, passive and active coatings, active and passive venting techniques for motor cases or containers, ignition systems, sensors, and thrust mitigation techniques. Operating conditions may be controlled or widely varying in both temperature and vibration. The 2018 and 2023 year goals of the HPP MATG are concentrated on solving the IM response of missile propulsion systems due to Fragment Impacts and Slow Cook Off for the majority of HPP rocket motors, and solving the Fast Cook Off response of very large HPP motors.			
FY 2013 Accomplishments: - Studied thermal and mechanical responses of composite cases to slow cook off and aerodynamic heating.			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Se	R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense riation/Budget Activity R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)							
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2		Project (Number/N 1900 / Insensitive I						
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015				
<ul> <li>Completed scale up of high performance rocket propellants to five conducted sensitivity and safety testing.</li> <li>Completed final assembly and conducted slow and fast cook off</li> <li>Characterized novel ionic liquid candidates for high performance conducted mechanical property testing.</li> <li>Completed burning rate measurements and dynamic mechanical</li> </ul>	IM tests. propulsion. Downselected, scaled-up to one pound, and							
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Determine the IM response of less reactive propellants in steel at analogue motors.</li> <li>Characterize less reactive propellants with advanced ingredients variable confinement cook off testing, and slow cook off visualization.</li> <li>Conduct small scale cook-off testing and gap testing on novel ion.</li> <li>Conduct small-scale slow cook-off study correlating historical subtrocket motors.</li> <li>Formulate a novel high performance propellant in 1 pound quantities.</li> </ul>	with safety testing, mechanical property measurements, on testing.  nic liquid candidates for high performance propulsion.  poscale and full scale slow cook-off data for high performance	<b>.</b>						
FY 2015 Plans: - Synthesize and characterize less reactive ingredients for high pe performance Conduct bench-top testing of motor case venting devices Conduct sub-scale testing and analysis to validate a new sub-scatesting.	·							
Title: Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion (MSP)		2.994	2.651	2.57				
<b>Description:</b> Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion (MSP) focuse improve the IM response of MSP systems. The development and when applied to munition systems, will improve munition IM responsorable IM threats and at least maintaining munition performance. To formulations, ingredients for MS propellant formulations (including sesion, active and passive venting techniques, rocket motor case of particular interest are technologies that provide a higher burning rall and reduced shock sensitivity. The 2018 and 2023 year goals of the missile propulsion systems due to Fragment Impact, Slow Cook Of	demonstration of minimum signature (MS) rocket technologuse to one or more threats, while not degrading the response echnologies include but are not limited to MS rocket propella synthesis, characterization and scale-up), case and packag design, ignition systems and thrust mitigation techniques. Of the minimum signature propellant with state-of-the-art energy the MSP MATG are concentrated on solving the IM response	es, e to ant ing						

PE 0602000D8Z: *Joint Munitions Technology* Office of Secretary Of Defense **UNCLASSIFIED** 

	UNCLASSIFIED					
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of So	ecretary Of Defense	Date: N	March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2		Project (Number/Name) P000 / Insensitive Munitions				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Generated 500 grams of novel coated material. Characterized reperformed small-scale IM tests on best candidates.</li> <li>Mixed pint-sized batches of coated materials and conducted measurements.</li> <li>Synthesized, scaled-up, and performed safety testing on state of thermochemical calculations for potential formulations.</li> </ul>	chanical, safety, and ballistic testing of the mixes.					
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Generate multi-gram batches of novel coated materials. Product propellants.</li> <li>Scale up and produced multi-grams of novel material. Built and of mechanism.</li> <li>Characterize two min signature propellants in a unique configural design factors that contribute to ignition, to aide in the development reaction of an analog rocket motor under fragment impact.</li> <li>Complete propellant development program using new binder and Conduct initial screening studies on two ingredients that have posensitivity testing.</li> <li>Further narrowed the operational range for the autoignition material Demonstrated Army Burn-to-Violent Reaction (ABVR) screening to</li> </ul>	down-selected candidate materials for unique venting ation to determine the go/no go threshold and investigated other of a modeling and simulation effort designed to predict the d conducted gap testing. In the other is propellants through solubility and ignition als and conducted trade studies.	er				
FY 2015 Plans:  - Conduct mechanical, safety, and card gap testing, and determin signature propellant.  - Conduct design of experiments of candidate formulations and deperformance characteristics.  - Conduct final characterization tests and slow cook-off tests to value and test unique venting mechanisms in various configuration.	own-select to most promising candidate to provide desired					
Title: Blast and Fragmentation Warheads (BFW)		3.281	2.796	2.72		
<b>Description:</b> Blast and Fragmentation Warheads (BFW) focuses of improve the IM response of Blast/Fragmentation munitions. The description explosives and warhead and fuze technologies that, when applied while not degrading the response to other IM threats and at minimized conditions may be controlled or have widely varying environmental	development and demonstration of explosive ingredients and to munitions, improve IM response to one or more threats, um maintain munition performance. Munition operating					

PE 0602000D8Z: *Joint Munitions Technology* Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of S	Secretary Of Defense		Date: N	March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0602000D8Z I Joint Munitions Technology			lumber/Name) ensitive Munitions			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
factors such as cost, availability and reliability may be critically im Technologies include but are not limited to new ingredient synthet scale-up, warhead/charge configuration, venting techniques for be materials and systems, shock mitigation liners, initiation devices, high performance warhead fills, booster explosives, bulk demoliting The 2018 and 2023 year goals of the BFW MATG are concentrated Sympathetic Detonation, Fast Cook Off, and SCJ threats.	esis and characterization, initial formulation development, both munitions and their containers, protection or packaging techniques, and technologies. Applications vary but include on charges, and bulk fills for blast and/or fragmentation charges.	le arges.					
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Concluded manufacturing studies and weaponization study for characteristics of unique warhead explosive material.</li> <li>Concluded down-selecting materials and the sensitization process.</li> <li>Conducted characterization studies on novel explosive materia</li> <li>Conducted laboratory scale formulation, processing and analyse explosive fill.</li> <li>Optimized novel explosive fill formulation for general purpose be</li> <li>Conducted initial synthesis of unique booster materials for explements.</li> <li>Scaled up to 10 gallon batch mix and conducted initial character bombs and transition to BA 6.3.</li> <li>Synthesized and characterized unique energetic material. Conducted initial characterized unique energetic material.</li> </ul>	ness in order to conduct device scale testing to validate the lab.  Sis of melt cast enhanced blast and environmentally friendly pombs.  Josives.  Josives.  Josives of innovative explosive fill for general purpose	,					
FY 2014 Plans:  - Complete device scale experiments on sensitization process at - Perform one kilogram scale-up of additional composite materia - Synthesize 60 kilograms of new explosive ingredients and form performance and IM properties of new formulations.  - Conduct thermal cycling and IM testing on novel explosive material - Scale up to one gallon mix a melt cast enhanced blast explosive - Prepare to transition to Task under PE 603000D8Z/P301.  - Conduct characterization and performance testing, as well as II formulation. Conducted characterization testing and down selected PE 603000D8Z/P301.  - Produce small quantities of unique energetic material for formulations.	nd transition to BA 6.3 project.  als. Formulated and tested IM characteristics of the material nulated explosives on the ten gallon scale. Determined miderial.  We fill and performed sensitivity and performance testing.  M assessments for novel general purpose bomb explosive ed unique explosive booster material and transition to Task	-scale fill					

	UNCLASSIFIED							
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense  Date: March 2014								
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0602000D8Z I Joint Munitions Technology		(Number/N nsensitive N					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015			
- Conduct synthesis optimization process for novel energetic mate	erial and scaled up to produce several 100 gram batches.							
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Scale up synthesis process of novel energetic material to product fundamental properties and conduct characterization testing on machine perform safety, IM, and performance testing on novel energetic establish baseline data for designing IM formulations for transition.</li> <li>Scale up to 40 gram batches unique energetic material and concept prove concept for detonation train for IM fills for large warheads IM threat.</li> <li>Predict the potential for passing sympathetic reaction testing bastesting.</li> </ul>	anufactured materials. formulations. Analyze results to define failure diameter ar to a possible 6.3 demonstrator. duct performance and thermal response testing Analyze data for formulation to assess the insensitivity to	o an						
Title: Anti-Armor Warheads (AAW)			1.673	2.557	2.48			
<b>Description:</b> Anti-Armor Warheads (AAW) focuses on the develop warhead and fuze technologies for improving IM of AAW munitions and warhead and fuze technologies that, when applied to munition degrading the response to other IM threats and at minimum maintalimited to new ingredient synthesis and characterization, initial form venting techniques for both munitions and their containers, protect and initiation devices, techniques, and technologies. Applications explosives, and all other technology to mitigate the violent responsions operating conditions may be controlled or have widely varying enviother factors such as cost, availability, and reliability may be critical The 2018 and 2023 year goals of the AAW MATG are concentrated Fragment Impact, Sympathetic Reaction and Shaped Charge Jet threats for the ABM of the Sympathetic Reaction of Shaped Charge Jet threats for the ABM of the ABM of the Sympathetic Reaction of Shaped Charge Jet threats for the ABM of the ABM o	s. The development of explosive ingredients, explosives as, improve IM response to one or more threats, while not ain munition performance. Technologies include but are mulation development, scale-up, warhead/charge configuration/packaging materials and systems, shock mitigation lin vary but include high performance warhead fills, booster se of Anti-Armor Warhead munitions to IM threats. Munitivironmental conditions, such as temperature and vibration, ally important depending on the intended munition applicated on solving the IM response of anti-armor warheads to the threats for larger munitions and the Fragment Impact, Slovense and the second s	ot ation, ers, on and ion.						
FY 2013 Accomplishments:  - Conducted critical diameter and slow cook-off IM tests of down-second conducted formulation and initial screening of explosive material Prepared to transition to Task under PE 603000D8Z.  - Conducted initial formulation work and baseline testing on cast of Conducted characterization tests on unique combined effects explosed.	al to determine physical and performance characteristics.  cured explosive, using fine grain materials.							

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 7 of 14

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 C			larch 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0602000D8Z / Joint Munitions Technology	Project (Number/N P000 / Insensitive /				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions	s)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
explosives formulation. Conducted engineering assessments phase explosive.  - Scaled up to pint mixes formulations of energetic materials.	rated acceptable fragment testing for novel, cast cured, multi-effects nent and began production of precursor materials for high energy meterials with less nitramine content and enhanced insensitivity. explosive formulation precursor material for initial evaluation. ions.					
<ul> <li>Conduct aging study and scaled up formulations to 50</li> <li>Conduct larger scale formulation (five pounds) of explotests.</li> <li>Produce unique high energy melt cast explosive formulation.</li> <li>Characterize materials, formulated, and down-selected.</li> <li>Scale up to five gallon mix, conducted initial testing, coloured, multi-effects explosives formulation.</li> <li>Scale up high energy pressed explosive and conducted.</li> <li>Assess additional explosive materials to validate the bases.</li> </ul>	perties testing on unique combined effects explosive formulation.  Dispound batches for novel, cast cured, multi-effects explosives formulations on the performed intermediate scale IM and performance allation material for initial characterization and evaluation testing. It is the performance description of the performance testing of the performance testing.  The performance testing is the performance testing of the performance testing.	e st				
<ul> <li>Development and characterization of explosive formula</li> <li>Conduct slow cook-off and small scale sympathetic de</li> <li>Down-select formulations of energetic materials compound conduct small scale cookoff and fragment impact tes</li> <li>Conduct small scale slow cook-off, fragment impact are formulation.</li> </ul>	dient with high performance and low sensitivity potential.  ations using a recently scaled-up newly identified explosive ingredie etonation test on unique combined effects explosive formulation.  osed of finer particle size nitramine content and enhanced insensitive sting. Prepare five pound batches of selected formulation.  Indicate the sting on unique high energy melt cast explosive act initiation testing configurations to demonstrate models utility for	ity				
Title: Gun Propulsion (GP)		2.053	2.160	2.08		

Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)  sescription: Gun Propulsion (GP) focuses on the development and demonstration of technologies in the area of Gun Propulsion restems. The development and demonstration of gun propulsion technologies, that when applied to munition systems, will prove munition in Wresponse to one or more threats, while not degrading the response to other IM threats and at least airitatining munition performance. Technologies include but are not limited to gun propellant formulations, ingredients for an propellant formulations, including synthesis, characterization and scale-up), cartridge case and packaging design, active opellants. Applications vary, but include both large and medium caliber munitions, as well as propelling charges for mortars and shoulder launched munitions. Operating requirements vary, and other factors such as barrel life and operation over varying invironmental conditions may be critically important depending on the intended munition application. The 2018 and 2023 year bals of the GP MATG are concentrated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munitions to Fragment Impact and Slow book Off threats.  **Y 2013 Accomplishments**:  Established design of experiments test matrix and complete subsequent modeling effort.  Concluded IM and mechanical tests on containers and compared results with the models' predictions.  Optimized formulation advelopment to manufacture three kilogram batches for extrusion into 15 pounds of propellant.  Conducted various tests to validate IM properties and suitability for gun propellant.  Performed initial characterization of ignition propellants after exposure to novel ignition methodology.  **Y 2014 Plans:**  Conducted thermal and sensitivity testing on propellant formulation effort using unique less sensitive binder propellant.  Conducted on by binder material to 25 gram batches and characterized material thermal and sensitivity properties and suitability for gun propellants.  Conducted initial testing on representative samples		UNCLASSIFIED						
Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)  sescription: Gun Propulsion (GP) focuses on the development and demonstration of technologies in the area of Gun Propulsion restems. The development and demonstration of gun propulsion technologies, that when applied to munition systems, will prove munition in Wresponse to one or more threats, while not degrading the response to other IM threats and at least airitatining munition performance. Technologies include but are not limited to gun propellant formulations, ingredients for an propellant formulations, including synthesis, characterization and scale-up), cartridge case and packaging design, active opellants. Applications vary, but include both large and medium caliber munitions, as well as propelling charges for mortars and shoulder launched munitions. Operating requirements vary, and other factors such as barrel life and operation over varying invironmental conditions may be critically important depending on the intended munition application. The 2018 and 2023 year bals of the GP MATG are concentrated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munitions to Fragment Impact and Slow book Off threats.  **Y 2013 Accomplishments**:  Established design of experiments test matrix and complete subsequent modeling effort.  Concluded IM and mechanical tests on containers and compared results with the models' predictions.  Optimized formulation advelopment to manufacture three kilogram batches for extrusion into 15 pounds of propellant.  Conducted various tests to validate IM properties and suitability for gun propellant.  Performed initial characterization of ignition propellants after exposure to novel ignition methodology.  **Y 2014 Plans:**  Conducted thermal and sensitivity testing on propellant formulation effort using unique less sensitive binder propellant.  Conducted on by binder material to 25 gram batches and characterized material thermal and sensitivity properties and suitability for gun propellants.  Conducted initial testing on representative samples	Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Second	ecretary Of Defense		Date: N	March 2014			
escription: Gun Propulsion (GP) focuses on the development and demonstration of technologies in the area of Gun Propulsion rstems. The development and demonstration of gun propulsion technologies, that when applied to munition systems, will approve munition IM response to one or more threats, while not degrading the response to other IM threats and at least aintaining munition performance. Technologies include but are not limited to gun propellant formulations, ingredients for un propellant formulations (including synthesis, characterization and scale-up), cartridge case and packaging design, active not passive venting techniques, reduced sensitivity primer propellant and primer systems, and robust primers for insensitive opellants. Applications vary, but include both large and medium caliber munitions, as well as propelling charges for mortars and passive venting techniques, reduced sensitivity primer propellant and primer systems, and robust primers for insensitive opellants. Applications vary, but include both large and medium caliber munitions, as well as propelling charges for mortars and solve opellants. Applications vary be critically important depending on the intended munition application. The 2018 and 2023 year pals of the GP MATG are concentrated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munitions to Fragment Impact and Slow book Off threats.  Y 2013 Accomplishments:  Established design of experiments test matrix and complete subsequent modeling effort.  Concluded IM and mechanical tests on containers and compared results with the models' predictions.  Optimized formulation and conducted IM tests to determine viability of down-select candidate for gun propellant.  Conducted various tests to validate IM properties and suitability for gun propellant thermal and sensitivity properties.  Conducted thermal and sensitivity testing on propellant formulation effort using unique less sensitivity properties.  Conducted initial testing on representative samples to develop small-scale slow cookoff testing pro	Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2	PE 0602000D8Z I Joint Munitions		•				
In proper munition IM response to one or more threats, while not degrading the response to other IM threats and at least a pintaining munition performance. Technologies include but are not limited to gun propellant formulations, ingredients for an propellant formulations (including synthesis, characterization and scale-up), cartridge case and packaging design, active and passive venting techniques, reduced sensitivity primer propellant and primer systems, and robust primers for insensitive opellants. Applications vary, but include both large and medium caliber munitions, as well as propelling charges for mortars and object to conditions may be critically important depending on the intended munition application. The 2018 and 2023 year bals of the GP MATG are concentrated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munitions to Fragment Impact and Slow book Off threats.  Y 2013 Accomplishments:  Established design of experiments test matrix and complete subsequent modeling effort.  Concluded IM and mechanical tests on containers and compared results with the models' predictions.  Optimized formulation and conducted IM tests to determine viability of down-select candidate for gun propellant.  Conducted various tests to validate IM properties and suitability of gun propellant.  Performed initial characterization of ignition propellants after exposure to novel ignition methodology.  Scaled up novel binder material to 25 gram batches and characterized material thermal and sensitivity properties.  Conducted thermal and sensitivity testing on propellant formulation effort using unique less sensitive binder propellant.  Conducted initial testing on representative samples to develop small-scale slow cook-off testing protocol.  Y 2014 Plans:  Conducted apparatus to test propellants and develop modeling code for small-scale slow cook-off protocol.  Develop properties of ignition propellants and develop modeling code for small-scale slow cook-off protocol.  Develop properties of ignition propellants and develop modeling co	B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
Established design of experiments test matrix and complete subsequent modeling effort.  Concluded IM and mechanical tests on containers and compared results with the models' predictions.  Optimized formulation and conducted IM tests to determine viability of down-select candidate for gun propellants.  Continued formulation development to manufacture three kilogram batches for extrusion into 15 pounds of propellant.  Conducted various tests to validate IM properties and suitability for gun propellant.  Performed initial characterization of ignition propellants after exposure to novel ignition methodology.  Scaled up novel binder material to 25 gram batches and characterized material thermal and sensitivity properties.  Conducted thermal and sensitivity testing on propellant formulation effort using unique less sensitive binder propellant.  Conducted initial testing on representative samples to develop small-scale slow cookoff testing protocol.  Y 2014 Plans:  Conduct performance IM testing of down-selected candidates for gun propellants.  Continue formulation development to manufacture six kilogram batches for extrusion into 30 pounds of propellant. Conduct arious tests to validated IM properties and suitability for gun propellant.  Design and fabricate apparatus to test propellants and develop modeling code for small-scale slow cook-off protocol.  Develop properties of ignition propellants after exposure to novel ignition methodology. Performed sub-scale performance sting. Produce one gallon mixes of novel binder to complete IM testing.  Scale up six pounds of unique less sensitive binder propellant formulation and conduct characterization testing.  Conduct small scale unique processing of propellant grains.	systems. The development and demonstration of gun propulsion of improve munition IM response to one or more threats, while not demaintaining munition performance. Technologies include but are usual propellant formulations (including synthesis, characterization and passive venting techniques, reduced sensitivity primer propell propellants. Applications vary, but include both large and medium and shoulder launched munitions. Operating requirements vary, a environmental conditions may be critically important depending on	technologies, that when applied to munition systems, will be agrading the response to other IM threats and at least mot limited to gun propellant formulations, ingredients for and scale-up), cartridge case and packaging design, active ant and primer systems, and robust primers for insensitive caliber munitions, as well as propelling charges for mortal and other factors such as barrel life and operation over variathe intended munition application. The 2018 and 2023 years	e e rs ying ear					
Conduct performance IM testing of down-selected candidates for gun propellants.  Continue formulation development to manufacture six kilogram batches for extrusion into 30 pounds of propellant. Conduct arious tests to validated IM properties and suitability for gun propellant.  Design and fabricate apparatus to test propellants and develop modeling code for small-scale slow cook-off protocol.  Develop properties of ignition propellants after exposure to novel ignition methodology. Performed sub-scale performance sting. Produce one gallon mixes of novel binder to complete IM testing.  Scale up six pounds of unique less sensitive binder propellant formulation and conduct characterization testing.  Conduct small scale unique processing of propellant grains.	<ul> <li>Concluded IM and mechanical tests on containers and compare</li> <li>Optimized formulation and conducted IM tests to determine viab</li> <li>Continued formulation development to manufacture three kilogrates</li> <li>Conducted various tests to validate IM properties and suitability</li> <li>Performed initial characterization of ignition propellants after exp</li> <li>Scaled up novel binder material to 25 gram batches and charact</li> <li>Conducted thermal and sensitivity testing on propellant formulate</li> </ul>	d results with the models' predictions.  wility of down-select candidate for gun propellants.  am batches for extrusion into 15 pounds of propellant.  for gun propellant.  posure to novel ignition methodology.  terized material thermal and sensitivity properties.  ion effort using unique less sensitive binder propellant.						
Y 2015 Plans:	<ul> <li>Continue formulation development to manufacture six kilogram by various tests to validated IM properties and suitability for gun properties and fabricate apparatus to test propellants and develop repetition propellants after exposure to nove testing. Produce one gallon mixes of novel binder to complete IM to the complete</li></ul>	patches for extrusion into 30 pounds of propellant. Condu ellant. modeling code for small-scale slow cook-off protocol. el ignition methodology. Performed sub-scale performance testing.						
	FY 2015 Plans:							

PE 0602000D8Z: *Joint Munitions Technology* Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of	PE 0602000D8Z I Joint Munitions P000 Technology			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2	PE 0602000D8Z / Joint Munitions	roject (Number/l 000 / Insensitive	•	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
- Conduct slow cook-off tests in new apparatus to validate test s	mall scale test protocol for propellant formulations.			
- Establish data set of required material characteristics after exp	osure to novel ignition methodology.			
- Down select unique process ingredients and complete sub-sca	ale IM testing of propellant.			
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subto	tals 12.895	13.936	13.571

### C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

			FY 2015	FY 2015	FY 2015					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	<b>Base</b>	<u>000</u>	<u>Total</u>	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	<b>Complete</b>	<b>Total Cost</b>
• 0603000D8Z P002: <i>BA</i>	15.702	16.601	19.807	-	19.807	19.993	19.953	20.018	20.163	Continuing	Continuing
3 Insensitive Munitions											

### Advanced Technology Remarks

### **D. Acquisition Strategy**

N/A

#### **E. Performance Metrics**

- 1) Transitions of technologies developed by the Program are tracked and documented using DoD/NASA Technical Readiness Level (TRL) scale.
- 2) Munition Area Technology Group Technology Roadmaps are prepared, evaluated, and analyzed by Joint Insensitive Munitions Technology Program management and technical staff.
- 3) Chairman's Annual Assessments for each MATG are critically reviewed by the Technical Advisory Committee to determine progress, transition plans, and relevance of each project.
- 4) Project progress toward goals and milestones is assessed at each MATG meeting.
- 5) Annual technical reports and papers are tracked and documented for the Program.
- 6) External Peer Review of Projects conducted as part of Joint Army/Navy/NASA/Air Force meetings.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense									Date: Marc	Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2					R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0602000D8Z / Joint Munitions Technology				Project (Number/Name) P204 I Enabling Fuze Technology			
				FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P204: Enabling Fuze Technology 5.824 5.806 4.023 6.494						6.494	6.505	6.608	6.620	6.692	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This RDT&E effort will demonstrate fuze enabling technologies needed to develop weapons that address priority capability areas identified in the Guidance for Development of the Force (GDF), the Secretary of Defense Memorandum, DoD Policy on Cluster Munitions and Unintended Harm to Civilians, and shortfalls in current weapon systems. This effort will develop enabling technologies at the laboratory scale and transition them into 6.3 demonstration programs for weapons where priority capabilities and technology needs have been identified and validated by the Program Executive Officers (PEOs) and the Heads of the Service Science and Technology (S&T) communities. Mature 6.2 fuze technologies will be transitioned, thereby decreasing their program costs and schedule risk and facilitating spin-offs to other munitions within their portfolios.

Under the Joint Fuze Technology Program (JFTP), investments are focused on specific capability areas that have been identified by Department strategic guidance and current shortfalls in weapon systems and validated by the PEOs and Heads of the Service S&T communities. These four capability areas are: 1) Hard Target Survivable Fuzing, 2) Tailorable Effects (TE) Weapon Fuzing, 3) High Reliability Fuzing, and 4) Enabling Fuze Technologies and Common Architecture.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Hard Target Fuzing	1.470	1.048	1.665
<b>Description:</b> The Hard Target Fuzing challenges are grouped into three Technology Areas. First, improved modeling and simulation capabilities provide the validated computational tools necessary for hard target applications. Second, basic phenomenology and understanding of the Fuze Environment is the science-based endeavor of providing the test equipment, instrumentation, and analysis techniques for experimentation and data gathering necessary for next generation fuzing. Third, hard target survivable fuze components are developed to increase the effectiveness of facility denial munitions by improving the prediction tools and testing methodologies to evaluate the survivability and functionality of legacy and future fuzes. Development of these technologies will enable next generation boosted and hypersonic penetrators to execute missions against hardened and deeply buried targets.			
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Developed and validate modeling and simulation code using high fidelity, multi-scale simulation techniques.</li> <li>Developed survivable modular fuze technology for multi-common miniature munitions with distributed/embedded fuzes.</li> </ul>			
FY 2014 Plans: - Adapt and transition Joint Fuze Technology Program developed testing protocol in boosted and high speed penetrator development programs.			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of	Secretary Of Defense	Date: N	/larch 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2		Project (Number/Name) P204 / Enabling Fuze Technology				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
- Demonstrate and transition survivable modular fuze technology embedded fuzes.	for multi-role common miniature munitions with distributed/					
FY 2015 Plans: - Develop and demonstrate alternative packaging technology for survivability and reliability for hypersonic penetrating weapon applications.						
Title: Tailorable Effects Fuzing		1.578	1.029	1.64		
<b>Description:</b> This area focuses on developing fuzing for tailorab vary the output of the weapon (Dial-a-Yield) and/or the ability to geveloping initiation and multi-point technologies to include elect – scalable yield warheads; MicroElectro-Mechanical Systems (M warheads; and smart fuzing for tailorable effects weapons. These variety of targets while minimizing unintentional collateral effects	generate selectable effects (directed blast, fragmentation); tronic safe and arm based multi-point initiators for tunable of EMS) based multi-point initiators for tunable output/scalable se technologies will enable weapons that can effectively defe	utput yield				
FY 2013 Accomplishments:  - Continued to develop Tailorable Effects modeling and simulations of the continued to develop Tailorable Effects firing systems for missenvironments associated with impact with Military Operations in	sile and projectile warheads to survive the high-g shock					
FY 2014 Plans: - Demonstrate and transition into 6.3 advanced technology dever Apply initiation architecture and control technologies for applica-	•					
FY 2015 Plans: - Begin development of a primary explosive ink with high output	and low sensitivity for use in MEM's micro-detonators.					
Title: High Reliability Fuzing		1.440	0.987	1.60		
<b>Description:</b> Develop high reliability fuzing architectures, fuzing features. These technologies will enable the next generation of reliability goal. Evolving DoD emphasis on increased weapon sy approaches for achieving increased fuze reliability while maintain reliability expectations and harsher weapon system operational revailable using current technologies.	cluster munitions to achieve the required greater than 99 per/ stem reliability is driving the need to consider new and nove thing or enhancing fuze design safety. DoD policy, higher we	el eapon				
FY 2013 Accomplishments:						

PE 0602000D8Z: *Joint Munitions Technology* Office of Secretary Of Defense

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 12 of 14

R-1 Line #8

	UNGLASSIFIED					
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of S	Secretary Of Defense		Date: M	arch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2		ject (Number/Name) 4 I Enabling Fuze Technology				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY	2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
<ul> <li>Demonstrated high reliability fuze architecture concepts that sat and common-mode failures.</li> <li>Applied next generation cluster monitions fuze design and architecture conduct performance and reliability tests in ballistic and harsh enverage.</li> </ul>	itecture, fabricate component technology prototypes, and					
FY 2014 Plans: - Research and develop novel technologies for UXO reduction fe eliminate any unexploded ordnance.	atures including fuze mechanisms and initiation energetic to	0				
FY 2015 Plans: - Develop and demonstrate MEMS structures that give existing M compromises in an effort to improve reliability.	EMS Fuzes the ability to self-report safety and reliability					
Title: Enabling Fuze Technologies			1.318	0.959	1.57	
<b>Description:</b> Develop common/modular fuze architecture; innovative setting capability, tools and modeling; and fuzing power sour effective solutions while meeting or exceeding the performance of enable future weapon applications to be more mission adaptive a	ces. These fuzing technologies will provide smaller, more of existing technologies. Development of these technologies	cost				
FY 2013 Accomplishments:  - Established next generation system interface architecture between Evaluated proximity fuze sensor, electronics and algorithm technologistic environments.  - Transitioned to 6.3 development of exploitation resistant proximates.	nologies in performance and functional testing in air-gun ar	nd				
FY 2014 Plans: - Conduct assessments of common fuze architecture technologicand packaging.	es: safety components, modular electronics, sensors, interfa	ices,				
FY 2015 Plans: - Begin research of failure modes in flash programmable logic dev PLDs as fuze components.	vices (F-PLD) that enables reliable, safe and effective use of	of F-				
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	totals	5.806	4.023	6.49	

PE 0602000D8Z: *Joint Munitions Technology* Office of Secretary Of Defense **UNCLASSIFIED** 

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Date: March 2014		
	,		umber/Name) abling Fuze Technology

## C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

			FY 2015	FY 2015	FY 2015					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	Base	000	<b>Total</b>	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Complete	<b>Total Cost</b>
• 0603000D8Z P301: BA 3 Enabling	4.793	3.411	6.881	-	6.881	8.112	8.373	8.536	-	Continuing	Continuing
Fuze Advanced Technology											

#### Remarks

### **D. Acquisition Strategy**

N/A

### **E. Performance Metrics**

- 1) Transitions of technologies developed by the Program are tracked and documented using DoD/NASA Technical Readiness Level (TRL) scale.
- 2) Fuze Area Technology Group (FATG) Technology Roadmaps are prepared, evaluated, and analyzed by Joint Fuze Technology Program management and technical staff.
- 3) Chairman's Annual Assessments for each FATG are critically reviewed by the Technology Advisory Committee to determine progress, transition plans, and relevance of each project.
- 4) Project progress toward goals and milestones is assessed at each FATG meeting.
- 5) Annual technical reports and papers are tracked and documented for the Program.
- 6) Technology Transition Agreements in place with Munitions programs.