

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Army	Date: March 2014
---	-------------------------

Appropriation/Budget Activity 2040: <i>Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army / BA 6: RDT&E Management Support</i>	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605712A / <i>Support of Operational Testing</i>
--	---

COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO #	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	64.458	55.475	49.221	-	49.221	50.756	51.016	51.964	55.060	-	-
001: <i>ATEC Joint Tests And Follow-On Test & Eval</i>	-	3.351	0.162	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
V02: <i>ATEC Activities</i>	-	61.107	55.313	49.221	-	49.221	50.756	51.016	51.964	55.060	-	-

The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

Note

FY15 reduction attributed to realignment to other higher priority Army programs.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This Program Element provides the resources to operate the Army's operational test directorates located at Fort Hood, TX; Fort Bragg, NC; Fort Bliss, TX; Fort Huachuca, AZ; and Fort Sill, OK; all managed by the Operational Test Command (OTC), a subordinate command of the Army Test and Evaluation Command (ATEC). Project V02 currently provides support for the one Test and Evaluation Coordination Offices (TECO) located at Fort Leonard Wood, MO and one Infantry Support Cell at Fort Benning, GA. TECOs previously located in Fort Lee, VA and Fort Knox, KY have been consolidated in Fort Benning, GA.

<u>B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)</u>	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	<u>FY 2015 Base</u>	<u>FY 2015 OCO</u>	<u>FY 2015 Total</u>
Previous President's Budget	67.789	55.504	54.301	-	54.301
Current President's Budget	64.458	55.475	49.221	-	49.221
Total Adjustments	-3.331	-0.029	-5.080	-	-5.080
• Congressional General Reductions	-0.191	-0.029			
• Congressional Directed Reductions	-	-			
• Congressional Rescissions	-	-			
• Congressional Adds	-	-			
• Congressional Directed Transfers	3.000	-			
• Reprogrammings	-	-			
• SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.507	-			
• Adjustments to Budget Years	-	-	-5.080	-	-5.080
• Other Adjustments	-5.633	-	-	-	-

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Army										Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 2040 / 6					R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605712A / Support of Operational Testing				Project (Number/Name) 001 / ATEC Joint Tests And Follow-On Test & Eval			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO #	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
001: ATEC Joint Tests And Follow-On Test & Eval	-	3.351	0.162	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
# The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.												
Note												
Army consolidated three Test and Evaluation Command Headquarters, Army Test and Evaluation Command (ATEC), Developmental Test Command (DTC), and Army Evaluation Center (AEC). As a result of this consolidation, ATEC aligned all requirements for Joint Tests and Follow-On Test and Evaluations, under one Program Element. Funds reprogrammed effective FY2014.												
A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification												
This project funds the Army's direct costs of planning and conducting Multi-service Tests and Evaluations (MOTE) for which there is no Army Project Manager (PM) and Army requirements for Joint Test and Evaluation (JT&E). These are required to evaluate concepts and address needs and issues that occur in joint military environments and provide information required by Congress, Office of the Secretary of Defense, the Unified Commands, and the Department of Defense components relative to joint operations. This project also funds Follow-on Test and Evaluation (FOTE), as necessary. FOTE may be required after a full production decision to assess system training and logistics, to verify correction of deficiencies identified during earlier testing and evaluation, and to ensure that initial production items meet operational effectiveness, suitability and supportability thresholds. There has been a shift of focus for items funded by this project due to continuing operations in the US Central Command (CENTCOM). Traditional system workload has dropped off and has been replaced by rapid fielding initiatives. In response to this shift, the Army Test and Evaluation Command (ATEC) has established a forward operational assessment team in theater and a rapid response cell. These groups facilitate MOTE, JT&E, and FOTE events in the rapid environment. Traditional acquisition requirements are expected to return to normal when operations in Iraq and Afghanistan wind down.												
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)									FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Title: Joint operational testing and evaluation.									0.760	0.162	-	
									Articles: -	-	-	
Description: Joint operational testing and evaluation												
FY 2013 Accomplishments:												
Provided funding to support OCO task force requirements (TDY, Civ Pay and associated overhead expenses). The majority of operational costs for HQ ATEC will be charged to Program Element 0605898AM65 in FY14 and beyond.												
FY 2014 Plans:												

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Army		Date: March 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 2040 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605712A / <i>Support of Operational Testing</i>	Project (Number/Name) 001 / <i>ATEC Joint Tests And Follow-On Test & Eval</i>	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)		FY 2013	FY 2014
Provides funding to support OCO task force requirements (TDY, Civ Pay and associated overhead expenses). All operational costs for HQ ATEC will be charged to Program Element 0605898AM65 in FY15 and beyond.			
Title: Multi-Service Operational Test and Evaluation/Follow-on testing and evaluations		2.591	-
Articles:		-	-
Description: Funding is provided for Multi-Service Operational Test and Evaluation/Follow-on testing and evaluations			
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Fund Integrated broadcasting service spiral enterprise T&E			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals		3.351	0.162
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A			
Remarks			
D. Acquisition Strategy N/A			
E. Performance Metrics N/A			

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Army										Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 2040 / 6					R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605712A / Support of Operational Testing				Project (Number/Name) V02 / ATEC Activities			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO #	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
V02: ATEC Activities	-	61.107	55.313	49.221	-	49.221	50.756	51.016	51.964	55.060	-	-
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
# The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.												
Note												
Army consolidated three Test and Evaluation Command Headquarters, Army Test and Evaluation Command (ATEC), Developmental Test Command (DTC), and Army Evaluation Center (AEC). As a result of this consolidation, ATEC aligned all requirements for the Operational Test Command (OTC), subordinate command to ATEC, under one Program Element. Funds reprogrammed effective FY2014.												
A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification												
The Operational Test Command (OTC) conducts operational tests required by public law that provide significant data to the Army decision-makers on key Army systems and concepts. This project finances recurring costs for the Operational Test Command that are essential for conducting realistic and continuous testing in the critical areas of equipment, doctrine, force design and training. These recurring costs include civilian pay, requirements for test support contracts, temporary duty, supplies and equipment. This project funds requirements for the Operational Test Command's eight test directorates and one support activity located at Fort Hood, TX; Fort Bragg, NC; Fort Sill, OK/Ft. Bliss, TX; and Fort Huachuca, AZ. The primary mission of these test directorates is to perform detailed planning, execution, and reporting of Initial Operational Test and Evaluation (IOTE), and Force Development Test and Experimentation (FDTE). Project V02 currently provides support for the one Test and Evaluation Coordination Offices (TECOs) located at Fort Leonard Wood, MO and one Infantry Support Cell at Fort Benning, GA. TECOs previously located in Fort Lee, VA and Fort Knox, KY have been consolidated in Fort Benning, GA.												
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)									FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Title: Operational Test Command (OTC) Activities									50.962	55.313	49.221	
									Articles: -	-	-	
Description: Operational costs including: civilian pay, support contracts, temporary duty, supplies and equipment for subordinate elements of the Operational Test Command.												
FY 2013 Accomplishments:												
Operational costs included civilian pay, support contracts, temporary duty, supplies and equipment for the Operational Test Command.												
FY 2014 Plans:												

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Army		Date: March 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 2040 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605712A / <i>Support of Operational Testing</i>	Project (Number/Name) V02 / <i>ATEC Activities</i>	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)		FY 2013	FY 2014
Operational costs including: civilian pay, support contracts, temporary duty, supplies and equipment for the Operational Test Command.			
FY 2015 Plans: Operational costs will include civilian pay, support contracts, temporary duty, supplies and equipment for the Operational Test Command.			
Title: Operational cost for HQ ATEC activities		10.145	-
Articles:		-	-
Description: Operational costs for HQ ATEC including: civilian pay, support contracts, temporary duty, supplies and equipment for non-AMHA (Army Management Headquarters Activity) HQ ATEC.			
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Operational costs for HQ ATEC include civilian pay, support contracts, temporary duty, supplies and equipment for non-AMHA (Army Management Headquarters Activity) HQ ATEC. Funding transfered to 665898M65 in FY14			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals		61.107	55.313
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)			
N/A			
Remarks			
D. Acquisition Strategy			
N/A			
E. Performance Metrics			
N/A			