Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Army

Appropriation/Budget Activity

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army I BA 5: System

PE 0605625A I Manned Ground Vehicle

Development & Demonstration (SDD)

COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	570.121	100.147	49.160	-	49.160	49.247	-	-	-	-	768.675
FC8: BCT Ground Combat Vehicle	-	570.121	100.147	49.160	-	49.160	49.247	-	-	-	-	768.675

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Ground Combat Vehicle (GCV) program was initiated on the basis of an Initial Capabilities Document (ICD) that was approved 10 December 2009. An Acquisition Decision Memorandum (ADM) was signed 17 August 2011 and it granted the Army approval to enter a 24 month Technology Development (TD) phase. Two contracts were awarded: one contract was awarded to BAE Systems Land & Armaments, Limited Partnership (L.P.) and one was awarded to General Dynamics Land Systems Inc. Revisions to the GCV strategy were approved via an ADM that was signed on 16 January 2013. The Army's exploration of the capabilities trade-space prior to finalizing requirements led to a modified set of requirements that required additional preliminary design effort. The ADM directed the TD phase to be extended by six months (for a total of 30 months) and envisioned a MS B in late FY2014. The GCV Project Manager took steps to incorporate these decisions into the program by issuing Undefinitized Change Orders to both contractors in April, 2013. The following funding requirements/justifications reflect these decisions.

Fiscal constraints and competing demands during budget uncertainty forced the Army to make hard choices between near-term readiness and modernizing current systems to meet near term capability gaps and developing the GCV. Given fiscal constraints and where we are in current technology, the Army concludes this is not the right time to develop the GCV and will end the program following the Technology Development phase. We have benefitted from our investment in GCV and will use the insights gained to inform technology maturation efforts in support of a strategy for the Army's future Infantry Fighting Vehicle (IFV) modernization program.

The funding in FY2015 will support continuing advanced concept development, trade studies, technology maturation, technical / operational / affordability analyses, and perhaps limited prototyping to assess future designs that integrate emerging S&T technologies.

PE 0605625A: Manned Ground Vehicle

Army

Page 1 of 12

Date: March 2014 Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Army Appropriation/Budget Activity R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605625A I Manned Ground Vehicle

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army I BA 5: System

Development & Demonstration (SDD)

evelopment & Demonstration (SDD)					
. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	639.874	592.201	953.081	-	953.081
Current President's Budget	570.121	100.147	49.160	-	49.160
Total Adjustments	-69.753	-492.054	-903.921	-	-903.921
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-0.845	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-492.000			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-17.860	-			
Other Adjustments 1	-51.048	-0.054	-903.921	-	-903.921

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification	: PB 2015 A	Army							Date: Mar	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 2040 / 5					_	<b>am Elemen</b> 25A <i>I Manne</i>	•	,		umber/Nar Ground Co	<b>ne)</b> ombat Vehici	le
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
FC8: BCT Ground Combat Vehicle	-	570.121	100.147	49.160	-	49.160	49.247	-	-	-	-	768.675
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Ground Combat Vehicle (GCV) program was initiated on the basis of an Initial Capabilities Document (ICD) that was approved 10 December 2009. An Acquisition Decision Memorandum (ADM) was signed 17 August 2011 and it granted the Army approval to enter a 24 month Technology Development (TD) phase. Two contracts were awarded: one contract was awarded to BAE Systems Land & Armaments, Limited Partnership (L.P.) and one was awarded to General Dynamics Land Systems Inc. Revisions to the GCV strategy were approved via an ADM that was signed on 16 January 2013. The Army's exploration of the capabilities trade-space prior to finalizing requirements led to a modified set of requirements that required additional preliminary design effort. The ADM directed the TD phase to be extended by six months (for a total of 30 months) and envisioned a MS B in late FY2014. The GCV Project Manager took steps to incorporate these decisions into the program by issuing Undefinitized Change Orders to both contractors in April, 2013. The following funding requirements/justifications reflect these decisions.

Fiscal constraints and competing demands during budget uncertainty forced the Army to make hard choices between near-term readiness and modernizing current systems to meet near term capability gaps and developing the GCV. Given fiscal constraints and where we are in current technology, the Army concludes this is not the right time to develop the GCV and will end the program following the Technology Development phase. We have benefitted from our investment in GCV and will use the insights gained to inform technology maturation efforts in support of a strategy for the Army's future Infantry Fighting Vehicle (IFV) modernization program.

The funding in FY2015 will support continuing advanced concept development, trade studies, technology maturation, technical / operational / affordability analyses, and perhaps limited prototyping to assess future designs that integrate emerging S&T technologies.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
	F1 2013	F1 2014	F1 2013
Title: Government System Engineering & Program Management	51.516	12.725	9.160
Articles:	-	-	-
<b>Description:</b> Provides for basic Government oversight of the Ground Combat Vehicle (GCV) program. Includes funding for government personnel (labor, travel, training, supplies) and other support (other government agencies, support contractors, automated data processing, communications, and equipment).			
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Provided integrated program management for all development activities by continuing to execute the GCV knowledge based management plan and by providing USC Title 10 oversight to the TD contractors. The GCV Earned Value Management (EVM) team continued to evaluate cost and schedule performance against the established Performance Measurement Baselines			

PE 0605625A: Manned Ground Vehicle

	UNCLASSIFIED				
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Army			Date: M	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 2040 / 5	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605625A / Manned Ground Vehicle	Project (N FC8 / BC		<b>lame)</b> Combat Vehi	icle
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantiti	es in Each)	F	<b>/ 2013</b>	FY 2014	FY 2015
(PMB) and Integrated Master Schedules (IMS) for each separate contractor development efforts of each separate contractor in order to monitor and trace of the various subsystems. This included review and acceptance of all form teams. The government management team also continued to oversee each engineering, requirements analysis, functional analysis, configuration manadata management, technical reviews, trade studies, modeling and simulating test and training. An emphasis for the Government team in FY2013 was on Review (PDR), completed 4QFY2013 for the BAE team and completed 1Q deliverables were associated with each PDR and they were reviewed and Information generated from the three-pronged strategy was used to complete in both the TD contracts and the EMD Request for Proposal (RFP), which we for both the non developmental vehicle assessment and the Dynamic AoA documents to support the originally planned Milestone B were completed.	ick technical progress related to the development hal contract deliverables for the two contractor in separate contractor as they performed systems agement, risk management, interface management, specialty engineering, software engineering, in supporting each contractor's Preliminary Design FY2014 for the GDLS team. Approximately 35 coapproved by the Government team prior to the relate an updated performance specification for incluwas planned for release in 1QFY2014. The final related teach in the second sec	nt, ntract views. sion eports			
FY 2014 Plans: Oversight of the TD contracts will continue through 3QFY2014. Preliminary a PDR report will be completed. The GCV IPTs will continue to oversee the contractor in order to monitor and track technical progress related to the dereview and acceptance of all formal contract deliverables for the two contract Close-outs 3QFY2014 and then develop detailed plans to facilitiefforts in FY2015 and FY2016.	e technical development efforts of each separate evelopment of the various subsystems. This includant actor teams. The Project Management team will so	les upport			
FY 2015 Plans: The Project Management team will be significantly scaled back, but will conforts. The contract effort will be focused on advanced concept developmed developed components. The Government Future Fighting Vehicle (FFV) te technical and analytical efforts with the S&T and Requirements communities.	ent, technology risk reduction, and integration of S am will also lead, integrate, and collaborate acros				
Title: Contractor Systems Engineering/Program Management			424.819	67.226	40.000
<b>Description:</b> Provides for contractor basic development, engineering, and prototype hardware and software development (which are captured in the f support of component level engineering efforts.	management for the GCV prime contracts, less	ticles:	-	-	-
FY 2013 Accomplishments:					

PE 0605625A: *Manned Ground Vehicle* Army

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 4 of 12

	UNCLASSIFIED				
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Army			Date: M	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 2040 / 5	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605625A / Manned Ground Vehicle		(Number/N CT Ground	<b>lame)</b> Combat Veh	icle
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Articl	le Quantities in Each)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Contractors conducted and supported TD subsystem prototype to the Bench to mature subsystem designs. Delivered approximate Preliminary Design Review (PDR) (4QFY2013 for BAE and 1QF Following PDRs, initiated detailed design of subsystems focusin program management using EVM and TPMs to report cost, schedeliverables.	ely 35 data items and then conducted the GCV system level Y2014 for GDLS). Conducted subsystem PDRs 2-4QFY201 g on size, weight, power, and cooling requirements. Perform	3. ed			
FY 2014 Plans: Contractors will perform program management using EVM and contract deliverables will be prepared and delivered. Each of the Development phase. This includes: conducting system level Pre completing component/subsystem maturation testing by 3QFY20 conducting and performing assessments of initial component/su	e current contractors will continue and complete the Technological strength of the Contract of				
FY 2015 Plans: Contractors will conduct concept development work and subsyst Concept development effort will initially evolve from the design of in development and delivery of concept data packages that inclure representations, bill of materials, product structure / weight tape variety of technical and operational analyses and trades are exp	concepts developed under the GCV TD phase and will result ide: 3 dimensional/Computer Aided Design (CAD) model / power and energy balance, and cost estimate. In addition,				
Title: Contractor Prototypes	Ar	ticles:	58.779 -	-	-
<b>Description:</b> Provides for procurement and fabrication of subsycontract and for system level prototypes planned in the EMD phalevel engineering efforts.	stem test assets required by the Technology Development (	TD)			
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Completed fabrication, integration, and delivery of the subsystem Grenade (RPG) Protection Subsystem Prototype and Mine Blast the current TD contracts, hardware procurements were initiated delivered 2-3QFY2014. The risk mitigation assets include automassets, and selected electronic components. For the purposes of assets and the automotive test rigs are included within Contracted.	t Subsystem Prototype Test Article). Following modification for additional risk mitigation assets that will be fabricated an notive test rigs, selected turret/lethality subsystems, battery to f this Budget Item Justification, only the defined subsystem	d est			
Title: Government Tests	Aı	ticles:	15.527 -	1.800	-

PE 0605625A: *Manned Ground Vehicle* Army

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 5 of 12

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Army		[	ate: M	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 2040 / 5	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605625A I Manned Ground Vehicle	Project (Nui FC8 / BCT G		<b>lame)</b> Combat Vehi	cle
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article	Quantities in Each)	FY 2	013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>Description:</b> Provides for costs incurred by the government to pealso includes costs of the detailed planning, conduct, support, datarticles (i.e., functionally configured systems) are excluded from the section.	a reduction, and reports from such testing. The actual test				
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Subsystem Prototype testing was conducted at Government test tested, as required. This included testing of the Rocket Propelled Blast Subsystem Prototype Test Articles against relevant threats. review that had been planned for 1QFY2014. PM GCV procured test ammunition to support integration testing and Lethality testing Manufacturing Development (EMD) phase. Army Test and Evaluated Plan.	Grenade (RPG) Protection Subsystem Prototypes and Mir A draft of the TEMP was completed to support a pre-Miles est threats to support Survivability/Force Protection testing. Detailed test planning was initiated for the Engineering	ne stone B g, and and			
FY 2014 Plans: The additional TD phase risk mitigation assets will be tested at Go existing subsystem test assets will be performed after updates the be finalized.					
Title: Contractor Software	A	1 rticles:	9.480	18.396	
<b>Description:</b> Provides for contractor software development efforts to the various subsystems, training, logistics, vehicle management		elated			
FY 2013 Accomplishments: All software requirements and interfaces for the early software but in support of iterative software integration. Conducted early software subsystem behaviors and interfaces. Conducted qualification and subsystem level integration. Initiated subsequent software build/clin meeting vehicle delivery schedules. Updated software architect furnished software subsystems.	are integration using emulators and surrogates to verify co regression testing and delivery of the software build to sudevelopment efforts to incrementally build up the functional	rrect oport lities			
FY 2014 Plans:					

PE 0605625A: *Manned Ground Vehicle* Army

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Arm	/		Date: N	March 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 2040 / 5	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605625A / Manned Ground Vehicle	Project ( FC8 / BC	•	Name) d Combat Veh	nicle
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, A TD contractors will refine Software Architecture Design Doc software requirement specifications.	rticle Quantities in Each) uments (SADDs) and all architectural significant use case and	F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015

**Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals** 

### C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

Army

#### Remarks

### D. Acquisition Strategy

An Acquisition Decision Memorandum (ADM) was signed 17 August 2011. It granted the Project Management Office approval to enter a 24 month Technology Development (TD) phase. Two contracts were awarded on 18 August 2011. One contract was awarded to BAE Systems Land & Armaments, L.P. and one was awarded to General Dynamics Land Systems Inc. Due to a protest, stop work orders were issued on 29 August 2011. The Government Accountability Office denied the protest on 5 December 2011, and work resumed 6 December 2011.

For the ongoing TD phase, the Army has been maturing its requirements by using key program imperatives to shape trade space and to ensure convergence on an affordable and achievable set of requirements. The TD phase contracts have allowed contractors to trade selected capability to support the path to an affordable set of requirements and to reduce program cost and risk. In addition to the effort related to the TD contracts, PM GCV has conducted assessments of selected nondevelopmental vehicles and supported a dynamic update to the Analysis of Alternatives (AoA). This "three pronged effort" (TD contracts, vehicle assessments, and dynamic AoA update) generated data necessary to ensure a well informed Milestone B.

Revisions to the GCV strategy were approved via an Acquisition Decision Memorandum signed on 16 January 2013. It recognized that the Army's exploration of the capabilities trade-space and full range of alternatives prior to finalizing requirements has led to a modified set of requirements that require additional preliminary design effort. It likewise acknowledged that the results of the three pronged development effort were considered in revising the GCV strategy. Decisions included in the ADM were: 1) The TD phase is to be extended by six months, 2) A full and open competition for the Engineering and Manufacturing Development (EMD) phase will be conducted and contract award will be to a single vendor, 3) Planned procurement of long lead items for EMD prototypes is not authorized, 4) The Milestone C decision shall be planned for FY2019, and 5) Milestone B will be conducted in late FY2014, following a pre-EMD Defense Acquisition Board first guarter FY2014 (which must occur prior to releasing the EMD Request for Proposal). The GCV Project Manager is took steps to incorporate these decisions into the program.

Fiscal constraints and competing demands during budget uncertainty forced the Army to make hard choices between near-term readiness and modernizing current systems to meet near term capability gaps and developing the GCV. Given fiscal constraints and where we are in current technology, the Army concludes this is not the right time to develop the GCV and will end the program following the Technology Development phase. We have benefitted from our investment in GCV and will use the insights gained to inform technology maturation efforts in support of a strategy for the Army's future Infantry Fighting Vehicle (IFV) modernization program.

PE 0605625A: Manned Ground Vehicle

UNCLASSIFIED Page 7 of 12

R-1 Line #127

100.147

49.160

570.121

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Army		Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 2040 / 5	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605625A / Manned Ground Vehicle	Project (Number/Name) FC8 / BCT Ground Combat Vehicle
For the FY2015 Budget, the Army will complete the ongoing Technology Devel development and synchronization with planned Government S&T investments. Management Office is assessing the full impact of this decision and has not yet	will eventually form the foundation for a future	
E. Performance Metrics N/A		

PE 0605625A: Manned Ground Vehicle Army

					UN	ICLASS	SIFIED								
Exhibit R-3, RDT&E F	Project C	ost Analysis: PB 2	2015 Army	/								Date:	March 20	014	
Appropriation/Budge 2040 / 5	t Activity	1					ogram Ele 5625A / <i>N</i>					(Numbe		nt Vehicle	
Product Developmen	nt (\$ in Mi	illions)		FY 2	2013	FY 2	2014		2015 ise		2015 CO	FY 2015 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Contractor System Engineering and Prog. Mgt	РО	TD Phase - BAE / GDLS, Sterling Heights, MI : EMD Phase TBD	560.724	424.819	Nov 2012	67.226	Dec 2013	40.000	Oct 2014	-		40.000	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Contractor Prototypes	РО	TD Phase - BAE / GDLS, Sterling Heights, MI : EMD Phase TBD	6.880	58.779	Nov 2012	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Contractor Software	РО	TD Phase - BAE / GDLS, Sterling Heights, MI : EMD Phase TBD	44.602	19.480	Nov 2012	18.396	Dec 2013	-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	612.206	503.078		85.622		40.000		-		40.000	-	-	-
Support (\$ in Millions	s)			FY 2	2013	FY 2	2014		2015 ise		2015 CO	FY 2015 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Government System Engineering and Prog. Mgt	Various	PM Ground Combat Vehicle : Warren, MI	160.958	51.516	Mar 2013	12.725	Nov 2013	9.160	Oct 2014	-		9.160	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Assessment of Selected Non-developmental Vehicles (ASNV)	Various	Various Locations : Various Locations	38.304	-		-		-		-		-	-	38.304	-
		Subtotal	199.262	51.516		12.725		9.160		-		9.160	-	-	-
Test and Evaluation	(\$ in Milli	ons)		FY 2	2013	FY 2	2014		2015 ise		2015 CO	FY 2015 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Government Tests & Modeling & Simulation	Various	PM Ground Combat Vehicle : Warren, MI	13.783	15.527	Mar 2013	1.800	Jan 2014	-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	13.783	15.527		1.800		-		-		-	-	-	-

PE 0605625A: *Manned Ground Vehicle* Army

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 9 of 12

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2	2015 Army	y							Date:	March 20	14	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 2040 / 5				1	•	lement (N Manned G		•	(Number CT Groun	r/ <b>Name)</b> d Comba	t Vehicle	
	Prior Years	FY 2	2013	FY 2	2014	1	2015 ase	FY 2	 FY 2015 Total	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Project Cost Totals	825.251	570.121		100.147		49.160		-	49.160	-	-	-

Remarks

PE 0605625A: *Manned Ground Vehicle* Army

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB	2015 Army	y																				Date	e: M	larcl	h 20	14		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 2040 / 5														<b>Num</b> <i>Grou</i>						•	•	umb Gro			•	t Ve	hicle	<del>)</del>
		FY	201	3		FY 2	2014	•		FY 2	2015	5		FY 2	016			FY	201	7		FY	201	8	$\top$	FY	201	9
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Technology Development Phase																		,	,									
Prototype Subsystem Testing																												
Preliminary Design Review																												
TD Contract Completion		_																										_
Advanced Concept Development							-																					

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2015 Army			Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)	Project (Number/Name)	
2040 / 5	PE 0605625A I Manned Ground Vehicle	FC8 I BCT	Ground Combat Vehicle

# Schedule Details

	Start		End	
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
Technology Development Phase	4	2011	3	2014
Prototype Subsystem Testing	2	2013	4	2013
Preliminary Design Review	1	2014	1	2014
TD Contract Completion	3	2014	3	2014
Advanced Concept Development	1	2015	4	2016