# Department of Defense Fiscal Year (FY) 2014 President's Budget Submission

April 2013



# **Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense**

Justification Book

Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

UNCLASSIFIED
THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense • President's Budget Submission FY 2014 • RDT&E Program

# **Table of Contents**

Comptroller Exhibit R-1	iii
Program Element Table of Contents (by Budget Activity then Line Item Number)	vii
Program Element Table of Contents (Alphabetically by Program Element Title)	ix
Exhibit R-2's	. 1

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK	UNCLASSIFIED
	THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

#### Department of Defense FY 2014 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2014 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

13 Mar 2013

				Emergency		
		FY 2013	FY 2013	Disaster	FY 2013	
	FY 2012	Base Request	OCO Request	Relief Act of	Total Request	FY 2014
Appropriation	(Base & OCO)	with CR Adj*	with CR Adj*	2013	with CR Adj*	Base
					. <del></del> -	
Operational Test & Eval, Defense	188,037	189,188			189,188	186,300
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	188,037	189,188			189,188	186,300

iii

R-1C: FY 2014 President's Budget (Published Version), as of March 13, 2013 at 08:27:18

<sup>\*</sup> Reflects the FY 2013 President's Budget with an undistributed adjustment to match the Annualized Continuing Resolution funding level by appropriation.

# Department of Defense FY 2014 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2014 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

13 Mar 2013

			Emergency		
Summary Recap of Budget Activities	FY 2012 (Base & OCO)	FY 2013 Base Request with CR Adj*		FY 2013 Total Request with CR Adj*	FY 2014 Base
Management Support	188,037	185,268		185,268	186,300
Undistributed		3,920		3,920	
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	188,037	189,188		189,188	186,300
Summary Recap of FYDP Programs					
Research and Development	188,037	185,268		185,268	186,300
Administration and Associated Activities		3,920		3,920	
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	188,037	189,188		189,188	186,300

iν

R-1C: FY 2014 President's Budget (Published Version), as of March 13, 2013 at 08:27:18

<sup>\*</sup> Reflects the FY 2013 President's Budget with an undistributed adjustment to match the Annualized Continuing Resolution funding level by appropriation.

# Defense-Wide FY 2014 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2014 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

13 Mar 2013

Summary Recap of Budget Activities	FY 2012 (Base & OCO)		FY 2013 OCO Request with CR Adj*	FY 2013 Total Request with CR Adj*	FY 2014 Base
Management Support	188,037	185,268		185,268	186,300
Undistributed		3,920		3,920	
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	188,037	189,188		189,188	186,300
Summary Recap of FYDP Programs					
Research and Development	188,037	185,268		185,268	186,300
Administration and Associated Activities		3,920		3,920	
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	188,037	189,188		189,188	186,300

V

R-1C: FY 2014 President's Budget (Published Version), as of March 13, 2013 at 08:27:18
\* Reflects the FY 2013 President's Budget with an undistributed adjustment to match the Annualized Continuing Resolution funding level by appropriation.

# Defense-Wide FY 2014 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2014 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

13 Mar 2013

Appropriation: 0460D Operational Test & Eval, Defense

Prog Line Elem No Numb	ent	Item	Act 	FY 2012 (Base & OCO)	FY 2013 Base Request with CR Adj*	FY 2013 OCO Request with CR Adj*	Emergency Disaster Relief Act of 2013	FY 2013 Total Request with CR Adj*	FY 2014 Base	S e c
1 0605	1180TE Opera	tional Test and Evaluation	06	62,444	72,501			72,501	75,720	Ū
2 0605	1310TE Live 1	Fire Test and Evaluation	06	12,126	49,201			49,201	48,423	υ
3 0605	814OTE Operat	tional Test Activities and Analyses	06	113,467	63,566			63,566	62,157	U
	Management S	Support		188,037	185,268			185,268	186,300	
4 0901	5600TE Contin	nuing Resolution Programs	20		3,920			3,920		U
	Undistribute	ed			3,920			3,920		
Total Open		6 Park   Park								
rocar Open	racional Test	& Eval, Defense		188,037	189,188			189,188	186,300	

νi

R-1C: FY 2014 President's Budget (Published Version), as of March 13, 2013 at 08:27:18

<sup>\*</sup> Reflects the FY 2013 President's Budget with an undistributed adjustment to match the Annualized Continuing Resolution funding level by appropriation.

Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense • President's Budget Submission FY 2014 • RDT&E Program

# **Program Element Table of Contents (by Budget Activity then Line Item Number)**

Budget Activity 06: RDT&E Management Support

Appropriation 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

Line Item	Budget Activity	Program Element Number	Program Element Title Page
1	06	0605118OTE	Operational Test and Evaluation
2	06	0605131OTE	Live Fire Test and Evaluation (LFT&E) 7
3	06	0605814OTE	Operational Test Activities and Analyses

UNCLASSIFIED
THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
UNCLASSIFIED

viii

Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense • President's Budget Submission FY 2014 • RDT&E Program

# **Program Element Table of Contents (Alphabetically by Program Element Title)**

Program Element Title	Program Element Number	Line Item	Budget Activity	Page
Live Fire Test and Evaluation (LFT&E)	0605131OTE	2	06	7
Operational Test Activities and Analyses	0605814OTE	3	06	19
Operational Test and Evaluation	0605118OTE	1	06	1

UNCLASSIFIED
THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2014 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

**R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE** 

0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

PE 0605118OTE: Operational Test and Evaluation

BA 6: RDT&E Management Support

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

COST (\$ in Millions)	All Prior Years	FY 2012	FY 2013 <sup>#</sup>	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO ##	FY 2014 Total	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	62.444	72.501	75.720	-	75.720	78.743	82.453	84.882	94.299	Continuing	Continuing
0605118OTE: <i>OT&amp;E</i>	-	62.444	72.501	75.720	-	75.720	78.743	82.453	84.882	94.299	Continuing	Continuing

FY 2013 Program is from the FY 2013 President's Budget, submitted February 2012

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Director of Operational Test and Evaluation (DOT&E) was created by Congress in 1983. The Director is responsible under Title 10 for policy and procedures for all aspects of Operational Test and Evaluation (OT&E) within the Department of Defense (DoD). Particular focus is given to OT&E that supports major weapon system production decisions for acquisition programs included on the Office of Secretary of Defense Test and Evaluation Oversight List that is prepared and approved annually. Generally, there are about 300 programs on the oversight list including all Major Defense Acquisition Programs (MDAP) and Major Automated Information Systems (MAIS). MDAPs may not proceed beyond low-rate initial production (BLRIP) until OT&E of the program is complete. DOT&E is involved early in the planning phase of each program to ensure adequate testing is planned and executed. Key elements of DOT&E's oversight authority include:

- The approval of component Test and Evaluation Master Plans (TEMPS).
- The approval of component OT&E Test Plans (TPs).
- Oversight of Military Department preparation and conduct of field operational tests; analysis and evaluation of the resultant test data; the assessment of the adequacy of the executed test and evaluation programs; and assessment of the operational effectiveness and suitability of the weapon systems.
- Reporting results of OT&E that supports BLRIP decisions to the Secretary of Defense and Congress, as well as providing an annual report summarizing all OT&E activities and the adequacy of test resources within DoD during the previous fiscal year.

DOT&E also oversees and resources OT&E community efforts to plan and execute joint operational evaluations of information assurance and interoperability of fielded systems and networks during major Combatant Command (CCMD) and Service exercises, and reports the trends and findings in the annual report.

This Program Element includes funds to obtain Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDC) support in performing the described tasks, travel funds to carry out oversight of the OT&E program, funds for Service teams performing information assurance and interoperability assessments during exercises, and contractor support related to the conduct of operational test and evaluation and exercise assessments.

PE 0605118OTE: Operational Test and Evaluation Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

UNCLASSIFIED Page 1 of 6

DATE: April 2013

<sup>##</sup> The FY 2014 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2014 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

PE 0605118OTE: Operational Test and Evaluation

DATE: April 2013

BA 6: RDT&E Management Support

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO	FY 2014 Total
Previous President's Budget	60.444	72.501	73.546	-	73.546
Current President's Budget	62.444	72.501	75.720	-	75.720
Total Adjustments	2.000	0.000	2.174	-	2.174
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	2.000	-			
<ul> <li>SBIR/STTR Transfer</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Enhancements for Cyber Range</li> </ul>	-	-	2.200	-	2.200
<ul> <li>Progam Realignment/Adjustments</li> </ul>	-	-	-0.026	-	-0.026

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

As a result of the Department's recognition of the importance of enhanced cyber assessment capabilities, additional resources were added in fiscal year 2014. Program Realignment made in support of an administrative contract (\$1.225) and other programmatic adjustments (-\$1.251).

PE 0605118OTE: *Operational Test and Evaluation* Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 2 of 6

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project J	ustification	: PB 2014 (	Operational <sup>-</sup>	Test and Ev	Evaluation, Defense					DATE: April 2013		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY					R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PF				PROJECT	PROJECT		
0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support					PE 0605118OTE: Operational Test and Evaluation				0605118OTE: <i>OT&amp;E</i>			
COST (\$ in Millions)	All Prior Years	FY 2012	FY 2013 <sup>#</sup>	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO ##	FY 2014 Total	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
0605118OTE: <i>OT&amp;E</i>	-	62.444	72.501	75.720	-	75.720	78.743	82.453	84.882	94.299	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles												

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>#</sup> FY 2013 Program is from the FY 2013 President's Budget, submitted February 2012

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Director of Operational Test and Evaluation (DOT&E) is responsible under Title 10 for policy and procedures for all aspects of operational test and evaluation within the Department of Defense (DoD). Particular focus is given to OT&E that supports major weapon system production decisions for acquisition programs included on the Office of Secretary of Defense Test and Evaluation Oversight List that is prepared and approved annually. Generally, there are over 300 programs on the oversight list including all Major Defense Acquisition Programs (MDAP) and Major Automated Information Systems (MAIS). MDAPs may not proceed beyond low-rate initial production (BLRIP) until OT&E of the program is complete. DOT&E is involved early in the planning phase of each program to ensure adequate testing is planned and executed. Key elements of DOT&E's oversight authority include:

- The approval of component test and evaluation master plans (TEMPs)/ Test and Evaluation Strategies.
- The approval of component OT&E Test Plans (TPs).
- Oversight of Military Department preparation and conduct of field operational tests; analysis and evaluation of the resultant test data; the assessment of the adequacy of the executed test and evaluation programs; and assessment of the operational effectiveness and suitability of the weapon systems.
- Reporting of results of OT&E that supports BLRIP decisions to the Secretary of Defense and Congress, as well as providing an annual report summarizing all OT&E activities and the adequacy of test resources within DoD during the previous fiscal year.

DOT&E also oversees and resources OT&E community efforts to plan and execute joint operational evaluations of information assurance and interoperability of fielded systems and networks during major Combatant Command (CCMD) and Service exercises, and reports the trends and findings in the annual DOT&E report.

This Program Element includes funds to obtain Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDC) support in performing the described tasks, travel funds to carry out oversight of the OT&E program and administration support services.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Title: Operational Test and Evaluation	62.444	72.501	75.720
FY 2012 Accomplishments: Operational Test and Evaluation Oversight			

PE 0605118OTE: Operational Test and Evaluation Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 3 of 6

<sup>##</sup> The FY 2014 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date

	ONOLASSII ILD				
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Operational Te	est and Evaluation, Defense		DATE:	April 2013	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support		<b>PROJECT</b> 0605118OTE: <i>OT&amp;E</i>			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
This effort is in direct support of the Director's Title 10 responsibilities a Operational Test and Evaluation inputs for Test and Evaluation Master Operational Assessment Reports, and Defense Acquisition Executive oversight by DOT&E and OUSD(AT&L). Key elements of DOT&E ove of the Secretary of Defense Test and Evaluation Oversight List.  Information Assurance (IA) and Interoperability (IOP) Evaluations	r Plans, Test Plans, System Acquisition Reports, Summary Reports for those programs designated for				
DOT&E oversaw and resourced 16 CCMD level and 6 Service level IA assessments were cancelled due to scheduling issues or changes to the in FY12, three were conducted of units deployed to theaters of operation postures. Warfighter responses to computer network attack (ability to IA assessments and several included the portrayal of advanced cyber research requirements and linkage to mission threads, was instituted for evaluations included trend analyses across prior year results, both with to Service and DoD leadership for their awareness and remediation accomplementation on the CJCS EXORD as part of the assessment plant of the advanced cyber adversary and alignment Red Teams with these program review resulted in additional funds for enhanced threat assessment underway to expand JIOR support to future exercise assessments.	the scope of the exercise. Of the 22 assessments exions, resulting in enhancements to their network deference, detect, react, and restore) were captured in threats. A more rigorous process, including expande for IOP assessments. Fiscal year 2012 IA and IOP hin and across CCMDs. Critical findings were transportations, as appropriate. DOT&E initiated efforts to suppling process, including development of threat assessing threat assessments. A DOT&E issue paper to the essments and Red Team portrayal (FY13-FY17). The	ecuted nse all ed nitted port ments =Y13			
FY 2013 Plans: Operational Test and Evaluation Oversight					
This effort is in direct support of the Director's Title 10 responsibilities a provide Operational Test and Evaluation inputs for Test and Evaluation Operational Assessment Reports, and Defense Acquisition Executive Soversight by DOT&E and OUSD(AT&L). Key elements of DOT&E ove of the Secretary of Defense Test and Evaluation Oversight List.	n Master Plans, Test Plans, System Acquisition Repo Summary Reports for those programs designated for				
Information Assurance (IA) and Interoperability (IOP) Evaluations					

PE 0605118OTE: Operational Test and Evaluation Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 4 of 6

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Operational	Test and Evaluation, Defense		DATE:	April 2013		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605118OTE: Operational Test and Evaluation	<b>PROJECT</b> 0605118OTE: <i>OT&amp;E</i>				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
DOT&E will oversee and resource approximately 11 CCMD level an assessment of warfighter responses to computer network attack (ab all IA assessment events. The portrayal of selected advanced-cybe and the focused assessment of critical mission accomplishment in most IA and IOP evaluations in FY13. In partnership with US Cyber to reflect DIA assessments, and application of these teams will be s support to units deployed to warfighting theaters of operation will co will include trend analyses across prior year results, both within and and DoD leadership for their awareness and remediation actions, as (JIOR) will support events across multiple CCMDs for added threat New resources and emphasis will be added in FY13 as a result of a capabilities. Enhancements will include expanded threat assessme portrayal of the cyber adversary by Red Teams, and improvements threat representative assessment and training events.	polity to protect, detect, react, and restore) will be captured threats, in accordance with the CJCS Red Team EXC expresentative threat environments is a primary objective Command, DoD Red Team capabilities will be enhanced ynchronized across assessment priorities. Assessment intinue as needed. Fiscal year 2013 IA and IOP evaluation across CCMDs. Critical findings will be transmitted to appropriate. The Joint Information Operations Range realism and required security during exercise assessments successful issue paper for enhanced cyber assessments of the advanced cyber adversary, more representations.	ed in DRD, e for ced it tions Service ents. In tive				
FY 2014 Plans: Operational Test and Evaluation Oversight						
This effort is in direct support of the Director's Title 10 responsibilities provide Operational Test and Evaluation inputs for Test and Evaluation Operational Assessment Reports, and Defense Acquisition Executive oversight by DOT&E and OUSD(AT&L). Key elements of DOT&E of the Secretary of Defense Test and Evaluation Oversight List.	tion Master Plans, Test Plans, System Acquisition Reporter Summary Reports for those programs designated for	r				
Information Assurance (IA) and Interoperability (IOP) Evaluations						

PE 0605118OTE: *Operational Test and Evaluation* Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

**UNCLASSIFIED** 

DOT&E will oversee and resource approximately 15 CCMD level IA and IOP assessments in FY 2014. Full assessment of warfighter responses to computer network attack (ability to protect, detect, react, and restore) will be captured in all IA events. Portrayal of advanced-cyber threats will be part of all assessments. In accordance with the CJCS Red Team EXORD, and assessments will focus on the accomplishment of critical operational mission in representative threat environments consistent with the scope and purpose of the specific exercise. In partnership with US Cyber Command, DoD Red Team capabilities will be enhanced to reflect DIA cyber threat assessments, and application of these teams will be synchronized across Cyber Command and DOT&E priorities. Assessment support to units deploying to theaters of operation will continue as needed. Fiscal year 2014 IA and IOP evaluations will include trend analyses across prior year results, both within and across CCMDs. Critical findings will

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Operational Test and E	DATE: April 2013	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT
0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense	PE 0605118OTE: Operational Test and	0605118OTE: <i>OT&amp;E</i>
BA 6: RDT&F Management Support		

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
be transmitted to Service and DoD leadership for their awareness and remediation actions, as appropriate. The Joint Information			
Operations Range and other cyber range assets with Red Teams portraying advanced cyber adversaries will support the majority			
of CCMD exercises for added threat realism and required security.			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	62.444	72.501	75.720

#### C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

#### Remarks

#### **D. Acquisition Strategy**

N/A

#### **E. Performance Metrics**

Performance Measure: Percentage of required operational test planning documents, assessments, and reports applicable to acquisition programs on the OSD Test and Evaluation Oversight List and other special interest programs/legacy systems that are completed and delivered to the appropriate decision makers on time.

Actual Performance and Goals:

Operational Test and Evaluation FY 2012 (Actual) FY 2013 (Goal) FY 2014 (Goal) On-Time Completion Rate 95% 96% 97%

The on-time completion rate was computed on the basis of the number of required products that were submitted within established time standards relative to the total number of such products that fell due during the fiscal year. Products included in the measure include beyond low-rate initial production reports, Test Plans, and Test and Evaluation Master Plans for operational test and evaluation oversight as well as assessment plans, "quick look" reports, and final reports for the information assurance and interoperability testing associated with scheduled test events. DOT&E plans to maintain its on-time completion rates for FY 2013 and FY 2014 through continued management emphasis on timely delivery of required products to customer activities.

PE 0605118OTE: Operational Test and Evaluation Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 6 of 6

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2014 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

PE 0605131OTE: Live Fire Test and Evaluation (LFT&E)

DATE: April 2013

BA 6: RDT&E Management Support

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

COST (\$ in Millions)	All Prior Years	FY 2012	FY 2013 <sup>#</sup>	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO ##	FY 2014 Total	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	12.126	49.201	48.423	-	48.423	49.587	50.255	51.306	52.440	Continuing	Continuing
1: <i>LFT&amp;E</i>	-	12.126	49.201	48.423	-	48.423	49.587	50.255	51.306	52.440	Continuing	Continuing

FY 2013 Program is from the FY 2013 President's Budget, submitted February 2012

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This Program Element consists of three programs: Live Fire Test and Evaluation, Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP), and Joint Technical Coordinating Group for Munitions Effectiveness (JTCG/ME). Starting in FY 2013 the JASP and JTCG/ME programs are realigned from the Operational Test Activities and Analyses program (0605814OTE) to the Live Fire Test and Evaluation program element (0605131OTE). The JASP and JTCG/ME programs focus on the survivability of currently fielded systems; therefore, the two programs are more appropriately funded within the Live Fire Test and Evaluation program element.

This Program Element directly supports the Congressional statutory requirements for oversight of Live Fire Test and Evaluation (LFT&E). The primary objective of LFT&E is to assure that the vulnerability and survivability of Department of Defense (DoD) crew-carrying platforms and the lethality of our conventional munitions are known and acceptable before entering full-rate production. LFT&E encompasses realistic tests involving actual United States (U.S.) and foreign threat hardware or, if not available, acceptable surrogate threat hardware. The objective is to identify and correct design deficiencies early in the development process. A completed LFT&E program and test report is required before programs proceed beyond low-rate initial production (BLRIP). LFT&E also includes realistic modeling and simulation (M&S) to examine survivability and lethality attributes not assessed during testing.

This Program Element also supports DoD's Joint Live Fire (JLF) Program and other LFT&E related initiatives. JLF was begun in 1984 under an Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) charter to test fielded front-line combat aircraft and armor systems for their vulnerabilities as well as fielded weapons, both U.S. and foreign, for their lethalities against their respective targets. Funds are also used to support other initiatives related to quick reaction requests from theater and other areas of personnel survivability.

The Joint Aircraft Survivability Program is the DoD's focal point for joint service enhancement of military aircraft non-nuclear survivability. The JASP is chartered by the commanders of the USN Naval Air Systems Command, USA Aviation and Missile Command and USAF Aeronautical Systems Center to coordinate and conduct RDT&E to improve military aircraft survivability, develop and standardize aircraft survivability modeling and simulation (M&S), facilitate information exchange on aircraft survivability and support aircraft survivability education for the DoD and U.S. aircraft community. Each chartering command provides a senior aircraft survivability expert for the JASP Principal Members Steering Group (PMSG), which guides the program and approves projects for funding. The JASP assesses and reports on combat damage incidents through the Joint Combat Assessment Team (JCAT), is the Executive Agent for the Joint Live Fire Aircraft Systems Program managed by the Live Fire Test office of DOT&E and is also an Executive Agent for the Survivability Information Analysis Center (SURVIAC), the repository for aircraft survivability information.

<sup>##</sup> The FY 2014 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2014 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

**DATE:** April 2013

#### APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

PE 0605131OTE: Live Fire Test and Evaluation (LFT&E)

BA 6: RDT&E Management Support

The Joint Logistics Commanders Joint Technical Coordinating Group for Munitions Effectiveness (JTCG/ME) was chartered more than 40 years ago to serve as DoD's focal point for munitions effectiveness information. This has taken the form of widely used Joint Munitions Effectiveness Manuals (JMEMs) which address all major non-nuclear U.S. weapons. JTCG/ME authenticates weapons effectiveness data for use in training, systems acquisition, weapon procurement, and combat modeling and simulation. JMEMs are used by the Armed Forces of the U.S., NATO, and other allies to plan operational missions, support training and tactics development, and support force-level analyses. JTCG/ME also develops and standardizes methodologies for evaluation of munitions effectiveness and maintains databases for target vulnerability, munitions lethality, and weapon system accuracy. The JMEM requirements and development processes continues to be driven by operational lessons learned (Enduring Freedom, Iraqi Freedom, and Odyssey Dawn) and the needs of Combatant Commands, Services, Military Targeting Committee, and Operational Users Working Groups input for specific weapon-target pairings and methodologies.

This program element also includes funds to obtain Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDC) expertise in performing analyses in support of described Live Fire Test and Evaluation tasks, as well as travel funds to carry out the LFT&E programs.

This program element is budgeted in Budget Activity 6, RDT&E Management Support, to support LFT&E management activities for the oversight of RDT&E of new systems, as well as RDT&E of fielded systems.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO	FY 2014 Total
Previous President's Budget	12.126	49.201	48.423	-	48.423
Current President's Budget	12.126	49.201	48.423	-	48.423
Total Adjustments	0.000	0.000	0.000	=	0.000
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>SBIR/STTR Transfer</li> </ul>	-	-			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense											DATE: April 2013		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support									PROJECT 1: LFT&E				
COST (\$ in Millions)	All Prior Years	FY 2012	FY 2013 <sup>#</sup>	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO ##	FY 2014 Total	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
1: <i>LFT&amp;E</i>	-	12.126	49.201	48.423	-	48.423	49.587	50.255	51.306	52.440	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles													

<sup>\*</sup>FY 2013 Program is from the FY 2013 President's Budget, submitted February 2012

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This Program Element consists of three programs: Live Fire Test and Evaluation, Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP) and Joint Technical Coordinating Group for Munitions Effectiveness (JTCG/ME). Starting in FY 2013 the JASP and JTCG/ME programs are realigned from the Operational Test Activities and Analyses program (0605814OTE) to the Live Fire Test and Evaluation program element (0605131OTE). The JASP and JTCG/ME programs focus on the survivability of currently fielded systems; therefore, the two programs are more appropriately funded within the Live Fire Test and Evaluation program element.

This Program Element directly supports the Congressional statutory requirements for oversight of Live Fire Test and Evaluation (LFT&E). The primary objective of LFT&E is to assure that the vulnerability and survivability of Department of Defense (DoD) crew-carrying platforms and the lethality of our conventional munitions are known and acceptable before entering full-rate production. LFT&E encompasses realistic tests involving actual United States (U.S.) and foreign threat hardware or, if not available, acceptable surrogate threat hardware. The objective is to identify and correct design deficiencies early in the development process. A completed LFT&E program and test report is required before programs proceed beyond low-rate initial production (BLRIP). LFT&E also includes realistic modeling and simulation (M&S) to examine survivability and lethality attributes not assessed during testing.

This Program Element also supports DoD's Joint Live Fire (JLF) Program and other LFT&E related initiatives. JLF was begun in 1984 under an Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) charter to test fielded front-line combat aircraft and armor systems for their vulnerabilities as well as fielded weapons, both U.S. and foreign, for their lethalities against their respective targets. Funds are also used to support other initiatives related to quick reaction requests from theater and other areas of personnel survivability.

The Joint Aircraft Survivability Program is the DoD's focal point for joint service enhancement of military aircraft non-nuclear survivability. The JASP is chartered by the commanders of the USN Naval Air Systems Command, USA Aviation and Missile Command and USAF Aeronautical Systems Center to coordinate and conduct RDT&E to improve military aircraft survivability, develop and standardize aircraft survivability modeling and simulation (M&S), facilitate information exchange on aircraft survivability and support aircraft survivability education for the DoD and U.S. aircraft community. Each chartering command provides a senior aircraft survivability expert for the JASP Principal Members Steering Group (PMSG), which guides the program and approves projects for funding. The JASP assesses and reports on combat damage incidents through the Joint Combat Assessment Team (JCAT), is the Executive Agent for the Joint Live Fire Aircraft Systems Program managed by the Live Fire Test office of DOT&E and is also an Executive Agent for the Survivability Information Analysis Center (SURVIAC), the repository for aircraft survivability information.

Page 3 of 11

<sup>##</sup> The FY 2014 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Operational Test and E		DATE: April 2013	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense	PE 0605131OTE: Live Fire Test and	1: <i>LFT&amp;E</i>	
BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	Evaluation (LFT&E)		

The Joint Logistics Commanders Joint Technical Coordinating Group for Munitions Effectiveness (JTCG/ME) was chartered more than 40 years ago to serve as DoD's focal point for munitions effectiveness information. This has taken the form of widely used Joint Munitions Effectiveness Manuals (JMEMs) which address all major non-nuclear U.S. weapons. JTCG/ME authenticates weapons effectiveness data for use in training, systems acquisition, weapon procurement, and combat modeling and simulation. JMEMs are used by the Armed Forces of the U.S., NATO, and other allies to plan operational missions, support training and tactics development, and support force-level analyses. JTCG/ME also develops and standardizes methodologies for evaluation of munitions effectiveness and maintains databases for target vulnerability, munitions lethality, and weapon system accuracy. The JMEM requirements and development processes continues to be driven by operational lessons learned (Enduring Freedom, Iraqi Freedom, and Odyssey Dawn) and the needs of Combatant Commands, Services, Military Targeting Committee, and Operational Users Working Groups input for specific weapon-target pairings and methodologies.

This program element also includes funds to obtain Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDC) expertise in performing analyses in support of described Live Fire Test and Evaluation tasks, as well as travel funds to carry out the LFT&E programs.

This program element is budgeted in Budget Activity 6, RDT&E Management Support, to support LFT&E management activities for the oversight of RDT&E of new systems, as well as RDT&E of fielded systems.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Title: Live Fire Test and Evaluation	12.126	49.201	48.423
<b>Description:</b> This Program Element consists of three programs: Live Fire Test and Evaluation, Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP) and Joint Technical Coordinating Group for Munitions Effectiveness (JTCG/ME). Starting in FY 2013 the JASP and JTCG/ME programs are realigned from the Operational Test Activities and Analyses program (0605814OTE) to the Live Fire Test and Evaluation program element (0605131OTE). The JASP and JTCG/ME programs focus on the survivability of currently fielded systems; therefore, the two programs are more appropriately funded within the Live Fire Test and Evaluation program element.			
FY 2012 Accomplishments: Live Fire Test and Evaluation Major Test and Evaluation Programs			
The FY 2012 budget provided Live Fire Test and Evaluation input for Test and Evaluation Master Plans, Test Plans, System Acquisition Reports, Defense Acquisition Executive Summary reports, and Beyond Low Rate Initial Production (BLRIP) reports for those programs designated for oversight by DOT&E and OUSD(AT&L). The oversight list is developed and published annually.			
JLF Programs and LFT&E Initiatives			
Conducted tests of fielded systems not previously tested under Air, Land, or Sea Joint Live Fire programs to support DOT&E and operator needs. The need for these tests results from systems being exposed to new threats, used in new unanticipated			

PE 06051310TE: Live Fire Test and Evaluation (LFT&E) Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

Page 4 of 11

	UNCLASSIFIED				
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Operationa	al Test and Evaluation, Defense		DATE:	April 2013	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 06051310TE: Live Fire Test and Evaluation (LFT&E)	<b>PROJ</b> 1: <i>LFT</i>			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
tactics, or being operated in new combat environments, and the summer continued in support of Personnel Protection Equipment, incladdressed that directly support deployed operators and issues of in JLF projects were performed to provide survivability data on current emerging threat first seen in a CH 47 combat incident, tested the value nacelle vulnerability reduction techniques, as well as evaluated techniques, and the performance of the effect of yawed projectiles and missile debris on aircraft vulner a comparison of commonly used test threats. JLF Land projects in and the lethality of U.S. weapons against typical in-theater targets validation data. JLF personnel studied the use and validity of manused in modeling and simulation. JLF Sea projects developed key and submarines, continued to investigate ship vulnerabilities in the damage, and investigated vulnerabilities of designs and componer. Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP) (Funded within PE 060 In FY 2012 the JASP continued work on 33 multi-year RDT&E pro Principal Members Steering Group and OSD/DOT&E. In the area the effectiveness and reducing the space, weight and power required countermeasures technology and techniques, integrated aircraft survivability reduction, the JASP continued to address requiremens identified by the passenger injuries, and address M&S requirements identified by the reports documenting efforts accomplished in FY 2012.	luding combat helmets and body armor. Urgent requests importance to the Congress as they arise.  Intly fielded U.S. systems. JLF Air projects investigated and vulnerability of sponsons to RPGs, and evaluated engine chnologies/techniques to reduce generic vulnerabilities to of self-sealing fuel tanks using bio-fuels. Projects investigated the vulnerability of turboprop engines, and perform envestigated the vulnerability of vehicles to underbody blast, and improved modeling and simulation tools by providing nikins, helmets, and improvements to material characterist of components of alternatives to traditional shock trials of size areas of commercial standards, equipment and components for new ships.  ISB14OTE)  Dijects and initiated 26 new projects approved by the JASP of susceptibility reduction, the JASP addressed improving red for directed energy infrared countermeasures, electron urvivability equipment, and aircrew situational awareness. uirements for lighter and more effective vulnerability reduction rerew and passenger protection). In aircraft survivability survivability M&S credibility, address operator requirements regagement codes, improve the assessment of aircrew and	all ated ed dics nips ent lin the stion s for			
The JCAT continued to support the Air Force, Army, Marine Corps operators on threat effects and combat damage assessment, and DoD science and technology and acquisition communities. The JA information exchange through internet sites (restricted access and developing educational materials and conducting training for the D	reporting their findings to combatant commanders and the ASP continued supporting aircraft survivability education a diclassified), by publishing the Aircraft Survivability Journal	nd			

PE 0605131OTE: Live Fire Test and Evaluation (LFT&E) Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

**UNCLASSIFIED** Page 5 of 11

	UNCLASSIFIED						
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Operationa	al Test and Evaluation, Defense		DATE: April 2013				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 06051310TE: Live Fire Test and Evaluation (LFT&E)	PROJECT 1: LFT&E					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014		
Joint Technical Coordinating Group for Munitions Effectiveness (J	TCG/ME)						
JTCG/ME Joint Munitions Effectiveness Manual Weaponeering Sy the Joint Chiefs of Staff Instruction (CJCSI) 3160.01 Collateral Effe and collateral damage estimation calls in direct support of operation provide continued support to operational commanders, DoD tal various analytical and operational methodologies and target geom to-air planning model, the Joint-Antiair Combat Effectiveness Syst survivability data.	ects Radii (CER) tables were used for operational weapons in the AFRICOM and CENTCOM Areas of Responsingeteers, weaponeers, and planners, the JTCG/ME denetric models. Additionally JTCG/ME's air-to-air and su	poneering sibilities. veloped urface-					
JWS v2.1 contains the Fast Integrated Structural Tool (FIST). FIST the integral modules from the Building Analysis Module (BAM) and that generates weapon effectiveness and damage assessments a tunnels. In addition, JWS v2.1 contains approximately 180 new/u Equivalent Weights based on blast testing, and an improved 3-D v							
J-ACE v5.1simulates air-to-air and surface-to-air engagements. B Red and Gray surface-to-air missile (SAM) flyout models are inclu releases have provided pre-computed tables of probability of kill g engagement conditions. Because these tables proved tedious in t Manager" (EM) v2.2.0 software and data sets. EM allows "on-den conditions encountered at intercept. To more effectively support of J-ACE v5.1 release also provides a direct interface to force level sevaluation, relative missile performance and scenario planning.							
In support of the Combatant Commands and the CJCSI 3160.01, systems. In addition, the JTCG/ME supported the Digital Precision tool for operational use. This tool displays accredited Collateral D tables. Additionally, JTCG/ME trained nearly 250 users at 10 differences decisions.	n Strike Suite (DPSS) Collateral Damage Estimation (E amage Estimate Level 1-5 A-C series effective radii ref	CiDE) erence					
The JTCG/ME assessed fielded and emerging Information Operat Based Operations (EBO) evaluation capability set. The scope incl							

PE 0605131OTE: *Live Fire Test and Evaluation (LFT&E)* Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

U	NCLASSIFIED				
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Operational Test and	Evaluation, Defense		DATE:	April 2013	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605131OTE: Live Fire Test and Evaluation (LFT&E)  PROJECT 1: LFT&E				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)  data development and providing operational tools for the IO elements of Com Military Information Support Operations (MISO) and Electronic Warfare. This level data are critical enablers for application of these weapons as it will providevelop policy and concepts of operations for their use.  FY 2013 Plans:  Live Fire Test and Evaluation Major Test and Evaluation Programs  This is a continuing effort. The FY 2013 budget provides Live Fire Test and Evaluation Executive programs designated for oversight by DOT&E and OUSD(AT&L). The oversight Programs and LFT&E Initiatives  Conduct tests of fielded systems not previously tested under Air, Land, or Secoperator needs. The need for these tests results from systems being expose or being operated in new combat environments, and the subsequent need for	Evaluation (LFT&E)  sputer Network Attack, Computer Network Deferment weapon effectiveness and associated confider de senior leaders and warfighters with information of the senior leaders and warfighters with information of the senior leaders and BLRIP reports for those ght list is developed and published annually.  The senior leaders and Evaluation Master is a Joint Live Fire programs to support DOT&E and to new threats, used in new unanticipated tacks an assessment of their performance. Continued	nse, nce ion to	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
efforts in support of Personnel Protection Equipment, including combat helmorequests that directly support deployed operators and issues of importance to Perform JLF projects to provide survivability data on currently fielded U.S. systematic technologies and techniques to decrease vulnerabilities to all aircraft, performance of self sealing fuel tanks using bio-fuels. New projects are investigated the vulnerabilities. JLF Land projects continue to investigate the vulnerability of V.S. weapons against typical in-theater targets, as well as improving modelin New projects are studying helmets and improvements to material characterist projects continue to develop key components of alternatives to traditional should vulnerabilities in the areas of commercial standards, equipment and components for new ships.  Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP)  In FY 2013 the JASP will continue work on at least 34 multi-year RDT&E projects are stagether as a survivability program (JASP). In the area of the JASP Principal Members Steering Group and OSD/DOT&E. In the area of the JASP Principal Members Steering Group and OSD/DOT&E. In the area of the JASP Principal Members Steering Group and OSD/DOT&E.	the Congress as they arise.  Stems. JLF Air projects are continuing to evaluate such as to MANPADS, small arms, and the stigating the munitions and aircraft fire and explorehicles to underbody blast and the lethality of g and simulation tools by providing validation dictics used in modeling and simulation. JLF Seatock trials of ships and submarines, to investigate ent damage, as well as vulnerabilities of designs expects and initiate 20 new projects approved by	ate osion ata. e ship s and			

PE 0605131OTE: *Live Fire Test and Evaluation (LFT&E)* Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 7 of 11

	UNCLASSIFIED							
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Operational Test a	and Evaluation, Defense		DATE:	DATE: April 2013				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support								
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014			
improving the effectiveness and reducing the space, weight and power relectronic countermeasures technology and techniques, and aircrew situated the JASP will continue to address requirements for lighter and more effect containment, fire suppression, and aircrew and passenger protection). In improve survivability M&S credibility, address operator requirements for situreat engagement codes, improve the assessment of aircrew and passen by the joint aircraft survivability community.	ational awareness. In the area of vulnerability red tive vulnerability reduction technology (e.g., armo aircraft survivability M&S, the JASP will continue urvivability data, integrate DIA threat missile mod	luction, or, fuel to lels into						
The JCAT will continue to support the Air Force, Army, Marine Corps and operators on threat effects and combat damage assessment, and reportin DoD science and technology and acquisition communities. The JASP will and information exchange through internet sites (restricted access and cladeveloping educational materials and conducting training for the DoD and complete other projects as approved by the JASP Principal Members Stee	ne on ournal,							
Joint Technical Coordinating Group for Munitions Effectiveness (JTCG/MI	Ε)							
In support of operational commanders, DoD targeteers, weaponeers, and Weaponeering System (JWS) v2.1.1 and the Joint-Antiair Combat Effectiv FY13.		in						
JWS v2.1.1 will add new weapons and their delivery accuracy data. Also and follow-on enhancements to the Fast Integrated Structural Tool (FIST) Weapons Model (JSWM). JSWM is an effectiveness simulation intended is a many submunitions-on-many targets model used to evaluate smart suresults of simple algorithms and inputs. Finally, based upon inputs from the Centers, classification markings on various targets will be updated.	. Additionally it will address upgrades to the Joir for the analysis of smart submunition weapons. ubmunitions to determine effectiveness based on	t Smart JSWM the						
J-ACE v5.2 will provide extended and updated data sets for missile and a and air target vulnerability. In particular, initial data sets in support of the Team will be included. J-ACE v5.2 will also field the initial methodology a system performance against Radio Frequency guided threat surface-to-ai several years of increasing J-ACE capability to quantitatively evaluate couperational analysis of air combat effectiveness.	F-35 Lightning Joint Strike Fighter Joint Operation data for evaluation selected US countermeas r missile. This is the first of what is expected to be	nal Test ure						

PE 0605131OTE: *Live Fire Test and Evaluation (LFT&E)* Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

	UNCLASSIFIED				
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Operational Test	and Evaluation, Defense		DATE:	April 2013	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 06051310TE: Live Fire Test and Evaluation (LFT&E)	PROJECT 1: LFT&E	-		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
In support of Combatant Commands and the CJCSI 3160.01, JTCG/ME newly fielded systems. JTCG/ME will also continue to monitor the DCiD that subsequent versions of DCiDE accurately reflect the latest JTCG/MI population density factors and associated user input. The DCiDE tool will estimation on JWS.	E tool configuration management process to ensure E accredited tables; Combatant Command specified	;			
JTCG/ME will develop JMEM data for most critical Combatant Command cycles through incremental updates. Accreditation of tri-Service JMEM dexisting databases to incorporate newly fielded weapons (i.e., Air-to-Sur Finally providing connectivity to real time planning systems assessing times.)	operational tools will continue as well as expanding face, Surface-to-Surface Direct/Indirect Fire, and Ar				
FY 2014 Plans: Live Fire Test and Evaluation Major Test and Evaluation Programs					
This is a continuing effort. The FY 2014 budget provides Live Fire Test a Plans, Test Plans, System Acquisition Reports, Defense Acquisition Exe programs designated for oversight by DOT&E and OUSD(AT&L). The o	ecutive Summary reports, and BLRIP reports for tho				
JLF Programs					
Conduct tests of fielded systems not previously tested under Air, Land, of warfighter needs. The need for these tests result from systems being export being operated in new combat environments, and the subsequent need address urgent requests that directly support deployed warfighters and is	sposed to new threats, used in new unanticipated taled for an assessment of their performance. Projects	ctics,			
Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP)					
In FY 2014 the JASP will continue work on at least 33 multi-year RDT&E JASP Principal Members Steering Group and OSD/DOT&E. In the area improving the effectiveness and reducing the space, weight and power reelectronic countermeasures technology and techniques, aircrew situation of vulnerability reduction, the JASP will continue to address requirement technology (e.g., armor, fuel containment, fire suppression, and aircrew and the suppression of t	of susceptibility reduction, the JASP will address required for directed energy infrared countermeasure nal awareness and urgent operator needs. In the airs for lighter and more effective vulnerability reduction	rea n			

PE 0605131OTE: *Live Fire Test and Evaluation (LFT&E)* Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

	UNCLASSIFIED						
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Operational Test	t and Evaluation, Defense		DATE:	April 2013			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605131OTE: Live Fire Test and Evaluation (LFT&E)		PROJECT 1: LFT&E				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014		
the JASP will continue to improve survivability M&S credibility, address of threat missile models into threat engagement codes, improve the assess M&S requirements identified by the joint aircraft survivability community.	sment of aircrew and passenger injuries, and add						
The JCAT will continue to support the Air Force, Army, Marine Corps an operators on threat effects and combat damage assessment, and report DoD science and technology and acquisition communities. The JASP w and information exchange through internet sites (restricted access and developing educational materials and conducting training for the DoD are complete other projects as approved by the JASP Principal Members St	ting their findings to combatant commanders and will continue supporting aircraft survivability educated classified), by publishing the Aircraft Survivability and their contractors. The JASP will initiate, continuous	the ion Journal,					
Joint Technical Coordinating Group for Munitions Effectiveness (JTCG/N							
In support of operational commanders, DoD targeteers, weaponeers, an Weaponeering System (JWS) v2.2 and Joint-Antiair Combat Effectivene							
JWS v2.2 efforts will include connectivity (Mission Planning & Collateral Additional updates will include an export to Microsoft software capabilitie in JWS will be provided along with enhanced bomb-burial methodology analysis will be used to enable development of code that is easy to main in unit testing.	Routine module						
J-ACE v5.3 will continue to field and add Browse descriptive material to expand Suite of Anti-air Kill-chain Models and Data (SAK-MD) capability aircraft target aero-performance, antiair missile lethality and air target vu JAAM. Additionally, data sets in support of the F-35 Lightning Joint Strik extended data for evaluation of US countermeasure system performance missile, and initial capability for evaluation of US countermeasure system AAM.	y; and update existing weapons and aircraft missil ulnerability required by the operational community ke Fighter Joint Operational Test Team will be inc e against Radio Frequency guided threat surface	e and in luded, to air					
JTCG/ME will continue to develop a predictive capability to assess blast synergism and incorporate these mechanisms in the JTCG/ME estimatic JTCG/ME will expand the use of computational physics to improve test of the synergian control of the syne	on process for small precision weapons. Furthern	nore,					

PE 0605131OTE: *Live Fire Test and Evaluation (LFT&E)* Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 10 of 11

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

DATE: April 2013

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

0460: Operational Test and Evaluation. Defense

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0605131OTE: Live Fire Test and
1: LFT&E

BA 6: RDT&E Management Support Evaluation (LFT&E)

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)

development and the characterization of weapons addressing blast interactions with structures, weapon fragmentation, and penetration mechanics.

Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals

12.126 49.201 48.423

#### C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

#### Remarks

#### D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

#### **E. Performance Metrics**

(U) PERFORMANCE METRICS:

Performance Measure: Percentage of required live fire test planning documents, assessments, munition effectiveness manuals, and reports applicable to acquisition programs on the OSD Test and Evaluation Oversight List and other special interest programs/legacy systems that are completed and delivered to the appropriate decision makers on time.

Actual Performance and Goals:

Live Fire Test and Evaluation FY 2012 (Actual) FY 2013 (Goal) FY 2014 (Goal) On-Time Completion Rate 93% 94% 95%

The on-time completion rate was computed on the basis of the number of beyond low-rate initial production live fire test and evaluation reports, Joint Live Fire Quick Look Reports, and Joint Live Fire Test reports that were submitted within established time standards relative to the total number of such products that fell due during the fiscal year. DOT&E plans to achieve its goals for FY 2013 and FY 2014 through continued management emphasis on timely delivery of required reports to customer activities.

PE 0605131OTE: Live Fire Test and Evaluation (LFT&E)
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 11 of 11

UNCLASSIFIED
THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

**Exhibit R-2**, **RDT&E Budget Item Justification**: PB 2014 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

PE 0605814OTE: Operational Test Activities and Analyses

DATE: April 2013

BA 6: RDT&E Management Support

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

COST (\$ in Millions)	All Prior Years	FY 2012	FY 2013 <sup>#</sup>	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO ##	FY 2014 Total	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	113.467	63.566	62.157	-	62.157	63.545	63.892	63.412	56.561	Continuing	Continuing
0605814OTE: <i>OTA&amp;A</i>	-	113.467	63.566	62.157	-	62.157	63.545	63.892	63.412	56.561	Continuing	Continuing

FY 2013 Program is from the FY 2013 President's Budget, submitted February 2012

#### Note

Starting in FY 2013 the Joint Technical Coordinating Group for Munitions Effectiveness (JTCG/ME) and the Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP) initiatives are realigned from the Operational Test Activities and Analyses program element (0605814OTE) to the Live Fire Test and Evaluation program element (0605131OTE). Since the JTCG/ME and JASP programs focus on the survivability of currently fielded systems the two programs are more appropriately funded within the Live Fire Test and Evaluation program element.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Operational Test Activities and Analyses (OTA&A) programs are continuing efforts that provide management and oversight of test and evaluation functions and expertise to the Department of Defense (DoD). The OTA&A programs consist of three activities: Joint Test and Evaluation (JT&E); Threat Systems (TS); and Center for Countermeasures (CCM).

Joint Test and Evaluation projects are test and evaluation activities conducted in a joint military environment that develop process improvements. These multi-Service projects, chartered by the Office of the Secretary of Defense and coordinated with the Joint Staff, appropriate combatant commanders, and the Services, provide non-material solutions that improve: joint interoperability of Service systems, technical and operational concepts, joint operational issues, development and validation of joint test methodologies, and test data for validating models, simulations, and test beds. The JT&E projects address relevant joint war fighting issues in a joint test and evaluation environment by developing and providing new tactics, techniques, and procedures to improve joint test capabilities and methodologies.

Threat Systems, based on a memorandum of agreement between the Director, Operational Test and Evaluation (DOT&E) and the Defense Intelligence Agency, provides DOT&E support in the areas of threat resource analysis, intelligence support and threat systems investments. Threat Systems provides threat resource analyses on the availability, capabilities and limitations of threat representations (threat simulators, targets, models, U.S. surrogates and foreign materiel) and analysis of test resources used for operational testing to support DOT&E's assessment of the adequacy of testing for those programs designated for oversight by DOT&E and the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics (OUSD(AT&L)). Threat Systems provides DOT&E assessment officers and other DOT&E activities with program specific threat intelligence support. Threat Systems also funds management, oversight, and development of common-use threat specifications for threat simulators, threat representative targets, and digital threat models used for test and evaluation.

The Center, a Joint Service Countermeasure (CM) Test and Evaluation Center, serves as DoD's independent evaluator for electro-optical systems with emphasis on rotary wing survivability, precision guided weapons (PGWs), CMs/ counter-countermeasures (CCMs) employment, and warning devices. The Center conducts tests,

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 1 of 9

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> The FY 2014 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date

**Exhibit R-2**, **RDT&E Budget Item Justification:** PB 2014 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

DATE: April 2013

#### APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

PE 0605814OTE: Operational Test Activities and Analyses

BA 6: RDT&E Management Support

analyzes test results and provides CM expertise that benefits the Services, Joint activities, T&E Agencies, DoD Acquisition Community, the Intelligence Community, Homeland Defense and Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO). Data collected during Center test activities provides valuable information to OSD assessment officers for select oversight programs. The Center assesses current and developing systems, using carefully developed test and evaluation methodologies to provide the basis for understanding how CMs might affect systems used in current and future battlefields. Additionally, the Center develops CM specific test equipment that can be used for both Title 10 programs and OCO urgent operational needs.

This Program Element is budgeted in Budget Activity 6, RDT&E Management Support, to support management activities for the DOTE oversight responsibilities of test and evaluation functions.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	<b>FY 2014 Base</b>	FY 2014 OCO	FY 2014 Total
Previous President's Budget	115.467	63.566	63.382	-	63.382
Current President's Budget	113.467	63.566	62.157	-	62.157
Total Adjustments	-2.000	0.000	-1.225	-	-1.225
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-2.000	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
<ul> <li>Progam Realignment/Adjustments</li> </ul>	-	-	-1.225	-	-1.225

### **Change Summary Explanation**

Program Realignment made in support of an administrative contract.

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 2 of 9

<b>Exhibit R-2A</b> , <b>RDT&amp;E Project Justification:</b> PB 2014 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense								DATE: Apr	il 2013			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACT	ΓΙΥΙΤΥ				R-1 ITEM	NOMENCL	ATURE		<b>PROJECT</b>			
0460: Operational Test and Evalu BA 6: RDT&E Management Supp		nse			PE 06058 <sup>2</sup> and Analys	•	erational Tes	st Activities	06058140	TE: <i>OTA&amp;A</i>		
COST (\$ in Millions)	All Prior Years	FY 2012	FY 2013 <sup>#</sup>	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO ##	FY 2014 Total	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
0605814OTE: <i>OTA&amp;A</i>	-	113.467	63.566	62.157	-	62.157	63.545	63.892	63.412	56.561	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles												

<sup>\*</sup>FY 2013 Program is from the FY 2013 President's Budget, submitted February 2012

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)

The Operational Test Activities and Analyses (OTA&A) programs are continuing efforts that provide management and oversight of test and evaluation functions and expertise to the Department of Defense (DoD). The OTA&A programs consist of three activities: Joint Test and Evaluation (JT&E); Threat Systems (TS); and the Center for Countermeasures (CCM). Starting in FY 2013 the JTCG/ME and JASP programs are realigned from the Operational Test Activities and Analyses program element (0605814OTE) to the Live Fire Test and Evaluation program element (0605131OTE). Since the JTCG/ME and JASP programs focus on the survivability of currently fielded systems the two programs are more appropriately funded within the Live Fire Test and Evaluation program element.

D. Accomplianments rightment (Vin minions)	1 1 2012	1 1 2013	1 1 2014
Title: Operational Test Activities and Analyses	113.467	63.566	62.157
FY 2012 Accomplishments: Joint Test and Evaluation (JT&E)			
Some rest and Evaluation (Graz)			
In FY 2012 the JT&E Program leadership began re-engineering the program's business processes after notification of a 43 percent budget reduction starting in FY 2013. The JT&E staff and the program's stakeholders developed a concept of operations (CONOPS), which provides the details of the new business model, based on the revised budget. This CONOPS was briefed to DOT&E leadership and reviewed by Senior Advisory Council (SAC), Executive Steering Group (ESG), and the Technical Advisory Board (TAB), the program's governing bodies, for concurrence.			
The program had two joint tests close and four joint tests continue past the fiscal year. The Joint Integration of Maritime Domain Awareness for Homeland Defense Joint Test, which developed joint tactics, techniques, and procedures to synchronize information concerning the maritime domain for key decision markers across operations centers for homeland defense, closed in October 2012. The Joint Jamming Assessment and Mitigation Joint Test also closed in October 2012 after developing joint tactics, techniques, and procedures to sustain operations in the presence of purposeful interference of satellite communications.			
Threat Systems			

PE 0605814OTE: Operational Test Activities and Analyses Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

Page 3 of 9

R-1 Line #3

FY 2014

FY 2012 FY 2013

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> The FY 2014 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Operational Test and 8	Evaluation, Defense	DATE:	April 2013		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJ				
0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense  PE 0605814OTE: Operational Test Activities 0605814OTE: OTA&A					
BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	and Analyses				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
As part of the Secretary of Defense FY 2012 efficiency initiatives, Threat Syst (TMI) and reduced funding for investments in advanced threat surrogate deve solely responsible for the development and acquisition of new threat representhreat surrogate investments will slow but not eliminate development of advance advanced in the surrogate investments will slow but not eliminate development of advanced in the surrogate investments will slow but not eliminate development of advanced in the surrogate investments will slow but not eliminate development of advanced in the surrogate investments will slow but not eliminate development of advanced in the surrogate investments will slow but not eliminate development of advanced in the surrogate investments will slow but not eliminate development of advanced in the surrogate investments will slow but not eliminate development of advanced in the surrogate investments will slow but not eliminate development of advanced in the surrogate investments will slow but not eliminate development of advanced in the surrogate investments will slow but not eliminate development of advanced in the surrogate investments will slow but not eliminate development of advanced in the surrogate investments will slow but not eliminate development of advanced in the surrogate investments will slow but not eliminate development of advanced in the surrogate investments will be surrogated in the surrogate investment of the surrogate investment in the surrogate in the surrogate investment in the surrogate i	elopments. TMI elimination will make the Services stative targets and target control systems. Reducing				
Threat Systems completed the four-year project to integrate current intelligence DoD Hardware-In-The-Loop countermeasure test facilities, continued test plan threat shortfalls; conducted special studies and provided current intelligence is acquisition; developed an unmanned aerial vehicle Global Positioning Satellite increase threat realism at test ranges, and used existing live fire data to verify in-the-loop facility testing capabilities to increase confidence in using other that Initiatives for FY 2012 included development of a modeling and simulation (Meeffective testing of US and Allied countermeasure systems; integration of authoused for testing advanced systems in an integrated air defense network; data hostile fire signature model for use in testing new hostile fire indicator technologinvestigations into digital radio frequency memory use against threat air defense GPS jammers and their potential impact of US weapon systems; and translation management and command, control, communications and computer system in	nning working group participation to identify support tailored to specific U.S. weapon systems a jamming capability using micro jammers to and compare MANPAD laboratory and hardwarean open air live fire events for operational testing. &S) roadmap to identify projects that support noritative, DIA-approved models into simulations collection to support the development of a logies being developed by the Army and Navy; use systems; assessments of next generation on of all source technical intelligence on a battle				
These activities help DOT&E carry out its Title 10 responsibilities to assess te realistic and suitable and promotes common solutions to Service threat repres					
The Center					
The Center tested, analyzed, and reported on more than 40 systems, with specounter-countermeasures (CCMs) employment, warning and targeting system an independent assessment of our data/findings and test support for CM/CCM efforts were spent on Aircraft Survivability Equipment (ASE) testing; with the raircraft. About 17% of the Center's efforts were spent on PGW, foreign system Approximately 6% of the Center's efforts were dedicated to OCO support with for rotary wing units. Twenty-three percent of the Center's efforts were spent and to develop test methodologies for new types of T&E activities, much of what Test and Evaluation Investment Program (CTEIP). Programs include the CTE	Ins and PGWs. Each program supported received of evaluations. Approximately 52% of the Center's majority of these efforts in support of rotary wing m, and other types of field testing not related to ASE. I emphasis on CM-based, pre-deployment training on internal programs to improve test capabilities which was accomplished in concert with the Central				

PE 0605814OTE: Operational Test Activities and Analyses Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

ROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY  © Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense  ROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY  © Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense  © Complishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)  ed Aerial Plume Simulator (TAPS) and Multi-Spectral Sea and Land Target Simulator (MSALTS). In addition to the Comparison of the	Center's received ire needs. ties. ed bint ia up,	IECT	FY 2013	FY 2014
PE 0605814OTE: Operational Test Activity and Analyses  CCOMPIIshments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)  ed Aerial Plume Simulator (TAPS) and Multi-Spectral Sea and Land Target Simulator (MSALTS). In addition to the Conal improvements and modernization efforts, additional funding to support unfunded requirements for MSALTS was reforwarded to meet program needs. These systems, as well as a new remote launch system (RLS) and the Hostile Firature (HSIG) Models, will be used in support of testing for both Title 10 programs and OCO ASE urgent operational resupport was distributed across all the Services as well as intelligence agencies and research and development activities. The Center provided expertise to many organizations and was actively involved in the following panels: Journal of the Countermeasures (JECM) Integrated Product Team, Joint Infrared Countermeasures Multi Sensing Symposisming Group (MSS IRCM WG), Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP), Foreign Material Exploitation Working Grough Material Program T&E Subcommittee, Joint Project Mallari Working Group, Joint Countermeasures T&E Working AT&E WG), and JCMT&E WG Hostile Fire Indicator (HFI) subgroup lead.  1013 Plans: 1 Test and Evaluation (JT&E)  1 Test and Evaluation (JT&E)  1 2013 the program will implement the CONOPS developed the previous year. The most significant changes in the refer are shorter timelines for project development and execution, as well as the designation of permanent Joint Test Uries test teams. These two changes will allow test teams to share resources, as well as start and execute projects quictionally, the life cycles of the program's quick reaction tests and joint tests will be aligned so that the program's gover	Center's received ire needs. ties.	314OTE: <i>OT</i> /		FY 2014
the decidence of Aerial Plume Simulator (TAPS) and Multi-Spectral Sea and Land Target Simulator (MSALTS). In addition to the Conal improvements and modernization efforts, additional funding to support unfunded requirements for MSALTS was reforwarded to meet program needs. These systems, as well as a new remote launch system (RLS) and the Hostile Fiature (HSIG) Models, will be used in support of testing for both Title 10 programs and OCO ASE urgent operational resupport was distributed across all the Services as well as intelligence agencies and research and development activity. The Center's efforts consisted of providing subject matter expertise and other support not related to schedule activities. The Center provided expertise to many organizations and was actively involved in the following panels: Joendable Countermeasures (JECM) Integrated Product Team, Joint Infrared Countermeasures Multi Sensing Symposic Roup (MSS IRCM WG), Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP), Foreign Material Exploitation Working Group (MSS IRCM WG), Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP), Foreign Material Exploitation Working Group (MSS IRCM WG), Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP), Foreign Material Exploitation Working Group (MSS IRCM WG), Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP), Foreign Material Exploitation Working Group (MSS IRCM WG), Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP), Foreign Material Exploitation Working Group (MSS IRCM WG), Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP), Foreign Material Exploitation Working Group (MSS IRCM WG), Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP), Foreign Material Exploitation Working Group (MSS IRCM WG), Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP), Foreign Material Exploitation Working Group (MSS IRCM WG), Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP), Foreign Material Exploitation Working Group (MSS IRCM WG), Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP), Foreign Material Exploitation Working Group (MSS IRCM WG), Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP), Foreign Material	received ire needs. ties. ed pint ia up,	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
nal improvements and modernization efforts, additional funding to support unfunded requirements for MSALTS was reforwarded to meet program needs. These systems, as well as a new remote launch system (RLS) and the Hostile File ature (HSIG) Models, will be used in support of testing for both Title 10 programs and OCO ASE urgent operational resupport was distributed across all the Services as well as intelligence agencies and research and development activities. The Center's efforts consisted of providing subject matter expertise and other support not related to schedule activities. The Center provided expertise to many organizations and was actively involved in the following panels: Journal of Journal of Infrared Countermeasures Multi Sensing Symposic Roupe (MSS IRCM WG), Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP), Foreign Material Exploitation Working Group (MSS IRCM WG), Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP), Foreign Material Exploitation Working Group (MT&E WG), and JCMT&E WG Hostile Fire Indicator (HFI) subgroup lead.  2013 Plans:  1 Test and Evaluation (JT&E)  1 Test and Evaluation (JT&E)  1 Test and Evaluation for project development and execution, as well as the designation of permanent Joint Test Urste test teams. These two changes will allow test teams to share resources, as well as start and execute projects quictionally, the life cycles of the program's quick reaction tests and joint tests will be aligned so that the program's gover	received ire needs. ties. ed pint ia up,			
activities. The Center provided expertise to many organizations and was actively involved in the following panels: Journal of the fo	oint ia up,			
Test and Evaluation (JT&E)  Y 2013 the program will implement the CONOPS developed the previous year. The most significant changes in the relate shorter timelines for project development and execution, as well as the designation of permanent Joint Test Urse test teams. These two changes will allow test teams to share resources, as well as start and execute projects quick tionally, the life cycles of the program's quick reaction tests and joint tests will be aligned so that the program's gover				
el are shorter timelines for project development and execution, as well as the designation of permanent Joint Test Ur se test teams. These two changes will allow test teams to share resources, as well as start and execute projects quic tionally, the life cycles of the program's quick reaction tests and joint tests will be aligned so that the program's gover				
	nits to ckly.			
program expects to have two joint tests close and four joint tests continue through the fiscal year. One of the two test is the Joint Cyber Operations Joint Test that is developing tactics, techniques, and procedures to enable the use of otive cyber defense strategy for critical C2 services against cyber threats across the DoD Global Information Grid. The rest is Joint UAS Digital Information Exchange Joint Test that is developing joint tactics, techniques, and procedures dardize the information exchange methods and dissemination paths to integrate UAS-derived information across the apport both deliberate and dynamic targeting requirements.	an ne s to			
program will conduct two feasibility studies and establish one new joint test during FY 2013.				
at Systems				

PE 0605814OTE: Operational Test Activities and Analyses Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

UNCLASSIFIED Page 5 of 9

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Operational Te	est and Evaluation, Defense		DATE:	April 2013	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605814OTE: Operational Test Activities and Analyses	<b>PROJEC</b> 06058140		1& <i>A</i>	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	Y 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Threat Systems will continue test planning working group participation provide current intelligence support tailored to specific U.S. weapon so Positioning Satellite jamming capabilities to increase threat realism at ammunition and rocket propelled grenade signature model for use in threat systems from the various intelligence agencies and develop model integration of digital radio frequency memory (DRFM) technology to describe the systems from the various intelligence agencies and develop model integration of digital radio frequency memory (DRFM) technology to describe the systems from the various intelligence agencies and develop model integration of digital radio frequency memory (DRFM) technology to describe the systems of the	ystems acquisitions; continue the development of Globa cour test ranges, and continue the development of an hostile fire indicator systems. We will propose candidate odels for use in test and evaluation. We will investigate	l Il			
New initiatives for FY 2013 include implementation of M&S roadmap production countermeasure systems are available for testing by initiating a performissile related threats, development of next generation threat GPS jain providing representative cyber warfare threats for testing, and identify and sea threats. In addition, we will concentrate on improving our und technical analyses to determine those characteristics we need to providensities in potential engagements.	rmance model sustainment capability, investigating ballimmers and their potential impact on US weapon system and provide alternative test resources that represent ladderstanding of WESTPAC threats by initiating a series o	ns, nd f			
These activities help DOT&E carry out its Title 10 responsibilities to as realistic and suitable, and promotes common solutions to Service three					
The Center					
The Center will test, analyze, and report on more than 30 systems wit employment, warning and targeting systems and PGWs. Each progra our data/findings and test support for CM/ CCM evaluations. We will with a clear focus on Title 10 weapons systems, aircraft survivability a ongoing efforts will focus on aircraft survivability testing in support of will continue to provide CM expertise in pre-deployment events and trace (TTP) development. The Center will continue to develop the CTEIP-s for both Title 10 programs and OCO ASE urgent operational needs. Working Group (TSWG) sponsored HSIG model. Our support will be agencies and research and development activities.	am supported will receive an independent assessment of continue to emphasize support of the DOT&E enterprise and hostile fire initiatives. Additionally, a large percentagourrent OCO. In addition to these test activities, the Certaining, as well as CM/CCM-focused tactics and procedus ponsored, MSALTS, which will be used in support of test The Center will continue working with the Threat Simular	e, je of iter ires sting			
The Center will provide expertise to many organizations and will continue Integrated Product Team, Joint Infrared Countermeasures Multi Sensi		М			

PE 0605814OTE: Operational Test Activities and Analyses Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 6 of 9

	UNCLASSIFIED				
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Operational Tes	t and Evaluation, Defense		DATE:	April 2013	
PROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY  0: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense 6: RDT&E Management Support  R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605814OTE: Operational Test Activities and Analyses					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	Y 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Foreign Material Exploitation Working Group, Foreign Material Program JCMT&E WG, and JCMT&E WG HFI subgroup lead.	n T&E Subcommittee, Joint Project Mallari Working Gr	oup,			
FY 2014 Plans: Joint Test and Evaluation (JT&E)					
By FY 2014 the program will be on the nomination-selection-execution business model to improve the program.	cycle developed in FY 2012, but will continually adjust	the			
In FY 2014 JT&E has two projects slated for closing and an estimated two projects ongoing from FY 2013. The Joint Advanced Capability Employment Joint Test, anticipated to close in August 2014, is developing tactics, techniques, and procedures to enable the joint task force commander to employ advanced capabilities to overcome complex targeting challenges. The Joint Deployable Integrated Air and Missile Defense Joint Test, scheduled to close in June 2014, is developing, test, and evaluate tactics, techniques, and procedures to enable the joint task force commander to employ integrated deployable air, cruise missile, and theater ballistic missile defense capabilities.		t			
Four new feasibility studies will be conducted in FY 2014, two of which	will be selected to conduct joint tests.				
Threat Systems					
Threat Systems will continue test planning working group participation a conduct special studies and provide current intelligence support tailored the development of Global Positioning Satellite jamming capabilities to the development of an ammunition and rocket propelled grenade signal propose candidate threat systems from the various intelligence agencie will continue efforts to maintain a standard set of threat performance me the initial hostile fire indicator model for small arms and RPGs and continue of the standard set of threat performance me the initial hostile fire indicator model for small arms and RPGs and continue of the standard set of threat performance me the initial hostile fire indicator model for small arms and RPGs and continue of the standard set of threat performance me the initial hostile fire indicator model for small arms and RPGs and continue of the standard set of threat performance me the initial hostile fire indicator model for small arms and RPGs and continue of the standard set of threat performance me the initial hostile fire indicator model for small arms and RPGs and continue of the standard set of threat set of threat performance me the initial hostile fire indicator model for small arms and RPGs and continue of the standard set of threat set of thre	d to specific U.S. weapon systems acquisitions; continuincrease threat realism at our test ranges, and completure model for use in hostile fire indicator systems. We and develop models for use in test and evaluation. To be solved to the street and evaluation facilities and composite the street and evaluation facilities.	ue ete e will We			
New initiatives for FY 2014 include investigations into advanced threat of imaging seekers; adding threat signature sustainment for missiles, smatuse in infrared countermeasures testing; determine how best to incorpotesting including use in GPS-deprived environments; continue investigation and consider addition of more small arms threats to the hostile fire indicates.	Il arms and rockets to augment performance models for at threats into unmanned aerial vehicles to service ation into producing representative threats for cyber tests.	or			

PE 0605814OTE: Operational Test Activities and Analyses Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Operational Test and Ev	valuation, Defense		DATE: April 2013
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	<b>PROJECT</b>	
0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense	PE 0605814OTE: Operational Test Activities	06058140	TE: <i>OTA&amp;A</i>
BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	and Analyses		

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
These activities help DOT&E carry out its Title 10 responsibilities to assess test adequacy and determine whether testing is realistic and suitable, and promotes common solutions to Service threat representation needs.			
The Center			
The Center will test, analyze, and report on more than 30 electro-optical systems with special emphasis on rotary wing survivability, CMs/CCMs employment, warning and targeting systems and PGWs. Each program supported will receive an independent assessment of our data/findings and test support for CM/ CCM evaluations. We will continue to emphasize support of the DOT&E enterprise, with a clear focus on Title 10 weapons systems, aircraft survivability and hostile fire initiatives. Additionally, a large percentage of ongoing efforts will focus on aircraft survivability testing in support of current OCO. Furthermore, the Center will continue to provide CM expertise in pre-deployment events and training, as well as CM/CCM-focused TTP development. The Center will continue to develop the CTEIP-sponsored MSALTS, which will be used in support of testing for both Title 10 programs and OCO ASE urgent operational needs. The Center will continue to work with the TSWG-sponsored HSIG model. Our support will be distributed across all the Services as well as intelligence agencies and research and development activities.			
The Center will provide expertise to many organizations and will continue to be actively involved in the following panels: JECM Integrated Product Team, Joint Infrared Countermeasures Multi Sensing Symposia Working Group (MSS IRCM WG), JASP, Foreign Material Exploitation Working Group, Foreign Material Program T&E Subcommittee, Joint Project Mallari Working Group, JCMT&E WG, and JCMT&E WG HFI subgroup lead.			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	113.467	63.566	62.157

# C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

#### Remarks

# D. Acquisition Strategy

Not Applicable

### E. Performance Metrics

(U) PERFORMANCE METRICS:

PE 0605814OTE: Operational Test Activities and Analyses Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 8 of 9

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Operational Test and Ex	/aluation, Defense	DATE: April 2013
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT
0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense	PE 0605814OTE: Operational Test Activities	0605814OTE: <i>OTA&amp;A</i>
BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	and Analyses	

Performance Measure: Percentage of required products, such as test planning documents, tactics, techniques, procedures, threat characteristics, assessments, and reports that are developed and delivered to program managers and customers on time.

Actual Performance and Goals:

Operational Test Activities and Analyses FY 2012 (Actual) FY 2013 (Goal) FY 2014 (Goal) On-Time Completion Rate 94% 95% 96%

The on-time completion rate was computed on the basis of the number of required products that were submitted within established time standards relative to the total number of such products that fell due during the fiscal year. DOT&E plans to maintain its on-time completion rates for FY 2013 and FY 2014 through increased management emphasis on timely delivery of required products to customer activities.

PE 0605814OTE: Operational Test Activities and Analyses Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 9 of 9

UNCLASSIFIED
THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK