Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secretary Of Defense

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

PE 0605130D8Z: Foreign Comparative Testing

DATE: April 2013

BA 6: RDT&E Management Support

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

1.7												
COST (\$ in Millions)	All Prior Years	FY 2012	FY 2013 <sup>#</sup>	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO ##	FY 2014 Total	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	18.616	18.174	12.134	-	12.134	21.285	22.206	20.842	21.442	Continuing	Continuing
P130: Foreign Comparative Testing	-	18.616	18.174	12.134	-	12.134	21.285	22.206	20.842	21.442	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>\*</sup>FY 2013 Program is from the FY 2013 President's Budget, submitted February 2012

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Foreign Comparative Testing (FCT) Program supports the warfighter by leveraging mature technologies and equipment from allied nations and coalition partners to satisfy U.S. defense requirements, thereby accelerating the U.S. acquisition process and lowering development costs. Authorized by Title 10, U.S. Code, Section 2350a (g), the FCT Program is managed by the Office of Secretary of Defense (Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (DASD) Rapid Fielding), Comparative Technology Office (CTO). FCT projects are nominated by the Services and U.S. Special Operations Command (SOCOM) each year. Evaluation processes for project selection include a detailed review to confirm the proposed item addresses valid requirements, a thorough market survey, and development of a viable acquisition strategy.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO	FY 2014 Total
Previous President's Budget	18.674	18.174	18.751	-	18.751
Current President's Budget	18.616	18.174	12.134	-	12.134
Total Adjustments	-0.058	0.000	-6.617	-	-6.617
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-0.052	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
Baseline Adjustments	-	-	-6.617	=	-6.617
Other Adjustments	-0.006	-	-	-	-

### **Change Summary Explanation**

FY 2014: Baseline adjustment reflective of DoD priorities and requirements.

<sup>##</sup> The FY 2014 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secretary Of Defense								DATE: April 2013				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support							PROJECT P130: Fore	CT oreign Comparative Testing				
COST (\$ in Millions)	All Prior Years	FY 2012	FY 2013 <sup>#</sup>	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO ##	FY 2014 Total	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P130: Foreign Comparative Testing	-	18.616	18.174	12.134	-	12.134	21.285	22.206	20.842	21.442	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles												

<sup>\*</sup>FY 2013 Program is from the FY 2013 President's Budget, submitted February 2012

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Foreign Comparative Testing (FCT) Program supports the warfighter by leveraging mature technologies and equipment from allied nations and coalition partners to satisfy U.S. defense requirements, thereby accelerating the U.S. acquisition process and lowering development costs. Authorized by Title 10, U.S. Code, Section 2350a(g), the FCT Program is managed by the Office of Secretary of Defense (OSD), Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (DASD) Rapid Fielding (RF), Comparative Technology Office (CTO). FCT projects are nominated by the Services and U.S. Special Operations Command (USSOCOM) each year. Evaluation processes for project selection include a detailed review to confirm the proposed item addresses valid requirements, a thorough market survey, and development of a viable acquisition strategy.

Since the program's inception in 1980, OSD has initiated 671 projects; 601 projects have been completed to date. Of the 312 evaluations that met the sponsors' requirements, 243 led to procurements worth approximately \$11.000 billion in FY 2011 constant year dollars. With an OSD investment of about \$1.170 billion, the FCT Program realized an estimated research, development, test, and evaluation (RDT&E) cost avoidance of \$7.800 billion in FY 2011 constant year dollars.

The FCT Program is a catalyst for teaming or other business relationships between foreign and U.S. industries. Many successful FCT projects result in the licensed production of the qualified foreign item in the U.S. Other nations recognize the long-term value of such practices for competing in the U.S. defense market and the resultant strengthening of the "two-way street" in Defense procurement. The result often means the creation of jobs and contributions to local economies throughout the U.S. To date, companies across 33 states benefited from FCT projects.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Title: A-10 / F-16 Three Dimensional (3D) Audio Integration (Air Force)	1.982	0.000	0.000
<b>Description:</b> A-10 / F-16 Three Dimensional (3D) Audio Integration tests and qualifies a 3D audio system for the A-10 and F-16 Block 30 platforms. This system will incorporate active and electronic noise reduction, spatial separation of multiple radio channels from multiple sources, and 3D threat audio cueing from on-board threat detection systems. The A-10 and the F-16 do not have active or electronic noise reduction capability. The primary output is a 3D audio capability that automatically sorts and presents information spatially in real time to the pilot. The 3D audio integration increases situational awareness, allows pilots to respond quicker by reducing information overload, and provides significant noise reduction.			

<sup>##</sup> The FY 2014 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secreta	ary Of Defense		DATE:	April 2013		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605130D8Z: Foreign Comparative Testing	PROJECT P130: Foreign Comparative Testin				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
FY 2012 Accomplishments: Initiated and completed developmental and integration testing, and initiated 2013, the technical test report and production decision will be completed.		In FY				
Title: Airborne Stand-Off Radar (ASTOR) Precision Targeting (PT) (Nav	yy)		1.270	0.000	0.000	
<b>Description:</b> ASTOR provides the Distributed Common Ground System a capability to receive Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (I Radar (ASTOR) platforms. The primary outputs of the ASTOR System a images and Moving Target Indicator contacts. Software modifications to components currently used by DCGS-N will be implemented and tested rapidly received, processed, screened for potential mission application, by U.S. weapon systems. This capability will allow U.S. forces to levera for U.S. ISR platforms.	ISR) data from Royal Air Force (RAF) Airborne Star aboard the Sentinel Aircraft are Synthetic Aperture to the ISR processing, exploitation, and dissemination to verify that ISR data from ASTOR Systems can be and exploited to produce targeting data that can be	nd-Off Radar on e used				
FY 2012 Accomplishments: Provided contracts for image processing software conversion and radar coordination plans for flight testing and evaluation that commenced at the flight testing and evaluation through 4Q FY 2012. Began targeting valid testing, targeting reliability validation and data analysis will be conducted MC Programs at the end of 3Q FY 2013 and the project close-out report	ne end of 3Q FY 2012 at RAF Waddington, UK. Cor ation analysis during 4Q FY 2012. In 2Q FY 2013, d. The product will be deployed to DCGS-N and DO	nducted flight				
Title: Coating for Howitzer Breech-Spindles (Army)	·		1.434	0.000	0.000	
<b>Description:</b> Coating for Howitzer Breech-Spindles will test and compart for the 155mm Howitzer-Breech Spindles. These new coating technology the useful life of the spindles. The lab will apply advanced mature Physifinishing technologies to coat and refurbish the 155mm Howitzer breech to validate the new process, and develop a prototype for transition to prochrome presently used with a product that provides improved durability, savings.	gies will mitigate wear and corrosion problems and ical Vapor Deposition, Electro-less Nickel, and Supa-spindles. The lab will conduct analytical and fire to oduction. The objective is to replace the electroplate	extend er- esting ed				
FY 2012 Accomplishments: Established contract with Sheffield Hallam University, United Kingdom (swhich include two prototype 155mm Howitzer breech spindles coated with Nitride/Niobium Nitride multilayer) deposits using an advanced PVD production.	ith nanoscale multilayered advanced coating (Chro	mium				

PE 0605130D8Z: Foreign Comparative Testing
Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secretar	ry Of Defense		DATE: A	April 2013	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	<b>PROJEC</b> P130: <i>Fo</i>	OJECT  0: Foreign Comparative Testing			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	Y 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
System. Completed a minimum three optimization runs to identify, down deposition parameters required for the optimized deposition of nanoscale characterization to determine optimal deposition parameters. In FY 2013 with IonBond, Inc., and establish a timeline with international vendors, SI the project.	e multilayered advanced coatings. Completed adhes , the program manager will establish a statement of	work			
Title: Improved Aluminum Alloys for Armored Vehicles (Army)			1.450	0.000	0.000
<b>Description:</b> Test improved aluminum armor alloys against current fields into military vehicle specifications. Possible candidates are the Ground (JLTV), the Armored Multi-Purpose Vehicle (AMPV), and foreign military aluminum alloys AA7017-T6, AA2139-T8, and AA2195-BT. The project verification of improved ballistics and structural performance versus curre properties, preliminary data indicates these alloys also display improved ballistics and resistance to stress corrosion cracking (SCC) make AA701 aluminum alloys while maintaining good weld ability. The AA2195 and A the current armor alloys, but are only approved for use as bolt-on or applineeded to achieve full weldable status.	Combat Vehicle (GVC), the Joint Light Tactical Vehicle sales M2 Bradley systems. This project will evaluate will also evaluate the weld-ability of the alloys for ent armors. In addition to improved mechanical resistance to stress corrosion cracking. The improve 7 a promising replacement for current corrosion pror A2139 alloys deliver increased protection levels bey	ed ene			
FY 2012 Accomplishments:  Ordered main ingots for the ballistics and welds for delivery to the U.S. A inch plate samples of armor, and performed ballistics against armor piero to achieve better results against fragmentation rounds. Hosted the kick-ordered Research Lab at Aberdeen Proving Ground. ARL received and machine corrosion cracking to verify that sustainment costs for these alloys will reand weld evaluation of the new armor plate alloys to meet corresponding existing MIL-SPECs to incorporate the new alloys for acquisition.	cing rounds. Prepared tempered plates for re-qualification of the street	cation Army s ics			
Title: Rapid Deployment and Extended Autonomy for Single and Multiple	e Unmanned Underwater Vehicle (UUVs) (Navy)		1.218	0.000	0.000
<b>Description:</b> Evaluate a module for autonomous mission planning that in Navy (COIN) tool to permit adaptive mission execution with unmanned unew behaviors and algorithms, including automated target recognition (A modular interface for third-party autonomy algorithms, supporting applications capabilities. The effort aims to increase UUV mission capabilities through of existing Navy adaptive behaviors to improve fielding efforts. This is expected to the control of the	nderwater vehicles (UUVs). In addition to demonstra TR), the tool will be adapted to provide an open and ation of ongoing Navy efforts or competition of future h autonomy and provide an interface for application	ting			

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secreta	ry Of Defense	DATE:	April 2013	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605130D8Z: Foreign Comparative Testing	PROJECT P130: Foreign Con	nparative Tesi	ting
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
33 percent decrease in mine countermeasures (MCM) total mission time programming errors without degradation of system key performance part open integration model, the effort is also estimated to avoid RDT&E and	ameters. Based on reported present capabilities and			
FY 2012 Accomplishments: Defined testing methods for autonomy and aligned present system capa FY 2012. Completed adaptation of existing software to Navy systems do demonstration at contractor-arranged facility on multiple platforms in 3Q of prototype modules. Performed initial government evaluation and final FY 2012. In FY 2013, the final adaptation of module to Government syst demonstration of autonomy module. In 3Q FY 2013, the test report, productions are considered as a system of the system.	uring 2Q FY 2012. Executed preliminary prototype FY 2012. Completed government simulation testing adaptation of module to government systems in 4Q em will be conducted at well as final integration and			
Title: Reconnaissance Airborne Pod TORnado (RAPTOR) Precision Tar	rgeting (PT) (Navy)	1.220	0.000	0.000
<b>Description:</b> Provide the Distributed Common Ground System – Navy (Ito receive in near real-time, via Common Data Link antenna systems, Indidata from the Reconnaissance Airborne Pod for Tornado (RAPTOR) System are Electro-Optimodifications to the ISR Processing, Exploitation, and Dissemination System dested to verify that ISR data from RAPTOR Systems can be rapidly exploited to produce targeting data that can be used by U.S. weapon systemical to the ISR assets and reduce mission requirements for U.S. ISR platforms.	telligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR) stems that are carried by Royal Air Force (RAF) GRacical and Infrared images in a digital format. Software stems currently used by DCGS-N will be implemented received, screened for potential mission application, stems. This capability will allow U.S. forces to leveral	t d and		
FY 2012 Accomplishments: Goodrich Aerospace United Kingdom (UK) downloaded RAPTOR and cotesting in 1Q FY 2012. Coordinated plans for target surveys, flight testin flight tests at the end of 3Q FY 2012 at RAF Marham, UK. Continued day 4Q FY 2012. In FY 2013, the flight testing, data validation and targeting the project close-out report will complete following the deployment to DC	g, and data evaluation during 2Q FY 2012. Conductor ta analysis and began targeting reliability validation of reliability validation will be conducted. In 3Q FY 201	ed uring		
<i>Title:</i> Special Operations Forces (SOF) Special Reconnaissance and Ex Command (USSOCOM))	ploitation Systems (United States Special Operation	s 1.557	0.000	0.000
<b>Description:</b> Evaluate covert, digital, encrypted, wireless data audio/videremote camera systems; as well as tagging and tracking systems. The				

PE 0605130D8Z: Foreign Comparative Testing Office of Secretary Of Defense

	UNULASSII ILD				
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secreta	ary Of Defense		DATE: A	pril 2013	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605130D8Z: Foreign Comparative Testing		ROJECT 130: Foreign Comparative Te		ing
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
project are: (1) new systems that replace legacy and compromised tech and Operations and Support costs worth \$38.000 million.	nnology; and (2) avoid RDT&E, manufacturing, produ	ction,			
FY 2012 Accomplishments: Received test articles in 4Q FY 2012. Began initial developmental testi testing, user assessment and production decision will be conducted. C		ntal			
Title: Towed Array Handler Technology Insertion (Navy)			1.026	0.800	0.000
<b>Description:</b> Test a handling system which offers the potential for increimproving maintainability. Compared to the current system, the system simplicity which should directly lower overall maintenance cost to the Nanoise, temperature, vibration, and shock testing. Additionally, there will and validate the design of the system and a shipboard installation and experation of the system. If successful, the handling system can be read installed on in-service submarines (OHIO, VIRGINIA, and LOS ANGELI primary outputs and efficiencies produced by this project are 1) reduced of RDT&E and Operations and Support costs worth \$96.160 million; and worth \$1.430 million.	under evaluation is more modular in design with impavy. The test article will be subjected to structure-bobe a land-based test to verify the required paramete evaluation of the pre-production unit to verify the at-sedily back-fitted to the handling systems that are curred ES) and can be used in new submarine design. The didamage and degradation to the arrays; 2) avoidance	oroved rne rs ea ntly			
FY 2012 Accomplishments: Completed Phase One engineering concepts, obtained shipboard asset Completed refurbishment of shipboard assets, procured guide tube repr FY 2012. Completed Foundation Drawings, entry and exit criteria for P 4Q FY 2012.	resentative assets, and completed test facility layout	in 3Q			
FY 2013 Plans: Finalize government furnished equipment deliveries and conduct Preliminterface control drawings in 2Q FY 2013. Develop and finalize temporatesting, and procure assets for shipboard installation during 3Q 2013. Q 4Q FY 2013.	ary alteration for shipboard installation, conduct land-				
Title: Minor Resource Projects (Less than one million dollars)			7.459	0.530	0.000
<b>Description:</b> Multi-Diver Heating and Cooling System (United States S Warhead (United States Special Operations Command), Sheeted Nitrod – Moving Target System (R-MTS) (Navy), Stand Off Gas Cloud Detector	cellulose for Combustible Case Cartridges (Army), Ro	obotic			

PE 0605130D8Z: Foreign Comparative Testing Office of Secretary Of Defense

	UNCLASSIFIED					
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secretar	ry Of Defense		DATE: A	pril 2013		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605130D8Z: Foreign Comparative Testing		ROJECT 130: Foreign Comparative Testing			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Communications Enhancements (United States Special Operations Com Smooth Coating System (Navy), Accurate Low Cost Inertial Navigation In Indication Software (Navy) will continue into FY 2013.		cro				
FY 2012 Accomplishments: Completed and Transitioned: LCAC Operator Suspension Seats, and Ult	tra High Energy Rechargeable Battery.					
FY 2013 Plans: The following projects will finalize testing, receive test articles, and comp Cooling System, Enhanced Fuse for 70mm Warhead, Sheeted Nitrocellu Target System (R-MTS), Tactical Communications Enhancements, Stand Ballistic Pelvic Protection, Micro Smooth Coating System, Accurate Low Indication (MTI) Software Flight, Marine Grade Aluminum Plate, and Airc	ulose for Combustible Case Cartridges, Robotic – M d-Off Gas Cloud Detector for Chemical Warfare Ag Cost Inertial Navigation Improvement, Moving Targ	loving ents,				
Title: Foreign Comparative Testing (FCT) FY 2013 and FY 2014 Focal A	Area: Force Application		0.000	8.422	6.105	
<b>Description:</b> Focal area for FY 2013 and FY 2014 for Force Application to achieve mission objectives while reducing the cost, acquisition time, at		essary				
FY 2013 Plans: CTO's investment decisions into Force Application will increase Compara Service and other government organizations' requirements with achieving time, and risk of major defense acquisition programs objectives as new the execution years.	g mission objectives while reducing the cost, acqui	sition				
FY 2014 Plans: CTO's investment decisions into Force Application will provide the ability government organizations' requirements with achieving mission objective major defense acquisition programs objectives as new threats emerge or The decrease from FY 2013 to FY 2014 reflects DoD priorities and requirements.	es while reducing the cost, acquisition time, and ris r new opportunities are presented in the execution	k of				
Title: Foreign Comparative Testing (FCT) FY 2013 and FY 2014 Focal A	Area: Logistics		0.000	8.422	6.029	
<b>Description:</b> Focal area for FY 2013 and FY 2014 Logistics projects will ready joint force through the deliberate sharing of national and multi-nation reducing the cost, acquisition time, and risk of major defense acquisition	onal resources to effectively support operations wh					
FY 2013 Plans:						

PE 0605130D8Z: Foreign Comparative Testing Office of Secretary Of Defense

**UNCLASSIFIED** 

Page 7 of 8 R-1 Line #146

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605130D8Z: Foreign Comparative Testing	PROJECT P130: Foreign Comparative Testing
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2012 FY 2013 FY 2014

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
CTO's investment decisions into Logistics will involve the ability to project and sustain a logistically ready joint force through the deliberate sharing of national and multi-national resources to Combatant Commanders, Services, and other government organizations' requirements as new threats emerge or new opportunities are presented made during the execution years.			
FY 2014 Plans: CTO's investment decisions into Logistics will involve the ability to project and sustain a logistically ready joint force through the deliberate sharing of national and multi-national resources to Combatant Commander, Service and other government organizations' requirements as new threats emerge or new opportunities are presented made during the execution years. The decrease from FY 2013 to FY 2014 reflects DoD priorities and requirements.			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	18.616	18.174	12.134

### C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

Remarks

### D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

#### **E. Performance Metrics**

In FY 2014, generic performance metrics applicable to these RDT&E initiatives includes attainment of DoD Strategic Objective 3.5.2D. The title of this objective is "Maintain a strong technical foundation within the Department's Science and Technology (S&T) program" and the metrics for this objective is to transition 40 percent of completing demonstration programs per year. Since the program's inception in 1980, Office of Secretary of Defense (OSD) has invested about \$1.170 billion in FY2012 constant year dollars to initiate 671 projects; 601 projects have been completed to date. Of the 312 evaluations that met the sponsors' requirements, 243 led to procurements worth over \$11.000 billion. In FY 2012, FCT had a transition rate of 79 percent for completed projects, exceeding the objective of 30 percent for demonstration programs.