Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secretary Of Defense

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

PE 0605022D8Z: Defense Exportability Program

DATE: April 2013

BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

J		(- /										
COST (\$ in Millions)	All Prior Years	FY 2012	FY 2013 [#]	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO ##	FY 2014 Total	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	1.915	1.859	3.763	-	3.763	3.786	3.821	3.849	3.855	Continuing	Continuing
P013: Defense Exportability Features (DEF) Program	-	1.915	1.859	3.763	-	3.763	3.786	3.821	3.849	3.855	Continuing	Continuing

FY 2013 Program is from the FY 2013 President's Budget, submitted February 2012

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Defense Exportability Features (DEF) Program is a result of a USD(AT&L) sponsored legislative proposal for authorities to better prepare warfighting systems for non-US use. The program funds will be replenished through non-recurring cost recoupment in future Foreign Military Sales (FMS) cases, Cooperative Program MOUs, or direct commercial sales contracts for sale/transfer of DoD systems benefiting from exportability investments. This program funds activities to support identification of major defense acquisition programs for possible export, and the planning for design and incorporation of exportability features during research and development of these programs. Features include, but are not limited to, technology and engineering design activity such as capability differentials, anti-tamper, system assurance, and software assurance. Activities include the development of program protection strategies for the program; the design and incorporation of exportability features into the system; implementation of exportability requirements onto contracts; and research, development, test, and evaluation activities.

Defense exportability features plays a critically important role in United States Government/DoD efforts to build partnership capacity. Funds support building joint and coalition environments by enabling the export of DoD systems to a wide range of partner nations, resulting in improved security and interoperability. In addition to the operational benefits, by providing these resources up front, then collecting 'fair share' non-recurring cost recoupment, the United States and partner nations will save significant resources by more efficiently designing and producing exportable U.S. systems.

Funding is increased in FY14 to expand the number of systems included in the Defense Exportaiblity Pilot Program that are used to define and implement DEF 'best practice' program management, system engineering, and program protection measures in the DoD acquisition process. Failure to consider export variant designs early in the acquisition process results in increased costs, delayed delivery, and higher risk of sensitive technology compromise due to ad-hoc sales late in production. Early development of export variants including systems design approaches to integrate adequate domestic and exportable anti-tamper (AT) protection and differential capability (DC) requirements to lower production costs, increase quality and timely deliveries to allies and friends, and enhance US industry share of the global marketplace.

PE 0605022D8Z: *Defense Exportability Program* Office of Secretary Of Defense

^{##} The FY 2014 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secretary Of Defense

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

PE 0605022D8Z: Defense Exportability Program

DATE: April 2013

BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO	FY 2014 Total
Previous President's Budget	1.916	1.859	1.863	-	1.863
Current President's Budget	1.915	1.859	3.763	-	3.763
Total Adjustments	-0.001	0.000	1.900	-	1.900
 Congressional General Reductions 	-	-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 	-	-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
Congressional Adds	-	-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
Adjustments	-0.001	-	1.900	-	1.900

Change Summary Explanation

Internal program adjustment to incorporate exportability features during research and development of programs.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project J	ustification	: PB 2014 C	Office of Sec	retary Of D	efense					DATE: Apr	il 2013		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET AC 0400: Research, Development, 7 BA 5: System Development & De	est & Evalua		se-Wide			NOMENCLA 22D8Z: Defe					nse Exportability Features (L		
COST (\$ in Millions)	All Prior Years	FY 2012	FY 2013 [#]	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO ##	FY 2014 Total	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
P013: Defense Exportability Features (DEF) Program	-	1.915	1.859	3.763	-	3.763	3.786	3.821	3.849	3.855	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles													

^{*}FY 2013 Program is from the FY 2013 President's Budget, submitted February 2012

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Defense Exportability Features (DEF) Program is a result of a USD(AT&L) sponsored legislative proposal for authorities to better prepare warfighting systems for non-US use. The program funds will be replenished through non-recurring cost recoupment in future Foreign Military Sales (FMS) cases, Cooperative Program MOUs, or direct commercial sales contracts for sale/transfer of DoD systems benefiting from exportability investments. This program funds activities to support identification of major defense acquisition programs for possible export, and the planning for design and incorporation of exportability features during research and development of these programs. Features include, but are not limited to, technology and engineering design activity such as capability differentials, anti-tamper, system assurance, and software assurance. Activities include the development of program protection strategies for the program; the design and incorporation of exportability features into the system; implementation of exportability requirements onto contracts; and research, development, test, and evaluation activities.

Defense exportability features plays a critically important role in United States Government/DoD efforts to build partnership capacity. Funds support building joint and coalition environments by enabling the export of DoD systems to a wide range of partner nations, resulting in improved security and interoperability. In addition to the operational benefits, by providing these resources up front, then collecting 'fair share' non-recurring cost recoupment, the United States and partner nations will save significant resources by more efficiently designing and producing exportable U.S. systems. Incorporation of defense exportability features in initial designs can help control costs throughout the product life cycle.

Funding is increased in FY14 to expand the number of systems included in the Defense Exportaiblity Pilot Program that are used to define and implement DEF 'best practice' program management, system engineering, and program protection measures in the DoD acquisition process. Failure to consider export variant designs early in the acquisition process results in increased costs, delayed delivery, and higher risk of sensitive technology compromise due to ad-hoc sales late in production. Early development of export variants including systems design approaches to integrate adequate domestic and exportable anti-tamper (AT) protection and differential capability (DC) requirements to lower production costs, increase quality and timely deliveries to allies and friends, and enhance US industry share of the global marketplace.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Title: Defense Exportability Features (DEF) Program	1.915	1.859	3.763
FY 2012 Accomplishments:			
Designated the following seven systems as DEF pilot programs:			

PE 0605022D8Z: Defense Exportability Program Office of Secretary Of Defense

^{##} The FY 2014 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secretary Of	Defense		DATE:	April 2013			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605022D8Z: Defense Exportability Program	PROJECT P013: Defense Exportability Features (Program					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014		
 Indirect Fires Protection Capability (US Army) Ground Combat Vehicle (US Army) Common Infrared Countermeasures (US Army) Three-Dimensional Expeditionary Long-Range Radar (US Air Force) Army Integrated Air and Missile Defense (US Army) MQ-4C Triton formerly Broad Area Maritime Surveillance (US Navy) Common Joint Proximity Height of Burst Fusing (US Army) Initiated DEF feasibility studies for the following four systems: 							
- Three-Dimensional Expeditionary Long-Range Radar (US Air Force) - Army Integrated Air and Missile Defense (US Army)							
- MQ-4C Triton formerly Broad Area Maritime Surveillance (US Navy) - Common Joint Proximity Height of Burst Fusing (US Army)							
Drafted and submitted the annual report to Congress on the DEF program.							
FY 2013 Plans: Designate the following eight systems as DEF pilot programs: - Armed Aerial Scout Helicopter (US Army) - Small Diameter Bomb II (US Air Force) - MQ-9 Reaper Unmanned Aircraft System (US Air Force) - Joint Air-to-Surface Standoff Missile (US Air Force) - Next Generation Jammer (US Navy) - Air and Missile Defense Radar (US Navy) - P-8A Poseidon Multi-Mission Maritime Aircraft (US Navy) - E-2D Advanced Hawkeye (US Navy)							
Initiate and/or continue DEF feasibility studies for the following seven systems - MQ-4C Triton formerly Broad Area Maritime Surveillance (US Navy) - Army Integrated Air and Missile Defense (US Army) - Three-Dimensional Expeditionary Long-Range Radar (US Air Force) - Common Joint Proximity Height of Burst Fusing (US Army) - Common Infrared Countermeasures (US Army) - Small Diameter Bomb II (US Air Force)	s:						

	ONOLAGGII ILD						
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secre	tary Of Defense	DATE	April 2013				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605022D8Z: Defense Exportability Program	PROJECT P013: Defense Ex	013: Defense Exportability Features (DE				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014			
- Next Generation Jammer (US Navy)							
exportability requirements are included in development contracts. - Draft a legislative proposal that authorizes DOD to recoup the DEF ir military sales.	adar, and Common Infrared Countermeasures and er	nsure					
Initiate contracts for DEF feasibility studies on the following seven syst - Air and Missile Defense Radar (US Navy) - Armed Aerial Scout Helicopter (US Army) - Ground Combat Vehicle (US Army) - Indirect Fires Protection Capability (US Army) - P-8A Poseidon Multi-Mission Maritime Aircraft (US Navy) - E-2D Advanced Hawkeye(US Navy) - Joint Air-to-Surface Standoff Missile (US Air Force)	rems:						
 Identify Service leads and subject matter experts, to provide support exportability features. Implement DOD procedures for the recoupment of the DEF investme sales. 	to programs, prior to Milestone B, to develop plans fo						
	ammer, Three Dimensional Expeditionary Long Range Radar, and Common Infrared Countermeasures and en equirements are included in development contracts. lative proposal that authorizes DOD to recoup the DEF investment in program protection through future foreign in track the completion of the contractor feasibility studies for exportability. It is also in FY14 to expand the number of systems included in the Defense Exportaibility Pilot Program that are implement DEF 'best practice' program management, system engineering, and program protection measures in on process. Increased in FY14 to expand the number of systems included in the Defense Exportaibility Pilot Program that are implement DEF 'best practice' program management, system engineering, and program protection measures in on process. Increased in FY14 to expand the number of systems included in the Defense Exportaibility Pilot Program that are implement DEF 'best practice' program management, system engineering, and program protection measures in on process. Increased in FY14 to expand the number of systems included in the Defense Exportaibility Pilot Program that are implement DEF 'best practice' program protection measures in on process. Increased in FY14 to expand the number of systems engineering, and program protection measures in on process. Increased in FY14 to expand the number of systems engineering, and program protection measures in program protection measures in program that are implemented in program protection for exportability in program protection through future foreign military in the program protection through future foreign military in the program protection of the contractor feasibility studies for exportability.						

PE 0605022D8Z: *Defense Exportability Program* Office of Secretary Of Defense **UNCLASSIFIED**

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secretary Of D		DATE: April 2013	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide	PE 0605022D8Z: Defense Exportability	P013: Defe	ense Exportability Features (DEF)
BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)	Program	Program	
O Other Daniel Frankling Organization (A in Millians)			

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

E. Performance Metrics

TBD

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2014 Office of Secretary Of Defense

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0605022D8Z: Defense Exportability

Program

PROJECT

P013: Defense Exportability Features (DEF)

DATE: April 2013

Program

Product Developmen	it (\$ in M	illions)		FY 2	012	FY 2	013	FY 2 Ba	2014 ise		2014 CO	FY 2014 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	All Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Broad Area Maritime Surveillance (BAMS) Defense Exportability Features (DEF) Program	SS/CS	Northrop Grumman:Bethpage, NY	-	0.400		0.400		0.200		-		0.200	0.000	1.000	
Army Integrated Air and Missile Defense (AIAMD) DEF	SS/CS	Northrop Grumman:Huntsville, AL	-	0.500		0.200		0.100		-		0.100	0.000	0.800	
Three Dimensional Expeditionary Long-Range Radar (3DELRR) DEF	C/CS	Northrop Grumman :Lithicum, MD	-	0.150		-		-		-		-	0.000	0.150	
Three Dimensional Expeditionary Long-Range Radar (3DELRR) DEF	C/CS	Lockheed Martin:Syracuse, NY	-	0.150		-		-		-		-	0.000	0.150	
Three Dimensional Expeditionary Long-Range Radar (3DELRR) DEF	C/CS	Raytheon:Sudbury, MA	-	0.150		-		-		-		-	0.000	0.150	
Height of Burst Fusing (HOBF) DEF	MIPR	Picatinny Arsenal:NJ	-	0.506		0.250		0.400		-		0.400	0.000	1.156	
Pending DEF Pilot Programs	Various	Various:Various	-	0.001		0.009		0.058		-		0.058	Continuing	Continuing	
Common Infrared Countermeasures (CIRCM) DEF	C/CS	TBD - Competitive Contract Award:TBD	-	-		0.400		0.200		-		0.200	Continuing	Continuing	
Small Diameter Bomb II (SDB II) DEF	SS/CS	Raytheon:Phoenix, AZ	-	-		0.300		0.200		-		0.200	Continuing	Continuing	
Next Generation Jammer (NGJ) DEF	C/CS	TBD - Competitive Contract Award:TBD	-	-		0.200		0.400		-		0.400	Continuing	Continuing	
Air and Missile Defense Radar (AMDR) DEF	C/CS	TBD - Competitive Contract Award:TBD	-	-		-		0.400		-		0.400	Continuing	Continuing	
Armed Aerial Scout (AAS) Helicopter DEF	C/CS	TBD - Competitive Contract Award:TBD	-	-		-		0.200		-		0.200	Continuing	Continuing	
Ground Combat Vehice (GCV) DEF	C/CS	TBD - Competitive Contract Award:TBD	-	-		-		0.200		-		0.200	Continuing	Continuing	

PE 0605022D8Z: *Defense Exportability Program* Office of Secretary Of Defense

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 7 of 9

R-1 Line #127

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2014 Office of Secretary Of Defense DATE: April 2013 **R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE** APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY **PROJECT** 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide PE 0605022D8Z: Defense Exportability P013: Defense Exportability Features (DEF) BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD) Program Program FY 2014 FY 2014 FY 2014 **Product Development (\$ in Millions)** FY 2012 FY 2013 oco Base Total Contract Target Method Performing All Prior Award Award Award Award **Cost To** Total Value of Years **Cost Category Item** & Type Activity & Location Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Complete Cost Contract Cost Three Dimensional TBD - Competitive Expeditionary Long-Range C/CS **EMD Contract** 0.400 0.400 Continuing Continuing Radar (3DELRR) DEF Award:TBD Indirect Fires Protection TBD - Competitive Capability Increment 2 C/CS **EMD Contract** 0.200 0.200 Continuing Continuing (IFPC Inc 2) DEF Award: TBD Naval Air Systems Command:Patuxent 0.200 Continuing Continuing P-8A Poseidon DFF **MIPR** 0.200 River, MD Naval Air Systems E-2D Advanced Hawkeye MIPR Command:Patuxent 0.200 0.200 Continuing Continuing DEF River, MD Joint Air to Surface Air Force Material MIPR 0.200 0.200 Continuing Continuing Standoff Missile (JASSM) Command:Wright-DFF Patterson AFB. OH Subtotal 0.000 1.857 1.759 3.558 0.000 3.558 FY 2014 FY 2014 FY 2014 Support (\$ in Millions) oco FY 2012 FY 2013 Base Total Contract Target Method Performing All Prior Award Award Award Award Cost To Total Value of **Cost Category Item** & Type Activity & Location Years Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Complete Cost Contract **DEF Contractor Support** C/FFP LMI:McLean. VA 0.008 0.050 0.155 0.155 Continuing Continuing Subtotal 0.000 0.008 0.050 0.155 0.000 0.155 FY 2014 FY 2014 FY 2014 Management Services (\$ in Millions) FY 2012 FY 2013 Base oco Total Contract Target Method Performing All Prior Award **Cost To** Value of Award Award Award **Total Cost Category Item** & Type Activity & Location Years Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Cost Complete Cost Contract Height of Burst Fusing MIPR Picatinny Arsenal:NJ 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.000 0.150 DEF Subtotal 0.000 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.000 0.050 0.000 0.150

PE 0605022D8Z: Defense Exportability Program Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2014 Office	e of Secretary O	f Defense			DATE	: April 201	13	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-VBA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)					y Featur	es (DEF)		
All Prior Years	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO	FY 2014 Total	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Project Cost Totals 0.000	1.915	1.859	3.763	0.000	3.763			

Remarks