Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secretary Of Defense

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

PE 0604161D8Z: Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Countering Nuclear

DATE: April 2013

Threats

,		'										
COST (\$ in Millions)	All Prior Years	FY 2012	FY 2013 [#]	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO ##	FY 2014 Total	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	13.675	6.977	6.817	8.155	-	8.155	13.596	7.195	7.108	7.229	Continuing	Continuing
P163: Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security	13.675	6.977	6.817	7.107	-	7.107	6.771	7.195	7.108	7.229	Continuing	Continuing
P166: CNT Rad/Nuc Passive Defense	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.048	-	1.048	6.825	0.000	0.000	0.000	Continuing	Continuing

^{*}FY 2013 Program is from the FY 2013 President's Budget, submitted February 2012

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This Program Element (PE) addresses the need to defend and deter against weapons of mass destruction (WMD) threats and to safeguard personnel; prevent unauthorized access to equipment, installations, material, and documents; and to safeguard the foregoing against espionage, sabotage, damage, and theft. This program oversees advanced engineering development throughout DoD for an integrated and systemic RDT&E approach for countering nuclear threats and nuclear and conventional physical security technology and systems. The funding has been centralized in this Defense-wide PE since the early 1990s and represents a substantial portion of all DoD physical security RDT&E funding. Priorities for this PE RDT&E efforts are driven by inputs from Quadrennial Defense Review guidance, Combatant Command and Service requirements, analysis reports such as "Protecting the Force: Lessons from Fort Hood," January 2010, the Integrated Unit, Base, and Installation Protection Cost Benefits Analysis, Multi-national Work Plans established through the Nuclear Security Summit process, and DoD Directive 5210.41, Security Policy for Protecting Nuclear Weapons-directed requirements and associated security deviation reports.

Under this integrated approach, funds are used to provide system development and demonstration for the Department in seven capability areas: (1) Detection and Assessment; (2) Access Controls; (3) Installation and Transport Security; (4) Storage and Safeguards; (5) Prevention; (6) Decision Support Systems; and (7) Analytical Support. The program will develop systems that are producible, supportable, and affordable and to demonstrate system integration, interoperability, and utility prior to full-rate production. The projects under the PE become technology insertions into existing programs or advance to being a certified Commercial/Government off-the-shelf product. The PE initiatives are coordinated by the Physical Security Enterprise and Analysis Group. This group is responsible for avoiding duplication of effort and when applicable ensure systems integration and promote interoperability and sustainability.

This PE can fund travel to support the requirements of this program.

This appropriation will finance work, including manpower, performed by a government agency or by private individuals or organizations under a contractual or grant arrangement with the government who conduct research (systematic study directed toward fuller scientific knowledge or understanding of the subject studied), development (systematic use of the knowledge and understanding gained from research, for the production of useful materials, devices, systems, or methods, including the design and development of prototypes and processes) and test and evaluation efforts.

^{##} The FY 2014 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secretary Of Defense

-0.032

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

0.000

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

Congressional Directed Transfers

PE 0604161D8Z: Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Countering Nuclear Threats

1.431

DATE: April 2013

1.431

BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO	FY 2014 Total
Previous President's Budget	7.009	6.817	6.724	-	6.724
Current President's Budget	6.977	6.817	8.155	-	8.155
Total Adjustments	-0.032	0.000	1.431	-	1.431
 Congressional General Reductions 	-	-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 	-	-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
Congressional Adds	_	_			

Change Summary Explanation

Reprogrammings

• SBIR/STTR Transfer Other Adjustments

FY 2014 were realigned based on senior leadership decisions.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification:	PB 2014 C	Office of Sec	retary Of D	efense					DATE: April 2013			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACT 0400: Research, Development, Te BA 5: System Development & Det		PE 060416	NOMENCLA 61D8Z: Nucleourity/Coul	lear and Co	PROJECT P163: Nuc Security	T clear and Conventional Physical							
COST (\$ in Millions)	All Prior Years	FY 2012	FY 2013 [#]	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO ##	FY 2014 Total	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
P163: Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security	13.675	6.977	6.817	7.107	-	7.107	6.771	7.195	7.108	7.229	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles													

^{*}FY 2013 Program is from the FY 2013 President's Budget, submitted February 2012

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This Program Element (PE) addresses the need to defend and deter against weapons of mass destruction (WMD) threats and to safeguard personnel; prevent unauthorized access to equipment, installations, material, and documents; and to safeguard the foregoing against espionage, sabotage, damage, and theft. This program oversees advanced engineering development throughout DoD for an integrated and systemic RDT&E approach for countering nuclear threats and nuclear and conventional physical security technology and systems. The funding has been centralized in this Defense-wide PE since the early 1990s and represents a substantial portion of all DoD physical security RDT&E funding. Priorities for this PE RDT&E efforts are driven by inputs from Quadrennial Defense Review guidance, Combatant Command and Service requirements, analysis reports such as "Protecting the Force: Lessons from Fort Hood," January 2010, the Integrated Unit, Base, and Installation Protection Cost Benefits Analysis, Multi-national Work Plans established through the Nuclear Security Summit process, and DoD Directive 5210.41, Security Policy for Protecting Nuclear Weapons-directed requirements and associated security deviation reports.

Under this integrated approach, funds are used to provide system development and demonstration for the Department in seven capability areas: (1) Detection and Assessment; (2) Access Controls; (3) Installation and Transport Security; (4) Storage and Safeguards; (5) Prevention; (6) Decision Support Systems; and (7) Analytical Support. The program will develop systems that are producible, supportable, and affordable and to demonstrate system integration, interoperability, and utility prior to full-rate production. The projects under the PE become technology insertions into existing programs or advance to being a certified Commercial/Government off-the-shelf product. The PE initiatives are coordinated by the Physical Security Enterprise and Analysis Group. This group is responsible for avoiding duplication of effort and when applicable ensure systems integration and promote interoperability and sustainability.

This PE can fund travel to support the requirements of this program.

This appropriation will finance work, including manpower, performed by a government agency or by private individuals or organizations under a contractual or grant arrangement with the government who conduct research (systematic study directed toward fuller scientific knowledge or understanding of the subject studied), development (systematic use of the knowledge and understanding gained from research, for the production of useful materials, devices, systems, or methods, including the design and development of prototypes and processes) and test and evaluation efforts.

^{##} The FY 2014 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secretar	y Of Defense		DATE: A	April 2013						
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)	10: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD) Physical Security/Countering Nuclear Threats									
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014					
Title: Detection and Assessment			1.169	1.492	1.448					
Description: The ability to detect an adversary and assess their intention will design equipment to identify and warn of unauthorized access to a sp to the notification and identification of explosive threats or hazards.										
 FY 2012 Accomplishments: Designed Long-range imaging sensor to operate with a sonar system to environment. Designed optimal active sonar functionality in ultra-shallow water environment. 		er								
 FY 2013 Plans: Transition Long-range imaging sensor to operate with a sonar system to environment to low-rate production. Transition optimal active sonar functionality in ultra-shallow water environment. 		er								
 FY 2014 Plans: Conduct swimmer Land-Water Interface Detection and Tracking test and false alarm rates at an operational site Demonstrate CONOPS from vigilant dolphin detection / localization via contact location to mammal security boat via the existing Electronic Harber 	existing mammal marker to immediately relaying ma									
Title: Access Controls			0.354	1.551	1.505					
Description: Controlling access to safeguard personnel and their families infrastructure and materials is paramount. This capability area will focus verification of individuals entering or already within, a facility.		nd								
 FY 2012 Accomplishments: Conducted Behavioral Analysis table top exercise. Continued Defense Installation Access Control spiral demonstrations in 	operational environments.									
FY 2013 Plans: • Conduct Joint Capability Technology Demonstration for Defense Installacapability	ation Access Control project to prove operational									
FY 2014 Plans:										

PE 0604161D8Z: *Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Counter...*Office of Secretary Of Defense

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 4 of 13 R-1 Line #118

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secreta	ary Of Defense	DΔTF	April 2013		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)	PROJECT	ROJECT 163: Nuclear and Conventional Physical			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
 Demonstrate the ability of existing sea lions to intercept human targets deny access to critical resources. 	and attach specially developed hardware to delay a	nd			
Title: Installation and Transport Security		1.549	0.167	0.16	
Description: Robust installation and transport security are vital to preve unauthorized access to key assets such as nuclear weapons and special programs and equipment intended to improve the physical security profit in-transit.	al nuclear material. This capability area will focus on	while			
FY 2012 Accomplishments: • Evaluated detection options and response capabilities, to include the fit systems, to protect personnel and assets against the terrorist threat in a • Developed persistent surveillance, intrusion detection, explosive detections, chemical, biological, radiological, nuclear, and high-explosive as	n waterside security environment. ction, entry denial, acoustic hailing, autonomous unma	anned			
FY 2013 Plans: • Proof of concept for detection options and response capabilities previous lethal tactical weapon systems, to protect personnel and assets against • Proof of concept for persistent surveillance, intrusion detection, explos unmanned systems, chemical, biological, radiological, nuclear, and high	the terrorist threat in a waterside security environmentative detection, entry denial, acoustic hailing, autonomous	nt.			
FY 2014 Plans: • Develop and demonstrate an improved electro-optical seeker that will estationary and moving threat targets	enable the Spike system to reliably track and engage				
Title: Storage and Safeguards		1.170	0.351	0.34	
Description: Properly securing critical assets to prevent access by unarensure access is limited to authorized persons is the foundation of phys (e.g., locks, doors, etc.) designed to delay or stop unauthorized entry / a	ical security. This capability area will focus on equip				
FY 2012 Accomplishments: • Conducted proof of concept for an economical magazine construction explosive safety, operational and seismic safety standards.	that comprehensively satisfies physical security criter	ia,			

UNCLASSIFIED

	UNCLASSII ILD			
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secreta	ry Of Defense	DATE:	April 2013	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)	PROJECT P163: Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
• Conducted proof of concept of a Government Services Administration-	approved shipboard security solution.			
 FY 2013 Plans: Transition economical magazine construction that comprehensively sat operational and seismic safety standards to low-rate production. Transition a Government Services Administration-approved shipboard 				
FY 2014 Plans: • Establish fragment and blast load environment, identify potential mater and explosives safety requirements for Weapon Storage Containers.	rials to mitigate hazards, and document physical sec	urity		
Title: Prevention		1.470	0.301	0.78
Description: The security procedures taken to discourage an adversary unauthorized access to critical assets are at the heart of prevention. Thi efforts which have the ability to influence multiple areas.				
FY 2012 Accomplishments: • Designed, organized and conducted a best practice workshop aimed a experiences, organizing security exercises and guard force performance. • Planned for the Force Protection Equipment Demonstration IX.				
FY 2013 Plans: • Expand engagement opportunities with international partners in Nuclea	ar Security. Produces best practice guide and worksh	ops.		
FY 2014 Plans: Conduct requirements gap analysis between Global Threat Reduction Global Nuclear Lockdown.	Initiative and Cooperative Threat Reduction efforts fo	or		
Title: Decision Support Systems		0.720	2.012	1.952
Description: Decision support systems serve the management, operation enterprise to help to make decisions, which may be rapidly changing and focus on command and control equipment and projects related to the created the establishment of common architectures / interface standards.	d not easily specified in advance. This capability area	a will		
FY 2012 Accomplishments:				

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secretary Of APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)			DATE:	April 2013	
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE				
	PROJECT P163: Nuc Security		r and Conventional Phys		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY	2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
 Integrated sensors, sensor systems and unmanned systems with automated Operating Pictures (COP) with in-depth security, surveillance, and response of Provided DoD and industry the means to achieve Physical Security Equipment specifications. 	data for fixed and semi-fixed/expeditionary elem-	ents.			
 FY 2013 Plans: Advance Integration of sensors, sensor systems and unmanned systems with Common Operating Pictures (COP) with in-depth security, surveillance, and relements. Provide DoD and industry the means to achieve Physical Security Equipment specifications. 	response data for fixed and semi-fixed/expedition	nary			
FY 2014 Plans: • Develop capability to ensure threat alert and response systems are interoperaid partners in the local communities	erable with equipment used by the DoD and mut	ual			
Title: Analytical Support			0.545	0.943	0.91
Description: This capability area will focus on studies related to physical secretated to day-to-day activities of the DoD Physical Security Enterprise RDT&		forts			
FY 2012 Accomplishments: • Conducted physical security test and evaluation efforts					
FY 2013 Plans: • Conduct physical security test and evaluation efforts					
 FY 2014 Plans: Conduct physical security test and evaluation efforts Provide DOD and industry the means to achieve PSE interoperability 					
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subt	otals	6.977	6.817	7.10

Remarks

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secretar	ry Of Defense	DATE: April 2013
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)	PE 0604161D8Z: Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Countering Nuclear Threats	P163: Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security
D. Acquisition Strategy		
N/A		
E. Performance Metrics The program performance metrics are established/approved through the technical progress of each project is reviewed at quarterly PSEAG. Pe		

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2014 Office of Secretary Of Defense

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0604161D82: Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Countering Nuclear

Threats

PROJECT

PE 0604161D8Z: Nuclear and Conventional P163: Nuclear and Conventional Physical

DATE: April 2013

Security

Product Developmen	nt (\$ in Mi	illions)		FY 2	012	FY 2	013	FY 2 Ba		FY 2	2014 CO	FY 2014 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	All Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Defense Security Enterprise Architecture	Various	Various performers:Various locations	0.000	1.092		2.475		2.475		-		2.475	0.000	6.042	6.042
Intermodal Security Devices	MIPR	NAVFACESC:Port Hueneme, CA	0.000	0.243		0.555		0.000		-		0.000	0.000	0.798	0.798
Defense Installation Access Control JCTD	Various	Various performers:Various locations	7.742	1.500		0.500		0.000		-		0.000	0.000	9.742	9.742
Identify Friend or Foe	Sub Allot	Force Protection Branch ESC/ HSS:Hanscom AFB, MA	1.120	0.394		0.000		0.000		-		0.000	0.000	1.514	1.514
Countering Nuclear Threats	Various	Various Performers:Various Locations	0.627	0.000		1.882		1.764		-		1.764	0.000	4.273	4.273
Ordnance Handling Facility	MIPR	SPAWAR Atlantic:Charleston, SC	0.000	0.400		0.250		0.500		-		0.500	0.000	1.150	1.150
Live Fire T&E of Active Protection Systems to For Area Defense	MIPR	Various Performers:Various Locations	0.000	0.750		0.000		-		-		-	0.000	0.750	0.750
Integrated Base Defense	Sub Allot	PM-FPS:Ft Belvoir, VA	1.650	1.000		0.000		0.000		-		0.000	0.000	2.650	2.650
Radiological Detection System	Sub Allot	Various performers:Various locations	0.000	0.000		0.000		0.500		-		0.500	0.000	0.500	0.500
		Subtotal	11.139	5.379		5.662		5.239		0.000		5.239	0.000	27.419	27.419

					UN	ICLASS	SIFIED									
Exhibit R-3, RDT&E F	Project C	ost Analysis: PB 2	2014 Offic	e of Secr	etary Of	Defense		,				DATE: April 2013				
APPROPRIATION/BU 0400: Research, Deve BA 5: System Develop	lopment,	Test & Evaluation,		Wide		PE 0604	4161D8Z I Security	NCLATU : Nuclear //Counteri	and Con		PROJE P163: N Security	luclear ar	nd Conven	tional Ph	nysical	
Support (\$ in Millions	s)			FY 2	012	FY 2013		FY 2 Ba		FY 2		FY 2014 Total				
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	All Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract	
Security Equipment Integration Working Group	MIPR	SPAWAR Atlantic:Charleston, SC	0.500	0.500		0.500		0.500		-		0.500	0.000	2.000	2.00	
		Subtotal	0.500	0.500		0.500		0.500		0.000		0.500	0.000	2.000	2.00	
Test and Evaluation	est and Evaluation (\$ in Millions)			FY 2	012	FY 2	013	FY 2 Ba		FY 2		FY 2014 Total				
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	All Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract	
Performance Maintainability Kit	MIPR	NAVEOD Tech Div:Indian Head, MD	0.560	0.340		0.000		0.000		-		0.000	0.000	0.900	0.90	
PSE Test and Evaluation	MIPR	Various Performers:Various Locations	0.226	0.258		0.230		0.250		-		0.250	0.000	0.964	0.96	
Test & Evaluation of Active Protection Systems	MIPR	SPAWAR Atlantic:Charleston, SC	0.750	-		-		-		-		-	0.000	0.750	0.75	
Maritime Environment Applicability Testing	MIPR	NAVEOD Tech Division:Indian Head, MD	0.500	0.500		-		-		-		-	0.000	1.000	1.00	
Hyperspectral Imaging Systems for Explosive Detection	MIPR	NAVEOD Tech Division:Indian Head, MD	0.000	0.000		0.400		1.093		-		1.093	0.000	1.493	1.49	
		Subtotal	2.036	1.098		0.630		1.343		0.000		1.343	0.000	5.107	5.10	
Management Service	es (\$ in M	illions)		FY 2	012	FY 2	013	FY 2 Ba		FY 2		FY 2014 Total				
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	All Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract	
RDT&E Travel	TBD	Washington Headquarters	0.000	0.000		0.025	_ 333	0.025		-		0.025	·	0.050		

PE 0604161D8Z: *Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Counter...*Office of Secretary Of Defense

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 10 of 13

R-1 Line #118

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)						PE 060	4161D8Z Il Security		IRE and Coni ing Nucle		PROJECT P163: N	uclear an	d Conver	itional Ph	nysical
Management Servic	Management Services (\$ in Millions)			2012	FY 2014 FY 2013 Base				FY 2014 OCO						
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	All Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
	Services:Washington DC														
	Subtotal 0.000 0.000					0.025		0.025		0.000		0.025	0.000	0.050	0.050

	All Prior					FY 2	2014		2014	FY 2014	Cost To	Total	Target Value of
	Years	FY 2	2012	FY 2013		Ba	ise	00	co	Total	Complete	Cost	Contract
Project Cost Totals	13.675	6.977		6.817		7.107		0.000		7.107	0.000	34.576	34.576

Remarks

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2014 Office of Secretary Of Defense

DATE: April 2013

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secretary Of Defense										DATE: April 2013			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)					PE 060416		ATURE lear and Co ntering Nuc		PROJECT P166: CNT Rad/Nuc Passive Defense				
COST (\$ in Millions)	All Prior Years	FY 2012	FY 2013 [#]	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO ##	FY 2014 Total	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
P166: CNT Rad/Nuc Passive Defense	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.048	-	1.048	6.825	0.000	0.000	0.000	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles													

[#] FY 2013 Program is from the FY 2013 President's Budget, submitted February 2012

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This project establishes a Defense-wide Countering Nuclear Threats (CNT) Materiel development Program. The CNT acquisition strategy directly applies to a Joint requirement for CNT materiel development and addresses the materiel and sustainment gaps for general purpose Joint Forces, including the US Army 20th Support Command and Navy Visit, Board, Search, and Seizure, as well as the Technical Support Groups; NIMBLE ELDER and the US Special Operations Command where required.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Title: CNT Rad/Nuc Passive Defense	0.000	0.000	1.048
Description: Advanced Development of Joint Radiological and Nuclear passive defense systems			
FY 2014 Plans: Development of Joint Radiological and Nuclear passive defense systems (i.e. Man Portable Detection System and the Joint Personal Dosimeter)			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	0.000	0.000	1.048

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

E. Performance Metrics

The program performance metrics are established/approved through the Countering Nuclear Threats Program Manager. The cost, schedule and technical progress is reviewed on a quarterly basis. Performance variances are addressed and corrective action(s) is(are) implemented as necessary.

PE 0604161D8Z: *Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Counter...*Office of Secretary Of Defense

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 12 of 13 R-1 Line #118

^{##} The FY 2014 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2014 Office of Secretary Of Defense DATE: April 2013 APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY **R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT**

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)

PE 0604161D8Z: Nuclear and Conventional P166: CNT Rad/Nuc Passive Defense Physical Security/Countering Nuclear Threats

FY 2014 FY 2014 FY 2014 **Product Development (\$ in Millions)** FY 2012 FY 2013 Base oco Total Contract Target All Prior Method Performing Award Award Award Award Cost To Total Value of **Cost Category Item** & Type **Activity & Location** Years Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Complete Cost Contract Cost CNT Rad/Nuc Passive **TBD** TBD:TBD 0.000 0.000 0.000 1.048 0.000 1.048 1.048 1.048 Defense Development Subtotal 0.000 0.000 0.000 1.048 0.000 1.048 0.000 1.048 1.048

	All Prior Years	FY 2	2012	FY 2	2013	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO	FY 2014 Total	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Project Cost Totals	0.000	0.000		0.000		1.048	0.000	1.048	0.000	1.048	1.048

Remarks