Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secretary Of Defense

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

PE 0603161D8Z: Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Countering Nuclear

DATE: April 2013

Threats

DA 4. Advanced Component Deve	ciopinent &	Tiololypes	(ACDAI)		Tilleats							
COST (\$ in Millions)	All Prior Years	FY 2012	FY 2013 <sup>#</sup>	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO ##	FY 2014 Total	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	33.609	29.792	33.234	63.641	-	63.641	47.932	48.436	47.823	50.207	Continuing	Continuing
P162: Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security	33.609	29.792	33.234	34.443	-	34.443	33.360	34.221	34.823	36.707	Continuing	Continuing
P164: CNT Rad/Nuc Passive Defense	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.985	-	1.985	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	Continuing	Continuing
P165: National Technical Nuclear Forensics Systems	0.000	0.000	0.000	27.213	-	27.213	14.572	14.215	13.000	13.500	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>#</sup> FY 2013 Program is from the FY 2013 President's Budget, submitted February 2012

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This Program Element (PE) addresses the need to defend and deter against weapons of mass destruction (WMD) threats and to safeguard personnel; prevent unauthorized access to equipment, installations, material, and documents; and to safeguard the foregoing against espionage, sabotage, damage, and theft. This program oversees advanced engineering development throughout DoD for an integrated and systemic RDT&E approach for countering nuclear threats and nuclear and conventional physical security technology and systems. The funding has been centralized in this Defense-wide PE since the early 1990s and represents a substantial portion of all DoD physical security RDT&E funding. Priorities for this PE RDT&E efforts are driven by inputs from Quadrennial Defense Review guidance, Combatant Command and Service requirements, analysis reports such as "Protecting the Force: Lessons from Fort Hood," January 2010, the Integrated Unit, Base, and Installation Protection Cost Benefits Analysis, Multi-national Work Plans established through the Nuclear Security Summit process, and DoD Directive 5210.41, Security Policy for Protecting Nuclear Weapons-directed requirements and associated security deviation reports.

Under this integrated approach, funds are used to provide advanced component development and prototypes for the Department in seven capability areas: (1) Detection and Assessment; (2) Access Controls; (3) Installation and Transport Security; (4) Storage and Safeguards; (5) Prevention; (6) Decision Support Systems; and (7) Analytical Support. This program will evaluate integrated technologies, representative modes or prototype systems in a high fidelity and realistic operating environment. The projects under the Program Element either (a) lead to Programs of Record which can transition to Program Element 0604161D8Z for systems development and demonstration (SDD); (b) become technology insertions into existing programs; or (c) advance to being a certified Commercial/Government off-the-shelf product. The PE initiatives are coordinated by the Physical Security Enterprise and Analysis Group. This group is responsible for avoiding duplication of effort and when applicable ensure systems integration and promote interoperability and sustainability.

This PE can fund travel to support the requirements of this program.

This appropriation will finance work, including manpower, performed by a government agency or by private individuals or organizations under a contractual or grant arrangement with the government who conduct research (systematic study directed toward fuller scientific knowledge or understanding of the subject studied),

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 1 of 21

<sup>##</sup> The FY 2014 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secretary Of Defense

DATE: April 2013

## APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

#### R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)

PE 0603161D8Z: Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Countering Nuclear

Threats

development (systematic use of the knowledge and understanding gained from research, for the production of useful materials, devices, systems, or methods, including the design and development of prototypes and processes) and test and evaluation efforts.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO	FY 2014 Total
Previous President's Budget	29.924	33.234	32.629	-	32.629
Current President's Budget	29.792	33.234	63.641	=	63.641
Total Adjustments	-0.132	0.000	31.012	=	31.012
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
<ul> <li>Adjustments</li> </ul>	-0.132	0.000	31.012	-	31.012

## **Change Summary Explanation**

FY12 reductions for SBIR adjustment (-0.123) and internal withhold (-0.009).

Internal realignment decisions added \$31.012M to the Program Element to develop Countering Nuclear Threats Radiological and Nuclear Passive Defense and National Technical Nuclear Forensics Systems. This program addresses Presidential mandate to counter Weapons of Mass Destruction and address Multinational Work Plans established through the Nuclear Security Summit process.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification	PB 2014 C	Office of Sec	retary Of D	efense					DATE: Apr	il 2013	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)					PE 060316		ATURE lear and Co ntering Nuc		PROJECT  P162: Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security			
COST (\$ in Millions)	All Prior Years	FY 2012	FY 2013 <sup>#</sup>	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO ##	FY 2014 Total	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P162: Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security	33.609	29.792	33.234	34.443	-	34.443	33.360	34.221	34.823	36.707	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles												

<sup>\*</sup>FY 2013 Program is from the FY 2013 President's Budget, submitted February 2012

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This Program Element (PE) addresses the need to defend and deter against weapons of mass destruction (WMD) threats and to safeguard personnel; prevent unauthorized access to equipment, installations, material, and documents; and to safeguard the foregoing against espionage, sabotage, damage, and theft. This program oversees advanced engineering development throughout DoD for an integrated and systemic RDT&E approach for countering nuclear threats and nuclear and conventional physical security equipment (PSE) technology and systems. The funding has been centralized in this Defense-wide PE since the early 1990s and represents a substantial portion of all DoD PSE RDT&E funding. Priorities for this PE RDT&E efforts are driven by inputs from Quadrennial Defense Review guidance, Combatant Command and Service requirements, analysis reports such as "Protecting the Force: Lessons from Fort Hood," January 2010, the Integrated Unit, Base, and Installation Protection Cost Benefits Analysis, Multi-national Work Plans established through the Nuclear Security Summit process, and DoD Directive 5210.41, Security Policy for Protecting Nuclear Weapons-directed requirements and associated security deviation reports.

Under this integrated approach, funds are used to provide PSE advanced component development and prototypes for the Department in seven capability areas: (1) Detection and Assessment; (2) Access Controls; (3) Installation and Transport Security; (4) Storage and Safeguards; (5) Prevention; (6) Decision Support Systems; and (7) Analytical Support. The projects under the Program Element either (a) lead to Programs of Record – which can transition to Program Element 0604161D8Z for systems development and demonstration (SDD); (b) become technology insertions into existing programs; or (c) advance to being a certified Commercial/Government off-the-shelf product. The PE initiatives are coordinated by the Security Policy Verification Committee and the Physical Security Equipment Action Group. These groups work together to avoid duplication of effort and when applicable ensure systems integration and promote interoperability and sustainability.

This PE can fund travel to support the requirements of this program.

This appropriation will finance work, including manpower, performed by a government agency or by private individuals or organizations under a contractual or grant arrangement with the government who conduct research (systematic study directed toward fuller scientific knowledge or understanding of the subject studied), development (systematic use of the knowledge and understanding gained from research, for the production of useful materials, devices, systems, or methods, including the design and development of prototypes and processes) and test and evaluation efforts.

<sup>##</sup> The FY 2014 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secretary	y Of Defense		DATE:	April 2013	
e: Detection and Assessment  scription: The ability to detect an adversary and assess their intentions is a basic physical security tenant. This can design equipment to identify and warn of unauthorized access to a specified area or installation as well as equipment enotification and identification of explosive threats or hazards.  2012 Accomplishments:  uccessfully developed the technology to display and identify friend or foe information.  ested advanced seismic sensors configured in arrays for detecting, identifying, and tracking targets of interest on lead advanced seismic sensors configured in arrays for detecting, identifying, and tracking targets of interest on lead approved the performance of sonar technology by lowering its false alert rate on nuisance targets, increasing its profection for manlike intruders and increasing its detection and classification capability against unmanned underwater educed nuisance and false alarm rates and improve automatic human swimmer / diver discrimination.  In ong-range imaging sensor to operate with a sonar system to identify divers at significant ranges in the underwater of the educed optimal active sonar functionality in ultra-shallow water environments.  In ovided a shoreline, perimeter, enclave detection barrier.  In ovided a shoreline, perimeter, enclave detection barrier.  In ovided a shoreline perimeter, enclave detection barrier.  In overloped early warning and persistent surveillance/assessment utilizing video motion sensing, audio tracking and section capabilities.  In overloped adversaries by analyzing activity at all hours and in locations that can be on the edge or outside of imeter.  Iterrupted adversaries by analyzing activity in advance of a breach of a defined restricted area boundary.  In ovided All-weather surveillance sensor and the ability to classify and identify targets.  2013 Plans:  In order the period and comparative Test & Evaluation and Com		P162:	PROJECT P162: Nuclear and Conventional Phy Security		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Title: Detection and Assessment			5.898	5.756	5.559
will design equipment to identify and warn of unauthorized access to a spet to the notification and identification of explosive threats or hazards.  FY 2012 Accomplishments:  • Successfully developed the technology to display and identify friend or form to the technology to the	ecified area or installation as well as equipment related as equipment r	ated			
detection for manlike intruders and increasing its detection and classification. Reduced nuisance and false alarm rates and improve automatic human Long-range imaging sensor to operate with a sonar system to identify displayed optimal active sonar functionality in ultra-shallow water environ	ion capability against unmanned underwater vehicle swimmer / diver discrimination. vers at significant ranges in the underwater environ	es.			
<ul> <li>Developed early warning and persistent surveillance/assessment utilizin detection capabilities.</li> <li>Increased surveillance and assessment of activity at all hours and in local perimeter.</li> </ul>	ations that can be on the edge or outside of the faci				
FY 2013 Plans: • Conduct Explosive Detection Equipment testing (Sensor Fusion: Raman ray technology)	and Infrared and Comparative Test & Evaluation o	of X-			
<ul> <li>Develop wide-area, long-range, foliage, seismic and radiological detection</li> <li>Develop waterside detection &amp; tracking capability (underwater &amp; land-water)</li> <li>Conduct fence Sensors &amp; Cold Weather Testing</li> </ul>					
FY 2014 Plans:  • Conduct Explosive Detection Equipment testing (Sensor Fusion: Raman ray technology)  • Develop wide-area, long-range, foliage, seismic and radiological detections.	·	of X-			

UNCLASSIFIED			
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secretary Of Defense	DATE	: April 2013	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY  0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide  BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)  Physical Security/Countering Nuclear Threats	PROJECT P162: Nuclear an Security	l Physical	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
<ul> <li>Develop waterside detection &amp; tracking capability (underwater &amp; land-water interface)</li> <li>Conduct fence Sensors &amp; Cold Weather Testing</li> </ul>			
Title: Access Controls	4.218	3.015	2.912
<b>Description:</b> Controlling access to safeguard personnel and their families and to prevent unauthorized access to critical infrastructure and materials is paramount. This capability area will focus on programs and processes related to the validity are verification of individuals entering or already within a facility.	nd		
<ul> <li>FY 2012 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Determined how technology and procedures can be integrated to minimize an insider threat to intentionally exceed or misus authorized level of access to nuclear materials or weapons.</li> <li>Developed interruption methods to provide immediate, semi-lethal effect on the interior of structures containing nuclear resources without any additional specialized equipment.</li> <li>Conducted Behavioral Analysis table top exercise.</li> <li>Conducted Defense Installation Access Control demonstrations in operational environments.</li> </ul>	se an		
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Plans:</li> <li>Advance technology and procedures to minimize an insider threat to intentionally exceed or misuse an authorized level of act to nuclear materials or weapons.</li> <li>Develop interruption methods to provide immediate, semi-lethal effect on the interior of structures containing nuclear resource without any additional specialized equipment.</li> <li>Transition Defense Installation Access Control to system development and demonstration activities.</li> </ul>			
FY 2014 Plans:  • Develop Protective Aircraft Structure Internal Denial Capability  • Identify Marine Mammal System Delay – Final Denial Enhancement Capability  • Determine Methods to Delay/Deny Access to Airborne Launch Control System			
Title: Installation and Transport Security	5.898	5.995	5.790
<b>Description:</b> Robust installation and transport security are vital to preventing a weapon of mass destruction attack or the unauthorized access to key assets such as nuclear weapons and special nuclear material. This capability area will focus on programs and equipment intended to improve the physical security profile of fixed sites and facilities, as well as critical items in-transit.	while		
FY 2012 Accomplishments:			

PE 0603161D8Z: *Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Counter...*Office of Secretary Of Defense

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 5 of 21 R-1 Line #75

	UNCLASSII ILD				
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secretary	y Of Defense		DATE:	April 2013	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603161D8Z: Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Countering Nuclear Threats	PROJECT P162: Nuclear and Conventional Security			l Physical
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	Y 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
<ul> <li>Evaluated detection options and response capabilities, to include the full systems, to protect personnel and assets against the terrorist threat in a w</li> <li>Developed persistent surveillance, intrusion detection, explosive detection systems, chemical, biological, radiological, nuclear, and high-explosive and assets against the terrorist threat in a w</li> </ul>	vaterside security environment. on, entry denial, acoustic hailing, autonomous unma	inned			
<ul> <li>PY 2013 Plans:</li> <li>Determine if the radar technology can be successfully modified for operal area protection against direct trajectory stand-off threats.</li> <li>Assess the ability of electronic warfare sensor to perform off-axis defeats</li> <li>Establish a semi-permanent installation or relocatable short-term and rajection options and response capabilities previous lethal tactical weapon systems, to protect personnel and assets against the Proof of concept for persistent surveillance, intrusion detection, explosive unmanned systems, chemical, biological, radiological, nuclear, and highest Design a software baseline that brings all of the Tactical Automated Secconfiguration management and control.</li> <li>Develop a low frequency, single crystal-based, non-lethal to lethal scalal signal.</li> </ul>	s against standoff direct-fired threats. pidly installed perimeter security system. sly identified, to include the full spectrum of non-leth ne terrorist threat in a waterside security environmer e detection, entry denial, acoustic hailing, autonomo explosive and associated functions. curity System software versions back under Governr	al to ht. ous			
FY 2014 Plans:  • Develop a Defense Security Enterprise Architecture that provides a comshare information on a near real-time basis within DoD and with other goven Develop an improved electro-optical sensor for the US Navy Spike Weal	vernment agencies.	to			
Title: Storage and Safeguards			1.788	2.314	2.235
<b>Description:</b> Properly securing critical assets to prevent access by unaut ensure access is limited to authorized persons is the foundation of physical (e.g., locks, doors, etc.) designed to delay or stop unauthorized entry / access to prevent access by unauthorized entry / access to prevent ac	al security. This capability area will focus on equipr				
<ul> <li>FY 2012 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Identified material accounting, inventory, and tracking methods using mosafeguards and controls.</li> <li>Developed options for intercontinental ballistic missile launcher closure of features.</li> </ul>					

	UNCLASSIFIED				
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secretary	Of Defense		DATE:	April 2013	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603161D8Z: Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Countering Nuclear Threats	PROJECT P162: Nuc Security	Conventiona	l Physical	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
<ul> <li>Evaluated the intercontinental ballistic missile security system to include response forces.</li> <li>Explored interior denial options for the intercontinental ballistic missile lau weapon system impact, cost and overall security performance.</li> </ul>	·				
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Plans:</li> <li>Advance material accounting, inventory, and tracking methods using mod safeguards and controls.</li> <li>Evaluate options for intercontinental ballistic missile launcher closure doc</li> <li>Identify solutions for gaps in intercontinental ballistic missile security syst systems, and response forces.</li> <li>Test interior denial options for the intercontinental ballistic missile launch weapon system impact, cost and overall security performance.</li> </ul>	or/lock mechanism upgrades to improve delay featurem to include access delay features, intrusion dete				
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Develop specifications for Ordnance Storage and Operating Facilities that design requirements.</li> <li>Design a Semi-Hardened Prime Nuclear Air Force Secure Transport Cor</li> <li>Develop specifications for portable containers for Arms, Ammunition &amp; Exin expeditionary and temporary storage facilities and open storage areas.</li> </ul>	ntainer.				
Title: Prevention			5.769	8.094	7.817
<b>Description:</b> The security procedures taken to discourage an adversary frunauthorized access to critical assets are at the heart of prevention. This efforts which have the ability to influence multiple areas.					
<ul> <li>FY 2012 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Conducted effectiveness analyses to identify the weapon system combin counter those threats.</li> <li>Identifed military, commercial and homemade explosives by integrating to system.</li> <li>Provided federal physical security decision-makers the opportunity to observe protection equipment available for procurement.</li> </ul>	wo identification technologies into one handheld ru	gged			

	UNCLASSIFIED			
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secretar	ry Of Defense	DATE	:: April 2013	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603161D8Z: Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Countering Nuclear Threats	PROJECT P162: Nuclear at Security	nd Conventiona	l Physical
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
<ul> <li>Qualified for procurement an array of Commercial Off-The-Shelf (COTS addresses capability gaps.</li> <li>Created a non-ionizing personnel scanner that can detect threats on the Integrated security system components via wireless communications with Planned for the Force Protection Equipment Demonstration IX.</li> <li>FY 2013 Plans:</li> <li>Support bi-lateral engagements for the successful DoD participation in Establish a Global Initiative to Combat Nuclear Terrorism Strategic Engiparticipation in radiation detection and forensics activities.</li> <li>Develop Inventory Management curriculum in conjunction with National Improve test and standard reference materials for National Technical N.</li> <li>Support Physical Security Modeling and simulation support for curriculu Nuclear Lockdown efforts at Internationals Centers of Excellence.</li> <li>Understand air assault threats and use modeling &amp; simulation to conductombinations that offer the most cost-effective approach to counter those Identify military, commercial and homemade explosives by integrating the system.</li> <li>Provide federal physical security decision-makers the opportunity to obstorce protection equipment available for procurement.</li> <li>Qualify for procurement an array of commercial off-the-shelf intrusion dicapability gaps.</li> <li>Create a non-ionizing personnel scanner that can detect threats on the Integrate security system components via wireless communications with</li> <li>Execute Force Protection Equipment Demonstration IX.</li> </ul>	e body in a high throughput environment. ith high security over long ranges, without repeaters.  Exercise Opal Tiger. agement Plan to ensure an effective and efficient Do  Nuclear Security Administration uclear Forensics simulation and exercise support. Im development and support in conjunction with Glol ct effectiveness analyses to identify the weapon syste threats. wo identification technologies into one handheld rugs serve and become familiar with commercial-off-the-se etection and assessment equipment that addresses body in a high throughput environment.	bD bal tem ged		
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Expand engagement opportunities with international partners in Nuclea</li> <li>Develop nuclear threat-related scenarios &amp; use cases to frame Counter</li> <li>Conduct gap analysis between Global Threat Reduction Initiative and Care met for Global Nuclear Lockdown.</li> </ul>	ring Nuclear Threat situational awareness development			
Title: Decision Support Systems		4.89	5 5.414	

	UNCLASSIFIED			
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secretar	ry Of Defense	DATE	: April 2013	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603161D8Z: Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Countering Nuclear Threats	PROJECT P162: Nuclear ar Security	nd Conventione	al Physical
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
<b>Description:</b> Decision support systems serve the management, operation enterprise to help to make decisions, which may be rapidly changing and focus on command and control equipment and projects related to the created the establishment of common architectures / interface standards.	d not easily specified in advance. This capability area	will		
FY 2012 Accomplishments:  • Integrated sensors, sensor systems and unmanned systems with autor Operating Pictures (COP) with in-depth security, surveillance, and respo • Provided DoD and industry the means to achieve Physical Security Equippedifications. • Designed the framework for the collection and consolidation of data from	nse data for fixed and semi-fixed/expeditionary element interoperability through standards and interface	ents.		
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Plans:</li> <li>Advance Integration of sensors, sensor systems and unmanned system Common Operating Pictures (COP) with in-depth security, surveillance, a elements.</li> <li>Provide DoD and industry the means to achieve Physical Security Equi specifications.</li> <li>Design the framework for the collection and consolidation of data from a Train and demonstrate the ability for marine mammal to perform a 24/7 mission.</li> </ul>	and response data for fixed and semi-fixed/expedition ipment interoperability through standards and interfact disparate small to large security systems.	nary		
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Develop capability to ensure threat alert and response systems are interaid partners in the local communities.</li> <li>Provide a backbone extending command and control and situational armissile launch facility complex.</li> </ul>				
Title: Analytical Support		1.32	6 2.646	4.369
<b>Description:</b> This capability area will focus on studies related to physical related to day-to-day activities of the DoD Physical Security Equipment/O		forts		
FY 2012 Accomplishments:  • Conducted test and evaluation efforts for physical security equipment				

PE 0603161D8Z: *Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Counter...*Office of Secretary Of Defense

**UNCLASSIFIED** 

Page 9 of 21 R-1 Line #75

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secretar	y Of Defense		DATE:	April 2013	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603161D8Z: Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Countering Nuclear Threats	PROJECT P162: Nuc Security		Conventiona	l Physical
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)     Conducted live-fire and modeling tests of selected weapons, perform ar findings.     Qualified, for procurement, an array of COTS intrusion detection and as Base Defense Security Systems capability and sustainment gaps.			2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Plans:</li> <li>Continue to conduct test and evaluation efforts for physical security equ</li> <li>Continue to conduct live-fire and modeling tests of selected weapons, p on findings.</li> <li>Continue to qualify, for procurement, an array of Commercial Off-The-S equipment that meets identified Integrated Base Defense Security System</li> </ul>	helf (COTS) intrusion detection and assessment	ased			
FY 2014 Plans:  • Provide DOD and industry the means to achieve PSE interoperability th  • Develop a comprehensive Physical Security Enterprise Test & Evaluatio  • Conducts analyses and review of requirements, evaluates proposed RE integrated investment portfolio	rough the Security Equipment Integration Working Con Program	Group			
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	totals	29.792	33.234	34.443

## C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

### Remarks

## D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

#### **E. Performance Metrics**

The program performance metrics are established/approved through the DoD Physical Security Enterprise and Analysis Group (PSEAG). The cost, schedule and technical progress is reviewed at quarterly PSEAG meetings. Performance variances are addressed and corrective action(s) is(are) implemented as necessary.

> **UNCLASSIFIED** Page 10 of 21

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2014 Office of Secretary Of Defense

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

Physical Security/Countering Nuclear

Threats

PROJECT

PE 0603161D8Z: Nuclear and Conventional P162: Nuclear and Conventional Physical

DATE: April 2013

Security

Product Developmen	nt (\$ in M	illions)		FY 2	012	FY 2	013	FY 2 Ba			2014 CO	FY 2014 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	All Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Integrated Base Defense	Sub Allot	PM-FPS:Ft Belvoir, VA	5.850	4.688		2.323		-		-		-	0.000	12.861	12.861
Defense Installation Access Control	Various	Various performers:Various locations	7.150	4.065		2.500		-		-		-	0.000	13.715	13.715
Countering Nuclear Threats	Various	Various performers:Various locations	1.400	2.084		2.400		2.400		-		2.400	0.000	8.284	8.284
Force Protection Equipment Demonstration	Sub Allot	PM-FPS:Fort Belvoir, VA	1.837	0.500		-		-		-		-	0.000	2.337	2.337
Integrated Waterside Security	MIPR	Various performers:Various locations	0.700	0.922		-		1.000		-		1.000	0.000	2.622	2.622
Shipboard Security Containers	MIPR	NAVFAC ESC:Pt. Hueneme	0.480	0.480		-		-		-		-	0.000	0.960	0.960
Ordnance Storage and Handling Facilities	MIPR	NAVFAC ESC:Pt. Hueneme	0.400	0.400		0.250		-		-		-	0.000	1.050	1.050
Shoreline Monitoring System	MIPR	NAVFAC ESC:Pt. Hueneme	2.456	0.750		-		-		-		-	0.000	3.206	3.206
Project JIGSAW	MIPR	SPAWAR Atlantic:Charleston, SC	1.500	0.310		-		-		-		-	0.000	1.810	1.810
Video Management System	Sub Allot	Force Protection Branch ESC/ HSS:Hanscom AFB, MA	0.649	1.526		-		-		-		-	0.000	2.175	2.175
Interior Video Motion Detection	Sub Allot	Force Protection Branch ESC/ HSS:Hansocm AFB, MA	0.455	0.605		-		-		-		-	0.000	1.060	1.060
Wide Area Detection	Sub Allot	Force Protection Branch ESC/	0.850	0.875		0.716		-		-		-	0.000	2.441	2.441

PE 0603161D8Z: *Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Counter...*Office of Secretary Of Defense

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 11 of 21

R-1 Line #75

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2014 Office of Secretary Of Defense

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

Physical Security/Countering Nuclear

Threats

**PROJECT** 

PE 0603161D8Z: Nuclear and Conventional P162: Nuclear and Conventional Physical

DATE: April 2013

Security

Product Developmen	nt (\$ in M	illions)		FY 2	012	FY 2	013	FY 2 Ba			2014 CO	FY 2014 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location HSS:Hanscom AFB.	All Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
		MA													
Insider Threat	IA	Applied Research Labs: University of Texas:Austin, TX	1.000	-		-		-		-		-	0.000	1.000	1.000
Defense Security Enterprise Architecture	Various	Various performers:Various locations	0.000	0.750		2.500		2.500		-		2.500	0.000	5.750	5.750
Joint Force Protection Threat Alert & Response System	MIPR	Various performers:Various locations	0.000	0.507		2.000		2.000		-		2.000	0.000	4.507	4.507
Long Range Threat Identification Sonar	MIPR	SPAWAR Atlantic:Charleston, SC	0.000	0.875		0.640		-		-		-	0.000	1.515	1.51
Missile Field Defense Force C3 / Situational Awareness	MIPR	SPAWAR Atlantic:Charleston, SC	0.000	0.000		0.850		1.000		-		1.000	0.000	1.850	1.850
Foliage Penetrating Technology Evaluation	MIPR	SPAWAR Atlantic:Charleston, SC	0.000	0.000		0.200		0.650		-		0.650	0.000	0.850	0.850
Portable Detection System for Select Environments	Various	ICBM System Program Office:Hill AFB, UT	0.000	0.000		0.260		0.500		-		0.500	0.000	0.760	0.760
Semi-Hardened PNAF Secure Transport Container	Various	Various Performers:Various Locations	0.000	0.000		0.414		0.500		-		0.500	0.000	0.914	0.914
Standoff Weapon Replacement for Internal Denial	Various	Various Performers:Various Locations	0.000	0.000		0.500		0.500		-		0.500	0.000	1.000	1.000
Launcher Closure Door Upgrade	MIPR	ICBM System Program Office :Hill AFB, UT	0.000	0.000		0.350		2.000		-		2.000	0.000	2.350	2.350

PE 0603161D8Z: Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Counter... Office of Secretary Of Defense

**UNCLASSIFIED** 

Page 12 of 21 R-1 Line #75

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2014 Office of Secretary Of Defense

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0603161D82: Nuclear and Convention Physical Security/Countering Nuclear

Threats

PROJECT

PE 0603161D8Z: Nuclear and Conventional P162: Nuclear and Conventional Physical

DATE: April 2013

Security

Product Developmen	roduct Development (\$ in Millions)			FY 2012		FY 2013		FY 2 Ba	2014 ise	FY 2014 OCO		FY 2014 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	All Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Land-Water Interface Detection and Tracking	MIPR	SPAWAR Atlantic:Charleston, SC	0.000	0.000		0.168		0.750		-		0.750	0.000	0.918	0.918
Marine Mammal System Delay – Final Denial Enhancement Capability	MIPR	Various Performers:Various Locations	0.000	0.000		0.532		1.000		-		1.000	0.000	1.532	1.532
Airborne Launch Control System Interruption	Various	ICBM System Program Office:Hill AFB, UT	0.000	0.000		0.250		0.750		-		0.750	0.000	1.000	1.000
Missile Defense Security (Interceptor)	TBD	TBD:TBD	0.000	0.000		0.500		-		-		-	0.000	0.500	0.500
Weapon Storage Containers	MIPR	NAVFAC ESC:Pt. Hueneme	0.000	0.000		0.250		0.500		-		0.500	0.000	0.750	0.750
Ground-Based Operational Surveillance System	Sub Allot	PM-FPS:Ft Belvoir, VA	0.000	0.000		1.000		1.000		-		1.000	0.000	2.000	2.000
Radiological Detection System	Various	Various Performers:Various Locations	0.000	0.000		1.400		2.300		-		2.300	0.000	3.700	3.700
Access Controls	Various	Various Performers:Various Locations	0.000	1.044		1.730		1.528		-		1.528	0.000	4.302	4.302
Installation & Transport Security	Various	Various Performers:Various Locations	0.000	1.044		1.755		1.553		-		1.553	0.000	4.352	4.352
Prevention	Various	Various Performers:Various Locations	0.000	1.044		1.755		1.553		-		1.553	0.000	4.352	4.352
Decision Support	Various	Various Performers:Various Locations	0.000	1.044		1.755		1.553		-		1.553	0.000	4.352	4.352

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2014 Office of Secretary Of Defense

\_\_\_\_\_

DATE: April 2013

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0603161D87: Nuclear and 0

Physical Security/Countering Nuclear

Threats

PROJECT

PE 0603161D8Z: Nuclear and Conventional P162: Nuclear and Conventional Physical

Security

Product Developmen	Product Development (\$ in Millions)			FY 2012		FY 2013		FY 2014 Base		FY 2014 OCO		FY 2014 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	All Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Storage & Safeguards	Various	Various Performers:Various Locations	0.000	1.045		1.756		1.552		-		1.552	0.000	4.353	4.353
Detection & Assessment	Various	Various Performers:Various Locations	0.000	1.044		0.355		1.533		-		1.533	0.000	2.932	2.932
	Subtotal 24.72			25.602		29.109		28.622		0.000		28.622	0.000	108.060	108.060

Support (\$ in Millions	,			FY 2012		FY 2013		FY 2014 Base		FY 2014 OCO		FY 2014 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	All Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Security Equipment Integration Working Group	MIPR	SPAWAR Atlantic:Charleston, SC	2.602	1.000		1.000		1.000		-		1.000	0.000	5.602	5.602
NM Support Contract	РО	Washington Headquarters Services:Washington DC	2.080	1.090		1.100		1.200		-		1.200	0.000	5.470	5.470
Physical Security Requirements Group Support	MIPR	Various Performers:Various Locations	0.000	1.000		0.700		0.700		-		0.700	0.000	2.400	2.400
PSEP Technical Advisor	MIPR	SPAWAR Atlantic:Charleston, SC	0.900	0.300		0.300		0.300		-		0.300	0.000	1.800	1.800
	_	Subtotal	5.582	3.390		3.100		3.200		0.000		3.200	0.000	15.272	15.272

					Ul	ICLASS	טבו ווע								
Exhibit R-3, RDT&E	Project C	ost Analysis: PB 2	2014 Offic	e of Secr	etary Of	Defense						DATE	: April 201	3	
APPROPRIATION/BU 0400: Research, Deve BA 4: Advanced Com	elopment,	Test & Evaluation,				PE 060	3161D8Z Il Security	NCLATU : Nuclear //Counter	and Con	PROJE P162: N Security	Nuclear ar	nd Conven	tional Ph	iysical	
Test and Evaluation	(\$ in Milli	ions)		FY 2	2012	FY 2	013	FY 2 Ba		FY 2		FY 2014 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	All Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Wide Area Surveillance Thermal Imager	Sub Allot	Force Protection Branch ESC/ HSS:Hanscom AFB, MA	0.000	0.000		0.250		0.250		-		0.250	0.000	0.500	0.500
Sensor Fusion: IR and Raman	MIPR	NAVEOD Tech Div:Indian Head, MD	1.600	0.800		0.500		-		-		-	0.000	2.900	2.900
Enhance IMS Systems	MIPR	NAVEOD Tech Div:Indian Head, MD	1.700	-		0.000		-		-		-	0.000	1.700	1.700
Long Range Thermal Imager	Sub Allot	Force Protection Branch ESC/ HSS:Hanscom AFB, MA	0.000	0.000		0.250		0.000		-		0.000	0.000	0.250	0.250
Fence Sensors & Cold Weather Testing	Sub Allot	Force Protection Branch ESC/ HSS:Hanscom AFB, MA	0.000	0.000		0.000		2.346		-		2.346	0.000	2.346	2.346
		Subtotal	3.300	0.800		1.000		2.596		0.000		2.596	0.000	7.696	7.696
Management Service	es (\$ in M	lillions)		FY 2	2012	FY 2	2013	FY 2 Ba		FY 2		FY 2014 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	All Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
RDT&E Travel	TBD	Washington Headquarters Services:Washington DC	0.000	0.000		0.025		0.025		-		0.025	0.000	0.050	0.050
		Subtotal	0.000	0.000		0.025		0.025		0.000		0.025	0.000	0.050	0.050
			All Prior Years	FY 2	2012	FY 2	2013	FY 2 Ba		FY 2		FY 2014 Total	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
		Project Cost Totals	33.609	29.792		33.234		34.443		0.000		34.443	0.000	131.078	131.078

PE 0603161D8Z: *Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Counter...*Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost An	nalysis: PB 2014 Office	of Secretary C	Of Defense			DATE	: April 201	13	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVIT 0400: Research, Development, Test & BA 4: Advanced Component Developn	Evaluation, Defense-W			ENCLATURE  Z: Nuclear and Conv y/Countering Nucle	ventional P16	Security			
	All Prior Years	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO	FY 2014 Total	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value o Contrac
Remarks									

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project J	chibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secretary Of Defense											
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET AC 0400: Research, Development, 7 BA 4: Advanced Component Dev		PE 060316				PROJECT P164: CN7		Passive Def	ense			
		Threats										
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO ##	FY 2014 Total	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Cost To Complete	Total Cost			
P164: CNT Rad/Nuc Passive Defense	1.985	-	1.985	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	Continuing	Continuing			
Quantity of RDT&E Articles												

<sup>\*</sup> FY 2013 Program is from the FY 2013 President's Budget, submitted February 2012

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This project establishes a Defense-wide Countering Nuclear Threats (CNT) Materiel development Program. The CNT acquisition strategy directly applies to a Joint requirement for CNT materiel development and addresses the materiel and sustainment gaps for general purpose Joint Forces, including the US Army 20th Support Command and Navy Visit, Board, Search, and Seizure, as well as the Technical Support Groups; NIMBLE ELDER and the US Special Operations Command where required.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Title: CNT Rad/Nuc Passive Defense	0.000	0.000	1.985
Description: Advanced Development of Joint Radiological and Nuclear passive defense systems			
FY 2014 Plans: Development of Joint Radiological and Nuclear passive defense systems (i.e. Man Portable Detection System and the Joint Personal Dosimeter)			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	0.000	0.000	1.985

# C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

#### Remarks

## **D. Acquisition Strategy**

N/A

#### **E. Performance Metrics**

The program performance metrics are established/approved through the Countering Nuclear Threats Program Manager. The cost, schedule and technical progress is reviewed on a quarterly basis. Performance variances are addressed and corrective action(s) is(are) implemented as necessary.

PE 0603161D8Z: *Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Counter...*Office of Secretary Of Defense

**UNCLASSIFIED** 

Page 17 of 21 R-1 Line #75

<sup>##</sup> The FY 2014 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2014 Office of Secretary	Of Defense		DATE: April 2013
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	<b>PROJECT</b>	
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide	PE 0603161D8Z: Nuclear and Conventional	P164: CN7	TRad/Nuc Passive Defense
BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)	Physical Security/Countering Nuclear		
	Threats		

Product Developmen	et Development (\$ in Millions)			FY 2012 FY 2013		2013	FY 2014 Base		FY 2014 OCO		FY 2014 Total				
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	All Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
CNT Rad/Nuc Passive Defense Development	TBD	TBD:TBD	0.000	0.000		0.000		1.985		-		1.985	0.000	1.985	1.985
		Subtotal	0.000	0.000		0.000		1.985		0.000		1.985	0.000	1.985	1.985
	All Prior							FY 2	2014	FY 2	2014	FY 2014	Cost To	Total	Target Value of

	All Prior Years	FY 2	012	FY 2	2013	FY 20 Bas	-	FY 2014 OCO	FY 2014 Total	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Project Cost Totals	0.000	0.000		0.000		1.985		0.000	1.985	0.000	1.985	1.985

Remarks

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	ustification	: PB 2014 C	Office of Sec	retary Of D	efense					DATE: Apr	il 2013	
0400: Research, Development, To	APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY  0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide  BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)							nventional lear	PROJECT P165: National Technical Nuclear Forens Systems			Forensics
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO ##	FY 2014 Total	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Cost To Complete	Total Cost			
P165: National Technical Nuclear Forensics Systems	27.213	-	27.213	14.572	14.215	13.000	13.500	Continuing	Continuing			
Quantity of RDT&E Articles												

<sup>\*</sup>FY 2013 Program is from the FY 2013 President's Budget, submitted February 2012

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Nuclear forensics is the thorough collection, analysis and evaluation of radiological and nuclear material in a pre-detonation state and post-detonation radiological or nuclear materials, devices and debris, as well as the immediate effects created by a nuclear detonation. The ability to identify the source of nuclear material from radioactive debris is critical to our national defense and security. Swift and accurate forensic and attribution (identification) capabilities are vital to developing an appropriate national response to a nuclear event and preventing future attacks in a timely manner.

Nuclear Terrorism is one of the most significant and pressing threats identified by national leadership. A credible nuclear forensics program is essential to preventing nuclear terrorism by deterring nations from sponsoring nuclear terrorism. During the Deputy Management Advisory Group process shortfalls and resources to close these gaps were identified and supported by the Deputy Secretary of Defense. The purpose of this program is to develop systems such as ground based Prompt Diagnostic sensors and Particulate Airborne Collection Systems to provide timely and accurate information to national leadership in the area of Nuclear Forensics.

Per DoDI 2060.04 OSD AT&L NCB is the program lead for the Department of Defense in Nuclear Forensics. NCB represents DoD interests in all areas of nuclear forensics but focuses heavily on post-detonation applications due to Presidential guidance assigning the department the lead role in develop, providing, and maintaining post detonation Nuclear Forensics capability.

This PE can fund travel to support the requirements of this program.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Title: National Technical Nuclear Forensics Systems	0.000	0.000	27.213
Description: Advanced development of ground based diagnostic and collection systems			
FY 2014 Plans:			

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 19 of 21

<sup>##</sup> The FY 2014 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secretar		DATE: April 2013				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)	PROJEC P165: Na Systems	P165: National Technical Nuclear Forensics				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)  Development for a Particulate Airborne Collection System that allows add	_	Y 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014		

## providing samples for the forensics process. Installation, testing, and operational support and integration of ground based Prompt Diagnostic systems in various key metropolitan areas. **Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals** 0.000 0.000 27.213

## C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

#### Remarks

### D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

#### E. Performance Metrics

The program performance metrics are established/approved through the Countering Nuclear Threats Program Manager. The cost, schedule and technical progress is reviewed on a guarterly basis. Performance variances are addressed and corrective action(s) is(are) implemented as necessary. This is new program focusing on advanced development to meet critical needs.

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2014 Office of Secretary Of Defense									DATE	DATE: April 2013					
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)					R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603161D8Z: Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Countering Nuclear Threats PROJECT P165: National Technical Nuclear Forensics Systems										
Product Development (\$ in Millions)			FY 2012 FY 2013		2013	FY 2014 Base		FY 2014 OCO		FY 2014 Total					
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	All Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
National Technical Nuclear Forensics Systems Development	TBD	TBD:TBD	0.000	0.000		0.000		27.188		-		27.188	0.000	27.188	27.188
		Subtotal	0.000	0.000		0.000		27.188		0.000		27.188	0.000	27.188	27.188
Management Services (\$ in Millions)			FY 2012 FY 2013		2013	FY 2014 FY 2014 Base OCO									
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	All Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
RDT&E Travel	TBD	Washington Headquarters Services:Washington DC	0.000	0.000		0.000		0.025		-		0.025	0.000	0.025	0.025
		Subtotal	0.000	0.000		0.000		0.025		0.000		0.025	0.000	0.025	0.025
			All Prior Years	FY 2		FY 2	2013	FY 2 Ba		FY 2		FY 2014 Total	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
		Project Cost Totals	0.000	0.000		0.000		27.213		0.000		27.213	0.000	27.213	27.213

Remarks