Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secretary Of Defense

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

PE 0603000D8Z: Joint Munitions Advanced Technology

DATE: April 2013

BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

COST (\$ in Millions)	All Prior Years	FY 2012	FY 2013 [#]	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO ##	FY 2014 Total	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	14.590	25.612	26.646	-	26.646	30.040	30.924	31.428	32.039	Continuing	Continuing
P002: Insensitive Munitions Advanced Technology	-	13.515	20.819	20.224	-	20.224	22.153	22.812	23.055	23.503	Continuing	Continuing
P301: Enabling Fuze Advanced Technology	-	1.075	4.793	6.422	-	6.422	7.887	8.112	8.373	8.536	Continuing	Continuing

FY 2013 Program is from the FY 2013 President's Budget, submitted February 2012

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program addresses advanced technology development associated with improving the lethality, reliability, safety, and survivability of munitions and weapon systems. The goal is to develop and demonstrate joint enabling technologies that can be used by the Program Executive Officers as they develop their specific weapon programs. The program invests in and demonstrates technologies from a Joint Service perspective, thus ensuring the development of technology with the broadest applicability while avoiding duplication of efforts.

Munition Area Technology Groups (MATGs) and Fuze Area Technology Groups (FATGs) have been established for each munition and capability area and are tasked with: 1) coordinating, establishing, and maintaining five, ten, and fifteen year technology development plans and roadmaps, 2) coordinating biannual meetings to review technical and programmatic details of each funded and proposed effort, 3) developing and submitting Technology Transition Agreements in coordination with appropriate Program Executive Offices (PEO) for insertion in their Insensitive Munition (IM) Strategic Plans / Fuze Technology Development Plan, and 4) interfacing with other MATGs / FATGs and IM / fuze science and technology projects as appropriate. The Joint Insensitive Munitions Technical Program (JIMTP) and Joint Fuze Technical Program (JFTP) will utilize a Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) (consisting of senior DoD and DOE laboratory representatives and senior Munitions PEO representatives) to provide program oversight, policy, direction, and priorities during its annual meeting.

The Insensitive Munitions effort will demonstrate enabling technologies needed to develop weapons in compliance with Insensitive Munitions requirements established in United States Code, Title 10, Chapter 141, Section 2389 and DoDI 5000.1. This effort will take promising technologies demonstrated at the laboratory scale and transition them into demonstration programs utilizing generic hardware based on priority munitions identified in the PEO IM Strategic Plans. Mature and demonstrated IM technology can be transitioned, thereby decreasing their program costs and schedule risk and facilitating spin-offs to other non-compliant munitions within their portfolios.

The JIMTP investments focus on five Munition Areas: 1) High Performance Rocket Propulsion, 2) Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion, 3) Blast and Fragmentation Warheads, 4) Anti-Armor Warheads, and 5) Gun Propulsion. Munition Area Technology Groups (MATG), under tri-service leadership, have developed technology roadmaps for each Munition Area which are used to guide investments based on goals consistent with the PEO IM Strategic Plans. These IM technologies, alone or in

^{##} The FY 2014 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secretary Of Defense

DATE: April 2013

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

PE 0603000D8Z: Joint Munitions Advanced Technology

BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)

combination, will be incorporated in hardware, simulating real-world munitions, to demonstrate their utility and feasibility as part of Technology Transition Agreements with PFOs.

The Enabling Fuze Advanced Technology effort will also demonstrate fuze enabling technologies needed to develop weapons that address priority capability areas identified in the Guidance for Development (GDF) of the Force, the Secretary of Defense Memorandum, DoD Policy on Cluster Munitions and Unintended Harm to Civilians, and shortfalls in current weapon systems. This effort will take promising technologies demonstrated at the laboratory scale and transition them into demonstration weapons and programs based on priority capabilities and technology needs identified and validated by the PEOs and the Heads of the Service Science and Technology (S&T) communities. In this way, promising multi-point initiation architectures, high reliability fuze architectures, survivable components, modular fuze packaging, and components produced based on ease of manufacturing can be integrated into munitions applications and its ability to address required capability needs will be validated. Mature fuze technologies will be transitioned to Weapon PEO's and/or Industry, thereby decreasing program costs and schedule risk while facilitating technology into potentially broader range of munitions applications.

The JFTP investments focus on four specific capability areas that have been identified by the Department strategic guidance and current shortfalls in weapon systems and as validated by the PEOs and the Service S&T communities. These capability areas are: 1) Hard Target Survivable Fuzing, 2) Tailorable Effects Weapon Fuzing, 3) High Reliability Fuzing, and 4) Enabling Fuze Technologies and Common Architecture. These Fuzing technologies will be incorporated in weapon applications to demonstrate their maturity and utility as part of Technology Transition Agreements with PEOs.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO	FY 2014 Total
Previous President's Budget	15.606	25.612	27.326	-	27.326
Current President's Budget	14.590	25.612	26.646	-	26.646
Total Adjustments	-1.016	0.000	-0.680	-	-0.680
 Congressional General Reductions 	-	-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 	-	-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 	-	-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-1.011	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
Baseline Adjustments	-	-	-0.680	-	-0.680
Other Adjustments	-0.005	-	-	-	-

Change Summary Explanation

FY 2014 baseline adjustments are reflective of DoD S&T priorities and requirements.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secretary Of Defense											il 2013	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACT		R-1 ITEM I	NOMENCL	ATURE	PROJECT	DJECT						
0400: Research, Development, Te		PE 0603000D8Z: Joint Munitions Advanced PC					P002: Insensitive Munitions Advanced					
BA 3: Advanced Technology Deve		Technology	У			Technology						
COST (\$ in Millions)	All Prior		,,	FY 2014	FY 2014	FY 2014					Cost To	Total
(\$	Years	FY 2012	FY 2013 [#]	Base	oco ##	Total	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Complete	Cost
P002: Insensitive Munitions Advanced Technology	-	13.515	20.819	20.224	-	20.224	22.153	22.812	23.055	23.503	Continuing	Continuing

^{*}FY 2013 Program is from the FY 2013 President's Budget, submitted February 2012

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Insensitive Munitions effort addresses advanced technology development associated with improving the lethality, reliability, safety, and survivability of munitions and weapon systems. The goal is to develop and demonstrate joint enabling technologies that can be used by program managers as they develop their specific weapon programs. The program invests in and demonstrates technologies from a Joint Service perspective, thus ensuring the development of technology with the broadest applicability while avoiding duplication of efforts.

This effort will demonstrate enabling technologies needed to develop weapons in compliance with Insensitive Munitions requirements established in United States Code, Title 10, Chapter 141, Section 2389 and DoDI 5000.1. This effort will take promising technologies demonstrated at the laboratory scale and transition them into demonstration programs utilizing generic hardware based on priority munitions identified in the PEO IM Strategic Plans. Mature demonstrated IM technology can be transitioned, thereby decreasing their program costs and schedule risk and facilitating spin-offs to other non-compliant munitions within their portfolios.

The Joint Insensitive Munitions Technology Program investments focus on five Munition Areas: 1) High Performance Rocket Propulsion, 2) Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion, 3) Blast and Fragmentation Warheads, 4) Anti-Armor Warheads, and 5) Gun Propulsion. Munition Area Technology Groups (MATG), under tri-service leadership, have developed technology roadmaps for each Munition Area which is used to guide investments based on goals consistent with the DoD IM Strategic Plan. These IM technologies, alone or in combination, will be incorporated in hardware, simulating real-world munitions, to demonstrate their utility and feasibility as part of Technology Transition Agreements with PEOs.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Title: High Performance Rocket Propulsion (HPP)	2.858	4.232	4.169
Description: High Performance Rocket Propulsion (HPP) focus on the development and demonstration of technologies to improve the IM response of HPP systems (rocket motors with Ammonium Perchlorate and with or without a metal fuel) for rockets and missiles launched from air, ground, and sea platforms. These technologies, when applied to rocket motors, improve IM response to one or more threats, while not degrading the response to other IM threats and at least maintaining munition performance. Technologies include, but are not limited to, rocket propellant ingredients (including synthesis, characterization and scale-up), reduced smoke or smokey propellants (including formulation, characterization and scale-up), rocket motor case design, materials for active and passive thermal mitigation, shock mitigation materials and techniques, passive and active coatings, active and passive venting techniques for motor cases or containers, ignition systems, sensors and thrust mitigation techniques.			

^{##} The FY 2014 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date

	UNCLASSIFIED						
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secretar	y Of Defense	DATE	: April 2013				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD) R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603000D8Z: Joint Munitions Advanced Technology PROJECT PO02: Insensitive Munitions Advanced Technology							
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014			
Operating conditions may be controlled or widely varying in both temperation of the HPP MATG are concentrated on solving the IM response of missile Cook Off for the majority of High Performance Propulsion rocket motors, High Performance Propulsion motors.	e propulsions systems due to Fragment Impacts and	Slow					
FY 2012 Accomplishments: Conducted aging study and full scale Insensitive Munition (IM) demonst Conducted 70 pound BATES motor static test firing to demonstrate proper Fabricated five-inch rocket motors using novel rocket motor design, and impact, and fast and slow cook off.	ellant performance.	ıt .					
 FY 2013 Plans: Contract award to manufacture seven inch diameter rocket motor case support baseline IM testing. Integrate components of delivered assets an testing. Manufacture motor cases, demonstrate five-gallon mix process, and perextinguishable rocket propellant. Scale up to 50 gallon mix a high energy propellant, fill three uniquely mere conduct IM testing on rocket motor equipped with unique safety devices propellant. 	ad finalize motor fabrication for testing. Conduct IM erform initial aging and thermal/mechanical studies of the annufactured cases and conduct IM testing.						
 FY 2014 Plans: Conduct baseline slow cook off and fragment impact IM testing in seve motors, prepare and conduct baseline fast cook off and bullet impact IM tinal IM testing. Complete bondline evaluation and demonstrate 30 gallon mix process. Prepare, load, and conduct IM testing om novel small diameter missile Procure rocket motor materials, cast motors, and conduct component to 	tests. Integrate IM mitigation technologies and perform testing of 30 gallon mix properties. propellant formulation in manufactured motor cases	orm					
Title: Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion (MSP)		3.17	1 4.629	2.504			
Description: Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion (MSP) focus on the improve the IM response of MSP systems. The development and demor when applied to munition systems, will improve munition IM response to other IM threats and at least maintaining munition performance. Technol formulations, ingredients for MS propellant formulations (including synthetics)	nstration of minimum signature (MS) rocket technologone or more threats, while not degrading the respondagies include but are not limited to MS rocket prope	se to llant					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secreta	ry Of Defense	DATE:	April 2013			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	PROJECT P002: Insensitive Munitions Advanced Technology					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014		
design, active and passive venting techniques, rocket motor case design particular interest are technologies toward higher burning rate MS prope sensitivity. The five, ten, and fifteen year goals of the MSP MATG are or propulsion systems due to Fragment Impact, Slow Cook Off, and Shape	ellants with state-of-the-art energy and reduced shock oncentrated on solving the IM response of missile					
 FY 2012 Accomplishments: Conducted slow cook off and fragment impact reliability testing of mote conducted propellant down-select testing. Prepared, loaded, and conducted propellant down-select testing. Prepared, loaded, and conducted composite cases, for direct comparison with baseline propellants. Scale scale and conducted physical property tests and prepared samples for sometimental vent mechanism design, manufactured and tested component cook off testing on large scale motor. Conducted aging and environmental tests of rocket motor thermal ring system and conducted fast and slow cookoff tests using inert as well as mechanism to determine benefits of both systems. Manufactured and conducted characterization testing of unique propel and operator-friendly properties. 	icted IM tests on propellant candidates in metal and ed-up additional novel propellant formulation to five gaseven-inch rocket motor testing. Its to validate precision and accuracy. Conducted slow venting mechanism. Modified containers with venting live rocket motors modified with the case venting	3				
FY 2013 Plans: • Load demonstrator motor with down-selected propellant formulation, in	ncorporate case enhancements, and prepare to condu	ct				
 IM tests. Conduct full-scale motor static tests of IM propellants. Prepare to demonstrate reduced sensitivity minimum signature propel Complete initial motor designs and hardware production in order to co Demonstrate enhanced insensitive propellant readiness for motor des Complete venting design to include propellant fabrication, acquisition weapon, and subsequent munition scale slow cook off and bullet impact minimum signature and operator-friendly properties. 	nduct IM evaluations for fielded munition designs. ign. of hardware, assembled and tested for man-portable					
FY 2014 Plans: • Demonstrate reduced sensitivity minimum signature propellant ballistic Insensitive Munition Technology Transition Program and insertions into a Conduct IM, structural, and ballistic testing on full-scale demonstrator	weapon systems.					
Title: Blast and Fragmentation Warheads (BFW)		2.942	7.203	7.68		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secreta	ary Of Defense		DATE:	April 2013	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	PROJECT P002: Insensitive Munitions Advan Technology				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Description: Blast and Fragmentation Warheads (BFW) focus on the description: The IM response of BFW munitions. The development and demonstration fuze technologies that, when applied to munitions, improve IM response to other IM threats and at least maintaining munition performance are of limited to new ingredient synthesis and characterization, initial formulation venting techniques for both munitions and their containers, protection / prinitiation devices, techniques, and technologies. Applications vary but in bulk demolition charges, and bulk fills for blast and/or fragmentation charges and reliability may be critically important depending on the intended mun BFW MATG are concentrated on solving the IM response of blast fragm Off, and SCJ threats.	on of explosive ingredients, explosives, and warhead to one or more threats, while not degrading the resp of particular interest. Technologies include but are not on development, scale-up, warhead/charge configural packaging materials and systems, shock mitigation limited high performance warhead fills, booster explosinges. Munition operating conditions may be controlled and vibration, and other factors such as cost, availabilimition application. The five, ten, or fifteen year goals of	and onse tion, ners, sives, ed ity, of the			
 FY 2012 Accomplishments: Conducted full scale IM and performance tests on unique 500 pound I selection of final candidate for transition to responsible program manage. Completed validation testing using unique explosives to ensure function. Completed initiation system environmental survivability testing and preducted characterization tests to ensure purity and particle size of include full scale slow cook off test in various warhead sizes. Performed high explosive testing to compare subject materials agains models to assess new Insensitive High Explosive (IHE) fills and selected. Prepared and conducted sub-scale performance testing using candidate. 	er. onality of initiator. epared for IM tests using system level hardware. materials. Conducted environmental and IM tests to st baseline bomb fill materials. Used sympathetic read d appropriate formulation for refinement.				
 FY 2013 Plans: Complete large scale testing of initiator using novel explosive. Fabrical level hardware to transition to IM technology transition program. Conduct formulation refinements and subscale IM tests. Prepare assorated initiation designs with explosive fill candidate and conduct srate that unique initiation system components sympathetic detonation testing. Manufacture novel bomb fill for initial characterization testing and load. Conduct "quick look" performance testing on prototype unique warhed acceptable initiation and fragmentation performance has been obtained. 	ets for full-scale IM tests. mall-scale tests as well as full Bucket Test series. ents can pass impact survivability requirements and ding to determine baseline formulation. ads to determine baseline performance and to ensure				

	UNCLASSIFIED				
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secreta	ry Of Defense		DATE: A	April 2013	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	PROJECT P002: Insensitive Munitions Advanced Technology				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	Y 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
 Conduct full-scale 500 pound bomb demonstration lethality testing to i subsequent analysis. 	nclude horizontal and vertical arena testing and				
 FY 2014 Plans: Demonstrate fault tolerant redundant initiation system capable of pass initiating unique explosive formulation at hot and cold temperatures. Conduct bullet impact, fragment impact, and slow cook off testing with explosive. Conduct modeling and simulation effort on novel bomb fill to optimize representative articles for testing. Conduct slow and fast cook off, plus bullet impact Insensitive Munition configuration with new booster initiation systems. Computational analysis will be applied as a design tool to substantiate requirements with less sensitive explosives and other mechanical IM defabricated for testing and IM mitigation designs will be tested against slove reaction, and shaped charge jet threats. 	production representative grenade assembly using reformulation, scale up best candidates, and fill (IM) testing on 500 pound bomb unique fills in half-file the feasability of meeting IM and performance sign features in unique warheads. Hardware will be	novel			
Title: Anti-Armor Warheads (AAW)			2.322	2.457	3.789
Description: Anti-Armor Warheads (AAW) focus on the development are warhead and fuze technologies for improving IM of AAW munitions. The warhead and fuze technologies that, when applied to munitions, improve the response to other IM threats and at least maintaining munition performed ingredient synthesis and characterization, initial formulation development techniques for both munitions and their containers, protection/packaging devices, techniques, and technologies. Applications vary but include his other technology to mitigate the violent response of Anti-Armor Warhead may be controlled or have widely varying environmental conditions, such as cost, availability, and reliability may be critically important depending and fifteen year goals of the AAW MATG are concentrated on solving the IM response to the Shaped Charge Jet threat.	e development of explosive ingredients, explosives, as a IM response to one or more threats, while not degra rmance. Technologies include but are not limited to oment, scale-up, warhead/charge configuration, vention materials and systems, shock mitigation liners, initially performance warhead fills, booster explosives, and munitions to IM threats. Munition operating condition as temperature and vibration, and other factors such on the intended munition application. The five, ten, e IM response of anti-armor warheads to the Fragme	and ading ng ation d all ons h			
FY 2012 Accomplishments: • Loaded hardware and conducted IM and performance tests to validate transition to a program of record.	e performance and finalize recommended solutions fo	or			

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 7 of 13

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secret	-		DATE:	April 2013	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603000D8Z: Joint Munitions Advanced Technology	PROJECT P002: Insensitive Munitions Advanced Technology			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY	2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Optimized phase one designs based upon small and large warhead t designs and optimized design for fast and slow cook off and bullet and		/0			
 FY 2013 Plans: Conduct modeling and simulation down-selection of candidate technologistic suitable for higher velocity munition requirements. Fabricate, load, insprepresentative articles. Conduct synthesis and production of two unique energetic materials amedium caliber munition. Conduct synthesis and production of two unique energetic materials are replacement munition booster. 	pect, and conduct limited IM and performance testing of and conduct initial performance validation studies for a	a			
 FY 2014 Plans: Finalize higher velocity munition IM design, fabricate, load, and conde Continue performance validation studies, and initial IM testing on two Continue performance validation studies, and initial IM testing on two booster. 	unique energetic materials for a medium caliber mun				
Title: Gun Propulsion (GP)			2.222	2.298	2.076
Description: Gun Propulsion (GP) focuses on the development and desystems. The development and demonstration of gun propulsion techniquement in the munition IM response to one or more threats, while not degrading the remunition performance. Technologies include but are not limited to gun formulations (including synthesis, characterization and scale-up), cartrictechniques, reduced sensitivity primer propellant and primer systems, a vary, but include both large and medium caliber munitions, as well as p munitions. Operating requirements vary, and other factors such as bar may be critically important depending on the intended munition applications are concentrated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munition.	nologies, when applied to munition systems, will impro- esponse to other IM threats and at least maintaining propellant formulations, ingredients for gun propellant dge case and packaging design, active and passive ver and robust primers for insensitive propellants. Applica propelling charges for mortars and shoulder launched arel life and operation over varying environmental condi- tion. The five, ten, and fifteen year goals of the GP Markets.	enting tions			
 FY 2012 Accomplishments: Conducted primer testing and final IM testing of propellant and prime impact, shaped charge jet impacts and slow and fast cook off. 	r optimization formulations less sensitive to fragment				
FY 2013 Plans: Scale-up two propellant formulations for use in shoulder fired weapon	n system.				

UNCLASSIFIED PE 0603000D8Z: Joint Munitions Advanced Technology Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit K-2A, KD1&E Project Justification. FB 2014 Office of Secretary Of E	Pelelise		DAIL.					
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROJECT							
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide	P002: Insensitive Munitions Advanced							
BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	Technology Technology							
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F'	Y 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014			
Conduct engineering and sensitivity testing.								
FY 2014 Plans:								
Conduct full-scale fast and slow cook off and fragment impact testing of two parts.	Conduct full-scale fast and slow cook off and fragment impact testing of two propellant formulations for use in shoulder fired							
weapon systems.								

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

· Conduct initial container venting design, manufacture, and tests.

			FY 2014	FY 2014	FY 2014					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2012	FY 2013	Base	000	<u>Total</u>	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Complete	Total Cost
• 0602000D8Z P000: <i>BA2</i>	14.495	14.216	13.588		13.588	14.615	15.041	15.220	15.516	Continuing	Continuing
Insensitive Munitions											

Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

E. Performance Metrics

- 1) Transitions of technologies developed by the program are tracked and documented using DoD/NASA Technical Readiness Level (TRL) scale.
- 2) MATG Technology Roadmaps are prepared, evaluated, and analyzed by JIMTP management and technical staff.
- 3) Chairman's Annual Assessments for each MATG are critically reviewed by the TAC to determine progress, transition plans, and relevance of each project.
- 4) Projects progress toward goals and milestones is assessed at each MATG meeting.

Exhibit R-2A RDT&F Project Justification: PR 2014 Office of Secretary Of Defense

- 5) Annual technical reports and papers are tracked and documented for the Program.
- 6) External Peer Reviews of Projects are conducted as part of Joint Army/Navy/NASA/Air Force meetings.
- 7) Technology Transition Agreements are in place with Munition programs.

DATE: April 2013

13.515

20.819

20.224

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secretary Of Defense											DATE: April 2013			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET AC 0400: Research, Development, BA 3: Advanced Technology De					PROJECT P301: Enabling Fuze Advanced Technology									
COST (\$ in Millions)	All Prior Years		FY 2013 [#]	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO ##	FY 2014 Total	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Cost To Complete	Total Cost		
P301: Enabling Fuze Advanced Technology	-	1.075	4.793	6.422	-	6.422	7.887	8.112	8.373	8.536	Continuing	Continuing		

[#] FY 2013 Program is from the FY 2013 President's Budget, submitted February 2012

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This effort will demonstrate fuze enabling technologies needed to develop weapons that address priority capability areas identified in the Guidance for Development of the Force, the Secretary of Defense Memorandum, DoD Policy on Cluster Munitions and Unintended Harm to Civilians, and shortfalls in current weapon systems. This effort will take promising technologies integrated and tested to Technical Readiness Level (TRL) five and demonstrate the technologies to a TRL-six utilizing weapon hardware based on priority capabilities and technology needs identified and validated by the Program Executive Officers (PEOs) and the Heads of the Service S&T communities. Mature demonstrated fuze technology will be transitioned, thereby decreasing their program costs and schedule risk and facilitating spin-offs to other munitions within their portfolios. Under the Joint Fuze Technology Program (JFTP), investments are focused on specific capability areas that have been identified by Department strategic guidance and current shortfalls in weapon systems and validated by the PEOs and Heads of the Service S&T communities. These four capability areas are: 1) Hard Target Survivable Fuzing, 2) Tailorable Effects (TE) Weapon Fuzing, 3) High Reliability Fuzing, and 4) Enabling Fuze Technologies and Common Architecture.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Title: Hard Target Fuzing	0.326	1.123	1.726
Description: The Hard Target Fuzing challenges are grouped into three Technology Areas. First, improved modeling and simulation capabilities provide the validated computational tools necessary for hard target applications. Second, basic phenomenology & understanding of the Fuze Environment is the science-based endeavor of providing the test equipment, instrumentation, and analysis techniques for experimentation and data gathering necessary for next generation fuzing. Third, hard target survivable fuze components are developed to increase the effectiveness of facility denial munitions by improving the prediction tools and testing methodologies to evaluate the survivability and functionality of legacy and future fuzes. Development of these technologies will enable next generation boosted and hypersonic penetrators to execute missions against hardened and deeply buried targets.			
FY 2012 Accomplishments: - Built Hardened Miniature Fuze Technology (HMFT) hardware for survivability and functionality evaluation in sled testing against complex penetration targets.			

^{##} The FY 2014 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date

	UNCLASSIFIED					
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secretary 0	D	DATE: April 2013				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	PROJECT P301: Enablin	OJECT 01: Enabling Fuze Advanced Technology				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 20	12	FY 2013	FY 2014	
- Developed and applied advanced fuze modeling and simulation tools for Senetrating Weapon.	Service applications including Air Force High Velo	city				
 FY 2013 Plans: Conduct validation experiments on advanced fuze High-G modeling and s Continue to develop survivable modular fuze technology for application in distributed/embedded fuzes. 						
FY 2014 Plans: - Conduct high speed weapon hard target tests, to include high shock data - Transition survivable modular fuze technology for application into multi-rol embedded fuzes.						
Title: Tailorable Effects Fuzing		С	.430	1.220	1.494	
Description: Develop fuzing for tailorable effects weapons that encompass weapon (Dial-a-Yield) and/or the ability to generate selectable effects (direct multi-point technologies; electronic safe and arm based multi-point initiators MicroElectro-Mechanical Systems (MEMS) based multi-point initiators for turing for tailorable effects weapons. These technologies will enable weapon minimizing unintentional collateral effects.	eted blast, fragmentation). Develop initiation and s for tunable output – scalable yield warheads; unable output/scalable yield warheads; and smart	while				
FY 2012 Accomplishments: - Developed variable yield warhead initiation architecture and control techn for warhead applications. - Completed advanced micro-transformer tests to enable Industry transition high voltage firing systems.	-					
FY 2013 Plans: - Conduct tests of warhead initiation architecture and control technologies i reducing collateral damage will benefit using tailorable effects technologies.						
FY 2014 Plans:						
- Conduct demonstration tests of warhead initiation and selectable architec	cture and control technologies in live explosive tes		0.119	1.310	1.746	
Title: High Reliability Fuzing	to and unexploded ordnesses (LIVO) reduction		1.119	1.310	1.740	
Description: Develop high reliability fuzing architectures, fuzing componen features. These technologies will enable the next generation of cluster mur						

PE 0603000D8Z: Joint Munitions Advanced Technology
Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Office of Secre	etary Of Defense	DAT	E: April 2013			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603000D8Z: Joint Munitions Advanced Technology	PROJECT				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 201	2 FY 2013	FY 2014		
reliability goal. Evolving DoD emphasis on increased weapon system approaches for achieving increased fuze reliability while maintaining or reliability expectations and harsher weapon system operational require available using current technologies.	r enhancing fuze design safety. DoD policy, higher we	apon				
FY 2012 Accomplishments: - Built and tested high reliability fuze architecture technology initial pro- eliminating single-point and common-mode failures Integrated phase one MEMS fuze device components and fabrication		by				
FY 2013 Plans: - Refine design, along with increasing level of integration, and test hig maintaining safety by eliminating single-point and common-mode failu - Demonstrate high reliability miniature fuzes in air-gun testing, that si Readiness Level (TRL) five.	res.	chnical				
FY 2014 Plans: - Develop and demonstrate phase two high reliability MEMS fuze tech and arming (S&A) in Guided Mortar round and bomb fuze bellows more		ty				
Title: Enabling Fuze Technologies		0.2	00 1.140	1.45		
Description: Develop common / modular fuze architectures; innovative fuze setting capability, tools and modeling; and fuzing power sources. effective solutions while meeting or exceeding the performance of exist enable future weapon applications to be more mission adaptive and setting the performance of exist enables future weapon applications.	These fuzing technologies will provide smaller, more opting technologies. Development of these technologies	cost				
FY 2012 Accomplishments: - Built and tested second phase miniature retard and impact sensors relevant environments simulating bomb deployment Conducted functional and safety assessment and testing of common modular electronics, sensors, interfaces, and packaging.	.,					
FY 2013 Plans: - Begin joint program with Industry to develop sensor technology into						

Exhibit K-2A, KDT&E Project Justification. FB 2014 Office of Secretary C		DAIL.	April 2013		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT P301: Enabling Fuze Advanced Techi			
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide	PE 0603000D8Z: Joint Munitions Advanced				
BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	Technology				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY	2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
- Begin (transition from 6.2 efforts) of advanced, exploitation resistant proxi					
FY 2014 Plans:					
- Conduct air-drop demonstration testing miniature retard and impact sensor					
technology into bomb fuzing applications.					
- Conduct testing of advanced, exploitation resistant proximity sensor advan	nced technology development.				

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

			FY 2014	FY 2014	FY 2014					Cost To	
Line Item	FY 2012	FY 2013	Base	OCO	Total	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Complete	Total Cost
• 0602000D8Z P204: BA2 Enabling	5.833	6.399	5.977		5.977	6.941	7.131	7.316	7.458	Continuing	Continuing
Fuze Technology											

Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

E. Performance Metrics

- 1) Transitions of technologies developed by the Program are tracked and documented using DoD/NASA TRL scale.
- 2) FATG Technology Roadmaps are prepared, evaluated, and analyzed by JFTP management and technical staff.
- 3) Chairman's Annual Assessments for each FATG are critically reviewed by the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) to determine progress, transition plans, and relevance of each project.
- 4) Project progress toward goals and milestones is assessed at each FATG meeting.

Exhibit R-2A RDT&F Project Justification: PR 2014 Office of Secretary Of Defense

- 5) Annual technical reports and papers are tracked and documented for the Program.
- 6) Technology Transition Agreements are in place with Munition programs.

DATE: April 2013

1.075

4.793

6.422