Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2013 Navy										DATE: February 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 1319: *Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy* BA 4: *Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)*				R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603609N: *Conventional Munitions*							
COST ($ in Millions)	**FY 2011**	**FY 2012**	**FY 2013 Base**	**FY 2013 OCO**	**FY 2013 Total**	**FY 2014**	**FY 2015**	**FY 2016**	**FY 2017**	**Cost To Complete**	**Total Cost**
Total Program Element	5.333	4.753	7.342	-	7.342	8.513	8.533	9.430	8.646	Continuing	Continuing
0363: *Insensitive Munitions Adv. Development*	5.333	4.753	7.342	-	7.342	8.513	8.533	9.430	8.646	Continuing	Continuing

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Insensitive Munitions Advanced Development (IMAD) (Project 0363) - Most Navy munitions react violently when exposed to unplanned stimuli such as fire, shock and bullet or fragment impact, thus presenting a great hazard to ships, aircraft and personnel. This program will provide, validate and transition technology to all new weapon developments and
priority weapon systems and enable production of munitions insensitive to these stimuli with no reduction in combat performance. IMAD is the Navy's focused effort on propellants, propulsion units, explosives, warheads, fuses and pyrotechnics to reduce the severity of cook-off and bullet/fragment impact reactions, minimizing the probability for sympathetic detonation, both in normal storage and in use, increasing ship and platform survivability and satisfying performance and readiness requirements.

B. Program Change Summary ($ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total
Previous President's Budget	5.388	4.753	5.288	-	5.288
Current President's Budget	5.333	4.753	7.342	-	7.342
Total Adjustments	-0.055	-	2.054	-	2.054
• Congressional General Reductions	-	-			
• Congressional Directed Reductions	-	-			
• Congressional Rescissions	-	-			
• Congressional Adds	-	-			
• Congressional Directed Transfers	-	-			
• Reprogrammings	-	-			
• SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.028	-			
• Program Adjustments	-	-	2.099	-	2.099
• Rate/Misc Adjustments	-	-	-0.045	-	-0.045
• Congressional General Reductions Adjustments	-0.027	-	-	-	-

Change Summary Explanation

Technical: Not applicable.

Schedule: Not applicable.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Navy			DATE: February 2012
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 1319: *Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy* BA 4: *Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)*	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603609N: *Conventional Munitions*	PROJECT 0363: *Insensitive Munitions Adv. Development*	

COST ($ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
0363: *Insensitive Munitions Adv. Development*	5.333	4.753	7.342	-	7.342	8.513	8.533	9.430	8.646	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Most Navy munitions react violently when exposed to unplanned stimuli such as fire, shock and bullet impact, thus presenting a great hazard to ships, aircraft and personnel. This program will provide, validate and transition technology to all new weapon developments and priority weapon systems and enable production of munitions insensitive to these stimuli with no reduction in combat performance. The Insensitive Munitions (IM) Program is the Navy's focused effort on propellants, propulsion units, explosives, warheads, fuses and pyrotechnics to reduce the severity of cook-off and bullet/fragment impact reactions, minimizing the probability for sympathetic detonation, both in normal storage and in use, increasing ship survivability and satisfying performance and readiness requirements. Each technology area is divided into subtasks addressing specific munition/munition class IM deficiencies. Energetic materials producibility is demonstrated to assure national capability to produce and load munitions systems. The program leverages are being closely coordinated with other Military Departments, North Atlantic Treaty organization (NATO) and allied countries to eliminate redundant efforts and maximize efficiency. A joint service IM requirement has been developed and through the IM Strategic Planning process, all PEO's are implementing IM in their priority munitions. Insensitive munitions are identified as a DoD critical technology requirement and considered as part of a weapon design. The Insensitive Munitions Advanced Development (IMAD) program matures the technology developed by a variety of Science and Technology (S&T) sources for program management integration into weapons systems to meet the IM technical deficiencies documented in the PEO IM Strategic Plans. IMAD provides the link between S&T programs and the PMs by optimizing IM technologies to meet Navy requirements. IMAD offers risk mitigation for the PMs in terms of IM technical knowledge, expertise and manpower with the State of the Art expertise across IM products. Each technology area is divided into subtasks addressing specific munition and munition class IM deficiencies.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs ($ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: Insensitive Munitions Adv. Development	5.333	4.753	7.342
Articles:	0	0	0
Description: Validate and assess weapon systems POA&M's for Insensitive Munitions (IM) compliance. Review Insensitive Munitions Strategic Plan (IMSP) for Navy Compile and analyze weapon system, energetic material and generic technology IM test data. Perform Threat Hazard Assessments (THAs). Perform analysis of Energetic Material properties logistic process. Review IM Certification and Waivers. Support Insensitive Munitions Council (IMC), Insensitive Munitions Coordination Group (IMCG), and IMC Working Group. Support and develop Insensitive Munitions Technology Tool (IMT2). Support North Atlantic Treaty Organization Standardization Agreement (NATO STANAG) and Advanced Operations (AOP) development. Support Insensitive Munitions Advanced Development (IMAD) program briefs. Support all Navy Joint Services Insensitive Munitions Technical Panel (JSIMTP) meetings. Support Explosive Safety Working Group (ESWG) meetings. Provide task management support for financial management, review of programmatic deliverables and overall task coordination.			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Navy		DATE: February 2012
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 1319: *Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy* BA 4: *Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)*	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603609N: *Conventional Munitions*	PROJECT 0363: *Insensitive Munitions Adv. Development*

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs ($ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
FY 2011 Accomplishments: Evaluate and demonstrate IM propellants and propulsion systems which provide improved or comparable performance to in-service systems and better IM characteristics. Combine candidate IM propellants and case concepts to demonstrate compliance with IM and performance requirements. Demonstrate an insensitive multi-mission, high performance rocket motor. Evaluate options for minimum smoke propellants for shoulder launched applications. Evaluated and demonstrated IM boost propellant formulation for future Tomahawk systems which provide improved and comparable performance to in-service systems and better IM characteristics. Combined candidate IM propellants and case concepts to demonstrate compliance with IM and performance requirements. Design a composite booster case for Tomahawk which will improve IM performance for cookoff and impact scenarios. Demonstrate new formulations that will self extinguish while maintaining performance for Advanced Medium-Range Air to Air Missile (AMRAAM), Sidewinder and other air launched systems. Look at new way to develop rocket propellant formulations that meet performance requirements and solve IM deficiencies. IM problems resolution using top down approach.Evaluate ordnance and container concepts. Model applications that reduce and enhance IM warhead design. Assess the operations utility of current and projected IM improvements to determine current state of IM and prioritize future funding for IM technology. Assess shielding evaluation of Tomahawk VLS storage canister. New cooperative effort with Advanced Gun System (AGS) LRLAP to review modeling to solve impact and cookoff with AUR pallet. ***FY 2012 Plans:*** Evaluate and demonstrate IM propellants and propulsion systems which provide improved or comparable performance to in-service systems and better IM characteristics. Combine candidate IM propellants and case concepts to demonstrate compliance with IM and performance requirements. Demonstrate an insensitive multi-mission, high performance rocket motor. Evaluate options for minimum smoke propellants for shoulder launched applications. Evaluated and demonstrated IM boost propellant formulation for future Tomahawk systems which provide improved and comparable performance to in-service systems and better IM characteristics. Combined candidate IM propellants and case concepts to demonstrate compliance with IM and performance requirements. Design a composite booster case for Tomahawk which will improve IM performance for cookoff and impact scenarios. Demonstrate new formulations that will self extinguish while maintaining performance for Advanced Medium-Range Air to Air Missile (AMRAAM), Sidewinder and other air launched systems. Look at new ways to develop rocket propellant formulations that meet performance requirements and solve IM deficiencies. IM problems resolution using top down approach.Evaluate ordnance and container concepts. Model applications that reduce and enhance IM warhead design. Assess the operations utility of current and projected IM improvements to determine current state of IM and prioritize future funding for IM technology. Assess shielding evaluation of Tomahawk VLS storage canister. New cooperative effort with Advanced Gun System (AGS) LRLAP to review modeling to solve impact and cookoff with AUR pallet. ***FY 2013 Plans:***			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Navy		DATE: February 2012
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 1319: *Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy* BA 4: *Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)*	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603609N: *Conventional Munitions*	PROJECT 0363: *Insensitive Munitions Adv. Development*

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs ($ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
N/A			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	5.333	4.753	7.342

C. Other Program Funding Summary ($ in Millions)

N/A

D. Acquisition Strategy

NOT APPLICABLE-

The Insensitive Munitions Advanced Development Program (IMAD) is assigned as a Non-ACAT program and therefore does not have program milestones like the ACAT I to IV programs. IMAD develops and evaluates IM technologies for use in Navy weapon systems and is not part of a particular weapon acquisition program.

E. Performance Metrics

Quarterly Program Reviews

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2013 Navy		DATE: February 2012
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 1319: *Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy* BA 4: *Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)*	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603609N: *Conventional Munitions*	PROJECT 0363: *Insensitive Munitions Adv. Development*

Product Development ($ in Millions)

Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	FY 2012 Cost	FY 2012 Award Date	FY 2013 Base Cost	FY 2013 Base Award Date	FY 2013 OCO Cost	FY 2013 OCO Award Date	FY 2013 Total Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
PROPULSION DEV. AND EVAL.	WR	NAWC DIV/CHINA LAKE:WX	90.125	1.078	Nov 2011	1.655	Nov 2012	-		1.655	0.000	92.858	
EXPLOSIVES DEV. AND EVAL.	WR	NSWC/INDIAN HEAD DIV.:WX	75.006	1.405	Nov 2011	2.364	Nov 2012	-		2.364	0.000	78.775	
ORDNANCE DEV. AND EVAL.	WR	NSWC/ DAHLGREN:WX	21.282	0.466	Nov 2011	0.654	Nov 2012	-		0.654	0.000	22.402	
GUN PROPULSION AND EVAL.	WR	NSWC/INDIAN HEAD DIV.:WX	2.952	1.002	Nov 2011	1.718	Nov 2012	-		1.718	0.000	5.672	
		Subtotal	189.365	3.951		6.391		-		6.391	0.000	199.707	

Management Services ($ in Millions)

Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	FY 2012 Cost	FY 2012 Award Date	FY 2013 Base Cost	FY 2013 Base Award Date	FY 2013 OCO Cost	FY 2013 OCO Award Date	FY 2013 Total Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
PROGRAM MANAGEMENT SUPT	WR	NOSSA:IN HEAD MD	5.227	0.145	Nov 2011	0.151	Nov 2012	-		0.151	0.000	5.523	
PROGRAM MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	MIPR	DTIC:FT BELVOIR VA	0.785	0.657	Nov 2011	0.800	Nov 2012	-		0.800	0.000	2.242	
		Subtotal	6.012	0.802		0.951		-		0.951	0.000	7.765	

	Total Prior Years Cost	FY 2012 Cost	FY 2012 Award Date	FY 2013 Base Cost	FY 2013 Base Award Date	FY 2013 OCO Cost	FY 2013 OCO Award Date	FY 2013 Total Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Project Cost Totals	195.377	4.753		7.342		-		7.342	0.000	207.472	

Remarks