Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2013 Office of Secretary Of Defense

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

PE 0602000D8Z: Joint Munitions Technology

**DATE:** February 2012

BA 2: Applied Research

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

| COST (\$ in Millions)          | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | FY 2013<br>Base | FY 2013<br>OCO | FY 2013<br>Total | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 | Cost To<br>Complete | Total Cost |
|--------------------------------|---------|---------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------------------|------------|
| Total Program Element          | 19.917  | 20.328  | 20.615          | -              | 20.615           | 20.840  | 21.914  | 22.499  | 22.909  | Continuing          | Continuing |
| P000: Insensitive Munitions    | 14.177  | 14.495  | 14.216          | -              | 14.216           | 14.449  | 14.858  | 15.263  | 15.472  | Continuing          | Continuing |
| P204: Enabling Fuze Technology | 5.740   | 5.833   | 6.399           | -              | 6.399            | 6.391   | 7.056   | 7.236   | 7.437   | Continuing          | Continuing |

## A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program addresses applied research associated with improving the lethality, reliability, safety and survivability of munitions and weapon systems. The goal is to develop and demonstrate joint enabling technologies that can be used by Program Managers as they develop their specific weapon programs. The program invests in and demonstrates technologies from a Joint Service perspective, thus insuring the development of technology with the broadest applicability while avoiding duplication of efforts.

Munition Area Technology Groups (MATGs) and Fuze Area Technology Groups (FATGs) have been established for each munition and capability area and are tasked with 1) coordinating, establishing, and maintaining five, ten, and fifteen year technology development plans and roadmaps, 2) coordinating biannual meetings to review technical and programmatic details of each funded and proposed effort, 3) developing and submitting Technology Transition Agreements in coordination with appropriate Program Executive Officers (PEOs) for insertion in their Insensitive Munitions (IM) Strategic Plans / Fuze Technology Development Plan, and 4) interfacing with other MATGs / FATGs and IM / fuze science and technology projects as appropriate. The Joint Insensitive Munitions Technology Program (JIMTP) and Joint Fuze Technology Program (JFTP) will utilize a Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) (consisting of senior Department of Defense (DoD) and Department of Energy laboratory representatives and senior Munitions PEO representatives) to provide program oversight, policy, direction and priorities during its annual meeting.

The IM effort will demonstrate enabling technologies needed to develop weapons in compliance with requirements established in United States Code, Title 10, Chapter 141, Section 2389 and DoD Instruction 5000.1. This effort will take promising technologies demonstrated at the laboratory scale and transition them into demonstration programs utilizing generic hardware based on priority munitions identified in the PEO IM Strategic Plans. Mature demonstrated IM technology can be transitioned, thereby decreasing their program costs and schedule risk and facilitating spin-offs to other non-compliant munitions within their portfolios.

Under the JIMTP, investments are focused on five Munition Areas: High Performance Rocket Propulsion, Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion, Blast and Fragmentation Warheads (BFW), Anti-Armor Warheads (AAW), and Large Caliber Gun Propulsion (LCGP). MATGs, under tri-service leadership, have developed technology roadmaps for each Munition Area, that are used to guide investments based on goals consistent with the DoD IM Strategic Plan. These IM technologies, alone or in combination, will be incorporated in hardware, simulating real-world munitions, to demonstrate their utility and feasibility as part of Technology Transition Agreements with PEOs.

The Enabling Fuze Technology effort will also demonstrate fuze enabling technologies needed to develop weapons that address priority capability areas identified in the Guidance for Development of the Force (GDF), the Secretary of Defense Memorandum, DoD Policy on Cluster Munitions and Unintended Harm to Civilians, and shortfalls in current weapon systems. This effort will take promising technologies demonstrated at the laboratory scale and transition them into demonstration

PE 0602000D8Z: Joint Munitions Technology Office of Secretary Of Defense

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 1 of 14

R-1 Line #7

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2013 Office of Secretary Of Defense

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

**APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY** 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

ide

PE 0602000D8Z: Joint Munitions Technology

BA 2: Applied Research

programs utilizing generic hardware based on priority capabilities and technology needs identified and validated by the PEOs and the Heads of the Service Science and Technology (S&T) communities. In this way, promising multi-point initiation architectures, high reliability fuze architectures, survivable components, modular fuze packaging, and components produced based on ease of manufacturing can be integrated into a munition configuration and its ability to address required capability needs can be validated. Mature fuze technology can be transitioned, thereby decreasing program costs and schedule risk and facilitating their spin-off into other munitions within their portfolios.

Under the Joint Fuze Technology Program, investments are focused on specific capability areas that have been identified by Department strategic guidance and current shortfalls in weapon systems and will be validated by the PEOs and the Heads of the Service S&T communities. These four capability areas are: 1) Hard Target Survivable Fuzing, 2) Tailorable Effects Weapon Fuzing, 3) High Reliability Fuzing, 4) and Enabling Fuze Technologies and Common Architecture.

| B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)            | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | <b>FY 2013 Base</b> | FY 2013 OCO | FY 2013 Total |
|---|---------|---------|---------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Previous President's Budget                           | 22.448  | 21.592  | 20.267              | -           | 20.267        |
| Current President's Budget                            | 19.917  | 20.328  | 20.615              | -           | 20.615        |
| Total Adjustments                                     | -2.531  | -1.264  | 0.348               | -           | 0.348         |
| <ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>  | -       | -       |                     |             |               |
| <ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul> | -       | -       |                     |             |               |
| <ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>         | -       | -       |                     |             |               |
| <ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>                | -       | -       |                     |             |               |
| <ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>  | -       | -       |                     |             |               |
| <ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>                    | -       | -       |                     |             |               |
| SBIR/STTR Transfer                                    | -0.146  | -0.127  |                     |             |               |
| <ul> <li>Other Program Adjustments</li> </ul>         | -0.206  | -       | 0.348               | -           | 0.348         |
| • FFRDC   | -0.075  | -0.137  | -                   | -           | -             |
| Economic Assumptions                                  | -0.104  | -       | -                   | -           | -             |
| <ul> <li>Congressional Adjustments</li> </ul>         | -2.000  | -1.000  | -                   | -           | -             |

**DATE:** February 2012

| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju | ry Of Defens | е       |                 |                |                  | DATE: February 2012 |         |                                     |         |                     |            |
|--------------------------------|--------------|---------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------|---------|-------------------------------------|---------|---------------------|------------|
|                                |              |         |                 |                |                  |                     |         | PROJECT P000: Insensitive Munitions |         |                     |            |
| COST (\$ in Millions)          | FY 2011      | FY 2012 | FY 2013<br>Base | FY 2013<br>OCO | FY 2013<br>Total | FY 2014             | FY 2015 | FY 2016                             | FY 2017 | Cost To<br>Complete | Total Cost |
| P000: Insensitive Munitions    | 14.177       | 14.495  | 14.216          | -              | 14.216           | 14.449              | 14.858  | 15.263                              | 15.472  | Continuing          | Continuing |

## A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Joint Insensitive Munitions (IM) Technology Program (JIMTP) is aimed at developing the enabling technologies needed to build weapons in compliance with requirements established in statute (United States Code, Title 10, Chapter 141, Section 2389) and regulation (DoDI 5000.1 and CJCSI 3170.01F). Using technology available today, the Department has incrementally improved the IM response of our current munitions. New munitions which have fully implemented current IM technology and design practices have been able to achieve IM compliance. However, these have been the most easily solved problems. Without new technology, future variants of current weapon systems will have the same, or worse, response to IM stimuli. New weapon developments will face similar challenges.

The JIMTP, investments are focused on five Munition Areas: High Performance Rocket Propulsion, Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion, Blast and Fragmentation Warheads, Anti-Armor Warheads, and Large Caliber Gun Propulsion. Munition Area Technology Groups (MATGs), under tri-service leadership, have developed technology roadmaps for each Munition Area that are used to guide investments based on goals consistent with the DoD IM Strategic Plan. The program is structured around these five areas with clear cross-cutting tasks.

| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)  | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | FY 2013 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|
| Title: High Performance Rocket Propulsion   | 2.580   | 2.880   | 2.656   |
| Description: High Performance Rocket Propulsion is focused on the development and demonstration of technologies to improve the IM response of High Performance Propulsion (HPP) systems (rocket motors with Ammonium Perchlorate and with or without a metal fuel) for rockets and missiles launched from air, ground and sea platforms. These technologies, when applied to rocket motors, improve IM response to one or more threats, while not degrading the response to other IM threats and at least maintainin munition performance. Operating conditions may be controlled or widely varying in both temperature and vibration. Technologies include, but are not limited to, rocket propellant ingredients (including synthesis, characterization and scale-up), reduced smoke or smokey propellants (including formulation, characterization and scale-up), rocket motor case design, materials for active and passive thermal mitigation, shock mitigation materials and techniques, passive and active coatings, active and passive venting techniques for motor cases or containers, ignition systems, sensors and thrust mitigation techniques. The five, ten, or fifteen yea goals of the HPP MATG are concentrated on solving the IM response of missile propulsions systems due to Fragment Impacts and Slow Cookoff for the majority of HPP rocket motors, and solving the Fast Cookoff response of very large HPP motors. |         |         |         |
| <ul> <li>FY 2011 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Completed small scale IM tests, demonstrated scale up of extinguishable high performance rocket propellants to one gallon siz batches, and determined low temperature mechanical properties.</li> <li>Conducted sub-scale fast cook-off testing to demonstrate mitigation methodology.</li> </ul>  | 9       |         |         |

| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Office of Secre  | <b>DATE:</b> February 2012  |                                     |         |         |         |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research   | R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602000D8Z: Joint Munitions Technology   | PROJECT P000: Insensitive Munitions |         |         |         |
| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)  |   |                                     | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | FY 2013 |
| <ul> <li>Completed sensor network design, conducted fast cook-off testing a demonstration.</li> <li>Scaled up reduced smoke propellant to the one and five gallon scale to enable small-scale motor testing and IM tests.</li> <li>Formulated and refined the processing of impregnated fibers in comtesting.</li> <li>Completed scale up of high performance rocket propellants to one properties testing.</li> <li>Scaled up formulation to produce five pounds of material and started</li> </ul>  | e with acceptable processing, safety, mechanical proposite cases. Began conducting safety and environal size batches and conduct mechanical and ballis  | roperties                           |         |         |         |
| <ul> <li>FY 2012 Plans:</li> <li>Scale up reduced smoke propellant to the five gallon scale with accessing, develop liner, and conduct slow cook-off IM test.</li> <li>Complete reduced smoke propellant evaluation, small-scale motor to formulation efforts to produce burn or no-reaction response for fragme events.</li> <li>Determine the thermal and mechanical response and the mechanical with additives with continued safety and environmental testing.</li> <li>Complete scale up of high performance rocket propellants to one gas sensitivity and safety testing.</li> <li>Design, analyze and build small-scale motors and conduct safety and</li> </ul> | esting, accelerated aging and IM tests. Conduct prent impact, bullet impact, slow cook-off and fast cooral strength of the fabricated composite cases impresallon size batches, refine processing procedures and  | opellant<br>k-off<br>gnated         |         |         |         |
| <ul> <li>FY 2013 Plans:</li> <li>Study thermal and mechanical responses of composite cases to slot</li> <li>Complete scale up of high performance rocket propellants to five gas sensitivity and safety testing.</li> <li>Complete final assembly and conduct slow and fast cook-off IM test</li> </ul>   | llon size batches, refine processing procedures and   | d conduct                           |         |         |         |
| Title: Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion  |   |                                     | 3.525   | 2.978   | 3.598   |
| <b>Description:</b> Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion is focused on the improve the IM response of Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion Te minimum signature (MS) rocket technologies, that when applied to mu one or more threats, while not degrading the response to other IM three Topics include but are not limited to MS rocket propellant formulations synthesis, characterization and scale-up), case and packaging design  | chnologies. The development and demonstration of unition systems, will improve munition IM response the eats and at least maintaining munition performance, ingredients for MS propellant formulations (including | of<br>to<br>ng                      |         |         |         |

| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Office of Secre   | etary Of Defense  |                    | DATE: Fel | oruary 2012 |         |
|--|---|--------------------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research  | PROJECT<br>P000: Ins  | T<br>ensitive Muni | itions    |             |         |
| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)   |   |                    | FY 2011   | FY 2012     | FY 2013 |
| design, ignition systems and thrust mitigation techniques. Of particular propellants with state-of-the-art energy and reduced shock sensitivity are concentrated on solving the IM response of missile propulsion systems. Charge Jet (SCJ) threats.   | The five, ten, and fifteen year goals of the MSP M  | ATG                |           |             |         |
| <ul> <li>FY 2011 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Conducted small scale IM tests and compared against prior baselin formulations for further study. The resultant down-selected propellant tests.</li> <li>Demonstrated passive venting design for slow cook-off IM test.</li> <li>Completed binder system alternatives assessment and down-selected scaled-up to gallon mix batches and evaluated bonding agents in interesting to prove the scale of th</li></ul> | ted formulations will then be optimized and subjected ted formulation for scale up to one gallon size mix. Inpact and shock tests to determine effectiveness. The hanical, aging and thermal testing to determine prosupport initial IM evaluation testing. | pellant            |           |             |         |
| <ul> <li>FY 2012 Plans:</li> <li>Optimized propellant candidates will be scaled-up to further charact properties. Conduct sub-scale motor performance test via seven incharact thermal cook-off and impact IM tests.</li> <li>Complete binder system alternatives full scale testing using one gale. Conduct additional impact and shock testing on alternative compositions and select best candidate for transition to BA 6.3.</li> <li>Scale-up to one pint mixes novel propellant and conduct impact and Scale up unique propellant and synthesize to 25, 50, 100 gram and</li> </ul>  | les baseline motor configuration, strand burner ball<br>lon size mixes for transition to BA 6.3 project.<br>te minimum signature propellant. Manufacture and<br>d cook-off testing to determine IM responses of form  | stics test,        |           |             |         |
| <ul> <li>FY 2013 Plans:</li> <li>Complete ballistics, shock sensitivity, beyond visual range impact, flarger scale IM tests with final formula down-selection and transition to</li> <li>Manufacture five gallon mixes to support IM analogue motor loading</li> <li>Scale up to one gallon scale, load analog motors, and conduct full-services</li> </ul>  | o BA 6.3 demonstration.<br>g and testing.   | Conduct            |           |             |         |
| Title: Blast and Fragmentation Warheads (BFW)  |   |                    | 3.798     | 3.984       | 3.758   |

| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Office of Secreta |   | DATE: February 2012 |                   |
|--|---|---------------------|-------------------|
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY  | R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE                     | PROJECT             |                   |
| ·  | PE 0602000D8Z: Joint Munitions Technology | P000: Inser         | nsitive Munitions |
| BA 2: Applied Research   |   |                     |                   |

# B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) FY 2011 FY 2012 FY 2013 **Description:** Blast and Fragmentation Warheads is focused on the development and demonstration of technologies to improve the IM response of Blast / Fragmentation munitions. The development and demonstration of explosive ingredients and explosives and warhead and fuze technologies that, when applied to munitions, improve IM response to one or more threats, while not degrading the response to other IM threats and at minimum maintain munition performance, are of particular interest. Munition operating conditions may be controlled or have widely varying environmental conditions, such as temperature and vibration, and other factors such as cost, availability and reliability may be critically important depending on the intended munition application. Applications vary but include high performance warhead fills, booster explosives, bulk demolition charges, and bulk fills for blast and/or fragmentation charges. Technologies include but are not limited to new ingredient synthesis and characterization, initial formulation development, scale-up, warhead/charge configuration, venting techniques for both munitions and their containers, protection or packaging materials and systems, shock mitigation liners, initiation devices, techniques, and technologies. The five, ten, and fifteen year goals of the BFW MATG are concentrated on solving the IM response of blast fragment warheads to the Sympathetic Detonation, Fast Cookoff and SCJ threats. FY 2011 Accomplishments: • Completed initiation studies in preparation to transition to BA 6.3 project. · Scaled up novel ingredient formulation to 150 gram batches and conducted safety and sensitivity testing. Completed sub-scale IM testing on optimized formulation using novel ingredient. Scaled up specially coated materials to 100 gram production capability in order to characterize material and conducted various tests to determine responses. Conducted characterization and IM testing to validate improvements in reactions to stimuli. · Concluded first generation proof of concept experiments, began modeling and simulation of novel explosive material manufacturing studies, and began weaponization study and demonstration of IM characteristics of unique warhead explosive. • Designed and fabricated a unique sensitization method application fixture. FY 2012 Plans: Down-select novel ingredient material formulation, complete sub-scale testing, and begin transition to BA 6.3 project. · Conclude second generation proof of concept experiments and start weaponization study of unique warhead explosive material. Begin down-selecting materials and the sensitization process in order to conduct device scale testing. FY 2013 Plans: Conclude manufacturing studies and weaponization study for Compounded HE Composites and prepare to demonstrate IM characteristics of unique warhead explosive material.

PE 0602000D8Z: *Joint Munitions Technology* Office of Secretary Of Defense

**UNCLASSIFIED** 

Page 6 of 14 R-1 Line #7

|   | UNCLASSIFIED   |  |           |             |         |
|---|--|--|-----------|-------------|---------|
| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Office of Secre  | etary Of Defense   |  | DATE: Fel | oruary 2012 |         |
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research   | PROJECT<br>P000: Inse  | nsitive Mun  | itions    |             |         |
| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)  |  |  | FY 2011   | FY 2012     | FY 2013 |
| Conclude down-selecting materials and the sensitization process in<br>and transition to BA 6.3 project.   | order to conduct device scale testing to validate the  | e process  |           |             |         |
| Title: Anti-Armor Warheads (AAW)  |  |  | 2.278     | 2.136       | 1.912   |
| Description: Anti-Armor Warheads is focused on the development as warhead and fuze technologies for improving IM of Anti-Armor Warhee explosives and warhead and fuze technologies that, when applied to while not degrading the response to other IM threats and at minimum conditions may be controlled or have widely varying environmental confactors such as cost, availability, and reliability may be critically import Applications vary but include high performance warhead fills, booster response of Anti-Armor Warhead munitions to IM threats. Technologiand characterization, initial formulation development, scale-up, warhemunitions and their containers, protection /packaging materials and stechniques, and technologies. The five, ten, and fifteen year goals of response of anti-armor warheads to the Fragment Impact and Slow Control Detonation threats, with a ten and fifteen year goal of resolving the IM | and munitions. The development of explosive ingred munitions, improve IM response to one or more three maintain munition performance. Munition operating orditions, such as temperature and vibration, and of tant depending on the intended munition application explosives, and all other technology to mitigate the ies include but are not limited to new ingredient synthesis and configuration, venting techniques for both systems, shock mitigation liners, and initiation devices the AAW MATG are concentrated on solving the IM cookoff threats and a five year goal of solving Sympastics. | dients, eats, eats, her la |           |             |         |
| <ul> <li>FY 2011 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Optimized processing procedure and completed characterization st aging study and various safety and IM tests to ensure acceptable agii</li> <li>Completed fragment impact screening test analysis and prepared for the completed characterization testing for formulation candidates, down performance tests for two candidates.</li> <li>Continued IM tests for novel coating technique evaluation for explosit</li> </ul>  | ng properties of explosive material. or BA 6.3 transition. n-select, scale-up formulations, and complete IM/   | rated  |           |             |         |
| <ul> <li>FY 2012 Plans:</li> <li>Conduct IM technology studies in the areas of initiation/booster technology warheads capable of producing deflagration and explosive ty threats.</li> <li>Scale up the baseline configuration to ten gallon, the spray coated formulations with spray coated high melting explosive.</li> </ul>  | pe reactions for shaped charge jet and fragment im   |  |           |             |         |

**UNCLASSIFIED** 

PE 0602000D8Z: *Joint Munitions Technology* Office of Secretary Of Defense

• Conduct critical diameter and slow cook-off IM tests of down-selected formulations.

FY 2013 Plans:

| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Office of Secretary Of Defense   PROPERTION  |   | UNCLASSIFIED  |                                |          |             |         |
|---|---|---|--------------------------------|----------|-------------|---------|
| A 2. Applied Research  BA 2. Applied Research  A 2. Applied Research  B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)  Conduct formulation and initial screening of explosive material to determine physical and performance characteristics.  Title: Large Caliber Gun Propulsion (LCGP)  Description: Large Caliber Gun Propulsion (LCGP)  Description: Large Caliber Gun Propulsion is focused on the development and demonstration of technologies in the area of Gun Propulsion The development and demonstration of technologies, that when applied to munition systems, will improve munition IM response to one or more threats, while not degrading the response to other IM threats and at least maintaining munition performance. Topics include but are not limited to gun propellant formulations, ingredients for gun propellant formulations, including synthesis, characterization and scale-up), carridge case and packaging design, active and passive venting techniques, reduced sensitivity primer propellant and primer systems and robust primers for insensitive propellant formulations application. The five, ten, or fifteen year goals of the LCGP MATG are concentrated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munitions application. The five, ten, or fifteen year goals of the LCGP MATG are concentrated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munitions application. The five, ten, or fifteen year goals of the LCGP MATG are concentrated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munitions applications. The five, ten, or fifteen year goals of the LCGP MATG are concentrated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munitions applications.  FY 2011 PS 2012 PS 2013  FY 2014 Accomplishments:  - Conducted small-scale testing and characterization efforts, as well as formulation down-selection effort.  - Conducted small-scale testing and characterization efforts, as well as formulation down-selection effort.  - Conducted instrumented ballistic simulator tests and complete full-scale IM tests of down-selected propellant formulation mixe | Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Office of Secre  | etary Of Defense  |                                | DATE: Fe | bruary 2012 |         |
| Conduct formulation and initial screening of explosive material to determine physical and performance characteristics.  Title: Large Caliber Gun Propulsion (LCGP)  Description: Large Caliber Gun Propulsion is focused on the development and demonstration of technologies in the area of Gun Propulsion Technologies. The development and demonstration of gun propulsion technologies, that when applied to munition systems, will improve munition IM response to one or more threats, while not degrading the response to other IM threats and at least maintaining munition performance. Topics include but are not limited to gun propellant formulations, ingredients for gun propellant formulations (including synthesis, characterization and scale-up), cartridge case and packaging design, active and passive venting techniques, reduced sensitivity primer propellant and primer systems and robust primers for insensitive propellants. Applications vary, but include both large and medium caliber munitions. Operating requirements vary, and other factors such as barrel life and operation over varying environmental conditions may be critically important depending on the intended munition application. The five, ten, or fifteen year goals of the LCGP MATC are concentrated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munitions to Fragment Impact, Shaped Charge Jet, and Slow Cookoff threats.  FY 2011 Accomplishments:  Conducted small-scale testing and characterization efforts, as well as formulation down-selection effort.  Conducted instrumented ballistic simulator tests and completed modification of required modeling and simulation tools for slow cook-off studies.  Synthesized and scaled-up energetic salts to 500 gram quantity and conducted characterization testing to support formulation and go/no-go decision.  FY 2012 Plans:  Amanufacture large-scale quantities and complete full-scale IM tests of down-selected propellant formulation mixes of novel propellant binder. Conduct sub-scale ballistic and IM testing.  Conduct various tests to valida   | 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide  |   |                                | itions   |             |         |
| Title: Large Caliber Gun Propulsion (LCGP)  Description: Large Caliber Gun Propulsion is focused on the development and demonstration of technologies in the area of Gun Propulsion Technologies. The development and demonstration of gun propulsion technologies, that when applied to munition systems, will improve munition IM response to one or more threats, while not degrading the response to other IM threats and at least maintaining munition performance. Topics include but are not limited to gun propellant formulations, ingredients for gun propellants (including synthesis, characterization and scale-up), carridge case and packaging design, active and passive venting techniques, reduced sensitivity primer propellant and primer systems and robust primers for insensitive propellants. Applications vary, but include both large and medium caliber munitions. Operating requirements vary, and other factors such as barrel life and operation over varying environmental conditions may be critically inportant depending on the intended munition application. The five, ten, or fifteen year goals of the LCGP MATG are concentrated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munitions to Fragment Impact, Shaped Charge Jet, and Slow Cookoff threats.  FY 2011 Accomplishments:  Conducted small-scale testing and characterization efforts, as well as formulation down-selection effort.  Conducted instrumented ballistic simulator tests and completed modification of required modeling and simulation tools for slow cook-off studies.  Synthesized and scaled-up energetic salts to 500 gram quantity and conducted characterization testing to support formulation and go/no-go decision.  FY 2012 Plans:  Manufacture large-scale quantities and complete full-scale IM tests of down-selected propellant formulation mixes of novel propellant binder. Conduct sub-scale ballistic and IM testing.  Conduct various tests to validate IM properties and suitability for gun propellant.  FY 2013 Plans:  Establish design of experiments test matrix and complete subseque  | B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)  |   |                                | FY 2011  | FY 2012     | FY 2013 |
| Description: Large Caliber Gun Propulsion is focused on the development and demonstration of technologies in the area of Gun Propulsion Technologies. The development and demonstration of gun propulsion technologies, that when applied to munition systems, will improve munition IM response to one or more threats, while not degrading the response to other IM threats and at least maintaining munition performance. Topics include but are not limited to gun propellant formulations, ingredients for gun propellant formulations (including synthesis, characterization and scale-up), cartridge case and packaging design, active and passive venting techniques, reduced sensitivity primer propellant and primer systems and robust primers for insensitive propellants. Applications vary, but include both large and medium caliber munitions. Operating requirements vary, and other factors such as barrel life and operation over varying environmental conditions may be critically important depending on the intended munition application. The five, ten, or fifteen year goals of the LCGP MATG are concentrated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munitions to Fragment Impact, Shaped Charge Jet, and Slow Cookoff threats.  FY 2011 Accomplishments:  Conducted small-scale testing and characterization efforts, as well as formulation down-selection effort.  Conducted instrumented ballistic simulator tests and completed modification of required modeling and simulation tools for slow cook-off studies.  Synthesized and scaled-up energetic salts to 500 gram quantity and conducted characterization testing to support formulation and go/no-go decision.  FY 2012 Plans:  Manufacture large-scale quantities and complete full-scale IM tests of down-selected propellant formulation mixes of novel propellant binder. Conduct sub-scale ballistic and IM testing.  Conduct various tests to validate IM properties and scale-up to manufacture three kilogram batches. Conduct rorious tests to validate IM properties and suitability for gun propellant.  FY 2013 Plan  | Conduct formulation and initial screening of explosive material to de-  | etermine physical and performance characteristics.  |                                |          |             |         |
| Propulsion Technologies. The development and demonstration of gun propulsion technologies, that when applied to munition systems, will improve munition IM response to one or more threats, while not degrading the response to other IM threats and at least maintaining munition performance. Topics include but are not limited to gun propellant formulations, ingredients for gun propellant formulations (including synthesis, characterization and scale-up), cartridge case and packaging design, active and passive venting techniques, reduced sensitivity primer propellant and primer systems and robust primers for insensitive propellants. Applications vary, but include both large and medium caliber munitions. Operating requirements vary, and other factors such as barrel life and operation over varying environmental conditions may be critically important depending on the intended munition application. The five, ten, or fifteen year goals of the LCGP MATG are contrated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munitions to Fragment Impact, Shaped Charge Jet, and Slow Cookoff threats.  FY 2011 Accomplishments:  Conducted small-scale testing and characterization efforts, as well as formulation down-selection effort.  Conducted instrumented ballistic simulator tests and completed modification of required modeling and simulation tools for slow cook-off studies.  Synthesized and scaled-up energetic salts to 500 gram quantity and conducted characterization testing to support formulation and go/no-go decision.  FY 2012 Plans:  Manufacture large-scale quantities and complete full-scale IM tests of down-selected propellant formulation mixes of novel propellant binder. Conduct sub-scale ballistic and IM testing.  Conduct instrumented ballistic simulator tests, fabricate hardware and finalize venting solution for fragment impact and slow cook off.  Continue formulation development to produce optimum IM properties and scale-up to manufacture three kilogram batches.  Conduct instrumented ballistic simulator tests, fabricate hardware an  | Title: Large Caliber Gun Propulsion (LCGP)  |   |                                | 1.996    | 2.517       | 2.292   |
| <ul> <li>Conducted small-scale testing and characterization efforts, as well as formulation down-selection effort.</li> <li>Conducted instrumented ballistic simulator tests and completed modification of required modeling and simulation tools for slow cook-off studies.</li> <li>Synthesized and scaled-up energetic salts to 500 gram quantity and conducted characterization testing to support formulation and go/no-go decision.</li> <li>FY 2012 Plans: <ul> <li>Manufacture large-scale quantities and complete full-scale IM tests of down-selected propellant formulation mixes of novel propellant binder. Conduct sub-scale ballistic and IM testing.</li> <li>Conduct instrumented ballistic simulator tests, fabricate hardware and finalize venting solution for fragment impact and slow cook off.</li> <li>Continue formulation development to produce optimum IM properties and scale-up to manufacture three kilogram batches.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Conduct various tests to validate IM properties and suitability for gun propellant.</li> <li>FY 2013 Plans: <ul> <li>Establish design of experiments test matrix and complete subsequent modeling effort. Conduct IM and mechanical tests on containers and compare results with the models' predictions.</li> <li>Optimize formulation and conduct IM tests to determine viability of down-select candidate for gun propellants.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>  | Propulsion Technologies. The development and demonstration of gu systems, will improve munition IM response to one or more threats, wat least maintaining munition performance. Topics include but are no gun propellant formulations (including synthesis, characterization and and passive venting techniques, reduced sensitivity primer propellant propellants. Applications vary, but include both large and medium cafactors such as barrel life and operation over varying environmental cintended munition application. The five, ten, or fifteen year goals of the | n propulsion technologies, that when applied to murthile not degrading the response to other IM threats t limited to gun propellant formulations, ingredients scale-up), cartridge case and packaging design, and primer systems and robust primers for insensit liber munitions. Operating requirements vary, and conditions may be critically important depending on the LCGP MATG are concentrated on solving the IM | nition and for ctive ive other |          |             |         |
| <ul> <li>Manufacture large-scale quantities and complete full-scale IM tests of down-selected propellant formulation mixes of novel propellant binder. Conduct sub-scale ballistic and IM testing.</li> <li>Conduct instrumented ballistic simulator tests, fabricate hardware and finalize venting solution for fragment impact and slow cook off.</li> <li>Continue formulation development to produce optimum IM properties and scale-up to manufacture three kilogram batches.</li> <li>Conduct various tests to validate IM properties and suitability for gun propellant.</li> <li>FY 2013 Plans:</li> <li>Establish design of experiments test matrix and complete subsequent modeling effort. Conduct IM and mechanical tests on containers and compare results with the models' predictions.</li> <li>Optimize formulation and conduct IM tests to determine viability of down-select candidate for gun propellants.</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Conducted small-scale testing and characterization efforts, as well</li> <li>Conducted instrumented ballistic simulator tests and completed mo cook-off studies.</li> <li>Synthesized and scaled-up energetic salts to 500 gram quantity and</li> </ul>  | dification of required modeling and simulation tools  |                                |          |             |         |
| <ul> <li>Establish design of experiments test matrix and complete subsequent modeling effort. Conduct IM and mechanical tests on containers and compare results with the models' predictions.</li> <li>Optimize formulation and conduct IM tests to determine viability of down-select candidate for gun propellants.</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>FY 2012 Plans:</li> <li>Manufacture large-scale quantities and complete full-scale IM tests propellant binder. Conduct sub-scale ballistic and IM testing.</li> <li>Conduct instrumented ballistic simulator tests, fabricate hardware a cook off.</li> <li>Continue formulation development to produce optimum IM properties</li> </ul>   | and finalize venting solution for fragment impact and es and scale-up to manufacture three kilogram batc  | slow                           |          |             |         |
| Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals 14.177 14.495 14.216   | FY 2013 Plans:  • Establish design of experiments test matrix and complete subseque containers and compare results with the models' predictions.  | ent modeling effort. Conduct IM and mechanical tes  |                                |          |             |         |
|   |   | Accomplishments/Planned Programs  | Subtotals                      | 14.177   | 14.495      | 14.216  |

**UNCLASSIFIED** 

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Office of Secretary Of Defense

**DATE**: February 2012

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PROJECT

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

PE 0602000D8Z: Joint Munitions Technology

P000: Insensitive Munitions

BA 2: Applied Research

## C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

|                         |         |         | FY 2013 | FY 2013 | FY 2013      |         |         |         |         | <b>Cost To</b> |                   |
|-------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------------|-------------------|
| <u>Line Item</u>        | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | Base    | OCO     | <u>Total</u> | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 | Complete       | <b>Total Cost</b> |
| • 0603000D8Z P002: BA 3 | 13.302  | 14.529  | 20.819  |         | 20.819       | 20.808  | 22.521  | 23.147  | 23.437  | Continuing     | Continuing        |

Insensitive Munitions Advanced Technology

## D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

#### **E. Performance Metrics**

- 1) Transitions of technologies developed by the Program are tracked and documented using DoD/NASA Technical Readiness Level (TRL) scale.
- 2) Munition Area Technology Group Technology Roadmaps are prepared, evaluated, and analyzed by Joint Insensitive Muntions Technology Program management and technical staff.
- 3) Chairman's Annual Assessments for each MATG are critically reviewed by the Technical Advisory Committee to determine progress, transition plans, and relevance of each project.
- 4) Project progress toward goals and milestones is assessed at each MATG meeting.
- 5) Annual technical reports and papers are tracked and documented for the Program.
- 6) External Peer Review of Projects conducted as part of Joint Army/Navy/NASA/Air Force meetings.

| Exhibit K-ZA, KDT&LTTOJect Just   | ilication. 1 L | 2013 011100   | or occircial | y Oi Delella | 0                              |         |         | DATE: 1 Coldary 2012 |         |            |            |  |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------------------|---------|---------|----------------------|---------|------------|------------|--|
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV        | ITY            |               |              | R-1 ITEM N   | IOMENCLAT                      | ΓURE    |         | PROJECT              |         |            |            |  |
| 0400: Research, Development, Test | PE 060200      | 0D8Z: Joint I | Munitions Te | chnology     | P204: Enabling Fuze Technology |         |         |                      |         |            |            |  |
| BA 2: Applied Research            |                |               |              |              |                                |         |         |                      |         |            |            |  |
| COST (\$ in Millions) FY 2013     |                |               |              | FY 2013      | FY 2013                        |         |         |                      |         | Cost To    |            |  |
| COST (\$ in Millions)             | FY 2011        | FY 2012       | Base         | oco          | Total                          | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016              | FY 2017 | Complete   | Total Cost |  |
| P204: Enabling Fuze Technology    | 5.740          | 5.833         | 6.399        | -            | 6.399                          | 6.391   | 7.056   | 7.236                | 7.437   | Continuing | Continuing |  |

## A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Exhibit R-24 RDT&F Project Justification: PR 2013 Office of Secretary Of Defense

This RDT&E effort will demonstrate fuze enabling technologies needed to develop weapons that address priority capability areas identified in the Guidance for Development of the Force (GDF), the Secretary of Defense Memorandum, DoD Policy on Cluster Munitions and Unintended Harm to Civilians, and shortfalls in current weapon systems. This effort will develop enabling technologies at the laboratory scale and transition them into 6.3 demonstration programs for weapons where priority capabilities and technology needs have been identified and validated by the Program Executive Officers (PEOs) and the Heads of the Service S&T communities. Mature 6.2 fuze technologies will be transitioned, thereby decreasing their program costs and schedule risk and facilitating spin-offs to other munitions within their portfolios.

Under the Joint Fuze Technology Program (JFTP), investments are focused on specific capability areas that have been identified by Department strategic guidance and current shortfalls in weapon systems and validated by the PEOs and Heads of the Service S&T communities. These four capability areas are: 1) Hard Target Survivable Fuzing, 2) Tailorable Effects (TE) Weapon Fuzing, 3) High Reliability Fuzing, and 4) Enabling Fuze Technologies and Common Architecture.

| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)   | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | FY 2013 |  |
|--|---------|---------|---------|--|
| Title: Hard Target Fuzing  | 1.494   | 1.645   | 1.661   |  |
| <b>Description:</b> The Hard Target Fuzing challenges are grouped into three Technology Areas. Improved Modeling and Simulation Capabilities provide the validated computational tools necessary for hard target applications. Basic Phenomenology & Understanding of the Fuze Environment is the science-based endeavor of providing the test equipment, instrumentation, and analysis techniques for experimentation and data gathering necessary for Hardware Development - Next Generation Fuzing. This technology area aims to increase the effectiveness of facility denial munitions by improving the prediction tools and testing methodologies to evaluate the survivability and functionality of legacy and future fuzes. Development of these technologies will enable next generation boosted and hypersonic penetrators to execute missions against hardened and deeply buried targets. |         |         |         |  |
| <ul> <li>FY 2011 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Continued projects on the hard target penetration weapon systems based modeling and simulation tool to determine fuze response to the weapon dynamics.</li> <li>Continued solid mechanics modeling and simulation technology projects to provide accurate material properties.</li> <li>Created a series of experimental and modeling capabilities that will form the basis of a high speed fuze survivability protocol for testing fuzes in the boosted and high speed penetration regimes.</li> </ul>   |         |         |         |  |
| FY 2012 Plans:  - Develop underlying technologies and testing methods to define the high-speed penetration environment.  - Begin verification of hydrocode/EPIC 22 modeling and simulation tools via hard target weapon instrumented testing.  |         |         |         |  |

DATE: February 2012

| ecretary Of Defense  |   | DATE: Fel  | oruary 2012  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE  | PROJEC  | Т  |  |  |
| PE 0602000D8Z: Joint Munitions Technology  | P204: <i>Ena</i>  | P204: Enabling Fuze Technology   |  |  |
|  |   | FY 2011  | FY 2012  | FY 2013  |
|  |   |  |  |  |
| idelity, multi-scale simulation techniques. testing protocol in boosted and high speed penetrator niniature munitions with distributed/embedded fuzes.                             |   |  |  |  |
|  |   | 1.578  | 1.696  | 1.712  |
| enerate selectable effects (directed blast, fragmentatio<br>onic safe and arm based multi-point initiators for tunable<br>MS) based multi-point initiators for tunable output/scal | n);<br>le output<br>able yield  |  |  |  |
| on using energetic tracks, traces or deposition.   |   |  |  |  |
|  | -   |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |
|  | R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602000D8Z: Joint Munitions Technology  g protocol in future boosted and high speed penetrator  from miniature munitions with distributed/embedded fuz  didelity, multi-scale simulation techniques.  testing protocol in boosted and high speed penetrator  miniature munitions with distributed/embedded fuzes.  effects weapons that encompasses the ability to selected selectable effects (directed blast, fragmentation and safe and arm based multi-point initiators for tunable MS) based multi-point initiators for tunable weapons that can effectively  ding a) multi-point plug-n-play, b) lower energy detonation using energetic tracks, traces or deposition.  In graystems to enable tailorable initiation control for was a stailorable effects initiation warhead systems.  Stailorable effects initiation warhead systems.  Gies that provide the ability to selectively vary the sense | R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602000D8Z: Joint Munitions Technology  protocol in future boosted and high speed penetrator from miniature munitions with distributed/embedded fuzes.  Idelity, multi-scale simulation techniques. Itesting protocol in boosted and high speed penetrator miniature munitions with distributed/embedded fuzes.  Indefects weapons that encompasses the ability to selectively enerate selectable effects (directed blast, fragmentation); Indic safe and arm based multi-point initiators for tunable output MS) based multi-point initiators for tunable output/scalable yield technologies will enable weapons that can effectively defeat a ding a) multi-point plug-n-play, b) lower energy detonators/on using energetic tracks, traces or deposition.  Indicate the protocol in future boosted and high speed penetrator  protocol in future boosted and h | R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602000D8Z: Joint Munitions Technology  FY 2011  F | R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602000D8Z: Joint Munitions Technology  FY 2011  FY 2012  FY 2012  FY 2011  FY 2012  FY 2012  FY 2012  FY 2011  FY 2012  F |

PE 0602000D8Z: *Joint Munitions Technology* Office of Secretary Of Defense

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 11 of 14

R-1 Line #7

|   | UNULAUSII ILD   |  |           |            |         |
|---|---|--|-----------|------------|---------|
| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Office of Secr   | retary Of Defense   |  | DATE: Feb | ruary 2012 |         |
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research   | R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602000D8Z: Joint Munitions Technology   | PROJECT P204: Enabling Fuze Technology |           |            |         |
| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)  |   |  | FY 2011   | FY 2012    | FY 2013 |
| - Develop harden, Tailorable Effects firing systems for missile and prassociated with impact with Military Operations in Urban Terrain (MO  |   | onments                                |           |            |         |
| Title: High Reliability Fuzing  |   |  | 1.398     | 1.516      | 1.574   |
| <b>Description:</b> Develop high reliability fuzing architectures, fuzing comfeatures. These technologies will enable the next generation of clust reliability goal. Evolving DoD emphasis on increased weapon system approaches for achieving increased fuze reliability while maintaining reliability expectations and harsher weapon system operational requiavailable using current technologies.               | er munitions to achieve the required greater than 99 reliability is driving the need to consider new and nor enhancing fuze design safety. DoD policy, higher | percent<br>ovel<br>r weapon            |           |            |         |
| <ul> <li>FY 2011 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Developed and analyzed concepts for high reliability fuze architects</li> <li>Continued development of a target detection sensor and safety and fuze reliability.</li> </ul>   |   | ne overall                             |           |            |         |
| <ul> <li>FY 2012 Plans:</li> <li>Research and develop novel technologies for UXO reduction feature eliminate any unexploded ordnance.</li> <li>Build high reliability fuze architecture technology components that singlepoint and common-mode failures.</li> <li>Downselect cluster munition fuze design and architecture. Fabrica explosive train tests, static expulsion tests and engineering tests.</li> </ul> | satisfy reliability while maintaining safety by elimina   | ting                                   |           |            |         |
| <ul> <li>FY 2013 Plans:</li> <li>Demonstrate high reliability fuze architecture concepts that satisfy and common-mode failures.</li> <li>Apply next generation cluster munition fuze design and architecture performance and reliability tests in ballistic and harsh environment te</li> </ul>   | e, fabricate component technology prototypes, and   |  |           |            |         |
| Title: Enabling Fuze Technologies   |   |  | 1.270     | 0.976      | 1.452   |
| <b>Description:</b> Develop common / modular fuze architecture; innovative fuze setting capability, tools and modeling; and fuzing power sources effective solutions while meeting or exceeding the performance of exenable future weapon applications to be more mission adaptive and setting the performance of exenable future weapon applications to be more mission adaptive.                                  | s. These fuzing technologies will provide smaller, moisting technologies. Development of these technologies   | ore cost<br>ogies will                 |           |            |         |

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Office of Secretary Of Defense

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide
BA 2: Applied Research

BA 2: Applied Research

DATE: February 2012

PROJECT

PE 0602000D8Z: Joint Munitions Technology

P204: Enabling Fuze Technology

| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)  | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | FY 2013 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|
| <ul> <li>FY 2011 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Continued development for proximity fuze sensors and electronics for detecting targets, impact, voids, and media which are highly resistant to exploitation.</li> <li>Continued thin film/conformal thermal battery development for fuzing, which will result in cheaper, conformal, smaller, cooler thermal batteries with higher energy or power densities.</li> </ul>   |         |         |         |
| <ul> <li>FY 2012 Plans:</li> <li>Develop and build exploitation resistant proximity fuze sensors and electronics technology hardware for detecting targets, impact, voids, and media.</li> <li>Develop fuze power source technology and concepts that include functionality that precludes the inadvertent release of "stored energy" such as Micro power sources and energy harvesting components.</li> <li>Conduct assessments of common fuze architecture technologies: safety components, modular electronics, sensors, interfaces, and packaging.</li> </ul> |         |         |         |
| <ul> <li>FY 2013 Plans:</li> <li>The establishment of a modular, open fuze architecture is a technology enabling product that would establish a defined system interface architecture between various fuze subsystems.</li> <li>Evaluate proximity fuze sensor, electronics and algorithm technologies in performance and functional testing in air-gun and ballistic environments.</li> <li>Transition to 6.3 development of exploitation resistant proximity fuze sensors and electronics technology.</li> </ul>  |         |         |         |
| Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals  | 5.740   | 5.833   | 6.399   |

# C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

|                                |         |         | FY 2013     | FY 2013 | FY 2013      |         |         |         |         | Cost To    |                   |
|--------------------------------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------|-------------------|
| <u>Line Item</u>               | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | <b>Base</b> | OCO     | <u>Total</u> | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 | Complete   | <b>Total Cost</b> |
| • 0603000D8Z P301: <i>BA 3</i> | 1.041   | 1.077   | 4.792       |         | 4.792        | 6.487   | 7.979   | 8.196   | 8.497   | Continuing | Continuing        |
| Frankling Funa Advanced        |         |         |             |         |              |         |         |         |         |            |                   |

Enabling Fuze Advanced

Technology

# D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

#### **E. Performance Metrics**

1) Transitions of technologies developed by the Program are tracked and documented using DoD/NASA Technical Readiness Level (TRL) scale.

PE 0602000D8Z: *Joint Munitions Technology* Office of Secretary Of Defense

R-1 Line #7

| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Office of Secretar | <b>DATE:</b> February 2012                      |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY   | R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE                           | PROJECT                                    |  |  |
|   | PE 0602000D8Z: Joint Munitions Technology       | P204: Enabling Fuze Technology             |  |  |
| BA 2: Applied Research  |   |  |  |  |
| 2) Fuze Area Technology Group (FATG) Technology Roadmaps are pr       | epared, evaluated, and analyzed by Joint Fuze T | echnology Program management and technical |  |  |
| staff.  |   |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |

- 3) Chairman's Annual Assessments for each FATG are critically reviewed by the Technology Advisory Committee to determine progress, transition plans, and relevance of each project.
- 4) Project progress toward goals and milestones is assessed at each FATG meeting.
- 5) Annual technical reports and papers are tracked and documented for the Program.
- 6) Technology Transition Agreements in place with Munitions programs.