R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2013 Army

DATE: February 2012

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	187.207	36.150	253.959	-	253.959	293.007	361.226	237.161	179.201	Continuing	Continuing
330: ABRAMS TANK IMPROVE PROG	93.655	9.642	97.278	-	97.278	105.919	132.551	109.160	90.106	Continuing	Continuing
371: BRADLEY IMPROVE PROG	93.552	12.231	82.586	-	82.586	70.790	56.663	57.179	40.539	Continuing	Continuing
DS5: ARMORED MULTI PURPOSE VEHICLE (AMPV)	-	14.277	74.095	-	74.095	116.298	172.012	70.822	48.556	Continuing	Continuing

Note

FY2012 - Project DS5, Armored Multipurpose Vehicle (AMPV) congressional reduction -\$17.1M.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Army has completed a comprehensive Combat Vehicle (CV) modernization strategy, which includes the Abrams, Bradley and Armored Multi-Purpose Vehicle (AMPV) Platforms.

This Program Element (PE) corrects vehicle deficiencies identified in Army operations; continues technical system upgrades to include the integration of applicable technologies on ground systems; addresses needed evolutionary enhancements to tracked combat vehicles; and develops technology improvements which have application to or insertion opportunities across multiple Ground Combat Systems vehicles. This PE provides combat effectiveness and Operating and Support (O&S) cost reduction enhancements for the Abrams tanks and Bradley Fighting Vehicles through a series of product improvements.

This project funds improvements to the Abrams Family of Vehicles (FOV). The Abrams mission is to provide necessary firepower, mobility and survivability to overmatch all current and emerging enemy threats in achieving decisive dominant maneuver. The M1A2 SEP (current production model) refers to a System Enhancement Package incorporated on the tank in 1998, which upgraded the M1A2's computer systems and its night vision capabilities. Since that time, the M1A2 SEP has virtually reached its upper limits for space, weight, and power (SWaP). The Abrams tank is expected to be in service through 2045 and will be modernized in accordance with a revised capabilities document to: (1) posture the tank infrastructure to enable incremental growth as a hedge against other risks and contingencies, (2) maintain threat overmatch to deter aggression, project power and protect US interests and allies around the globe - especially with regards to the lessons of counterinsurgency learned during the tank's successful campaigns during Operation Iraqi Freedom, and (3) leverage the mature and relevant technology enhancements from the Army Research & Development Technology base. The Abrams tank must embark on a modernization effort in order to remain relevant and maintain threat overmatch capability. The objective is to maintain Survivability, Combat Overmatch and reduce O&S costs through an evolutionary approach with incremental development.

The M2/M3A3 Bradley Fighting Vehicle is at or exceeds Space, Weight, and Power-Cooling (SWaP-C) limitations. To host and restore lost platform capability, the Bradley Fighting Vehicle program shall execute a series of Engineering Change Proposals (ECPs) to support the current embedded systems and to facilitate integration

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 1 of 19

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2013 Army

DATE: February 2012

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

of technologies currently in development under other existing Programs of Record. The ECPs are not intended to exceed the operational capability outlined in current system requirements documents, but rather to ensure that the existing system performance is not further degraded and that Army mission equipment packages can be integrated on the Bradley platform.

The AMPV will provide the Heavy Brigade Combat Team (HBCT) with a replacement for the M113 Family of Vehicles (FOVs) that is more survivable and mobile to accomplish operational support missions across the full spectrum of conflict. The AMPV will be selected upon completion of the Analysis of Alternatives in FY 2013.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total
Previous President's Budget	204.481	53.307	252.334	-	252.334
Current President's Budget	187.207	36.150	253.959	-	253.959
Total Adjustments	-17.274	-17.157	1.625	-	1.625
 Congressional General Reductions 	-	-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 	-	-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 	-	-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 	-	-			
 Reprogrammings 	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-17.274	-0.034			
 Adjustments to Budget Years 	-	-	1.625	-	1.625
Other Adjustments 1	_	-17.123	-	-	-

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just		DATE: February 2012									
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 2040: Research, Development, Test BA 7: Operational Systems Develop	t & Evaluation	n, Army			IOMENCLA 5A: Combat		ovement	PROJECT 330: ABRAMS TANK IMPROVE PROG			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 FY 2013 OCO Total FY 2014 FY 2015				FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
330: ABRAMS TANK IMPROVE PROG	93.655	9.642	97.278	-	97.278	105.919	132.551	109.160	90.106	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Army is developing a comprehensive Combat Vehicle modernization strategy for the Abrams main battle tank. This strategy will focus on incrementally delivering capability to the warfighter to meet both near-term limitations as well as mitigating gaps and maintaining combat overmatch in the future. This effort was approved by the Army Acquisition Executive in 3Q FY 2011. The M1A2 SEPv2 improvements implemented through the Abrams ECP 1 Program will restore lost power generation, solve impending obsolescence issues and incorporate inbound technologies currently under development under other existing Programs. The Abrams ECP 1 technologies specified in the Acquisition Decision Memorandum will complete engineering development with a production contract award in FY 2016. Production Qualification testing, Live Fire Test & Evaluation (LFT&E), and a Limited User Test (LUT) will be completed by mid FY 2020.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: Abrams Engineering Change Proposal (ECP) 1	89.875	-	79.355
Articles:	0		
Description: The M1A2 SEPv2 improvements implemented through the Abrams ECP 1 Program will restore lost power generation and distribution while incorporating inbound technologies currently under development under other existing Programs.			
FY 2011 Accomplishments: The Abrams Acquisition Decision Memorandum (ADM) for the Abrams ECP 1 was issued July 2011. Begin the contract development efforts and planning for the design integration efforts.			
FY 2013 Plans: The largest portion of sub-system integration will be executed in FY 2013 with the confirmation of the preliminary design at the Preliminary Design Review. This will quickly be followed by the Critical Design Review in 1Q FY 2014, finalizing the system baseline.			
Title: Program Management Office (PMO) Support	3.630	8.668	15.934
Articles:	U	U	
Description: Program Management Office Support includes Systems Engineering and Government and Contractor salaries, travel and other support costs required to effectively manage the program.			
FY 2011 Accomplishments:			

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 3 of 19

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justif	fication: PB	2013 Army							DATE: Feb	ruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVI 2040: Research, Development, Test of BA 7: Operational Systems Developm	TY & Evaluation		F	R-1 ITEM NO PE 0203735 <i>i</i> Programs	_		ovement	PROJEC 330: ABF	T AMS TANK II	MPROVE PR	ROG
B. Accomplishments/Planned Prog	ırams (\$ in I	Millions, Art	icle Quantit	ies in Each)				FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Program Management Office Suppor Program.	t includes the	e cost to dev	elop the req	uired docum	nentation to s	support the A	Abrams EC	P 1			
FY 2012 Plans: System Engineering and Program Ma	anagement (Office Suppo	rt to effective	ely manage t	the program.						
FY 2013 Plans: Systems Engineering and Program M	/lanagement	Office Supp	ort to effectiv	/ely manage	e the prograi	n.					
Title: Test & Evaluation								Articles:	0.150	0.974	1.989
Description: Test and Evaluation FY 2011 Accomplishments: Test & Evaluation								Artiolog.		o e	
FY 2012 Plans: Test & Evaluation efforts to support s	system level	test events a	and planning	and develop	oment of test	documenta	tion				
FY 2013 Plans: Test & Evaluation efforts to support s	system level	test events a	and planning	<u>-</u>							
				Accon	nplishments	s/Planned P	rograms S	Subtotals	93.655	9.642	97.278
C. Other Program Funding Summa	ry (\$ in Milli	ons)	FY 2013	FY 2013	FY 2013					Cost To	<u>.</u>
Line Item • Abrams Upgrade Program:	FY 2011 181.973	FY 2012	Base 74.433	<u>000</u>	<u>Total</u> 74.433	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 20°	16 FY 2017	Complete	
Abrams Upgrade Program Abrams Upgrade Program	101.973	436.329	74.433		74.433					0.000	092.73
• M1 Abrams Tank Mod (GA0700):	229.612	131.178	129.090		129.090		318.126	449.42	22 419.27	1,993.900	3,928.68
Abrams Vehicle Modification • Spares (Initial) Abrams Upgrade: Spares (Initial) Abrams Upgrade		7.219								0.000	7.21
D. Acquisition Strategy Abrams Engineering Change Proportion Contract - Sole Source,			ent Contract	- Sole Sour	ce, Cost Plu	s Incentive F	Fee (CPIF)				

PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs

Army

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 4 of 19

R-1 Line #165

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Army		DATE: February 2012
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs	PROJECT 330: ABRAMS TANK IMPROVE PROG
E. Performance Metrics		
Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification materia	al may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performand	ce Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs Army

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2013 Army **DATE:** February 2012 APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY **R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT** 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement 330: ABRAMS TANK IMPROVE PROG BA 7: Operational Systems Development Programs FY 2013 FY 2013 FY 2013 **Product Development (\$ in Millions)** FY 2012 oco Base Total **Total Prior** Contract **Target** Method Performing Years Award Award Award Cost To Value of **Cost Category Item** & Type **Activity & Location** Cost Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Complete **Total Cost** Contract Cost General Dynamics Abrams Engineering Change SS/CPIF Land Systems: Sterling 89.875 79.355 79.355 0.000 169.230 0.000 Proposal (ECP) 1 Heights, MI Subtotal 89.875 79.355 79.355 0.000 169.230 0.000 FY 2013 FY 2013 **FY 2013** Support (\$ in Millions) FY 2012 oco Total Base **Total Prior** Contract Target Method Performing Years Award Award Award Cost To Value of **Cost Category Item** & Type **Activity & Location** Cost Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Cost Complete **Total Cost** Contract Program Management Office 8.668 SS/LH Various:Various 22.638 15.934 15.934 Continuing Continuing Continuing (PMO)Support Subtotal 22.638 8.668 15.934 15.934 FY 2013 FY 2013 FY 2013 Test and Evaluation (\$ in Millions) FY 2012 Base oco Total **Total Prior** Contract Target Method Performing Years Award Award Award Cost To Value of **Activity & Location** Cost Cost **Total Cost Cost Category Item** & Type Cost Cost Date Date Date Cost Complete Contract Aberdeen Proving Ground; Yuma Proving Advance Technology 0.974 Various 8.226 1.989 1.989 Continuina Continuina Continuina Ground: White Sands Preparation and Testing Missile Range,: Various Subtotal 8 226 0.974 1 989 _ 1.989 **Total Prior** Target FY 2013 FY 2013 FY 2013 Value of Years Cost To **Total Cost** FY 2012 oco Contract Cost Base Total Complete **Project Cost Totals** 120.739 9.642 97.278 97.278 Remarks

PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs Army

Page 6 of 19

R-1 Line #165

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2013 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army
BA 7: Operational Systems Development

PROJECT

PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement
Programs

330: ABRAMS TANK IMPROVE PROG

		FY 2011 FY 2012			FY 2013		FY 2014			FY 2015				FY	201	6		FY 2	2017	7								
	1	2	3	4	1	2	2 3	3 4	1 1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Abrams Engineering Change Proposal (ECP) 1			,			,	,	,		,						,		·		·		,	·		,			
Acquisition Decision Memorandum (ADM)																												
Development Contract Award																												
Engineering & Manufacturing Development																												
Preliminary Design Review (PDR)																												-
Critical Design Review (CDR)																												
Production Contract Award																												-

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2013 Army	DATE: February 2012		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement	330: <i>ABRA</i>	MS TANK IMPROVE PROG
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Programs		

Schedule Details

	St	art	E	nd
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
Abrams Engineering Change Proposal (ECP) 1	4	2011	4	2011
Acquisition Decision Memorandum (ADM)	4	2011	4	2011
Development Contract Award	4	2012	4	2012
Engineering & Manufacturing Development	4	2012	2	2016
Preliminary Design Review (PDR)	2	2013	2	2013
Critical Design Review (CDR)	1	2014	1	2014
Production Contract Award	2	2016	2	2016

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just		DATE: February 2012									
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 2040: Research, Development, Test BA 7: Operational Systems Develop	t & Evaluation	n, Army			IOMENCLA 5A: Combat		rovement	PROJECT 371: BRADLEY IMPROVE PROG			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
371: BRADLEY IMPROVE PROG	93.552	12.231	82.586	-	82.586	70.790	57.179	40.539	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)

The M2/M3A3 Bradley Fighting Vehicle is at or exceeds Space, Weight, and Power-Cooling (SWaP-C) limitations. To host and restore lost platform capability, the Bradley Fighting Vehicle program shall execute a series of Engineering Change Proposals (ECPs) to support the current embedded systems and to facilitate integration of technologies currently in development under other existing Programs of Record. The ECPs are not intended to exceed the operational capability outlined in current system requirements documents, but rather to ensure that the existing system performance is not further degraded and that Army mission equipment packages can be integrated on the Bradley platform.

217 GOOD PROTECTION OF THE PRO	1 1 2011	1 1 2012	1 1 2010
Title: Bradley ECP Program	83.552	2.231	72.586
Articles:	0	0	
Description: The Bradley Fighting Vehicle System (BFVS) improvements implemented through the Engineering Change Proposal (ECP) Program will focus on restoring lost platform capability to support Army inbound technologies and to facilitate integration of technologies currently in development under other existing Programs of Record.			
FY 2011 Accomplishments: The Bradley ECP Acquisition Decision Memorandum (ADM) was approved in July 2011. Begin planning for design and integration of subsystems focusing on restoring space, weight and power limitations.			
FY 2012 Plans: Award major contracts to begin design and integration of subsystems focusing on restoring space, weight and power limitations.			
FY 2013 Plans: Continue design and integration of subsystems with the Preliminary Design Review. Qualification of subsystems leading towards Critical Design Review in 2nd Qtr FY 2014.			
Title: Support Costs	10.000	10.000	10.000
Articles:	0	0	
Description: Government System Engineering and Program Management Support Costs. These funds cover the costs of Government salaries, travel and the facilities required to effectively manage the program.			
FY 2011 Accomplishments:			

UNCLASSIFIED

FY 2011

FY 2012

FY 2013

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Army	DATE: February 2012		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement	371: <i>BRAD</i>	LEY IMPROVE PROG
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Programs		

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Government System Engineering and Program Management Support Costs. These funds cover the costs of Government salaries, travel and the facilities required to effectively manage the program.	20		1 1 2010
FY 2012 Plans: Government System Engineering and Program Management Support costs. These funds cover the costs of government salaries, travel and the facilities required to effectively manage the program.			
FY 2013 Plans: Government System Engineering and Program Management Support Costs. These funds cover the costs of Government salaries, travel and the facilities required to effectively manage the program.			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	93.552	12.231	82.586

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs

			FY 2013	FY 2013	FY 2013					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2011	FY 2012	<u>Base</u>	<u>000</u>	<u>Total</u>	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Complete	Total Cost
GZ2400: Bradley Program (MOD)	202.987	250.710	148.193		148.193		202.628	246.388	210.843	122.800	1,566.724

D. Acquisition Strategy

Product Manager Bradley will execute an Engineering Change Proposal (ECP) reestablishing Space, Weight, Power and Cooling (SWAP-C) to facilitate integration of technologies being developed under existing Programs of Record (POR). The proposed ECP will restore lost capability, not to exceed operational envelopes outlined in current approved requirement documents. The ECP is scheduled to field in FY 2018 to address powerpack and electrical power upgrades, which will enable the vehicle to host Army directed inbound technologies with no further performance degradation to the vehicle. This ECP will be executed on a sole source cost plus incentive fee contract to the current platform Original Equipment Manufacturer.

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

UNCLASSIFIED

DATE: February 2012 Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2013 Army APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement 371: BRADLEY IMPROVE PROG

Product Development	(\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	2012	FY 2 Ba		FY 2	2013 CO	FY 2013 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Bradley Modernization Program	TBD	PMO:Warren, MI	115.087	-		-		-		-	0.000	115.087	0.000
Non Recurring Engineering	SS/CPIF	DRS:Huntsville, AL	-	-		4.773		-		4.773	9.309	14.082	0.000
Non Recurring Engineering	SS/CPIF	L3COM:Muskegon, MI	-	-		2.202		-		2.202	16.194	18.396	Continuing
Non Recurring Engineering	SS/FFP	Cummins:Columbus, IN	-	-		0.976		-		0.976	9.116	10.092	0.000
Non Recurring Engineering	SS/CPIF	BAE:Sterling Heights, MI	-	2.231		64.635		-		64.635	128.647	195.513	0.000
		Subtotal	115.087	2.231		72.586		-		72.586	163.266	353.170	
Support (\$ in Millions)				FY 2	2012	FY 2 Ba		FY 2		FY 2013 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
PMO	MIPR	PMO:Bradley ECP Program	16.000	4.000		4.000		-		4.000	16.000	40.000	Continuin
Government Engineering Support	MIPR	Various:Bradley ECP Program	24.000	6.000		6.000		-		6.000	24.000	60.000	Continuing
		Subtotal	40.000	10.000		10.000		-		10.000	40.000	100.000	
Test and Evaluation (\$	in Millions	s)		FY 2	2012	FY 2 Ba		FY 2	2013 CO	FY 2013 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Government Test	MIPR	Various:Test Sites	-	-		-		-		-	21.905	21.905	0.000
		Subtotal	-	-		-		-		-	21.905	21.905	0.000
			Total Prior Years Cost	FY 2	2012	FY 2 Ba		FY 2		FY 2013 Total	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
		Project Cost Totals	155.087	12.231		82.586			I	82.586	225.171	475.075	I

PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs Army

UNCLASSIFIED Page 11 of 19

R-1 Line #165

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysi	s : PB 2013 Army				DAT	E: Februar	y 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY		R-1 ITEM NO	MENCLATURE		PROJECT			
2040: Research, Development, Test & Eval	uation, Army		ւ: Combat Vehicle Im <mark>լ</mark>	provement	371: BRADLEY	<i>IMPROVE</i>	PROG	
BA 7: Operational Systems Development		Programs						
	Total Prior							Target
	Years	E)/ 00/0	FY 2013	FY 201		Cost To		Value of
	Cost	FY 2012	Base	ОСО	Total	Complete	Total Cost	Contract
<u>Remarks</u>								

PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs Army

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2013 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army
BA 7: Operational Systems Development

DATE: February 2012

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement
Programs

PROJECT
371: BRADLEY IMPROVE PROG

		FY 2011					FY 2	2013			FY	201	4		FY	201	5		FY	201	6		FY 2	017	7				
	1	2	3	4		1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Acquisition Decision Memorandum (ADM)		·	,			,	,	,								,	,		,		,		,						
System Requirements Review																													
Preliminary Design Review																													
Critical Design Review																													
Component Qualification Testing																													
Contractor Vehicle Testing																													
Government Vehicle Testing																													

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2013 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army
BA 7: Operational Systems Development

PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement
Programs

DATE: February 2012

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement
Programs

Schedule Details

	Sta	art	E	nd
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
Acquisition Decision Memorandum (ADM)	4	2011	4	2011
System Requirements Review	4	2012	4	2012
Preliminary Design Review	2	2013	2	2013
Critical Design Review	2	2014	2	2014
Component Qualification Testing	3	2014	2	2015
Contractor Vehicle Testing	2	2015	4	2016
Government Vehicle Testing	1	2017	4	2017

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PE	3 2013 Army							DATE: Febr	uary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 2040: Research, Development, Test BA 7: Operational Systems Develop	& Evaluation	n, Army			OMENCLAT 5A: Combat			PROJECT DS5: ARMO (AMPV)	ORED MULT	I PURPOSE	VEHICLE
COST (\$ in Millions)	COST (\$ in Millions) FY 2011 FY 2012 Base						FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
DS5: ARMORED MULTI PURPOSE VEHICLE (AMPV)	-	14.277	74.095	-	74.095	116.298	172.012	70.822	48.556	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

Note

Not applicable for this item

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs

R Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions Article Quantities in Each)

The Army has completed a comprehensive Combat Vehicle modernization strategy, which includes the Armored Multi-Purpose Vehicle (AMPV). The AMPV will provide the Heavy Brigade Combat Team (HBCT) with a replacement for the M113 Family of Vehicles (FOVs) that is more survivable and mobile to accomplish operational support missions across the full spectrum of conflict. The materiel solution for the AMPV program will be selected upon completion of the Analysis of Alternatives IN FY 2013.

B. Accomplishments/Flanned Frograms (\$\frac{1}{2}\) in Millions, Article Quantities in Each	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: Armored Multi-Purpose Vehicle	-	14.277	74.095
Articles:		0	
Description: The Army has completed a comprehensive Combat Vehicle modernization strategy, which includes the Armored Multi-Purpose Vehicle (AMPV) as one of its top priorities. The AMPV will provide the Heavy Brigade Combat Team (HBCT) with a replacement for the M113 Family of Vehicles (FOVs) that is more survivable and mobile to accomplish operational support missions across the full spectrum of conflict. The AMPV will be selected upon completion of the Analysis of Alternatives in FY 2013.			
FY 2012 Plans: The Materiel Development Decision (MDD) approval is expected in February 2012 to allow for entry into the Materiel Solution Analysis Phase which authorizes the start of the Analysis of Alternatives (AoA) and organization of the program office.			
FY 2013 Plans: The results of the Analysis of Alternatives will determine the AMPV solution. Competitive source selection preparation to include the preparation of government-furnished material and technical data to support competition will occur in FY 2013.			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	-	14.277	74.095

EV 2044 EV 2042

EV 2042

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Army			DATE: February 2012
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement	DS5: ARMO	ORED MULTI PURPOSE VEHICLE
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Programs	(AMPV)	

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs

			FY 2013	FY 2013	FY 2013					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2011	FY 2012	Base	000	<u>Total</u>	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Complete	Total Cost
G80819: Armored Multi Purpose		14.277	74.095		74.095		172.012	70.822	48.556	0.000	496.060
Vehicle (AMPV)											

D. Acquisition Strategy

Acquisition planning includes modification of current capital assets. The Acquisition strategy will be determined upon completion of the Analysis of Alternatives when a Material Solution is chosen.

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2013 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement

Programs

PROJECT DS5: ARMORED MULTI PURPOSE VEHICLE

DATE: February 2012

(AMPV)

Product Development	(\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	2012	FY 2 Ba	2013 se		2013 CO	FY 2013 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
AMPV Development	MIPR	OGAs:Various locations	-	8.263		14.853		-		14.853	0.000	23.116	0.000
Technical Data Package (TDP)	TBD	TBD:TBD	-	-		42.248		-		42.248	0.000	42.248	0.000
		Subtotal	-	8.263		57.101		-		57.101	0.000	65.364	0.000

Remarks

Armored Multi Purpose Vehicle Tech data and system level product development costs.

Support (\$ in Millions)				FY 2	012	FY 2 Ba	2013 se		2013 CO	FY 2013 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
AMPV Documentation	C/FFP	Camber:Michigan	-	1.000		1.016		-		1.016	0.000	2.016	0.000
Program Management Office (PMO)	MIPR	PMO:Warren, MI	-	1.790		2.973		-		2.973	0.000	4.763	0.000
Other Program Support	MIPR	OGAs:Various locations	-	3.224		13.005		-		13.005	0.000	16.229	0.000
	•	Subtotal	-	6.014		16.994		-		16.994	0.000	23.008	0.000

Remarks

AMPV Support Costs

	Total Prior Years Cost	FY 2	2012	FY 2 Ba	FY 2	2013 CO	FY 2013 Total	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Project Cost Totals	-	14.277		74.095	-		74.095	0.000	88.372	0.000

Remarks

PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs Army

UNCLASSIFIED Page 17 of 19

R-1 Line #165

oit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2013	Δrm	.,																		D	ΔΤΕ	· Fe	hru	arv	201	12		—
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 1040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army 1047: Operational Systems Development					R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs PRO. DS5: (AMF)												S5: <i>i</i>	ARN	ARMORED MULTI PURPOSE									
		FY 2011			FY 2012			ı	FY 20)13	FY 2014			FY 2015			5	FY 2016					FY 2017					
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4 1	2	2 ;	3 4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	. 1		2	3	4
aterial Development Decision (MDD)																												
nalysis of Alternatives																												
elease RFP																												
ilestone B																												
MD Contract Award																												
eliminary Design Review																												
ritical Design Review																												
oduction Qualification Test/Limited User Te	st																											
ilestone C																												
RIP Contract Award																												

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2013 Army			DATE: February 2012
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement	DS5: ARMO	ORED MULTI PURPOSE VEHICLE
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Programs	(AMPV)	

Schedule Details

	St	E	nd	
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
Material Development Decision (MDD)	2	2012	2	2012
Analysis of Alternatives	2	2012	1	2013
Release RFP	4	2013	4	2013
Milestone B	1	2014	1	2014
EMD Contract Award	1	2014	1	2014
Preliminary Design Review	3	2014	3	2014
Critical Design Review	2	2015	2	2015
Production Qualification Test/Limited User Test	1	2016	4	2016
Milestone C	1	2017	1	2017
LRIP Contract Award	2	2017	2	2017