Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 DoD Human Resources Activity

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

**APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY** 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

PE 0605803SE: R&D in Support of DOD Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation

**DATE:** February 2011

BA 6: RDT&E Management Support

COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	21.043	64.737	49.810	-	49.810	41.308	12.207	12.178	12.382	Continuing	Continuing
Project 1 : Joint Service Training & Readiness System Development	4.262	4.286	4.175	-	4.175	4.137	4.129	4.121	4.190	Continuing	Continuing
Project 2: Defense Training Resource Analysis	3.358	3.420	3.320	-	3.320	3.288	3.270	3.253	3.307	Continuing	Continuing
Project 3: DoD Enlistment Processing & Testing	3.616	2.088	2.035	-	2.035	2.017	2.013	2.009	2.043	Continuing	Continuing
Project 4: Federal Voting Assistance Program	9.807	39.043	27.099	-	27.099	27.074	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
Project 5: Human Resources Automation Enhancements	-	8.900	6.789	-	6.789	4.192	2.795	2.795	2.842	Continuing	Continuing
Project 6: Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office	-	7.000	4.992	-	4.992	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
Project 7: Global force Mgmt Data Initiative	-	-	1.400	-	1.400	0.600	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

## A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Department of Defense Human Resources Activity (DHRA) is a DoD-wide Field Activity chartered to support the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (USD (P&R)). This PE includes application of R&D to expedite prototype development and mission support efforts to sustain and/or modernize operations required for general RDT&E.

Project 1: Joint Service Training & Readiness System Development. The Joint Service programs were established by the Secretary of Defense to improve the training and readiness of the Active and Reserve Components. This project expedites the prototype development of new training and readiness technologies and Joint Service Training and Readiness systems, which improve training and readiness effectiveness and enhance military forces' performance. It also facilitates the sharing of training and readiness information, while allowing for the transfer of emerging and innovative technologies among the Services and the private sector. Efforts have included: development of mission essential tasks; design, development, and implementation of performance metrics, data, and methodologies for the Joint Assessment and Enabling Capability to guide Training Transformation and support the Department's balanced scorecard and Defense Readiness Reporting System; identified and defined joint urban training requirements identified methods to conduct effective joint training and determined best means to develop simulations, military construction, and other urban training facilities that meet Service, joint, and fiscal demands and requirements; developed joint training regimen requirements and investments ranging from the joint strategic level down to the joint tactical level for joint asymmetric warfare; and developed a joint stability and support operations training roadmap and investment plan for operations other than war including peace enforcement, peacekeeping, and humanitarian assistance.

**Exhibit R-2**, **RDT&E Budget Item Justification:** PB 2012 DoD Human Resources Activity

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide PE 0605803SE: R&D in Support of DOD Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation

BA 6: RDT&E Management Support

Project 2: The Defense Training Resources Analysis. This project supports DHRA and DoD training managers (OSD, Joint Staff, Unified Commands, and the Services) in promoting more efficient and effective use of training resources, increasing the effectiveness of military training, and enhancing the readiness and performance of the military forces. Projects analyze the contributions to readiness of various training techniques and programs and use the results to expedite new training concepts and procedures that increase unit effectiveness or decrease costs. Emphasis is placed on developing analytical tools and systematic methodologies to improve training resource allocations.

Project 3: DoD Enlistment Processing and Testing. The project administers testing programs, which enable the Armed Services to select highly qualified military recruits. The DoD uses a single test, the Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery (ASVAB), to determine eligibility of military applicants and to report recruit quality data to Congress. High quality recruits are obtained from administering the ASVAB annually to approximately 600,000 applicants for Military Service as part of the DoD Enlistment Testing program, and to 1 million students in the DoD Student Testing program. Each Service also uses ASVAB test forms developed in this program as part of their in-service testing programs. New ASVAB test forms and related support materials are implemented approximately every four years. This allows DoD to make measurement improvements as well as decrease the likelihood of test compromise. Ongoing RDT&E efforts include development and evaluation of procedures which (1) reduce or eliminate threats to the validity of the ASVAB test scores generated; (2) improve the efficiency of the test development, calibration, and validation process; and (3) improve selection and classification decisions made by each Service through more effective use of test score information.

In addition, periodic assessments are required to provide DoD manpower planners and Congress with information on aptitude trends in the population from which recruits are drawn.

Project 4: The Federal Voting Assistance Program (FVAP) administers the Federal responsibilities of the Secretary of Defense, as specified in the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act of 1986 which covers more than six million potential voters. FVAP informs and educates U.S. citizens around the world of their right to vote, fosters voting participation and protects the integrity of the electoral process at the Federal, State and local levels.

The Election Assistance Commission is developing electronic absentee voting guidelines in conjunction with the National Institute of Standards and Technology. RDT&E funding will support the development of online tools to provide Voter Assistance Officer (VAO) training and to develop a dynamic public web-site to facilitate internet-based voter registration, ballot delivery and voting system for use in the first general election after the release of guidelines. Since July 2009, FVAP, EAC and NIST have been developing those guidelines. Full public engagement with the computer science, military and overseas voting advocacy, and voting system development communities is crucial to designing electronic absentee voting systems which will be accepted as providing the same level of ballot access, security, privacy, and accountability as the current absentee voting systems provided military and overseas voters.

Project 5: Civilian HR automation enhancements planned for FY 2009 and FY 2010 are focused on software development to support the Department's civilian workforce, including readiness requirements for the development of automation for an expeditionary civilian workforce; an SES-focused performance management system; development of interfaces with the Defense Civilian Personnel Data System (DCPDS) and other civilian HR systems to fully expand the Enterprise Staffing Solution; development of DCPDS interfaces with Office of Personnel Management (OPM) initiative mandates for HR Line of Business (LoB), electronic Official

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 DoD Human Resources Activity

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITEM NO

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

BA 6: RDT&E Management Support

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0605803SE: R&D in Support of DOD Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation

Personnel Folder, Retirement Systems Modernization implementation, and HR Line of Business. DoD is one of five designated Shared Service Centers in the federal government focused on providing standard services across agency lines, gaining potential significant business and cost-saving benefits. DoD is considered a leader in this initiative. Continues the conversion of employees back to other personnel systems as mandated in NDAA 2010 and designs new flexibilities to include, but not limited to the establishment of policies and procedures for a new Performance Management System, a redesigned hiring process adhering to veterans' preference requirements, a "Department of Defense Civilian Workforce Incentive fund", and a Mandatory Training and Retraining Program for Supervisors.

DCPDS is the Department's enterprise civilian HR system that has provided the savings originally projected in the achievement of full operational capability in 2002 and which has continued to operate as the DoD system serving over 800,000 employee records. Additional initiatives to sustain the Department's lead in automated systems to include, expansion of employee self service functionality, and systems to support civilian HR requirements of the intelligence and National Guard communities. All enhancements will support the Department's focus on the further consolidation of civilian HR operations to a single operational site, with linkage to Component operations worldwide.

Project 6: The integrated DoD SAPR Data Collection and Reporting System (Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID)) must accommodate a variety of uses, including the tracking of sexual assault victim support services, support SAPR program administration, program reporting requirements, and data analysis. In order to facilitate analysis at the OSD level, the System should be able to easily export data for analysis in computerized statistical applications, such as Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). Service field-level users may use the system to track support to victims of sexual assault throughout the lifecycle of that support requirement and to facilitate sexual assault case transfer between SARCs and Services. Service headquarters-level users will use the system to produce mandated and requested reports, monitor program effectiveness and support cohort and trend analysis.

The integrated DoD SAPR Data Collection and Reporting System will support SAPR programs for all active duty and Reserve personnel, including National Guard (NG) Service members when on active duty or when performing active service and inactive duty training (as defined in Section (101)(d)(3) of Chapter 47 of title 10, United States Code) with the ability to expand to cover other DoD personnel as required. Additionally, system implementation at the state level will provide a new capability to manage SAPR programs for National Guard personnel under Title 32 USC. Implementation of this capability would be based on a state NG structure grouped according to state and subdivided into sexual assaults from the separate Army and Air National Guard.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 DoD Human Resources Activity

**DATE:** February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

PE 0605803SE: R&D in Support of DOD Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation

BA 6: RDT&E Management Support

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	19.472	64.737	-	-	-
Current President's Budget	21.043	64.737	49.810	-	49.810
Total Adjustments	1.571	-	49.810	-	49.810
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>		-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>		-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.029	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>		-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>		-			
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	1.600	-			
<ul> <li>SBIR/STTR Transfer</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>R&amp;D in Support of DOD Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation</li> </ul>	-	-	49.810	-	49.810

### **Change Summary Explanation**

FY 2011 reflects initial RDT&E funding to support the development of online tools to provide Voter Assistance Officer (VAO) training and to develop a dynamic public web-site to facilitate internet-based voter registration, ballot delivery and voting system for use in the first general election after the release of guidelines.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PB	3 2012 DoD	Human Res	ources Activi	ity				<b>DATE:</b> Febr	uary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 0400: Research, Development, Test BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	& Evaluation	n, Defense-V		PE 0605803SE: R&D in Support of DOD				ROJECT Project 1 : Joint Service Training & Readin System Development			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Project 1 : Joint Service Training & Readiness System Development	4.262	4.286	4.175	-	4.175	4.137	4.129	4.121	4.190	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Accomplishments/Diamed Dreavens (f in Millians)

The Joint Service programs were established by the Secretary of Defense to improve the training and readiness of the Active and Reserve Components. This project expedites the prototype development of new training and readiness technologies and Joint Service training and readiness systems, which improve the training and readiness effectiveness and enhance the performance of the military forces. It also facilitates the sharing of training and readiness information, while allowing for the transfer of emerging and innovative technologies among the Services and private sector. Efforts have included: development of mission essential tasks; design, development, and implementation of performance metrics, data, and methodologies for the Joint Assessment and Enabling Capability to guide Training Transformation and support the Department's balanced scorecard and Defense Readiness Reporting System; identified and defined joint urban training requirements, identified methods to conduct effective joint training, and determined best means to develop simulations, military construction, and other urban training facilities that meet Service, joint, and fiscal demands and requirements; developed joint training regimen requirements and investments ranging from the joint strategic level down to the joint tactical level for joint asymmetric warfare; and developed a joint stability and support operations training roadmap and investment plan for operations other than war including peace enforcement, peacekeeping, and humanitarian assistance.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: Joint Service Training & Readiness System Development	4.262	4.286	4.175
Description: Joint Service Training & Readiness System Development			
FY 2010 Accomplishments:			
dee123• Develop training and readiness transformation strategies to implement wide-ranging change in training processes and infrastructure			
Continue development of mission essential tasks			
Advance the live, virtual, and constructive simulation training baseline to include developmental systems and visionary views to			
compose trends and			
assess macro-functionality in the context of Joint Vision 2020 (JV2020)			
• Examine and assess future learning technology requirements for Joint Vision 2020 to develop policies and resources capitalizing			
on the next-leap in			
technology (embedded intelligence, linked/seamless exchange of learning experiences to include immersive and virtual)			
• Continue to assess and refine the DoD training strategy for the Services, combatant commands and Defense Agencies			
Develop a synchronized and unified process model depicting the desired enhanced JTS capabilities			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 DoD Human	Resources Activity		DATE: Fe	bruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605803SE: R&D in Support of DOD Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation			e Training &	Readiness
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
<ul> <li>Continue to provide support to the Joint Knowledge Development a based on requirements from the Joint Staff and Combatant Commanders that support joint, i</li> <li>Continue to support prototype development, assessment and applie</li> <li>Continue to use the current JTS as a baseline, conduct analyses of Combatant Commanders,</li> <li>Training Transformation Joint Management Offices, and other stakel</li> <li>Examine military training models and methodologies used by foreign on the collective or unit training models and methodologies and use lessons learned to see Develop an adaptability training strategy for the DoD</li> <li>Investigate, quantify, and assess the value of system training to Deferectiveness</li> <li>Evaluate and compare alternatives for the acquisition of materials at Distribution Capability</li> <li>(JRD3C) and make recommendation to the Milestone Decision Authority assembling and correlating modeling and simulation scenarios, we rehearsals</li> </ul>	interagency and coalition training communities cation of DoD's Knowledge Management Systems of current and emerging operational requirements of holders to identify major system improvement oppour nations to prepare their militaries for operations, support training refense acquisition programs in terms of cost and perassociated with Joint Rapid Database Development ority based on the evaluation. The JRD3C will proving the province of the provi	and Ports rtunities focusing rformance t and ide a web-			
<ul> <li>FY 2011 Plans:</li> <li>Develop training and readiness transformation strategies to implement infrastructure</li> <li>Continue development of mission essential tasks</li> <li>Advance the live, virtual, and constructive simulation training baselicompose trends and assess macro-functionality in the context of Joint Vision 2020 (JV202)</li> <li>Examine and assess future learning technology requirements for Joint the next-leap in technology (embedded intelligence, linked/seamless exchange of least Continue to assess and refine the DoD training strategy for the Seron Develop a synchronized and unified process model depicting the description.</li> </ul>	ine to include developmental systems and visionary 20) bint Vision 2020 to develop policies and resources of arning experiences to include immersive and virtual vices, combatant commands and Defense Agencie	y views to capitalizing			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 DoD Human	Resources Activity		DATE: Fe	bruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605803SE: R&D in Support of DOD Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation			e Training &	Readiness
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Continue to provide support to the Joint Knowledge Development a based on requirements from the Joint Staff and Combatant Commanders that support joint, i Continue to support prototype development, assessment and applie Continue to use the current JTS as a baseline, conduct analyses of Combatant Commanders,  Training Transformation Joint Management Offices, and other stakes Examine military training models and methodologies used by foreign on the collective or unit training models and methodologies and use lessons learned to so Develop an adaptability training strategy for the DoD Investigate, quantify, and assess the value of system training to Deffectiveness Evaluate and compare alternatives for the acquisition of materials and Distribution Capability  (JRD3C) and make recommendation to the Milestone Decision Authority assembling and correlating modeling and simulation scenarios, we rehearsals	interagency and coalition training communities cation of DoD's Knowledge Management Systems of current and emerging operational requirements of holders to identify major system improvement oppour nations to prepare their militaries for operations, support training refense acquisition programs in terms of cost and perassociated with Joint Rapid Database Development ority based on the evaluation. The JRD3C will proving the province of the provi	and Ports rtunities focusing rformance t and ide a web-			
<ul> <li>FY 2012 Plans:</li> <li>Develop training and readiness transformation strategies to implement infrastructure</li> <li>Continue development of mission essential tasks</li> <li>Advance the live, virtual, and constructive simulation training baselicompose trends and assess macro-functionality in the context of Joint Vision 2020 (JV202</li> <li>Examine and assess future learning technology requirements for Joint the next-leap in technology (embedded intelligence, linked/seamless exchange of least Continue to assess and refine the DoD training strategy for the Ser</li> <li>Develop a synchronized and unified process model depicting the description.</li> </ul>	ine to include developmental systems and visionary 20) bint Vision 2020 to develop policies and resources of arning experiences to include immersive and virtual vices, combatant commands and Defense Agencie	views to			

<b>Exhibit R-2A</b> , <b>RDT&amp;E Project Justification</b> : PB 2012 DoD Human F	Resources Activity	DATE: Fo	ebruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605803SE: R&D in Support of DOD Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation	PROJECT Project 1 : Joint Servi System Development	•	Readiness
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
<ul> <li>Continue to provide support to the Joint Knowledge Development at based on requirements from the Joint Staff and Combatant Commanders that support joint, in</li> <li>Continue to support prototype development, assessment and applice</li> </ul>	nteragency and coalition training communities			

Training Transformation Joint Management Offices, and other stakeholders to identify major system improvement opportunities

• Examine military training models and methodologies used by foreign nations to prepare their militaries for operations, focusing

· Continue to use the current JTS as a baseline, conduct analyses of current and emerging operational requirements of

Examine military training models and methodologies used by foreign nations to prepare their militaries for operations, focusing
on the collective or

unit training models and methodologies and use lessons learned to support training

- · Develop an adaptability training strategy for the DoD
- Investigate, quantify, and assess the value of system training to Defense acquisition programs in terms of cost and performance effectiveness
- Evaluate and compare alternatives for the acquisition of materials associated with Joint Rapid Database Development and Distribution Capability

(JRD3C) and make recommendation to the Milestone Decision Authority based on the evaluation. The JRD3C will provide a web-based architecture

for assembling and correlating modeling and simulation scenarios, which will reduce the overall time needed to plan mission rehearsals

Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtot	tals

## C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

## D. Acquisition Strategy

Combatant Commanders.

NOT REQUIRED.

#### E. Performance Metrics

Each project contained within this program contains specific metrics to determine progress towards completion. Metrics for all include completed and documented analysis provided by the performer. The completion date for that analysis varies with each project. In addition, to that analysis, each effort contains a roadmap addressing the best use of the findings throughout the department. If the results of the analysis show benefit to the Department, those findings are included in policy, doctrine, tactics and procedures.

4.262

4.286

4.175

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Jus	tification: PE	3 2012 DoD	Human Res	ources Activ	ıty				DATE: Febr	uary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 0400: Research, Development, Tes BA 6: RDT&E Management Suppor	t & Evaluation	n, Defense-V	Vide	PE 060580				PROJECT Project 2: D	Defense Training Resource Anal		
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Project 2: Defense Training Resource Analysis	3.358	3.420	3.320	-	3.320	3.288	3.270	3.253	3.307	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

B Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)

This project supports DHRA and DoD training managers (OSD, Joint Staff, Unified Commands, and the Services) in promoting more efficient and effective use of training resources, increasing the effectiveness of military training, and enhancing the readiness and performance of the military forces. Projects analyze the contributions to readiness of various training techniques and programs and use the results to expedite new training concepts and procedures that increase unit effectiveness or decrease costs. Emphasis is placed on developing analytical tools and systematic methodologies to improve training resource allocations.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$\frac{1}{2}\) in Millions	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: Defense Training Resource Analysis	3.358	3.420	3.320
Description: Defense Training Resource Analysis			
FY 2010 Accomplishments:			
• Provide analytical support to address sustainability of training ranges strategy to protect range capability to support needed testing and training			
Develop comprehensive strategy to address near-term range encroachments that threaten DoD's ability to test and train as required			
• Develop recommendations on ways Joint Simulation and Modeling System (JSIMS) and supporting tools can be integrated into the Joint			
Experimentation process			
Continue integration of next-generation training simulation tools into joint and interoperability training			
Continue development of Phase IV, JTIMS prototype readiness and training assessment tools			
Assess the costs and benefits of establishing standing Joint Task Forces (JTFs) in the combatant commands			
• Inventory encroachment problems facing training ranges across the Department; assess the contribution of the Service efforts and existing			
Department efforts to deal with encroachment; and assist in developing an Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) agenda to deal with the problems			
<ul> <li>across the Military Departments</li> <li>Develop and refine a future Department of Defense (DoD) training strategy and roadmap congruent with JV2020 and the Combined Joint Chiefs of</li> </ul>			

EV 2010 EV 2011

EV 2012

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 DoD Human	Resources Activity		DATE: Fe	bruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605803SE: R&D in Support of DOD Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation	PROJEC Project 2:		aining Resoul	rce Analysis
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Staff's Joint Training System  Develop an information management approach that captures and mevaluates the adequacy of existing training resources to meet these requirements. Develop an information management baseline to support the DoD's manage encroachment issues across OSD, the military departments, and stakeholders outsi. Analyze and recommend improved approaches for compatible land. Develop strategy to sustain ranges including legislative/regulatory, overall response to address the most critical encroachment issues. Conduct encroachment assessment and planning to sustain oversidone for Continental United States (CONUS) ranges. Continue development Sustainable Ranges Working IPT (WIPT)-apprinformation system capabilities to develop a current capabilities baseline, identify best procontinue development of an overseas range inventory baseline, Witheater case studies. Define and reach consensus on OSD-Service-sponsored DoD range. Continue development and coordination of DoD sustainable range. Continue development of Sustainable Range funding tracking mechalogets. Investigate various methodologies to improve DoD involuntary acceptor the purpose of individual or collective skill training required to meet deployment stant. Examine and use various options for compensating Reserve composures and develop suggested methods for standardizing the level of compensation of continue development of various methodologies for assessing the members and their families.	de of DoD I use and buffer zone creation to increase range surpoutreach, policy, organization, and programming as eas ranges in concert with comprehensive planning opproved analysis approach and initiated OSD study ractices, analyze gaps, and recommend common support overseas action plan, and supporting overseas and operational range clearances, and outreach potentials and supported WIPT late-summer review of east to Reserve Component units and/or individual mand and timelines onent personnel who complete electronic distribution tion awarded for various training and educational cuton awarded for various training and educational cutons.	e and stainability s part of an g being of range olutions region/ licy Service nembers on learning urricula			

				bruary 2011	
PROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJEC			
0: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide	PE 0605803SE: R&D in Support of DOD	Project 2	: Defense Tra	aining Resou	rce Analysis
6: RDT&E Management Support	Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation				
Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
onduct research and analyses on key Joint and Service training	, safety, and readiness programs, reports, plans and	activities;			
make					
ommendations directly to the directorate					
evelop and maintain readiness and mishap metrics for senior le	vel forums				
nalyze methods to relieve stress on the force					
evelop useful aggregations of readiness measures					
eview utility and quality of Department of Defense Readiness Re					
a Defense Safety Oversight Council, develop initiatives to reduc					
evelop, field, maintain and fund DRRS and scenario assessmen					
evelop safety tracking and management of injuries, fatalities and					
ontinue to improve the Department of Defense Readiness Repo	orting System				
evelop alternatives to unit based sourcing					
orther develop the "Trends and Shocks" analysis					
evelop Joint forces/In Lieu of Ad Hoc forces sourcing categories					
nks METS and performance measures for readiness reporting a	assessment				
evelop cognitive readiness for irregular warfare					
2011 Plans:					
ovide analytical support to address sustainability of training ran	iges strategy to protect range capability to support ne	eded			
ing and training					
evelop comprehensive strategy to address near-term range enc	croachments that threaten DoD's ability to test and tra	ain as			
uired	on Contain (ICINC) and assess that a table and be inter-				
evelop recommendations on ways Joint Simulation and Modelin	ig System (JSIMS) and supporting tools can be integ	rated into			
Joint perimentation process					
ontinue integration of next-generation training simulation tools in	ata joint and interenerability training				
ontinue development of Phase IV, JTIMS prototype readiness a					
sess the costs and benefits of establishing standing Joint Task					
ventory encroachment problems facing training ranges across t		e efforts			
existing	and 2 sparantoning access and contained and of the convic				
partment efforts to deal with encroachment; and assist in develo	oping an Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) ac	enda to			
I with the problems	,	,			
oss the Military Departments					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 DoD Human	Resources Activity		DATE: Fe	bruary 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJ					
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	PE 0605803SE: R&D in Support of DOD Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation	Project 2: Defense Training Resource A				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
<ul> <li>Develop and refine a future Department of Defense (DoD) training of Combined Joint Chiefs of Staff's Joint Training System</li> <li>Develop an information management approach that captures and mevaluates the adequacy of existing training resources to meet these requirements</li> <li>Develop an information management baseline to support the DoD of manage encroachment issues across OSD, the military departments, and stakeholders outsine Analyze and recommend improved approaches for compatible land of Develop strategy to sustain ranges including legislative/regulatory, overall response to address the most critical encroachment issues</li> <li>Conduct encroachment assessment and planning to sustain oversed one for Continental</li> <li>United States (CONUS) ranges</li> <li>Continue development Sustainable Ranges Working IPT (WIPT)-apinformation system</li> <li>Continue development of an overseas range inventory baseline, Witheater case studies</li> <li>Define and reach consensus on OSD-Service-sponsored DoD ranges</li> <li>Continue development and coordination of DoD sustainable range of Continue development of Sustainable Range funding tracking mechanges</li> <li>Investigate various methodologies to improve DoD involuntary acceptor the purpose of individual or collective skill training required to meet deployment standevelop suggested methods for standardizing the level of compensation courses and develop ment of various methodologies for assessing the forms.</li> </ul>	naintains Service-identified training range requirements a sustainable ranges initiative agenda, and to overse side of DoD I use and buffer zone creation to increase range surpoutreach, policy, organization, and programming a eas ranges in concert with comprehensive planning opproved analysis approach and initiated OSD study ractices, analyze gaps, and recommend common startices, analyze gaps, and recommend common startices, analyze gaps, and supporting overseast ge buffer zone projects and operational range clearances, and outreach penanism and supported WIPT late-summer review of east to Reserve Component units and/or individual and and timelines onent personnel who complete electronic distribution awarded for various training and educational of the component	nents, and ee and ustainability is part of an g being y of range solutions is region/ olicy of Service members on learning curricula				

	UNCLASSII ILD				
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 DoD Human F	Resources Activity		DATE: Fe	bruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605803SE: R&D in Support of DOD Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation	RD in Support of DOD Project 2: Defense Training			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
families  Conduct research and analyses on key Joint and Service training, sand make recommendations directly to the directorate  Develop and maintain readiness and mishap metrics for senior leve  Analyze methods to relieve stress on the force  Develop useful aggregations of readiness measures  Review utility and quality of Department of Defense Readiness Rep  Via Defense Safety Oversight Council, develop initiatives to reduce  Develop, field, maintain and fund DRRS and scenario assessment to Develop safety tracking and management of injuries, fatalities and a Continue to improve the Department of Defense Readiness Reportion Develop alternatives to unit based sourcing  Further develop the "Trends and Shocks" analysis  Develop Joint forces/In Lieu of Ad Hoc forces sourcing categories  Links METS and performance measures for readiness reporting ass  Develop cognitive readiness for irregular warfare	orting System (DRRS) Data bases preventable mishaps by 75% tools. accidents ng System	d activities;			
<ul> <li>FY 2012 Plans:</li> <li>Provide analytical support to address sustainability of training range testing and training</li> <li>Develop comprehensive strategy to address near-term range encro required</li> <li>Develop recommendations on ways Joint Simulation and Modeling the Joint</li> <li>Experimentation process</li> <li>Continue integration of next-generation training simulation tools into</li> <li>Continue development of Phase IV, JTIMS prototype readiness and</li> <li>Assess the costs and benefits of establishing standing Joint Task Fooline Inventory encroachment problems facing training ranges across the and existing</li> <li>Department efforts to deal with encroachment; and assist in developing deal with the problems</li> </ul>	achments that threaten DoD's ability to test and tresponding tools can be integrated by joint and interoperability training assessment tools orces (JTFs) in the combatant commands a Department; assess the contribution of the Services.	ain as grated into ce efforts			

	0110 21 10 011 122				
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 DoD Human F	Resources Activity		DATE: Fe	bruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJEC			
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	PE 0605803SE: R&D in Support of DOD Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation	Project 2: Defense Training Resource A			rce Analysis
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
across the Military Departments					
• Develop and refine a future Department of Defense (DoD) training s	strategy and roadmap congruent with JV2020 and	the			
Combined Joint Chiefs of					
Staff's Joint Training System					
Develop an information management approach that captures and mevaluates the	naintains Service-identified training range requiren	nents, and			
adequacy of existing training resources to meet these requirements					
Develop an information management baseline to support the DoD s	sustainable ranges initiative agenda, and to overse	e and			
manage encroachment					
issues across OSD, the military departments, and stakeholders outside					
Analyze and recommend improved approaches for compatible land					
Develop strategy to sustain ranges including legislative/regulatory, or a sustain range.	outreach, policy, organization, and programming a	s part of an			
overall response to					
address the most critical encroachment issues		l !			
<ul> <li>Conduct encroachment assessment and planning to sustain overse done for Continental</li> </ul>	eas ranges in concert with comprehensive plannin	g being			
United States (CONUS) ranges					
<ul> <li>Continue development Sustainable Ranges Working IPT (WIPT)-ap</li> </ul>	proved analysis approach and initiated OSD stud	v of range			
information system	proved analysis approach and initiated GOD stud	y or range			
capabilities to develop a current capabilities baseline, identify best pr	actices, analyze gaps, and recommend common s	solutions			
Continue development of an overseas range inventory baseline, WI					
theater case studies	у така така така така така така така так				
• Define and reach consensus on OSD-Service-sponsored DoD rang	ge buffer zone projects				
Continue development and coordination of DoD sustainable range a		olicy			
• Continue development of Sustainable Range funding tracking mech	anism and supported WIPT late-summer review of	of Service			
budgets					
<ul> <li>Investigate various methodologies to improve DoD involuntary acce</li> </ul>	ss to Reserve Component units and/or individual	members			
for the purpose of					
individual or collective skill training required to meet deployment stan		_			
• Examine and use various options for compensating Reserve compo	onent personnel who complete electronic distribution	on learning			
courses and					
develop suggested methods for standardizing the level of compensat	ion awarded for various training and educational d	curricula			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 DoD Human Res		DATE: February 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT		
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide	PE 0605803SE: R&D in Support of DOD	Project 2: Defense Training Resource And		
BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation			

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Continue development of various methodologies for assessing the true economic impact of mobilization on Reserve component			
members and their			
families			
• Conduct research and analyses on key Joint and Service training, safety, and readiness programs, reports, plans and activities;			
and make			
recommendations directly to the directorate			
Develop and maintain readiness and mishap metrics for senior level forums			
Analyze methods to relieve stress on the force			
Develop useful aggregations of readiness measures			
Review utility and quality of Department of Defense Readiness Reporting System (DRRS) Data bases			
Via Defense Safety Oversight Council, develop initiatives to reduce preventable mishaps by 75%			
Develop, field, maintain and fund DRRS and scenario assessment tools.			
Develop safety tracking and management of injuries, fatalities and accidents			
Continue to improve the Department of Defense Readiness Reporting System			
Develop alternatives to unit based sourcing			
• Further develop the "Trends and Shocks" analysis			
Develop Joint forces/In Lieu of Ad Hoc forces sourcing categories			
Links METS and performance measures for readiness reporting assessment			
Develop cognitive readiness for irregular warfare			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	3.358	3.420	3.320

## C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

# D. Acquisition Strategy

NOT REQUIRED.

### **E. Performance Metrics**

Each project contained within this program contains specific metrics to determine progress towards completion. Metrics for all include completed and documented analysis provided by the performer. The completion date for that analysis varies with each project. In addition, to that analysis, each effort contains a roadmap addressing the best use of the findings throughout the department. If the results of the analysis show benefit to the Department, those findings are included in policy, doctrine, tactics and procedures.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 DoD Human Resources Activity									DATE: Febi	uary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 0400: Research, Development, Test BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide				IOMENCLAT 3SE: R&D in Testing and	Support of L	, , ,			ng &	
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Project 3: DoD Enlistment Processing & Testing	3.616	2.088	2.035	-	2.035	2.017	2.013	2.009	2.043	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)

The primary mission of DoD Enlistment Processing and Testing is to test and implement more accurate methods of assessing aptitudes required for military enlistment, success in training, and performance on the job. Also, it includes implementing methods that are useful in the identification of persons with the high aptitudes required by today's smaller and technically more demanding military.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: DoD Enlistment Processing & Testing	3.616	2.088	2.035
Description: DoD Enlistment Processing & Testing			
FY 2010 Accomplishments:			
DoD Enlistment Testing Program (ETP)			
Implement procedures for the detection of test compromise			
Improve on-line item calibration procedures			
Conduct a review of the Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery(ASVAB) content, identify and research content changes			
• Continue research line on use of multidimensional Computerized Adaptive Testing (CAT) item selection and scoring procedures			
Evaluate feasibility of implementing internet-based screening and practice tests			
• Develop procedures for conducting internet-based CAT-ASVAB with verification testing at Military Entrance Processing Stations			
(MEPS)			
Evaluate the impact of using commercial test preparation materials on test scores and test validity			
DoD Student Testing Program (STP)			
Develop a new Career Exploration Program (CEP) Web Site			
Implement new materials and publish new technical manual			
Evaluate the use of internet-based CAT-ASVAB in the nation's high schools			
Evaluate the use of Item Response Theory and CAT in administering the CEP interest inventory			
Develop and implement occupational linkages to O*NET			

EV 2010 EV 2011 EV 2012

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 DoD Human	Resources Activity		DATE: Fe	bruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605803SE: R&D in Support of DOD Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation				sing &
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Develop and implement a fully functional CEP web site					
FY 2011 Plans: DoD Enlistment Testing Program (ETP)					
<ul> <li>Implement procedures for the detection of test compromise</li> <li>Improve on-line item calibration procedures</li> <li>Conduct a review of the Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Batter</li> <li>Continue research line on use of multidimensional Computerized A</li> <li>Evaluate feasibility of implementing internet-based screening and p</li> <li>Develop procedures for conducting internet-based CAT-ASVAB wit (MEPS)</li> <li>Evaluate the impact of using commercial test preparation materials</li> </ul>	daptive Testing (CAT) item selection and scoring paractice tests the verification testing at Military Entrance Processing Action 1981	procedures			
DoD Student Testing Program (STP)					
<ul> <li>Develop a new Career Exploration Program (CEP) Web Site</li> <li>Implement new materials and publish new technical manual</li> <li>Evaluate the use of internet-based CAT-ASVAB in the nation's high</li> <li>Evaluate the use of Item Response Theory and CAT in administerir</li> <li>Develop and implement occupational linkages to O*NET</li> <li>Develop and implement a fully functional CEP web site</li> </ul>					
FY 2012 Plans: DoD Enlistment Testing Program (ETP)					
<ul> <li>Implement procedures for the detection of test compromise</li> <li>Improve on-line item calibration procedures</li> <li>Conduct a review of the Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Batter</li> <li>Continue research line on use of multidimensional Computerized A</li> <li>Evaluate feasibility of implementing internet-based screening and p</li> <li>Develop procedures for conducting internet-based CAT-ASVAB wit (MEPS)</li> <li>Evaluate the impact of using commercial test preparation materials</li> </ul>	daptive Testing (CAT) item selection and scoring paractice tests the verification testing at Military Entrance Processing Action 1981	procedures			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 DoD Human Re	DATE: February 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide	PE 0605803SE: R&D in Support of DOD	Project 3: I	DoD Enlistment Processing &
BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation	Testing	

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
DoD Student Testing Program (STP)			
<ul> <li>Develop a new Career Exploration Program (CEP) Web Site</li> <li>Implement new materials and publish new technical manual</li> <li>Evaluate the use of internet-based CAT-ASVAB in the nation's high schools</li> <li>Evaluate the use of Item Response Theory and CAT in administering the CEP interest inventory</li> <li>Develop and implement occupational linkages to O*NET</li> <li>Develop and implement a fully functional CEP web site</li> </ul>			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	3.616	2.088	2.035

### C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

## D. Acquisition Strategy

NOT REQUIRED.

#### E. Performance Metrics

Each project contained within this program contains specific metrics to determine progress towards completion. Metrics for all include completed and documented analysis provided by the performer. The completion date for that analysis varies with each project. In addition, to that analysis, each effort contains a roadmap addressing the best use of the findings throughout the department. If the results of the analysis show benefit to the Department, those findings are included in policy, doctrine, tactics and procedures.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 DoD Human Resources Activity								DATE: Feb	ruary 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support				R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605803SE: R&D in Support of DOD Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation  PROJECT Project 4: Federal Voting Assistance			e Program				
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Project 4: Federal Voting Assistance Program	9.807	39.043	27.099	-	27.099	27.074	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Federal Voting Assistance Program (FVAP) administers the Federal responsibilities of the Secretary of Defense, as specified in the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act of 1986 which covers more than six million potential voters. FVAP informs and educates U.S. citizens around the world of their right to vote, fosters voting participation and protects the integrity of the electoral process at the Federal, State and local levels.

The Election Assistance Commission is developing electronic absentee voting guidelines in conjunction with the National Institute of Standards and Technology. RDT&E funding will support the development of online tools to provide Voter Assistance Officer (VAO) training and to develop a dynamic public web-site to facilitate internet-based voter registration, ballot delivery and voting system for use in the first general election after the release of guidelines. FVAP, EAC and NIST have been developing these guidelines. Full public engagement with the computer science, military and overseas voting advocacy, and voting system development communities is crucial to designing electronic absentee voting systems which will be accepted as providing the same level of ballot access, security, privacy, and accountability as the current absentee voting systems provided military and overseas voters.

FVAP identified efficencies of \$2.0M in FY 2012 and FY 2013 due to the deferral of developing a full internet voting demostration system until the election assistance commission publishes guidlines for electronic voting, results not expected before fall of 2013.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: Federal Voting Assistance Program	9.807	39.043	27.099
<b>Description:</b> Federal Voting Assistance Program Funding will support the development of online tools to provide Voter Assistance Officer (VAO) training and to develop a dynamic public web-site to facilitate internet-based voter registration, ballot delivery and voting system for use in the first general election after the release of guidelines. FVAP will conduct a variety of research, analysis, evaluation, test and support functions with the intent of supporting Wounded Warrior, disabled military members, military members, their dependents and overseas civilian voters to register and vote successfully with a minimum amount of effort.			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: FY 2010 Accomplishments: In 2010, FVAP is deploying an online ballot delivery and marking wizard to allow military and overseas voters to receive and mark, online, their absentee ballots. 22 States, covering more than 500,000 military voters and almost 500,000 military dependent voters, have joined this effort. The voter will be able to mark the ballot with all selected candidates, have the ballot automatically			

	0.110 2.110 0.11 1.22				
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 DoD Human F	Resources Activity		DATE: Fe	bruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	PROJECT 4:		ing Assistand	ce Program	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
filled out with the voter's selections, and then print out that ballot, with envelope, for the voter to print out as a hard-copy, sign with a wet sign		ed			
These systems are the same as the front-end of what a voter would enthe online process at the online marking of the ballot, and supports the voter benefits by having online access to the ballot 45-days prior to the ballot from the local election official, which often takes upwards of FVAP documented concerns that EAC's test of a "kiosk"-based voting national-level threats, not just against non-governmental, individual or case raises serious issues of national level threats against online system supports guideline development using existing DoD threat analysis cannot be a serious issues of national level threats against online system.	e postal return of a hard-copy, "wet" signature ballone election, and not having to wait for the postal delection, and not having to wait for the postal delection of 30 days for one-way mail delivery.  In a system required additional testing standards against small group threats was needed. The Google had terms, such as electronic absentee voting systems. A pability resident to test and evaluate different elections.	ot. The livery of ainst sking Funding tronic			
absentee voting systems in variety of threat environments. Testing be guidelines as a basis. Funds will complete the kiosk-based system to remote PC-based systems. Funds will also evaluate the particular se run over the Defense Information Network System (DINS) using Comimplementation.	esting, evaluation of results, and support similar tes curity capabilities of electronic voting systems that	sts on can be			
In 2010, FVAP initiated with EAC and the Office of Transition Care and to evaluate the voting needs of wounded warriors, given their dislocat received their voting assistance, and their frequent duty station transfer effectiveness of the two electronic absentee voting systems, the kiosk electronic voting has long been a key initiative of the disabled communa concentrated population of diverse disabilities in a relatively controll done. OTCC, will closely define specific requirements for their popular FVAP will leverage the testing for usability both in benign and threat esystem levels of access, security, privacy, and accountability.  • Award Contract for Management Services and Evaluation					
FY 2011 Plans: FY 2011Plans: • Based on evaluation and analysis of FY 10 accomplishments, Con systems with respect to UOCAVA voters in an effort to Assist military					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 DoD Human	Resources Activity	[	ATE: Fel	oruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	PROJECT Project 4: Fed	OJECT lect 4: Federal Voting Assistance I			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY	<b>/ 2010</b>	FY 2011	FY 2012
State and local election officials in complying with the requirements of military and overseas voters, and advocate for military and overseas of the Uniformed and Overseas Citizen Absentee Voting Act of 1986 Act) requires the Department of Defense to report annually on the voof overseas American civilians that are actually residing abroad is unthe Department of Treasury, and overseas citizen advocacy groups, American populations, by regions, by countries, and by demographic estimate of overseas American civilian populations.  The 2010 National Defense Authorization Act requires States to see before federal elections. However, it allows those States to apply for show that they have alternative delivery methods and timelines in plathose voters to receive, vote, and return their absentee ballots. Such on postal mail delivery for at least part of their delivery and return, and part of the reason the 45-day prior requirement was enacted. These alternative plans granted under 2010 waivers, as well as analyzed the expedited return ballot mail system mandated by the FY10 NDA/Agency.	(as amended by the 2010 National Defense Authorizater participation of overseas civilian voters. The number of the National Defense Authorizater participation of overseas civilian voters. The number of States to develop alternative methods of determining overse strata, in order to develop an overall, statistically so and ballots out to military and overseas voters at least of a waiver to that 45-day prior deadline if (in part) they are which will provide sufficiently equivalent opportuning alternative methods are going to be predominantly of the inherent delays in those postal mail systems is a requested funds would be used to analyze the efficate mail delivery timelines experienced in foreign mail strangers.	ation aber e, eas und, 45 days can ity to reliant a large cy of any systems,			
FY 2012 Plans: FY 2012 Base Plans: • Based on the results of the research and testing conducted in FY testing that will improve the assistance given to military and oversea local election officials in complying with the requirements of federal loverseas voters, and advocate for military and overseas voting rights. • Continue Contract for Management Services and Evaluation	es voters in exercising their right to vote, assist State a aw, and in providing equal voting opportunity for milita	and			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 DoD Human Res	<b>DATE:</b> February 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide	PE 0605803SE: R&D in Support of DOD	Project 4: Federal Voting Assistance Program
BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation	

## C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

## D. Acquisition Strategy

NOT REQUIRED

## **E. Performance Metrics**

The project is the development, testing and deployment of an internet-based voter registration, ballot delivery and voting system that integrates the requirements of the electronic absentee voting guidelines.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification: Pl	Human Res	ources Activ	rity				DATE: Febr	ruary 2011			
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide					PE 0605803SE: R&D in Support of DOD				PROJECT Project 5: Human Resources Automation Enhancements			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
Project 5: Human Resources Automation Enhancements	-	8.900	6.789	-	6.789	4.192	2.795	2.795	2.842	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles												

#### **Note**

PE 0606900SE: Human Resources Automation Enhancements has been created and is now available for use.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Civilian HR automation enhancements planned for FY 2009 and FY 2010 are focused on software development to support the Department's civilian workforce, including readiness requirements for the development of automation for an expeditionary civilian workforce; an SES-focused performance management system; development of interfaces with the Defense Civilian Personnel Data System (DCPDS) and other civilian HR systems to fully expand the Enterprise Staffing Solution; development of DCPDS interfaces with Office of Personnel Management (OPM) initiative mandates for HR Line of Business (LoB), electronic Official Personnel Folder, Retirement Systems Modernization implementation, and HR Line of Business. DoD is one of five designated Shared Service Centers in the federal government focused on providing standard services across agency lines, gaining potential significant business and cost-saving benefits. DoD is considered a leader in this initiative. Continues the conversion of employees back to other personnel systems as mandated in NDAA 2010 and designs new flexibilities to include, but not limited to the establishment of policies and procedures for a new Performance Management System, a redesigned hiring process adhering to veterans' preference requirements, a "Department of Defense Civilian Workforce Incentive fund", and a Mandatory Training and Retraining Program for Supervisors.

DCPDS is the Department's enterprise civilian HR system that has provided the savings originally projected in the achievement of full operational capability in 2002 and which has continued to operate as the DoD system serving over 800,000 employee records. Additional initiatives to sustain the Department's lead in automated systems to include, expansion of employee self service functionality, and systems to support civilian HR requirements of the intelligence and National Guard communities. All enhancements will support the Department's focus on the further consolidation of civilian HR operations to a single operational site, with linkage to Component operations worldwide.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: N/A	-	8.900	6.789
FY 2010 Accomplishments: N/A			
<b>FY 2011 Plans:</b> N/A			
FY 2012 Plans:			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E	roject Justification: PB 2012 DoD Human Resources Activity

FY 2010

**R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE** 

**DATE:** February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support

PE 0605803SE: R&D in Support of DOD

Project 5: Human Resources Automation

**FY 2010** 

Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation

**Enhancements** 

**PROJECT** 

B. Accomplishments/Planned Progr	rams (\$ in Millions)
----------------------------------	-----------------------

N/A

Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	_	8.900	6.789
		2.000	0.700

#### C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2011

FY 2012 Total

6.789

FY 2013 FY 2014

FY 2015

**Cost To** 

**FY 2011** 

• 159/0605803SE: R&D in Support

0.000 8.900

Base 6.789

OCO

4.192

2.795

2.795

FY 2016 Complete Total Cost

2.842 Continuing Continuing

FY 2012

of DOD Enlistment, Testing and

Line Item

Evaluation

## D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

## **E. Performance Metrics**

In FY 2010 Q1-Q2 activities will include the initiation of development and testing of planned enhancements, with further refinements in FY 2010.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ources Activ	ity				DATE: Feb	ruary 2011					
					PE 0605803SE: R&D in Support of DOD				PROJECT Project 6: Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
Project 6: Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office	-	7.000	4.992	-	4.992	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles												

#### Note

PE 0808738SE: Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office has been created and is ready for use.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Department of Defense Human Resources Activity (DHRA) is a DoD-wide Field Activity chartered to support the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (USD (P&R)).

The integrated DoD SAPR Data Collection and Reporting System (Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID)) must accommodate a variety of uses, including the tracking of sexual assault victim support services, support SAPR program administration, program reporting requirements, and data analysis. In order to facilitate analysis at the OSD level, the System should be able to easily export data for analysis in computerized statistical applications, such as Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). Service field-level users may use the system to track support to victims of sexual assault throughout the lifecycle of that support requirement and to facilitate sexual assault case transfer between SARCs and Services. Service headquarters-level users will use the system to support program planning, analysis, and management. DoD SAPR Office (SAPRO) users and Service headquarters-level users will access the system to produce mandated and requested reports, monitor program effectiveness and support cohort and trend analysis.

The integrated DoD SAPR Data Collection and Reporting System will support SAPR programs for all active duty and Reserve personnel, including National Guard (NG) Service members when on active duty or when performing active service and inactive duty training (as defined in Section (101)(d)(3) of Chapter 47 of title 10, United States Code) with the ability to expand to cover other DoD personnel as required. Additionally, system implementation at the state level will provide a new capability to manage SAPR programs for National Guard personnel under Title 32 USC. Implementation of this capability would be based on a state NG structure grouped according to state and subdivided into sexual assaults from the separate Army and Air National Guard.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: N/A	-	7.000	4.992
FY 2010 Accomplishments: N/A			
FY 2011 Plans:			

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	00: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide PE 0605803SE: R&D in Support of DOD					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012		
N/A						
<b>FY 2012 Plans:</b> N/A						

## C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 DoD Human Resources Activity

			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2010	FY 2011	<b>Base</b>	OCO	<u>Total</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	<b>Total Cost</b>
• 159/0605803SE: R&D in Support	0.000	7.000	4.992		4.992	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	Continuing	Continuing
of DOD Enlistment Testing and											

**Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals** 

of DOD Enlistment, Testing and

Evaluation

## **D. Acquisition Strategy**

Contract Type: Firm-Fixed, Period of Performance: 12 month Base Year Plus 4 Option Years; Planned award date 16 April 2010; Number of Awards: Single; Use of Commercial Procedures (FAR Part 12); Estimated value including all options \$20,000,000.00.

#### **E. Performance Metrics**

In FY 2010 Q3-Q4 activities will include the initiation of development of DSAID, with further developments in FY2011 and FY2012

**DATE:** February 2011

7.000

4.992

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 0400: Research, Development, Test BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	t & Evaluatio	n, Defense-V		R-1 ITEM N PE 0605803 Enlistment,		Support of I	DOD	PROJECT Project 7: Global force Mgmt Data Initiative			nitiative
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Project 7: Global force Mgmt Data Initiative	-	-	1.400	-	1.400	0.600	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

## A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 DoD Human Resources Activity

Implementation of GFM DI supports the force management adaptive planning process for financial, health records, and information assurance.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: N/A	-	-	1.400
Description: N/A			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: N/A			
<b>FY 2011 Plans:</b> N/A			
FY 2012 Plans: This is for the Global Force Management Data Initiative			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	-	-	1.400

## C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

## D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

## **E. Performance Metrics**

N/A

**DATE:** February 2011