Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Office of Secretary Of Defense

TUDE

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

PE 0602000D8Z: Joint Munitions Technology

BA 2: Applied Research

| COST (\$ in Millions) | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 2012 Base | FY 2012 OCO | FY 2012 Total | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | Cost To Complete | Total Cost |
|--------------------------------|---------|---------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------------------|------------|
| Total Program Element | 18.109 | 22.448 | 21.592 | - | 21.592 | 20.267 | 21.520 | 22.558 | 23.220 | Continuing | Continuing |
| P000: Insensitive Munitions | 14.291 | 14.735 | 14.425 | - | 14.425 | 14.611 | 14.916 | 15.246 | 15.696 | Continuing | Continuing |
| P204: Enabling Fuze Technology | 3.818 | 7.713 | 7.167 | - | 7.167 | 5.656 | 6.604 | 7.312 | 7.524 | Continuing | Continuing |

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program addresses applied research associated with improving the lethality, reliability, safety and survivability of munitions and weapon systems. The goal is to develop and demonstrate joint enabling technologies that can be used by Program Managers as they develop their specific weapon programs. The program invests in and demonstrates technologies from a Joint Service perspective, thus insuring the development of technology with the broadest applicability while avoiding duplication of efforts.

Munition Area Technology Groups (MATGs) and Fuze Area Technology Groups (FATGs) have been established for each munition and capability area and are tasked with 1) coordinating, establishing, and maintaining five, ten, and fifteen-year technology development plans and roadmaps, 2) coordinating biannual meetings to review technical and programmatic details of each funded and proposed effort, 3) developing and submitting Technology Transition Agreements in coordination with appropriate Program Executive Officers (PEOs) for insertion in their Insensitive Munitions (IM) Strategic Plans / Fuze Technology Development Plan, and 4) interfacing with other MATGs / FATGs and IM / fuze science and technology projects as appropriate. The Joint Insensitive Munitions Technology Program (JIMTP) and Joint Fuze Technology Program (JFTP) will utilize a Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) (consisting of senior DoD and DOE laboratory representatives and senior Munitions PEO representatives) to provide program oversight, policy, direction and priorities during its annual meeting.

The IM effort will demonstrate enabling technologies needed to develop weapons in compliance with requirements established in United States Code, Title 10, Chapter 141, Section 2389 and DoDI 5000.1. This effort will take promising technologies demonstrated at the laboratory scale and transition them into demonstration programs utilizing generic hardware based on priority munitions identified in the PEO IM Strategic Plans. Mature demonstrated IM technology can be transitioned, thereby decreasing their program costs and schedule risk and facilitating spin-offs to other non-compliant munitions within their portfolios.

Under the JIMTP, investments are focused on five Munition Areas: High Performance Rocket Propulsion, Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion, Blast and Fragmentation Warheads, Anti-Armor Warheads, and Large Caliber Gun Propulsion. MATGs, under tri-service leadership, have developed technology roadmaps for each Munition Area which are used to guide investments based on goals consistent with the DoD IM Strategic Plan. These IM technologies, alone or in combination, will be incorporated in hardware, simulating real-world munitions, to demonstrate their utility and feasibility as part of Technology Transition Agreements with PEOs.

The Enabling Fuze Technology effort will also demonstrate fuze enabling technologies needed to develop weapons that address priority capability areas identified in the Guidance for Development of the Force (GDF), the Secretary of Defense Memorandum, DoD Policy on Cluster Munitions and Unintended Harm to Civilians, and shortfalls in current weapon systems. This effort will take promising technologies demonstrated at the laboratory scale and transition them into demonstration programs utilizing generic hardware based on priority capabilities and technology needs identified and validated by the PEOs and the Heads of the Service Science

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Office of Secretary Of Defense

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

PE 0602000D8Z: Joint Munitions Technology

BA 2: Applied Research

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

and Technology (S&T) communities. In this way, promising multi-point initiation architectures, high reliability fuze architectures, survivable components, modular fuze packaging, and components produced based on ease of manufacturing can be integrated into a munition configuration and its ability to address required capability needs can be validated. Mature fuze technology can be transitioned, thereby decreasing program costs and schedule risk and facilitating their spin-off into other munitions within their portfolios.

Under the JFTP, investments are focused on specific capability areas that have been identified by Department strategic guidance and current shortfalls in weapon systems and will be validated by the PEOs and the Heads of the Service S&T communities. These four capability areas are: 1) Hard Target Survivable Fuzing, 2) Tailorable Effects Weapon Fuzing, 3) High Reliability Fuzing, 4) and Enabling Fuze Technologies and Common Architecture.

| B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions) | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 2012 Base | FY 2012 OCO | FY 2012 Total |
|--|---------|---------|---------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Previous President's Budget | 22.669 | 22.448 | 22.179 | - | 22.179 |
| Current President's Budget | 18.109 | 22.448 | 21.592 | - | 21.592 |
| Total Adjustments | -4.560 | - | -0.587 | - | -0.587 |
| Congressional General Reductions | | - | | | |
| Congressional Directed Reductions | | - | | | |
| Congressional Rescissions | - | - | | | |
| Congressional Adds | | - | | | |
| Congressional Directed Transfers | | - | | | |
| Reprogrammings | -0.550 | - | | | |
| SBIR/STTR Transfer | -0.121 | - | | | |
| • Other | -3.889 | - | - | - | - |
| Defense Efficiency - Reports, Studies, | - | - | -0.557 | - | -0.557 |
| Boards, and Commissions | | | | | |
| Economic Assumptions | - | - | -0.030 | - | -0.030 |

Change Summary Explanation

Defense Efficiency – Report, Studies, Boards and Commissions. As part of the Department of Defense reform agenda, reflects a reduction of in the number and cost of reports, studies, DoD Boards and DoD Commissions below the aggregate level reported in the previous budget submission.

Includes a reduction of \$0.030 M for economic assumptions.

DATE: February 2011

| EXHIBIT R-2A, RD I &E Project Justification: PB 2012 Office of Secretary Of Defense | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|---------|---------|-----------|----------------------|--------------|----------|-------------|----------------|------------|------------|--|
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY | | | | | IOMENCLAT | | | PROJECT | | | | |
| | | | | PE 060200 | 0D8Z: <i>Joint l</i> | Munitions Te | chnology | P000: Insen | isitive Muniti | ons | | |
| BA 2: Applied Research | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| COST (¢ in Millions) | | | FY 2012 | FY 2012 | FY 2012 | | | | | Cost To | | |
| COST (\$ in Millions) | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | Base | oco | Total | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | Complete | Total Cost | |
| P000: Insensitive Munitions | 14.291 | 14.735 | 14.425 | - | 14.425 | 14.611 | 14.916 | 15.246 | 15.696 | Continuing | Continuing | |

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Joint Insensitive Munitions (IM) Technology Program (JIMTP) is aimed at developing the enabling technologies needed to build weapons in compliance with requirements established in statute (United States Code, Title 10, Chapter 141, Section 2389) and regulation (DoDI 5000.1 and CJCSI 3170.01F). Using technology available today, the Department has incrementally improved the IM response of our current munitions. New munitions which have fully implemented current IM technology and design practices have been able to achieve IM compliance. However, these have been the most easily solved problems. Without new technology, future variants of current weapon systems will have the same, or worse, response to IM stimuli (i.e., they will not improve with the technology available today). New weapon developments will face similar challenges.

The JIMTP, investments are focused on five Munition Areas: High Performance Rocket Propulsion, Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion, Blast and Fragmentation Warheads, Anti-Armor Warheads, and Large Caliber Gun Propulsion. Munition Area Technology Groups (MATGs), under tri-service leadership, have developed technology roadmaps for each Munition Area which are used to guide investments based on goals consistent with the DoD IM Strategic Plan. The program is structured around these five areas with clear cross-cutting tasks.

| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 2012 |
|---|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Title: High Performance Rocket Propulsion | 2.10 | 2.648 | 2.697 |
| Description: High Performance Rocket Propulsion is focused on the development and demonstration of technologies to import the IM response of High Performance Propulsion systems (rocket motors with Ammonium Perchlorate and with or without a metal fuel) for rockets and missiles launched from air, ground and sea platforms. These technologies, when applied to rocket motors, improve IM response to one or more threats, while not degrading the response to other IM threats and at least maintar munition performance. Operating conditions may be controlled or widely varying in both temperature and vibration. Technolog include, but are not limited to, rocket propellant ingredients (including synthesis, characterization and scale-up), reduced smol or smokey propellants (including formulation, characterization and scale-up), rocket motor case design, materials for active are passive thermal mitigation, shock mitigation materials and techniques, passive and active coatings, active and passive venting techniques for motor cases or containers, ignition systems, sensors and thrust mitigation techniques. The 5-10-15 year goals of the High Performane Propulsion MATG are concentrated on solving the IM response of missile propulsions systems due to Fragment Impacts and Slow Cookoff for the majority of High Performance Propulsion rocket motors, and solving the Fast Coorresponse of very large High Performance Propulsion motors. | ning es e d | | |
| FY 2010 Accomplishments: -Continued development of extinguishable high performance rocket propellants, started characterization and propellant formulation down-selection work. | | | |

| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Office of Secre | plishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) d large rocket motor flight termination design trade and analysis tests with inert propellants. d large rocket motor fast cook-off mitigation system conceptual design trade and analysis, as well as propellant int characterization studies to gain insight into the remaining material strength as well as mitigation system activation d energetic material coating process at 500 gram level, as well as characterization and subscale evaluations to the coating's influence on responses to IM thermal threats. or reduced smoke propellant at the 1 pint scale with acceptable processing, safety, mechanical and sub scale slow co- ties and conduct sub scale IM tests. d electrochemical manufacturing feasibility study for novel salts, producing 100 gram sample size and developed methods to determine the concentration levels of novel salt in ammonium perchlorate matrices. Plans: scale up of extinguishable high performance rocket propellants to 1 gallon size batches and conduct IM tests on dow promulation. Sub-scale fast cook-off testing to demonstrate mitigation methodology. sensor network design and conduct fast cook-off testing; select sensor network technologies for BA 6.3 demonstrative reduced smoke propellant to the 1 and 5 gallon scale with acceptable processing, safety, mechanical properties to all-scale motor testing and IM tests. e and refine the processing of impregnated fibers in composite cases. Conduct safety and environmental testing. e cale up of high performance rocket propellants to 1 pint size batches and conduct mechanical and ballistic properties formulation to 5 pound scale quantity and conduct safety and mechanical properties testing. | | DATE: February 2011 | | | |
|--|---|--------------------|----------------------------|---------|---------|--|
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY | R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602000D8Z: Joint Munitions Technology FY 2010 FY 2011 FY 2010 FY 2011 FY 2010 FY 2011 | | | | | |
| 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research | PE 0602000D8Z: Joint Munitions Technology | P000: Ins | ensitive Muni | tions | | |
| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) | | | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | |
| -Conducted large rocket motor fast cook-off mitigation system concept confinement characterization studies to gain insight into the remaining criteria -Completed energetic material coating process at 500 gram level, as determine the coating's influence on responses to IM thermal threats. -Scaled up reduced smoke propellant at the 1 pint scale with accepta off properties and conduct sub scale IM tests. -Conducted electrochemical manufacturing feasibility study for novel | otual design trade and analysis, as well as propellang material strength as well as mitigation system actively well as characterization and subscale evaluations to able processing, safety, mechanical and sub scale slasts, producing 100 gram sample size and develop | vation o ow cook- | | | | |
| FY 2011 Plans: -Complete scale up of extinguishable high performance rocket propel selected formulation. -Conduct sub-scale fast cook-off testing to demonstrate mitigation me-complete sensor network design and conduct fast cook-off testing; s-Scale up reduced smoke propellant to the 1 and 5 gallon scale with a enable small-scale motor testing and IM tests. -Formulate and refine the processing of impregnated fibers in composition-complete scale up of high performance rocket propellants to 1 pint stesting. | llants to 1 gallon size batches and conduct IM tests of ethodology. Select sensor network technologies for BA 6.3 demo acceptable processing, safety, mechanical properties site cases. Conduct safety and environmental testing size batches and conduct mechanical and ballistic processing. | nstration. s to | | | | |
| FY 2012 Plans: -Complete reduced smoke propellant evaluation and IM tests. Conductive reaction response for fragment impact and fast cook-off eventsStudy thermal and mechanical responses of composite cases to slow-Complete scale up of high performance rocket propellants to 1 gallor sensitivity and safety testingDesign, analyze and build small scale motors ready for testing. | w cook-off and aerodynamic heating. | | | | | |
| Title: Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion | | | 2.955 | 3.593 | 3.64 | |
| Description: Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion is focused on the improve the IM response of Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion Te | | | | | | |

| | UNCLASSIFIED | | | | |
|--|---|---|---------------|-------------|---------|
| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Office of Secr | retary Of Defense | | DATE: Fe | bruary 2011 | |
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research | R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602000D8Z: Joint Munitions Technology | PROJEC P000: Ins | sensitive Mur | nitions | |
| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) | | | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 2012 |
| minimum signature (MS) rocket technologies, that when applied to mor more threats, while not degrading the response to other IM threats include but are not limited to MS rocket propellant formulations, ingrecharacterization and scale-up), case and packaging design, active arignition systems and thrust mitigation techniques. Of particular interewith state-of-the-art energy and reduced shock sensitivity. The 5-10-concentrated on solving the IM response of missile propulsion system Charge Jet threats. | s and at least maintaining munition performance. Topedients for MS propellant formulations (including synnd passive venting techniques, rocket motor case dest are technologies toward higher burning rate MS passive goals Miniature Signature Propulsion MATG | pics thesis, esign, propellants are | | | |
| FY 2010 Accomplishments: -Incorporated novel high-nitrogen ingredients, that exhibit reduced secandidate and down-selected to two candidates for further study and molecular properties and bond types to predict molecular stability. -Demonstrated several case venting designs in composite and new of the composite and the composite and the composite and new of the composite and the co | optimization. Used modeling and simulation to predictases to determine feasible approaches. assess safety, compatibility and stability with the selection to 1 pint size mix. Conducted small-scale to perties to improve impact response and performance allysis and ingredient compatibility testing, and generally | lict basic lected ests to e. erated | | | |
| -Conduct small scale IM tests and compare against prior baseline an further study The resultant down-selected propellant formulations will -Demonstrate passive venting design for slow cook-off IM test. -Complete binder system alternatives assessment and down-select fractional system alternatives assessment and down-select fractional system alternatives and evaluate bonding agents in impart analogue motors with selected bonding agent and conduct impact test-scale up novel ingredients to pint scale mixes and conduct mechanic characteristics. -Synthesize and characterize new propellant to 100-gram scale to sur | I then be optimized and subjected to various tests. formulation for scale up to 1 gallon size mix. fact and shock tests to determine effectiveness. Marsting. Select best candidate for transition to PE 0603 ical, aging and thermal testing to determine propella | nufacture 3000D8Z. | | | |

| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Office of Second | retary Of Defense | | DATE: Fel | oruary 2011 | |
|---|--|--|---------------|-------------|---------|
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research | R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602000D8Z: Joint Munitions Technology | PROJECT P000: Inse | ensitive Muni | itions | |
| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) | | | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 2012 |
| -Synthesize and produce material to 5-gram quantity batches to cond | duct thermal stability studies. | | | | |
| FY 2012 Plans: -Optimized candidates will be scaled-up to further characterize their is and performance verification via 7" baseline motor configuration and tests and larger scale IM tests with final formula down-selection and -Complete binder system alternatives full scale test using 1 gallon siz -Scale-up to 1 pint mixes and conduct impact and cook-off testing to -Scale up and synthesize to 25-gram scale and conduct small-scale | full scale IM tests. Conduct sub-scale motor perform transition to 0603000D8Z for demonstration. ze mixes for transition to 0603000D8Z. determine IM responses of formulation. | | | | |
| Title: Blast and Fragmentation Warheads | | | 3.825 | 4.082 | 3.80 |
| Description: Blast and Fragmentation Warheads is focused on the of the IM response of Blast / Fragmentation munitions. The development and warhead and fuze technologies that, when applied to munitions, degrading the response to other IM threats and at least maintaining responsive conditions may be controlled or have widely varying envirous and other factors such as cost, availability, reliability may be critically Applications vary but include high performance warhead fills, booster and/or fragmentation charges. Technologies include but are not limited formulation development, scale-up, warhead/charge configuration, very protection / packaging materials and systems, shock mitigation liners 5-10-15 year goals of the Blast and Fragmentation Warhead MATG awarheads to the Sympathetic Detonation, Fast Cookoff and Shaped | nt and demonstration of explosive ingredients, explo- improve IM response to one or more threats, while remunition performance are of particular interest. Muniformental conditions, such as temperature and vibrative important depending on the intended munition applar explosives, bulk demolition charges, and bulk fills feed to new ingredient synthesis and characterization, enting techniques for both munitions and their contains, initiation devices, techniques, and technologies. There concentrated on solving the IM response of blast | sives not iition on, ication. or blast initial iners, ne | | | |
| FY 2010 Accomplishments: -Completed characterization studies on first generation of eutectic ba | G | 1 | | | |

| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Office of Secr | plishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) shed sensitivity testing and performance testing on specially coated materials. Scaled up production to 100 gram size optimal processing conditions and critical factors affecting scale-up of unique formulation, enabling production of 10 ale batches of mixture completing testing of safety characteristics, performance and shock sensitivity for transition to 88Z. roof of concept and manufacturing assessment for unique missile warhead explosive. And materials characterization work in order to quantitatively understand the interaction of a unique sensitization method arious materials to assist in the experimental apparatus design. Plans: e initiation studies and transition efforts to 0603000D8Z novel ingredient formulation to 150 gram batches and conduct safety and sensitivity testing. Complete sub-scale IM optimized formulation using novel ingredient. | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--------------------|---------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research | | PROJECT P000: Insensitive | ensitive Munitions | | | JECT : Insensitive Munitions | | | |
| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) | | FY 20 | 10 FY 2011 | FY 2012 | | | | | |
| batchesIdentified optimal processing conditions and critical factors affecting pound scale batches of mixture completing testing of safety characte 0603000D8ZStarted proof of concept and manufacturing assessment for unique in | scale-up of unique formulation, enabling production ristics, performance and shock sensitivity for transiti missile warhead explosive. understand the interaction of a unique sensitization | of 10 on to | | | | | | | |
| FY 2011 Plans: -Complete initiation studies and transition efforts to 0603000D8ZScale up novel ingredient formulation to 150 gram batches and concesting on optimized formulation using novel ingredientScale up specially coated materials to 100 gram production capabilit confinement testing. Conduct characterization and IM testing to valid -Conclude proof of concept and manufacturing studies, begin weapounique missile warhead explosiveDesign and fabrication of a unique sensitization method application in | ty in order to characterize material and conduct varial date improvements in reactions to stimuli. nization study, and demonstration of IM characterist | able | | | | | | | |
| FY 2012 Plans: -Down-select novel ingredient material formulation and complete sub-Conclude weaponization study, and demonstration of IM characteris-Down-select materials and sensitization process in order to conduct 0603000D8Z. | tics of unique missile warhead explosive material. | nsition to | | | | | | | |
| Title: Anti-Armor Warheads | | 2 | 655 2.34 | 7 1.953 | | | | | |
| Description: Anti-Armor Warheads is focused on the development a warhead and fuze technologies for improving IM of Anti-Armor Warhe explosives and warhead and fuze technologies that, when applied to while not degrading the response to other IM threats and at least main may be controlled or have widely varying environmental conditions, so as cost, availability, reliability may be critically important depending of include high performance warhead fills, booster explosives, and all of Warhead munitions to IM threats. Technologies include but are not like | ead munitions. The development of explosive ingred munitions, improve IM response to one or more threintaining munition performance. Munition operating output as temperature and vibration, and other factors on the intended munition application. Applications vather technology to mitigate the violent response of A | ients, eats, conditions such ry but nti-Armor | | | | | | | |

| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Office of Secre | etary Of Defense | | DATE: Fel | bruary 2011 | |
|---|--|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------|---------|
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research | R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602000D8Z: Joint Munitions Technology | PROJECT P000: Inse | T ensitive Mun | itions | |
| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) | | | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 2012 |
| formulation development, scale-up, warhead/charge configuration, ve protection/packaging materials and systems, shock mitigation liners, i 5-10-15 year goals of the Anti-Armor Warhead MATG are concentrate Fragment Impact and Slow Cookoff threats and a 5 year goal of solvir resolving the IM response to the Shaped Charge Jet threat. | initiation devices, techniques, and technologies. The ed on solving the IM response of anti-armor warhead | ds to the | | | |
| FY 2010 Accomplishments: -Assessed IM characteristics and demonstrated gallon size mix proce cured explosives for use in anti-armor IM warheads. -Completed evaluation of pressed explosives to include fragment and -Conducted characterization tests and developed screening test for use formulation to 5 pound quantity. -Conducted scale-up and shock sensitivity testing on energetic binder determine binder effectiveness. -Continued initial formulation development of less-sensitive combined -Started novel coating technique evaluation for explosive materials. | I bullet impact testing. se on pressed explosives. Scaled-up most promising responsible to the second scale test proces. | g | | | |
| FY 2011 Plans: -Optimize processing procedure and complete characterization studies study and various safety and IM tests to ensure acceptable aging programmer of the complete fragment impact screening test analysis and prepare for traceomplete characterization testing for formulation candidates, downstests for two candidatesContinue IM tests for novel coating technique evaluation for explosive | perties of explosive material. ansition to 0603000D8Z. select, scale-up formulations, and complete IM/perfo | | | | |
| FY 2012 Plans: -Conduct IM technology studies in the areas of initiation/booster technology warheads capable of producing deflagration and explosive ty threats. | | | | | |
| Title: Large Caliber Gun Propulsion | | | 2.753 | 2.065 | 2.334 |
| Description: Large Caliber Gun Propulsion is focused on the development and demonstration of gur systems, will improve munition IM response to one or more threats, w | n propulsion technologies, that when applied to mun | ition | | | |

| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Office of Sec | retary Of Defense | | DATE : Fe | bruary 2011 | |
|---|--|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|---------|
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research | R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602000D8Z: Joint Munitions Technology | PROJECT P000: Ins | T ensitive Mur | | |
| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) | | | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 2012 |
| at least maintaining munition performance. Topics include but are no gun propellant formulations (including synthesis, characterization and passive venting techniques, reduced sensitivity primer propellant propellants. Applications vary, but include both large and medium ca factors such as barrel life and operation over varying environmental intended munition application. The 5-10-15 year goals of the Large CIM response of gun propulsion munitions to Fragment Impact, Shape | d scale-up), cartridge case and packaging design, and the and primer systems and robust primers for insensity and primers. Operating requirements vary, and of conditions may be critically important depending on Caliber Gun Propulsion MATG are concentrated on s | ctive tive ther the | | | |
| FY 2010 Accomplishments: -Completed phase III evaluation of sensitivity in laboratory environment and shock stimuli. -Completed phase III propellant formulation and scale-up efforts, as 10603000D8Z program. -Completed full-scale primer design and demonstration testing. Many characterization and propellant formulation mixes of novel propellant -Started small-scale characterization and propellant formulation opting-conducted instrumented ballistic simulator tests and began modification impact studies. | well as IM testing. Transitioned selected propellant to ufactured large-scale quantities and completed to binder. mization mixes using novel propellant binder. | o | | | |
| FY 2011 Plans: -Conduct small-scale testing and characterization efforts, as well as -Conduct instrumented ballistic simulator tests and complete modific cookoff studiesSynthesize and scale-up energetic salts to 500 gram quantity and cono-go decision. | ation of required modeling and simulation tools for sl | | | | |
| FY 2012 Plans: -Manufacture large-scale quantities and complete full-scale and IM to propellant binderConduct sub-scale ballistic and IM testingConduct instrumented ballistic simulator tests, fabricate hardware al | | | | | |

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Office of Secretary Of Defense **DATE:** February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY **R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT**

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide PE 0602000D8Z: Joint Munitions Technology P000: Insensitive Munitions BA 2: Applied Research

| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 2012 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|
| -Continue formulation development to produce optimum IM properties and scale-up to manufacture 3 kilogram batches. Conduct various tests to validate IM properties and suitability for gun propellant. | | | |
| Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals | 14.291 | 14.735 | 14.425 |

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

| | | | FY 2012 | FY 2012 | FY 2012 | | | | | Cost To | |
|--------------------------------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|-------------------|
| <u>Line Item</u> | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | <u>Base</u> | OCO | <u>Total</u> | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | Complete | Total Cost |
| • 0603000D8Z P002: <i>BA</i> 3 | 13.534 | 16.720 | 19.720 | | 19.720 | 21.360 | 21.384 | 23.086 | 23.779 | Continuing | Continuing |

Insensitive Munitions Advanced Technology

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

E. Performance Metrics

- 1) Transitions of technologies developed by the Program are tracked and documented using DoD/NASA Technical Readiness Level (TRL) scale.
- 2) MATG Technology Roadmaps are prepared, evaluated, and analyzed by JIMTP management and technical staff.
- 3) Chairman's Annual Assessments for each MATG are critically reviewed by the TAC to determine progress, transition plans, and relevance of each project.
- 4) Project progress toward goals and milestones is assessed at each MATG meeting.
- 5) Annual technical reports and papers are tracked and documented for the Program.
- 6) External Peer Review of Projects conducted as part of Joint Army/Navy/NASA/Air Force meetings.

| Exhibit R-2A, RD1&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Office of Secretary Of Defense | | | | | | | | | DATE: February 2011 | | | |
|---|-------|-------|---|---------|-----------------------|---------|--------------------------------|---------|---------------------|------------|------------|--|
| | | | | | R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE | | | | PROJECT | | | |
| | | | PE 0602000D8Z: Joint Munitions Technology | | | | P204: Enabling Fuze Technology | | | | | |
| BA 2: Applied Research | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| COST (\$ in Millions) | | | FY 2012 | FY 2012 | FY 2012 | | | | | Cost To | | |
| FY 2010 FY 2011 Base | | | | oco | Total | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | Complete | Total Cost | |
| P204: Enabling Fuze Technology | 3.818 | 7.713 | 7.167 | - | 7.167 | 5.656 | 6.604 | 7.312 | 7.524 | Continuing | Continuing | |

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Exhibit D 24 DDT9F Decided Institutions DD 2012 Office of Country, Of Defence

This RDT&E effort will demonstrate fuze enabling technologies needed to develop weapons that address priority capability areas identified in the Guidance for Development of the Force (GDF), the Secretary of Defense Memorandum, DoD Policy on Cluster Munitions and Unintended Harm to Civilians, and shortfalls in current weapon systems. This effort will develop enabling technologies at the laboratory scale and transition them into 6.3 demonstration programs for weapons where priority capabilities and technology needs have been identified and validated by the Program Executive Officers (PEOs) and the Heads of the Service S&T communities. Mature 6.2 fuze technologies will be transitioned, thereby decreasing their program costs and schedule risk and facilitating spin-offs to other munitions within their portfolios.

Under the Joint Fuze Technology Program (JFTP), investments are focused on specific capability areas that have been identified by Department strategic guidance and current shortfalls in weapon systems and validated by the PEOs and Heads of the Service S&T communities. These four capability areas are: 1) Hard Target Survivable Fuzing, 2) Tailorable Effects (TE) Weapon Fuzing, 3) High Reliability Fuzing, 4) and Enabling Fuze Technologies and Common Architecture.

| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | |
|---|--|---------|---------|--|
| Title: Hard Target Fuzing | 1.145 | 1.880 | 1.670 | |
| Description: The Hard Target Fuzing challenges are grouped into three Technology Areas. Improved Modeling and Sir Capabilities provide the validated computational tools necessary for hard target applications. Basic Phenomenology & Understanding of the Fuze Environment is the science-based endeavor of providing the test equipment, instrumentation analysis techniques for experimentation and data gathering necessary for Hardware Development - Next Generation Fuz This technology area aims to increase the effectiveness of facility denial munitions by improving the prediction tools and methodologies to evaluate the survivability and functionality of legacy and future fuzes. Development of these technologies are generation boosted and hypersonic penetrators to execute missions against hardened and deeply buried targets. | , and zing. testing gies will | | | |
| FY 2010 Accomplishments: Started projects on hard target penetration weapon systems based modeling and simulation tool to determine fuze responsive the weapon dynamics. Initiated solid mechanics modeling and simulation technology projects to provide accurate material properties. Began project to create a series of experimental and modeling capabilities that will form the basis of a high speed fuze survivability protocol for testing fuzes in the boosted and high speed penetration regimes. | | | | |
| FY 2011 Plans: - Develop underlying technologies and testing methods to define the high-speed penetration environment. | | | | |

DATE. Cabarram, 2014

| | UNCLASSIFIED | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------|----------|-------------|---------|--|--|
| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Office of Secre | etary Of Defense | | DATE: Fe | bruary 2011 | | | |
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602000D8Z: Joint Munitions Technology P204: Enabling Fuze Technology | | | | | | | |
| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) | | | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | | |
| Begin verification of hydrocode/EPIC 22 modeling and simulation to The hard target weapon community plans to integrate the testing prodevelopment programs. Develop survivable modular fuze technology for multi-role common | otocol in future boosted and high speed penetrator | es. | | | | | |
| FY 2012 Plans: - Develop and validate modeling and simulation code using high fideli - Adapt JFTP developed testing protocol in boosted and high speed p - Apply survivable modular fuze technology for multi-common miniatu | penetrator development programs. | | | | | | |
| Title: Tailorable Effects Fuzing | | | 0.764 | 2.083 | 1.953 | | |
| Description: This area focuses on developing fuzing for tailorable efficiency the output of the weapon (Dial-a-Yield) and/or the ability to gene develop initiation and multi-point technologies to include electronic sa – scalable yield warheads; MEMS based multi-point initiators for tuna for tailorable effects weapons. These technologies will enable weapon minimizing unintentional collateral effects. | rate selectable effects (directed blast, fragmentation ife and arm based multi-point initiators for tunable o ble output/scalable yield warheads; and smart fuzin | n); utput g | | | | | |
| FY 2010 Accomplishments: - Began development of tailorable initiation technologies including a) and c) miniaturized explosive trains and d) multi-point initiation using - Started efforts in low-voltage command/arm system for distributed for | energetic tracks, traces or deposition. | nitiators | | | | | |
| FY 2011 Plans: - Demonstrate and transition miniature fire-set components for 6.3 tai - Begin development of controllable explosive sensitivity technologies energetic materials. - Apply initiation architecture and control technologies for development | s that provide the ability to selectively vary the sensi- | | | | | | |
| FY 2012 Plans: - Test and demonstrate detonator, initiation and fireset technologies. - Develop Tailorable Effects modeling and simulation using hydrocode. - Develop harden, Tailorable Effects firing systems for missile and proassociated with impact with Military Operations in Urban Terrain (MO | pjectile warheads to survive the high-g shock enviro | nments | | | | | |
| Title: High Reliability Fuzing | | | 1.145 | 1.875 | 1.766 | | |
| (| | | | | | | |

| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Office of Secr | etary Of Defense | | DATE: Fel | bruary 2011 | | | |
|---|---|------------------------|-----------|-------------|---------|--|--|
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY | R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE | PROJEC | Т | | | | |
| 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research PE 0602000D8Z: Joint Munitions Technology P204: Enabling Fuze Technology | | | | | | | |
| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) | | | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | | |
| Description: Develop high reliability fuzing architectures, fuzing comfeatures. These technologies will enable the next generation of clust reliability goal. Evolving DoD emphasis on increased weapon system approaches for achieving increased fuze reliability while maintaining reliability expectations and harsher weapon system operational requiavailable using current technologies. | er munitions to achieve the required greater than 99 or reliability is driving the need to consider new and ror enhancing fuze design safety. DoD policy, highe | % novel r weapon | | | | | |
| FY 2010 Accomplishments: - Developed concepts for high reliability fuze architecture for cluster r - Began development of target detection sensor and safety and armir reliability. | | all fuze | | | | | |
| FY 2011 Plans: Research and development of novel technologies for UXO reduction to eliminate any unexploded ordnance Build high reliability fuze architecture technology components that s point and common-mode failures Next generation cluster munition fuze design and architecture will b fabricated and evaluated; explosive train tests, static expulsion tests | atisfy reliability while maintaining safety by eliminati e down selected, brassboard submunition fuzes will | ng single- | | | | | |
| FY 2012 Plans: - Demonstrate high reliability fuze architecture concepts that satisfy rand common-mode failures. - Next generation cluster munition fuze design and architecture will be performance and reliability tests conducted in ballistic and harsh environment. | e updated, component prototypes will be produced a | | | | | | |
| Title: Enabling Fuze Technologies | | | 0.764 | 1.875 | 1.778 | | |
| Description: Develop common / modular fuze architecture; innovative fuze setting capability, tools and modeling; and fuzing power sources effective solutions while meeting or exceeding the performance of exemple future weapon applications to be more mission adaptive and some setting of the performance of exemples. | These fuzing technologies will provide smaller, me isting technologies. Development of these technologies. | ore cost gies will | | | | | |
| FY 2010 Accomplishments: - Began development for proximity fuze sensors and electronics for development to exploitation. | letecting targets, impact, voids, and media which are | e highly | | | | | |

| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Office of Secretary Of Defense DATE: February 2011 | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY | R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE | PROJECT | | | | | | |
| 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide | PE 0602000D8Z: Joint Munitions Technology | 000D8Z: Joint Munitions Technology P204: Enabling Fuze Technology | | | | | | |
| BA 2: Applied Research | | | | | | | | |

| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 2012 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|
| - Initiated efforts for thin film/conformal thermal batteries for fuzing which will result in cheaper, conformal, smaller, cooler thermal batteries with higher energy/power densities. | | | |
| FY 2011 Plans: - Develop and build exploitation resistant proximity fuze sensors and electronics technology hardware for detecting targets, impact, voids, and media. - Develop fuze power source technology and concepts that include functionality that precludes the inadvertent release of "stored energy" such as Micro power sources and energy harvesting components. - Conduct assessments of common fuze architecture technologies: safety components, modular electronics, sensors, interfaces, and packaging. | | | |
| FY 2012 Plans: - The establishment of a modular, open fuze architecture is a technology enabling product that would establish a defined system interface architecture between various fuze subsystems. - Evaluate proximity fuze sensor, electronics and algorithm technologies in performance and functional testing in air-gun and ballistic environments. | | | |
| Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals | 3.818 | 7.713 | 7.167 |

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

| | | | FY 2012 | FY 2012 | FY 2012 | | | | | Cost 10 | |
|--------------------------------|---------|---------|-------------|------------|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|-------------------|
| <u>Line Item</u> | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | Base | <u>000</u> | <u>Total</u> | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | Complete | Total Cost |
| • 0603000D8Z P301: <i>BA 3</i> | 0.000 | 3.522 | 4.947 | | 4.947 | 6.098 | 6.835 | 8.350 | 8.606 | Continuing | Continuing |
| Enabling Fuze Advanced | | | | | | | | | | | |

Technology

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

E. Performance Metrics

- 1) Transitions of technologies developed by the Program are tracked and documented using DoD/NASA Technical Readiness Level (TRL) scale.
- 2) FATG Technology Roadmaps are prepared, evaluated, and analyzed by JFTP management and technical staff.
- 3) Chairman's Annual Assessments for each FATG are critically reviewed by the TAC to determine progress, transition plans, and relevance of each project.
- 4) Project progress toward goals and milestones is assessed at each FATG meeting.
- 5) Annual technical reports and papers are tracked and documented for the Program.

| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Office of Secret | DATE: February 2011 | |
|---|---|--------------------------------|
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY | R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE | PROJECT |
| 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide | PE 0602000D8Z: Joint Munitions Technology | P204: Enabling Fuze Technology |
| BA 2: Applied Research | | |
| 6) Technology Transition Agreements in place with Munitions prograr | ms. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |