Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

PE 0605716A: Army Evaluation Center

BA 6: RDT&E Management Support

COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	63.961	61.450	63.302	-	63.302	65.696	65.437	63.459	63.321	Continuing	Continuing
302: Army Evaluation Center	63.961	61.450	63.302	-	63.302	65.696	65.437	63.459	63.321	Continuing	Continuing

#### Note

This project funds the salaries of civilian employees conducting Test and Evaluation (T&E) early involvement, evaluation and test design missions and associated personnel support/sustainment costs including: temporary duty, professional training, supplies, equipment. This project does not finance test facility operations, test instrumentation or test equipment.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Army Evaluation Center (AEC) provides independent and integrated technical and operational evaluations, and life-cycle Continuous Evaluation (CE) of assigned Major Defense Acquisition Programs (MDAP), Major Automated Information Systems, and In-Process Review (IPR) programs for major milestone decisions, materiel changes, and materiel releases in support of the Army Acquisition Executive, other Service Acquisition Executives, Joint Program Executive Officers, other governmental agencies, and force development. AEC is The Army's independent evaluator. AEC develops the evaluation strategy, designs tests, and evaluates the test results to address a system's combat effectiveness, suitability, and survivability factors pertinent to the decision process, such as: Critical Operational Issues and Criteria (COIC), system performance, soldier survivability, performance in countermeasures, system survivability, reliability, supportability, etc. AEC has the lead in planning and execution of Army Live Fire Tests and Continuous Evaluations through its evaluation and test design responsibilities. The evaluations produced by AEC are required by the Army Chief of Staff, the Army Acquisition Executive, other Army, Service, Joint, and agency senior leaders and the Department of Defense Director of Operational Test and Evaluation for acquisition decisions. In addition, Army leadership has recognized the numerous benefits of an early involvement initiative. In support of ongoing Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO) related activities, AEC continues to dedicate a significant amount of its evaluation workload towards the evaluation of Rapid Initiative (RI) & Rapid Equipping Force (REF) systems, Urgent Material Releases, and Counter Improvised Explosive Device (IED) systems in support of the Joint IED Defeat Office (JIEDDO) and the Joint Test Board.

This project funds the salaries of civilian employees conducting T&E early involvement, evaluation and test design missions and associated personnel support/sustainment costs including: temporary duty, professional training, supplies, and equipment. This project does not finance test facility operations, test instrumentation or test equipment.

Army Page 1 of 6 R-1 Line Item #149

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011
	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	
	PE 0605716A: Army Evaluation Center	
BA 6: RDT&E Management Support		

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	<b>FY 2012 Base</b>	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	67.555	61.450	62.659	-	62.659
Current President's Budget	63.961	61.450	63.302	-	63.302
Total Adjustments	-3.594	-	0.643	-	0.643
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>		-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>		-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>		-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>		-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-3.594	-			
<ul> <li>Adjustments to Budget Years</li> </ul>	-	-	0.643	-	0.643

Army Page 2 of 6 R-1 Line Item #149

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army								DATE: Feb	ruary 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 6: RDT&E Management Support							PROJECT 302: Army Evaluation Center				
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
302: Army Evaluation Center	63.961	61.450	63.302	-	63.302	65.696	65.437	63.459	63.321	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

#### Note

This project funds the salaries of civilian employees conducting Test and Evaluation (T&E) early involvement, evaluation and test design missions and associated personnel support/sustainment costs including: temporary duty, professional training, supplies, and equipment. This project does not finance test facility operations, test instrumentation or test equipment.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Army Evaluation Center (AEC) provides independent and integrated technical and operational evaluations, and life-cycle Continuous Evaluation (CE) of assigned Major Defense Acquisition Programs (MDAP), Major Automated Information Systems, and In-Process Review (IPR) programs for major milestone decisions, materiel changes, and materiel releases in support of the Army Acquisition Executive, other Service Acquisition Executives, Joint Program Executive Officers, other governmental agencies, and force development. AEC is The Army's independent evaluator. AEC develops the evaluation strategy, designs tests, and evaluates the test results to address a system's combat effectiveness, suitability, and survivability factors pertinent to the decision process, such as: Critical Operational Issues and Criteria (COIC), system performance, soldier survivability, performance in countermeasures, system survivability, reliability, supportability, etc. AEC has the lead in planning and execution of Army Live Fire Tests and Continuous Evaluations through its evaluation and test design responsibilities. The evaluations produced by AEC are required by the Army Chief of Staff, the Army Acquisition Executive, other Army, Service, Joint, and agency senior leaders and the Department of Defense Director of Operational Test and Evaluation for acquisition decisions. In addition, Army leadership has recognized the numerous benefits of an early involvement initiative. In support of ongoing Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO) related activities, AEC continues to dedicate a significant amount of its evaluation workload towards the evaluation of Rapid Initiative (RI) & Rapid Equipping Force (REF) systems, Urgent Material Releases, and Counter Improvised Explosive Device (IED) systems in support of the Joint IED Defeat Office (JIEDDO) and the Joint Test Board.

This project funds the salaries of civilian employees conducting T&E early involvement, evaluation and test design missions and associated personnel support/sustainment costs including: temporary duty, professional training, supplies, and equipment. This project does not finance test facility operations, test instrumentation or test equipment.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: Army Evaluation Center	58.443	57.855	59.542
Articles:	0	0	
<b>Description:</b> Provide integrated technical and operational evaluations and continuous evaluation of assigned MDAPs and major automated information systems for major milestone decisions, materiel changes, and materiel releases in support of the Army Acquisition Executive and force development. Develop the evaluation strategy, design technical and operational tests, and evaluate the test results to address the combat effectiveness, suitability, and survivability factors pertinent to the decision process,			

Army Page 3 of 6 R-1 Line Item #149

	UNCLASSIFIED					
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: Fe	bruary 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605716A: Army Evaluation Center	PROJECT 302: Army Evaluation Center				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article	e Quantities in Each <u>)</u>		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
for programs such as Mine resistant Ambush Protected Vehicle (Warfighter Information Network- Tactical (WIN-T), Stryker, High I General Fund Enterprise Business System (GFEBS), Joint Tactic (PAC 3), Integrated Air and Missile Defense (IAMD), Family of M and Distributed Common Ground System - Army (DCSG-A) (plus integrated System Evaluation Plans and conduct integrated techn In support of Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO), AEC has Initiative (RI) systems, Counter Improvised Explosive Device (IEI costs for 371 authorizations for FY 11 and 411 civilian authorizations	Mobility Artillery Rocket System (HIMARS), Land War cal Radio System (JTRS), Patriot and Patriot Advance ledium Tactical Vehicles (FMTV), Excalibur, Longbows hundreds of other sytems/programs across The Armical and operational evaluations for all Army weapons continued its workload focus towards the evaluation D) systems, and Urgent Material Releases. Includes of	rior (LW), ed Capability Apache, ny). Prepare systems. of Rapid				
FY 2010 Accomplishments:  Developed the evaluation strategy, design technical and operation effectiveness, suitability, and survivability factors pertinent to the Protected Vehicle (MRAP), Global Command and Control System (WIN-T), Stryker, Land Warrior (LW), General Fund Enterprise B (plus hundreds of other systems/programs across The Army). Presintegrated technical and operational evaluations for all Army weat (OCO), AEC continued its workload focus towards the evaluation Device (IED) systems, and Urgent Material Releases. Includes of AEC's total budget).	decision process, for programs such as Mine resistar m - Army (GCCS-A), Warfighter Information Networkusiness System (GFEBS), Joint Tactical Radio System epared integrated System Evaluation Plans and condupon systems. In support of Overseas Contingency On of Rapid Initiative (RI) systems, Counter Improvised	nt Ambush Tactical m (JTRS), uct perations Explosive				
FY 2011 Plans: Provide integrated technical and operational evaluations and con information systems for major milestone decisions, materiel chan Executive and force development. Continue to prepare integrate operational evaluations for all Army weapon systems. In support focus towards the evaluation of Rapid Initiative (RI) systems, Con Material Releases. to include civilian pay costs for 371 authorization.	nges, and materiel releases in support of the Army Ac ed System Evaluation Plans and conduct integrated te t of Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO), Contin unter Improvised Explosive Device (IED) systems, an	quisition chnical and ue workload d Urgent				
FY 2012 Plans: Provide integrated technical and operational evaluations and coninformation systems for major milestone decisions, material chan Executive and force development. Continue to prepare integrate	nges, and materiel releases in support of the Army Ac	quisition chnical				

Army Page 4 of 6 R-1 Line Item #149

and operational evaluations for all Army weapon systems. In support of Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO), Continue

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: Fe	bruary 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605716A: Army Evaluation Center	PROJECT 302: Army Evaluation Center				
BA 6: RDT&E Management Support						
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article C	tuantities in Each)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
workload focus towards the evaluation of Rapid Initiative (RI) system and Urgent Material Releases. to include civilian pay costs for 411 a AEC's total budget). Additionally, provide Underbody Blast Modelin vehicle improvements that directly impact Soldier survivability; improacquisition. Endstate is to have a valid, accredited model to evaluate Growth in response to DUSD (ATL) and AAE policies mandating Remajor systems. These DOD and DA policies became Public Law 1 May 2009). The Law emphasizes that the service acquisition executraining and expertise to formulate robust RAM growth programs. report on Developmental Test and Evaluation (May 2008), showing Department of Defense weapon system programs evaluated as not thirds of Army systems from 1997 to 2006 failed to meet their realia to lack of material readiness due to poor system reliability and main developing critical tools, methodologies, policies, formal guidance, and improve weapon system reliability.	authorizations for FY 12 (equates to approximately g and Simulation support to provide early identifications test design; provides additional evaluation date crew survivability. Also, provide Center for Reliabeliability Growth programs and periodic assessment 11-23 (The Weapon System Reform Act of 2009 - strive must ensure acquisition personnel have approached the policies and Law are a result of a Defense Scientat there has been a significant increase in the nubeing operationally suitable. The report shows the bility requirements during operational testing - primatenance (RAM). Funding provides resources dedicated	93% of ation of ta to support ility and ts for signed 22 opriate ence Board umber of at about two earily due cated to				
Title: Early Involvement		Articles:	5.518 0	3.595	3.760	
<b>Description:</b> Supports the Commanding General's early involveme at 2 Joint and 9 Army Program Executive Offices (PEO), TRADOC/. provide continuous support to materiel and combat developers from of LNOs supports the sections of the ATEC Mission Essential Task ATEC performance continues to meet 120 day rapid equipping requ ATEC to sustain rapid, flexible T&E support in the evaluation of Rap Material Releases. Effort results in cost savings, cost avoidance and development, thereby avoiding more expensive product improveme continue to be realized through early identification of instrumentatio for testing, as well as making more efficient use of data from developments:	ARCIC, REF, JIEDDO, and RDECOM. Assigned particle in the inception of their programs. The early involved List (METL) that apply to ongoing contingency open different set by the CSA. Liaison officers continue to bid Initiative Systems, Counter IED systems, and Used critical design efficiencies being identified early interprograms later in a system's life cycle. T&E efficient, modeling and simulation tools, and other resources.	son officers personnel ment rations. o enable rgent n a system's ciency gains	Š			
-						

**UNCLASSIFIED** 

Army Page 5 of 6 R-1 Line Item #149

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0605716A: Army Evaluation Center	302: Army Evaluation Center
BA 6: RDT&E Management Support		

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
b. Accompnishments/rialmed riograms (# in millions, Article Quantities in Each)	F1 2010	F1 2011	F1 2012
ATEC performance continues to meet 120 day rapid equipping requirement set by the CSA. Liaison officers continue to enable ATEC to sustain rapid, flexible T&E support in the evaluation of Rapid Initiative Systems, Counter IED systems, and Urgent Material Releases.			
FY 2011 Plans: Continued support of the Commanding General's early involvement initiative which positions acquisition certified liaison officers at 2 Joint and 9 Army Program Executive Offices (PEO), TRADOC/ARCIC, REF, JIEDDO, and RDECOM.			
FY 2012 Plans: Continued support of the Commanding General's early involvement initiative which positions acquisition certified liaison officers at 2 Joint and 9 Army Program Executive Offices (PEO), TRADOC/ARCIC, REF, JIEDDO, and RDECOM.			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	63.961	61.450	63.302

# C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

# D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

### E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

Army Page 6 of 6 R-1 Line Item #149