Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2011 Navy

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy

PE 0305208N: Distributed Common Ground Sys

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Estimate	FY 2011 Base Estimate	FY 2011 OCO Estimate	FY 2011 Total Estimate	FY 2012 Estimate	FY 2013 Estimate	FY 2014 Estimate	FY 2015 Estimate	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	44.222	12.228	16.665	0.000	16.665	23.777	20.545	23.789	27.830	Continuing	Continuing
2174: CIGSS	44.222	12.228	16.665	0.000	16.665	23.777	20.545	23.789	27.830	Continuing	Continuing

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Distributed Common Ground System - Navy (DCGS-N) is the Navy's portion of the Department of Defense (DOD) DCGS effort. DOD has defined a DCGS architecture that will be verifiably compatible and interoperable across all of the services' Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance (ISR) systems and operations. The DOD DCGS will process data from all ISR collection assets, intelligence databases and intelligence producers. This collected data will be shared across a joint enterprise using the DCGS Integration Backbone (DIB) to enhance interoperability of ISR information across joint forces through the use of common enterprise standards and services. It will support Joint Task Force (JTF)-level combat operations and support JTF commanders and below with critical intelligence for battle management and information dominance across the full spectrum of operations. DCGS is a cooperative effort among the services, agencies, and DOD to provide systems capable of receiving, processing, exploiting, and disseminating data from airborne and national reconnaissance platforms. DCGS is further subdivided into systems which process, exploit, and disseminate Measurements Analysis and Signatures Intelligence data, Signals Intelligence (SIGINT) data, Multi-Intelligence Reconnaissance data, and Imagery data.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)

	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011 Base	FY 2011 OCO	FY 2011 Total
Previous President's Budget	44.222	18.079	0.000	0.000	0.000
Current President's Budget	44.222	12.228	16.665	0.000	16.665
Total Adjustments	0.000	-5.851	16.665	0.000	16.665
 Congressional General Reductions 		-0.051			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-5.700			
 Congressional Rescissions 	0.000	-0.100			
Congressional Adds		0.000			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		0.000			
Reprogrammings	0.000	0.000			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	0.000	0.000			
 Program Adjustments 	0.000	0.000	16.665	0.000	16.665

UNCLASSIFIED

exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2011 Navy	DATE: February 2010		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0305208N: Distributed Common Ground Sys		
Change Summary Explanation Technical: Not applicable.			
Schedule: Distributed Common Ground System - Navy (DCGS-N	N) BLK 1 Initial Operating Capability (IOC) has shifted to 4QFY	10 due to ship availabilities.	
FY11 from previous President's Budget is shown as zero becaus	se no FY11-15 data was presented in President's Budget 2010		

DATE: February 2010

0

1319: Research, Development, To	PPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY A 19: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy A 7: Operational Systems Development R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0305208N: Distributed Common Ground Sys 2174: CIGSS										
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Estimate	FY 2011 Base Estimate	FY 2011 OCO Estimate	FY 2011 Total Estimate	FY 2012 Estimate	FY 2013 Estimate	FY 2014 Estimate	FY 2015 Estimate	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
2174: CIGSS	44.222	12.228	16.665	0.000	16.665	23.777	20.545	23.789	27.830	Continuina	Continuina

0

0

0

0

0

0

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Quantity of RDT&E Articles

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2011 Navy

The Distributed Common Ground System - Navy (DCGS-N) is the Navy's portion of the Department of Defense (DOD) DCGS effort. DOD has defined a DCGS architecture that will be verifiably compatible and interoperable across all of the services' Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance (ISR) systems and operations. The DOD DCGS will process data from all ISR collection assets, intelligence databases and intelligence producers. This collected data will be shared across a joint enterprise using the DCGS Integration Backbone (DIB) to enhance interoperability of ISR information across joint forces through the use of common enterprise standards and services. It will support Joint Task Force (JTF)-level combat operations and support JTF commanders and below with critical intelligence for battle management and information dominance across the full spectrum of operations. DCGS is a cooperative effort among the services, agencies, and DOD to provide systems capable of receiving, processing, exploiting, and disseminating data from airborne and national reconnaissance platforms. DCGS is further subdivided into systems which process, exploit, and disseminate Measurements Analysis and Signatures Intelligence data, Signals Intelligence (SIGINT) data, Multi-Intelligence Reconnaissance data, and Imagery data.

The DCGS-N system represents the integration of: 1) The processing and exploitation of tactical and Imagery Intelligence (IMINT) and SIGINT; 2) Precision target geopositioning, mensuration, and imagery dissemination capabilities; 3) Selected national IMINT requirements and processing capabilities from the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency; and 4) Sharing of Intelligence, Surveillance, Reconnaissance and Targeting and Command and Control information via DIB and Net-Centric Enterprise Services standards with a wide range of anticipated and unanticipated customers (e.g., Global Command and Control System - Maritime, GCCS-M).

The DCGS-N Enterprise Node, which incorporates DCGS DIB standards, facilitates interoperability among the DOD DCGS Family of Systems. DCGS-N will stay abreast of evolving requirements and ensure compliance with the DOD DCGS network architecture. Engineering work is funded to migrate legacy Joint Fires Network/Joint Services Imagery Processing System - Navy (JSIPS-N) capabilities to this network environment. The government is the integrator for the DCGS-N system.

The Navy is focusing on establishing an ISR Enterprise way ahead that will emphasize a reach back strategy with a focus on Maritime Operations Center (MOC) activities providing intelligence products to support deployed ship and shore operations. The Navy will also initiate migration to a Service Oriented Architecture (SOA) that requires the development, integration, and testing of ISR Enterprise capability (MOC to MOC to afloat), development and migration of ISR SOA applications, and development and integration to leverage the Integrated Shipboard Network System strategy for a Common Computing Environment (CCE). This effort has resulted in a realignment of the program, replacing the DCGS-N 1.1 with a redesigned, smaller, more maintainable, less expensive system that will eventually migrate to the CCE

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2011 Navy	DATE: February 2010	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT
1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy	PE 0305208N: Distributed Common Ground	2174: CIGSS
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Sys	

aboard ship and shift the focus of the program to producing SOA ISR applications. Additionally, DCGS-N will become the focal point for migration of Maritime Domain Awareness fusion and analysis tool applications for the Navy. As a result, the funding profile was modified to revise the procurement schedule, maintain the equipment support line, and focus on product improvement for migration to the CCE and support to fielded systems until replaced by DCGS-N systems.

Integrated Imagery and Intelligence (I3) funding transitions into the DCGS-N PE 0305208N beginning in FY10 (funds were previously budgeted under the Tactical Command System budget PE 0604231N). The Navy's Integrated Imagery and Intelligence Applications (I3 Apps) are an integrated set of applications designed to support tactical intelligence processing and provide a useful integration framework to ensure joint intelligence interoperability across the Global Command and Control System (GCCS) and Distributed Common Ground System (DCGS) enterprise. Development of I3 applications includes end to end intelligence analysis applications that leverage the Modernized Integrated Database and military integration with NGA-provided digital map and imagery systems. I3 imagery applications provide for archiving, viewing and measurement of still and video images. This effort is also continuing the transition to Commercial Off The Shelf hardware and software. The Navy's I3 effort is part of the Military Intelligence Program, managed by the Secretary of Defense through the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Command, Control, Communications, Computers and Intelligence.

JSIPS-N tech refresh and service life extension upgrades will provide shipboard digital imagery architecture with the capability to receive, exploit, store, and disseminate imagery products based on national, theater, and tactical sensors. JSIPS-N service life extension is comprised of five subsystems: Joint Concentrator Architecture, Common Geopositioning Service, Image Product Library, Imagery Exploitation Support System, and the Sharp Display System. JSIPS-N is the Navy's cooperative imagery processing system component in DCGS-N. JSIPS-N service life extension will overcome obsolescence and improve systems reliability until DCGS-N fully replaces JSIPS-N ashore and afloat.

The FY11 plan includes conducting various test events and test reviews for the DCGS-N Increment 1 Block 1 Early Adopter Engineering Change Proposal build. Increment 1 Block 2 requirements definition to incorporate collection management capabilities, Real-Time Regional Gateway (RTRG), software upgrades for new Navy sensors, and Moving Target Indicator (MTI) processor integration. Begin DCGS-N Increment 2 Analysis of Alternative (AoA), Capability Development Document development, and conduct cost analysis based on AoA findings. Continue to conduct I3 operational testing, begin new software development, and provide for the technical migration of standardized, linked intelligence data and imagery software tools and services from a platform-centric model to a SOA.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Program (\$ in Millions)

	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011 Base	FY 2011 OCO	FY 2011 Total
DCGS-N	40.972	11.228	15.665	0.000	15.665

EM NOMENCLATURE	DD0 1505				
05208N: Distributed Common Ground	PROJECT 2174: CIGSS				
FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011 Base	FY 2011 OCO	FY 2011 Total	
activities include of core components, and GS-N Increment 1 across lications for fielding in ed migration of additional NS) Common Computing o ISNS Increment 1 CCE formed Development Test GE - 09 demonstration. d tests with early adopters tasks. ce life extension upgrade. (ACS). Begin development sal (EA ECP) architecture, dware and SOA applications area Network (LAN) - 10 demonstration to					
nd ese ion DC ipp ortein in the ion in the i	rese activities for use activities include ion of core components, and DCGS-N Increment 1 across applications for fielding in corted migration of additional (ISNS) Common Computing anto ISNS Increment 1 CCE performed Development Test NGE - 09 demonstration. Cotted tests with early adopters arm tasks. Trice life extension upgrade. The second of the	respectivities for the early adopters with early adopters with early adopters are tasks. Tryice life extension upgrade. FY 2009 FY 2010 FY 2010	FY 2009 FY 2010 FY 2011 Base Indirected activities for see activities include ion of core components, and DCGS-N Increment 1 across Implications for fielding in corted migration of additional (ISNS) Common Computing conto ISNS Increment 1 CCE erformed Development Test NGE - 09 demonstration. Increment 2 dependence of the cortex with early adopters arm tasks. The increment is a cortex of the cortex of th	FY 2009 FY 2010 FY 2011 Base OCO Indirected activities for isse activities include ion of core components, and DCGS-N Increment 1 across on its population of additional (ISNS) Common Computing ionto ISNS Increment 1 CCE iterformed Development Test NGE - 09 demonstration. Increment 1 across of iterformed Development post (EA ECP) architecture, ardware and SOA applications at Area Network (LAN) GE - 10 demonstration to	

UNCLASSIFIED

upgrades.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2011 Navy		DATE: February 2010
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT
1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy	PE 0305208N: Distributed Common Ground	2174: CIGSS
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Sys	

FY 2011

OCO

FY 2011

Base

FY 2009

FY 2010

FY 2011

Total

B. Accomplishments/Planned Program (\$ in Millions)

Increment 2: Finish the DCGS-N Increment 2 Gap Analysis.

I3: Integrated Imagery and Intelligence (I3) funding transitions into the DCGS-N PE 0305208N beginning in FY10, funds were previously budgeted under the Tactical Command System budget PE 0604231N. Continue to conduct operational testing, begin new software development, and provide for the technical migration of standardized, linked intelligence data and imagery software tools and services from a platform-centric model to a services oriented architecture (SOA). The RDT&E focus includes modernizing interfaces between Special Intelligence (SI) Tools and Global Command and Control System (GCCS) / DCGS Middle and Data Tier services and Consolidated Afloat Network Enterprise Services (CANES) Infrastructure and the migration to National Geospatial Intelligence (GEOINT) Core Services (National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA) SOA). Efforts include support for end to end intelligence analysis tools that leverage Modernized Integrated Database (MIDB), NGA-related digital mapping and imagery products, and other intelligence support streams, while continuing to ensure joint intelligence interoperability across the GCCS and DCGS enterprise.

FY 2011 Base Plans:

Increment 1: Conduct System Integration Testing (SIT) and Developmental Test and Evaluation (DT&E) test events and Operation Test Readiness Review (OTRR) for the DCGS-N Increment 1 Block 1 EA ECP build. Increment 1 Block 2 requirements definition to incorporate collection management capabilities, Real-Time Regional Gateway (RTRG), software upgrades for new Navy sensors, and Moving Target Indicator (MTI) processor integration. Begin updating/developing the Block 2 Test and Evaluation Master Plan (TEMP) and commence development of two Block 2 Engineering Development Models (EDM). Blocks 1 and 2 will support/facilitate the requirements development process and lessons learned efforts for DCGS-N Increment 2.

Increment 2: Begin AoA on technology exploration, CDD development, and conduct cost analysis based on AoA findings. Begin drafting the Increment 2 Acquisition Strategy Report (ASR), Systems Engineering Plan (SEP), and other required acquisition documentation.

13: Continue to conduct operational testing, begin new software development, and provide for the technical migration of standardized, linked intelligence data and imagery software tools and services

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2011 Navy			DATE: February 2010			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0305208N: Distributed Common Sys	PROJECT 2174: CIGS	SS			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Program (\$ in Millions)						
		FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011 Base	FY 2011 OCO	FY 2011 Total
from a platform-centric model to a SOA. The RDT&E focus will be DCGS-N Enterprise Services. Efforts include support for end to elleverage MIDB, NGA-related digital mapping and imagery produc streams, while continuing to ensure joint intelligence interoperabil enterprise. Focus will be the migration to the United States Navy alignment with the new Joint Command and Control (C2) prograr (NECC), the DIA Department of Defense Intelligence Information (DODIIS SOA), and the Net-Centric Enterprise Services (NCES).	nd intelligence analysis tools that ts, and other intelligence support ity across the GCCS and DCGS (USN) SOA framework (CANES), m Net-Enabled Command Capability					
FY11 OCO funding - N/A						
DCGS-N Testbeds DCGS-N Testbeds: Funds the Navy's contribution to the Distribute Demonstration, and Experimentation Network.	ed Development, Test,	1.500	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
FY 2009 Accomplishments: Coordinated Test and Evaluation (T&E) among various service ar Ground System (DCGS) labs and test facilities to ensure continue occurred.						
Common Security and Discovery Services		1.000	1.000	1.000	0.000	1.000
Common Security and Discovery Services: Effort to migrate to cor services within the DCGS programs via Net-Centric Enterprise Ser Integrated Backbone (DIB). This effort will improve the coordinatio introduction of NCES and DIB services into the DCGS/Intelligence, (ISR) enterprise. This funding provides minimal full-time staffing to plan in accordance with Under Secretary of Defense (Intelligence)	vices (NCES) and the DCGS on and the acceleration of the office Surveillance and Reconnaissance office support the execution of the project					

UNCLASSIFIED

R-1 Line Item #209 Page 7 of 17

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2011 Navy	DATE: February 2010				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	OPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE				
1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy	PE 0305208N: Distributed Common Ground	2174: CIGSS			
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Sys				

B. Accomplishments/Planned Program (\$ in Millions)

	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011 Base	FY 2011 OCO	FY 2011 Total
FY 2009 Accomplishments: Continued participation in development and demonstration of CES and in the ESWG; Continued to follow Pilot Plan; integrated DCGS testbed capabilities into Project Plan.					
FY 2010 Plans: Continue participation in development and demonstration of CES and in the ESWG; Continue to follow Pilot Plan; integrate DCGS testbed capabilities into Project Plan.					
FY 2011 Base Plans: Continue participation in development and demonstration of CES and in the ESWG; Continue to follow Pilot Plan; integrate DCGS testbed capabilities into Project Plan.					
Concept of Operations (CONOPS)	0.750	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
FY 2009 Accomplishments: Continued with CONOPS that ensures DCGS interoperability with Services and Coalition partners. This effort maximized ISR processing capability and provided a common understanding of the direction and means to the desired end-state.					
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	44.222	12.228	16.665	0.000	16.665

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2011 Navy	DATE: February 2010			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT		
1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy	PE 0305208N: Distributed Common Ground	2174: CIGSS		
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Sys			

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

			<u>FY 2011</u>	<u>FY 2011</u>	<u>FY 2011</u>					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2009	FY 2010	Base	OCO	<u>Total</u>	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	Complete	Total Cost
OPN 2914: Distributed Common	38.220	27.547	16.634	0.000	16.634	11.494	15.894	17.574	20.295	Continuing	Continuing
Ground System-Navy (DCGS-N)											

D. Acquisition Strategy

The Distributed Common Ground System - Navy (DCGS-N) program will utilize contracting vehicles already in place for the existing Army Tactical Exploitation of National Capabilities (TENCAP) and Joint Services Imagery Processing System - Navy (JSIPS-N) and other fielded programs. The Navy plan is to adapt these programs and develop interoperability with the DCGS Integration Backbone (DIB) standards for support of Navy Network Centric Warfare Time Critical Targeting. The government is the system integrator for the DCGS-N Increment 1 system. For DCGS-N Increment 1 SPAWAR Systems Center (SSC) will also leverage the current Joint Global Command and Control System (GCCS-J) Integrated Imagery and Intelligence (I3) and JSIPS-N and other fielded systems. The DCGS-N Increment 2 acquisition strategy includes the award of a competitive contract for a prime product integrator.

E. Performance Metrics

DCGS-N Increment 1 GOAL: Provide Fleet with additional migration to the Navy's Common Computing Environment (CCE) / Afloat Core Services (ACS).

DCGS-N Increment 1 METRIC: Develop DCGS-N Increment 1 Block 1 Early Adopter Engineering Change Proposal (EA ECP) build. Deliver Increment 1 Block 2

Test and Evaluation Master Plan (TEMP) Update. Complete requirements definition to incorporate collection management capabilities, Real-Time Regional Gateway (RTRG), software upgrades for new Navy sensors, and Moving Target Indicator (MTI) processor integration.

DCGS-N Increment 2 GOAL: Determine future development alternatives for the DCGS-N system.

DCGS-N Increment 2 METRIC: Complete a Gap Analysis Study and Begin the Analysis of Alternatives (AoA) to determine future system development roadmap.

13 GOAL: Demonstrate Integrated Imagery and Intelligence (I3) capabilities within DCGS-N Increment 1.

13 METRIC: Synch and enhance software deliveries to accommodate system user requirements, data interfaces, integration, configuration, and testing.

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2011 Navy

DATE: February 2010

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0305208N: Distributed Common Ground

Sys

PROJECT

2174: CIGSS

Product Development (\$ in Millions)

				FY 2	2010	FY 2 Ba	2011 se	FY 2		FY 2011 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Primary Hardware Development	Various/ Various	Various Various	4.735	0.350	Nov 2009	0.400	Nov 2010	0.000		0.400	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Primary Hardware Development	C/CPAF	BAE Rancho Bernardo, CA	2.013	0.000		0.000		0.000		0.000	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Systems Engineering	Various/ Various	Various Various	7.762	0.991	Nov 2009	0.402	Nov 2010	0.000		0.402	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Systems Engineering	C/CPAF	JFCOMM Norfolk, VA	5.634	0.000		0.000		0.000		0.000	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Systems Engineering	C/CPAF	BAE Rancho Bernardo, CA	20.124	0.800	Dec 2009	1.074	Dec 2010	0.000		1.074	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Systems Engineering	C/CPAF	LMSI Valley Forge, PA	3.776	0.656	Dec 2009	0.989	Dec 2010	0.000		0.989	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Systems Engineering	WR	SSC Lant Charleston, SC	5.573	1.608	Nov 2009	2.095	Nov 2010	0.000		2.095	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Systems Engineering	C/CPFF	TBD TBD	0.000	1.273	May 2010	4.986	Nov 2010	0.000		4.986	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Systems Engineering	Various/ Various	SAIC Columbia, MD	3.781	1.023	Nov 2009	0.000		0.000		0.000	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Systems Engineering	Various/ Various	L3 Chantilly, VA	2.786	0.796	Nov 2009	0.000		0.000		0.000	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Licenses	Various/ Various	BAE, SSC Lant Various	0.600	0.060	Dec 2009	0.400	Dec 2010	0.000		0.400	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	56.784	7.557		10.346		0.000		10.346			

UNCLASSIFIED

R-1 Line Item #209 Page 10 of 17

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2011 Navy

DATE: February 2010

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PROJECT

1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy

PE 0305208N: Distributed Common Ground

2174: CIGSS

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

Sys

Product Development (\$ in Millions)

	(+	,									_		
						FY 2	2011	FY:	2011	FY 2011			
				FY 2	2010	Ва	ise	00	co	Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract

Remarks

Various represents several contracts in support of product development, logistics, testing, and systems engineering. The majority of these contracts are Cost Plus Award Fee (CPAF) contract awards.

TBD represents un-awarded contracts, currently under competition.

Support (\$ in Millions)

				FY 2	010	FY 2 Ba	2011 se	FY 2		FY 2011 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Development Support	Various/ Various	Various Various	3.867	0.269	Nov 2009	0.196	Nov 2010	0.000		0.196	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Software Development	C/CPAF	BAE, NG Various	15.983	0.750	Dec 2009	0.950	Dec 2010	0.000		0.950	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Integrated Logistics Support	Various/ Various	L3, SAIC Various	3.782	0.598	Nov 2009	0.689	Nov 2010	0.000		0.689	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Configuration Management	C/CPAF	L3 Chantilly, VA	1.879	0.474	Dec 2009	0.525	Dec 2010	0.000		0.525	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Technical Data	Various/ Various	L3, SSC CHAS Various	0.352	0.225	Jan 2010	0.200	Nov 2010	0.000		0.200	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
	•	Subtotal	25.863	2.316		2.560		0.000		2.560			

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2011 Navy

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PROJECT

DATE: February 2010

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

PE 0305208N: Distributed Common Ground

Sys

2174: CIGSS

Support (\$ in Millions)

				FY 2	2010	FY 2 Ba	2011 ise		2011 CO	FY 2011 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract

Remarks

Various represents several contracts in support of product development, logistics, testing, and systems engineering. The majority of these contracts are Cost Plus Award Fee (CPAF) contract awards.

Test and Evaluation (\$ in Millions)

						FY 2	2011	FY 2	011	FY 2011			
				FY 2	2010	Ва	se	oc	0	Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Developmental Test & Evaluation	Various/ Various	SAIC, L3, SSC LANT Various	9.618	0.825	Nov 2009	0.502	Nov 2010	0.000		0.502	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Developmental Test & Evaluation	C/CPFF	TBD TBD	0.000	0.000		2.055	Nov 2010	0.000		2.055	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Operational Test & Evaluation	Various/ Various	SAIC, NAWC, NGES, OPTEVFOR, NSWC Corona Various	4.841	0.215	Nov 2009	0.000		0.000		0.000	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
	•	Subtotal	14.459	1.040		2.557		0.000		2.557			

Remarks

Various represents several contracts in support of product development, logistics, testing, and systems engineering. The majority of these contracts are Cost Plus Award Fee (CPAF) contract awards.

TBD represents un-awarded contracts, currently under competition.

UNCLASSIFIED

R-1 Line Item #209 Page 12 of 17

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2011 Navy

DATE: February 2010

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0305208N: Distributed Common Ground

Sys

PROJECT

2174: CIGSS

Management Services (\$ in Millions)

				FY 2	010	FY 2 Ba		FY 2		FY 2011 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Government Engineering Support	WR	SSC LANT Charleston, SC	0.000	0.884	Nov 2009	0.778	Nov 2009	0.000		0.778	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Program Management Support	C/CPAF	SAIC Columbia, MD	1.005	0.311	Dec 2009	0.284	Dec 2010	0.000		0.284	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Travel	Allot	SPAWAR San Diego, CA	0.399	0.120	Oct 2009	0.140	Oct 2010	0.000		0.140	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	1.404	1.315		1.202		0.000		1.202			

Remarks

Various represents several contracts in support of product development, logistics, testing, and systems engineering. The majority of these contracts are Cost Plus Award Fee (CPAF) contract awards.

	Total Prior Years Cost	FY:	2010	FY 2	2011 ise	FY 2	-	FY 2011 Total	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract	
Project Cost Totals	98.510	12.228		16.665		0.000		16.665				

Remarks

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Sche	dule	Prof	ile: P	B 20	11 Na	avy															DA	TE: Fe	ebruai	'y 20	10		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGE 1319: Research, Developn BA 7: Operational Systems	nent, s Dev	Test	& Eva	aluatio	on, N	avy							MENO : Dist			ттоі	n Gro	und	1	JECT : CIG							
EXHIBIT R4, Schedule Profi	le																DATE	:: uary 20	010								
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACT RDT&E, N / BA-7		GRAM 30520		IENT N					und Sv	vstem -	- Navv	,					PROJ	Distribut	MBER A			vstem -	– Navv	(DCG)	S-N)		
Fiscal Year			009)10)11			20	012			201				2014	,)15	
2174 DCGS-N	1	2	2 3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2 3	4	1	2	3	4
Acquisition Wilestones		BLK 1	M/S	C Inc 1	1	C 2 TEN	DD	⇔ LK1 IC	С			Inc	⇔ 2 CDE	I	2 TEM	MP Inc	2 M/S	$ \diamondsuit $	- 1	D D 2 FDD		2 TEMI	Update	Inc	⇔ 2 M/S	c	
Software Deliveries									\triangle								\triangle			A	1			\triangle			
Prototype Phase	I ³ BLK	SW De	type (4	, •	I ³	SW Del	veries		I ³	SW Del	liveries		BLK		eliverio ype (2		I ³	SW Delive	Inc 2 Pr	+	3 SW D	eliveries	<u> </u>	I ³ 5	W Deli	veries	<u> </u>
		N BI											-	N BLI			∇ Δ		III <u>C</u> Z F1		GS-N In		DCGS	-N Inc	2 Futu	re	
System Development Fest & Evaluation Vilestones																											
Development Test Operational Test			S-N B		IOTE									г		BLK :		A	BLK 2	> FOTE			-N INC Landbas			C 2 10°	re
Frident Warrior / Empire Challenge		rw/EC	BLK	Shipboa					т	W/EC	BLK 2	2	т	W/EC	BLK 2			TW/EC	Shipbo		TW/I	EC Inc 2		тw	Sh	ipboard	•
Production	A	I/ECD/	BLK FC As R	♦	. 🔺	9 BLI	C As Re			S BLK 1				4 BLI	K 1 Syst			BLK 2 Sy BLK 2 LI	D		5 BLK	2 System			⇔ c 2 LD		
	I	T	T AS K	T'	1	1	1	ľ	I. OL/L	T	I Roq	I	I TOL	T	1	1	l TOL	1 2 7	- ""	1 1	Г	1	1	1	I		l

UNCLASSIFIED

R-1 Line Item #209 Page 14 of 17

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2011 Navy

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0305208N: Distributed Common Ground

Sys

PROJECT

2174: CIGSS

Schedule Details

	Sta	art	En	d
Event	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
DCGS-N BLK 1 DT/OA Landbased	2	2009	3	2009
DCGS-N BLK 1 IOTE Shipboard	4	2009	4	2009
DCGS-N BLK 2 DT/OA Landbased	4	2012	4	2012
DCGS-N BLK 2 FOTE Shipboard	4	2013	4	2013
DCGS-N Inc 2 DT/OA Landbased	2	2014	3	2014
DCGS-N Inc 2 IOTE Shipboard	3	2015	3	2015
Trident Warrior / Empire Challenge BLK 1 2009	2	2009	3	2009
Trident Warrior / Empire Challenge BLK 2 2011	2	2011	3	2011
Trident Warrior / Empire Challenge BLK 2 2012	2	2012	3	2012
Trident Warrior / Empire Challenge Inc 2 2013	2	2013	3	2013
Trident Warrior / Empire Challenge Inc 2 Future	2	2015	3	2015
Trident Warrior / Empire Challenge Inc 2 2014	2	2014	3	2014
I3 Software Deliveries 2010	1	2010	4	2010
13 Software Deliveries	1	2009	4	2009
I3 Software Deliveries 2011	1	2011	4	2011
13 Software Deliveries 2012	1	2012	4	2012
l3 Software Deliveries 2013	1	2013	4	2013
I3 Software Deliveries 2014	1	2014	4	2014

UNCLASSIFIED

R-1 Line Item #209 Page 15 of 17

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2011 Navy

DATE: February 2010

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0305208N: Distributed Common Ground

Sys

PROJECT

2174: CIGSS

	Sta	art	E	nd
Event	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
13 Software Deliveries 2015	1	2015	4	2015
DCGS-N BLK 1 Development	1	2009	3	2009
DCGS-N BLK 2 Development	3	2011	1	2013
DCGS-N Inc 2 Development	1	2013	1	2015
DCGS-N Inc 2 Future Development	3	2014	4	2015
DCGS-N BLK 1 FDDR	2	2010	2	2010
DCGS-N BLK 1 IOC	4	2010	4	2010
DCGS-N BLK 1 LD	4	2009	4	2009
DCGS-N BLK 1 TEMP	2	2009	2	2009
DCGS-N BLK 2 FDDR	1	2014	1	2014
DCGS-N BLK 2 M/S C (LD)	2	2013	2	2013
DCGS-N BLK 2 TEMP Update	2	2010	2	2010
DCGS-N Inc 2 TEMP	3	2012	3	2012
DCGS-N Inc 2 TEMP Update	3	2014	3	2014
DCGS-N Inc 1 FOC	4	2014	4	2014
DCGS-N Inc 1 M/S C Decision	4	2009	4	2009
DCGS-N Inc 2 CDD	1	2012	1	2012
DCGS-N Inc 2 CPD	4	2013	4	2013
DCGS-N Inc 2 M/S C Decision	2	2015	2	2015
DCGS-N Inc 2 LD	2	2015	2	2015

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2011 Navy

1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy

DATE: February 2010

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0305208N: Distributed Common Ground

PROJECT 2174: CIGSS

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

Sys

	St	art	En	ıd
Event	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
DCGS-N Inc 2 M/S B Decision	1	2013	1	2013
DCGS-N Procurement	2	2010	4	2014
Fact of Life Upgrades/ECPs/Field Changes to Fielded Equipment	1	2009	4	2015
BLK 1 Prototype	1	2009	2	2009
BLK 2 Prototype	1	2012	3	2012
INC 2 Prototype 1	1	2013	4	2013
INC 2 Prototype 2	1	2014	4	2014