### **Activity Summary**

DOT&E activity for FY11 involved oversight of 311 programs, including 45 major automated information systems. Oversight activity begins with the early acquisition milestones, continues through approval for full-rate production and, in some instances, during full production until deleted from the DOT&E oversight list.

Our review of test planning activities for FY11 included approval of 51 Test and Evaluation Master Plans (TEMPs) and 6 Test and Evaluation Strategies, disapproval of 1 TEMP (MH-60S Multi-Mission Combat Support Helicopter), approval of 79 Operational Test Plans, and approval of 4 Live Fire Test Plans and 6 Live Fire Test and Evaluation (LFT&E) Strategies/ Management Plans.

In FY11, DOT&E prepared 13 Beyond Low-Rate Initial Production Reports, 4 Early Fielding Reports, 8 special reports for the Secretary of Defense and Congress, 2 LFT&E reports, and 3 FOT&E reports, as well as the Ballistic Missile Defense Programs Annual Report.

DOT&E also prepared and submitted numerous reports to the Defense Acquisition Board (DAB) principals for consideration in DAB deliberations.

During FY11, DOT&E met with Service operational test agencies, program officials, private sector organizations, and academia; monitored test activities; and provided information to the DAB committees as well as the DAB principals, the Secretary and Deputy Secretary of Defense, the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology and Logistics), the Service Secretaries, and Congress. Active onsite participation in, and observation of, tests and test-related activities remain the most effective tools. In addition to onsite participation and local travel within the National Capital Region, approximately 747 trips supported the DOT&E mission.

Security considerations preclude identifying classified programs in this report. The objective, however, is to ensure operational effectiveness and suitability do not suffer due to extraordinary security constraints imposed on those programs.

#### **TEST AND EVALUATION MASTER PLANS / STRATEGIES APPROVED**

Acoustic Rapid Commercial Off-the-Shelf Insertion (A-RCI) Advanced Processor Build (APB) 2009, Rev C

Advanced Extremely High Frequency (AEHF)

Air Intercept Missile - 9X (AIM-9X)

AN/AAR-47(V) Missile Warning Set Software Qualification Test

AN/AQS-20A Sonar, Mine Detecting Set

AN/BYG-1 Fire Control System Advanced Processor Build

Anniston Chemical Agent Disposal Facility

Army Integrated Air and Missile Defense

B-2 Defensive Management System (DMS)

B-2 Extremely High Frequency (EHF)

C-130J Block 7.0 and 8.1

C-5 Avionics Modernization Program (AMP)

C-5 Reliability Enhancement and Re-Engining Program (RERP)

Cobra Judy Replacement

Common Aviation Command and Control System (CAC2S)

Common Infrared Countermeasures (CIRCM)

Consolidated Afloat Networks and Enterprise Services

Direct Attack Moving Target Capability (DAMTC) Laser Joint Direct Attack Munition (LJDAM) GBU-54

Distributed Common Ground System - Navy (DCGS-N) Increment 1 Block 1.2, Rev A

DoD Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) Increment 2, Milestone C

Early Infantry Brigade Combat Team (E-IBCT)

Enhanced Medium Altitude Reconnaissance and Surveillance System (EMARSS)

**EProcurement** 

Expeditionary Combat Support System (ECSS) Increment 1, Milestone B

F-15E Radar Modernization Program (RMP), v2.2

Family of Medium Tactical Vehicles (FMTV)

Force XXI Battle Command Brigade & Below (FBCB2) Joint Capabilities Release (JCR) & Friendly Force Tracking (FFT) Program v21.5

Global Combat Support System - Army (GCSS-A)

Ground Combat Vehicle

Individual Carbine

Infrared Search & Tracking System

Joint & Allied Threat Awareness System (JATAS)

Joint Biological Detection System (JBSDS) Increment 2

Joint Biological Tactical Detection System (JBTDS)

Joint Mission Planning System - Expeditionary (JMPS-E)

Joint Mission Planning System – Maritime (JMPS-M) FA-18 EA-18 Mission Planning Environment (MPE) v2-3

Joint Stand-off Weapon (JSOW) C-1

Joint Tactical Radio System (JTRS) Network Enterprise Domain (NED), Increment 1

KC-X

Kiowa Warrior Cockpit Sensor Upgrade (KW CASUP)

Large Aircraft Infrared Countermeasures (LAIRCM)

M997A3

Mine Resistant Ambush Protected (MRAP) Vehicle, Rev 3

Miniature Air-Launched Decoy – Jammer (MALD-J)

Mission Planning System Annex G for Increment 4 Representative Platform – E-8 Joint Surveillance Target Attack Radar System (Joint STARS)

Mobile Landing Platform (MLP)

Mobile User Objective System (MUOS) Follow-on Buy (FOB)

Navy Multiband Terminal

**Nett Warrior** 

Ohio Replacement

**Patriot** 

Small Diameter Bomb (SDB) II

Spider XM7

Surface-Launched Advanced Medium Range Air-to-Air Missile

(SLAMRAAM) Milestone B Update

Tomahawk Weapon System (TWS), Rev F

UH-60M Black Hawk, Update

Zumwalt Class Destroyer

#### OPERATIONAL TEST PLANS APPROVED

Advanced Extremely High Frequency Navy Multiband Terminal Satellite Program (NMT) Test Plan

AGM-88E Advanced Anti-Radiation Guided Missile (AARGM) Test Plan

AIM-9X Air-to-Air Missile Upgrade Block II OA Test Plan

AN/AAR-47 Missile/Laser Warning Set [with Hostile Fire Indicator Variant on CH-53E Helicopter] FOT&ETest Plan

AN/AAR-47 V2 Upgrade Missile/Laser Warning Receiver [with Hostile Fire Indicator Operator Interface Modification Variant on the AH-1W Helicopter] Test Plan

B-2 (classified program) OA Test Plan

B-2 Advanced Extremely High Frequency (AEHF) Satellite Communications (SATCOM) and Computer Capability Increment 1 OA Test Plan

Ballistic Missile Defense System (BMDS) Terminal High-Altitude Area Defense (THAAD) 12 IOT&E Test Plan

C-5 Aircraft Avionics Modernization Program (AMP) Force Development Test Plan

C-17A Globemaster III Advanced Cargo Aircraft Program Force Development Test Plan

C-130J Hercules Cargo Aircraft Program [Situation Keeping Equipment (SKE)] FOT&E Test Plan

Common Aviation Command and Control System (CAC2S) Increment 1, Phase 1 IOT&E Test Plan

CVN 78 *Gerald R. Ford* Class Nuclear Aircraft Carrier Test Plan Timeline Defense Security Assistance Management System (DSAMS) Block 3 IOT&E Test Plan

Distributed Common Ground System – Army (DCGS-A) Test Plan

EA-18G Test Plan

Enhanced AN/TPQ-36 (EQ-36) Radar System Test Plan

EProcurement Release 1.1 OA Test Plan

F-15E Radar Modernization Program (RMP) OA Test Plan

F-22 Increment 3.1 FOT&E Test Plan and Test Plan Change

Family of Medium Tactical Vehicle (FMTV) Test Plan

Financial Information Resource System (FIRST) IOT&E Test Plan

Force XXI Battle Command Brigade and Below Joint Capabilities Release 1.3 (FBCB2 JCR) LUT Test Plan

Global Combat Support System – Army IOT&E Test Plan

Global Combat Support System – Joint (GCSS-J) IOT&E Test Plan

Global Command and Control System – Joint (GCCS-J) Test Plan

Global Command and Control System – Maritime (GCCS-M) (Force Level and Full Unit Level) IOT&E Test Plans

Global Hawk (RQ-4B) Block 30 – High-Altitude Long-Endurance Unmanned Aircraft System Test Plan

Global Positioning System (GPS) Selective Availability/ Anti-Spoofing Module (SAASM) Test Plan

Integrated Defensive Electronic Countermeasures (IDECM) Block 3 Test Concept Plan

Joint Air-to-Surface Standoff Missile – Extended Range (JASSM-ER) IOT&E Test Plan

Joint Chemical Agent Detector (JCAD) First Article Test Plan

Joint Direct Attack Munition (JDAM) ([Direct Attack Moving Target Capability (DAMTC) Laser Joint Direct Attack Munition (LJDAM) GBU-54] Test Plan

Joint Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicles (MRAP) [Special Operations Forces (SOF) MRAP All Terrain Vehicle (M-ATV)] Test Plan

Joint Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicles [Independent Suspension System (ISS)] LUT Test Plan

Joint Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicles (MaxxPro Dash Ambulance) LUT Test Plan

Joint Mission Planning Systems (JMPS) [E-8 Joint Surveillance Target Attack Radar System (Joint STARS)] Test Plan

Joint Mission Planning Systems – Expeditionary (JMPS-E) Increment 1 IOT&E Test Plan

Joint Mission Planning Systems (JMPS) Supplements for E-3 and RC-135 Force Development Test Plan

Joint Tactical Radio System (JTRS) Handheld, Manpack, and Small Form Fit Radios (HMS) Manpack LUT Test Plan

Key Management Infrastructure (KMI) Increment 2 Spiral 1 OA Test Plan

Large Aircraft Infrared Countermeasures Program (LAIRCM) Phase II IOT&E Test Plan

Lewis and Clark Class of Auxiliary Dry Cargo Ships (T-AKE) FOT&E Test Plan and Test Plan Change Pages

Maritime Prepositioning Force (Future) Mobile Landing Platform (MLP) OA Test Plan

MH-60R Multi-Mission Helicopter Upgrade Test Plan

MH-60S Multi-Mission Combat Support Helicopter FOT&E Test Plan

MH-60S Multi-Mission Combat Support Helicopter [Block 2A Airborne Mine Countermeasures System] and AN/AQS-20A Minehunting Sonar OA Test Plan

Miniature Air-Launched Decoy (MALD) Way-ahead IOT&E

Mk 48 Torpedo Mods [Mod 6 Advanced Common Torpedo (ACOT) and Mod 7] Common Broadband Advanced Sonar System (CBASS) Torpedo Test Plan

MQ-9 Reaper Unmanned Aircraft System Increment 1 Block 5 Test Plan

Multi-functional Information Distribution System (MIDS) Joint Tactical Radio System (JTRS) Annex L, E-8C Joint Surveillance Target Attack Radar System (Joint STARS) Communications and Networking Upgrade (CNU) Phase 1 Test Plan

Nett Warrior LUT Test Plan

Network Integration Kit (NIK) LUT Test Plan

Osprey MV-22 Joint Advanced Vertical Lift Aircraft [Block B (OT-IIIG)] FOT&E Test Plan

Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) Increment 2 IOT&E Test Plan

Ship-to-Shore Connector (SSC) Test Plan

Small Tactical Unmanned Aerial System (STUAS) Tier II OA Test Plan

Spider XM7 Network Command Munition LUT and Force Development Test Plans

SSN 774 Virginia Class Submarine FOT&E Test Plan

SSN 774 Virginia Class Submarine/AN/BYG-1 Fire Control (Weapon Control & TMA)/Acoustic Rapid Commercial Off-the-Shelf Insertion for SONAR FOT&E Test Plan

SSN 774 Virginia Class Submarine/AN/BYG-1 Fire Control (Weapon Control & TMA)/Acoustic Rapid Commercial Off-the-Shelf Insertion for SONAR/CNO Project No. 0371-03 FOT&E Test Plan

SSN 774 Virginia Class Submarine/Acoustic Rapid Commercial Off-the-Shelf Insertion for SONAR/BYG-1 Fire Control (Weapon Control & TMA) Test Plan

Standard Missile-6 (SM-6) IOT&E Test Plan

Stryker M1126 Infantry Carrier Vehicle including Double-V Hull variant (ICVV-S) [Operational Event Phase 1] Test Plan

Stryker M1126 Infantry Carrier Vehicle including Double-V Hull variant [Driver's Protection Kit (DPK)] Test Plan

Stryker M1126 Infantry Carrier Vehicle including Double-V Hull variant (ICVV-S) [Operational Event Phase 2] Test Plan

Stryker M1126 Infantry Carrier Vehicle including Double-V Hull variant (ICVV-S) Test Plan

Stryker M1128 Mobile Gun System Validation Test Plan

Surface-Launched Advanced Medium-Range Air-to-Air Missile (SLAMRAAM) Test Plan

Tomahawk Weapon System (TWS) FOT&E Test Plan

#### LIVE FIRE TEST AND EVALUATION STRATEGIES, TEST PLANS, AND MANAGEMENT PLANS

Stryker M1130 Commander's Vehicle including the Double-V Hull Variant [Phase 3] LFT&E Test Plan Addendum

Stryker M1132 Engineer Squad Vehicle Including the Double-V Hull Variant [Phase 3] LFT&E Test Plan

Stryker Double-V Hull (DVH) [Phase 0 (baseline) and Infantry Carrier Vehicle (ICVV)] LFT&E Test Plan

Stryker Double-V Hull [Phase II (ICVV)] LFT&E Test Plan and Addendum

Family of Light Armored Vehicles (FoLAV) LFT&E Strategy

Joint High Speed Vessel (JHSV) LFT&E Management Plan

Kiowa Warior (KW) Cockpit and Sensor Upgrade Program (CASUP) LFT&E Strategy

Littoral Combat Ship (LCS) LFT&E Management Plan

Mobile Landing Platform LFT&E Management Plan

Ship-to-Shore Connector (SSC) LFT&E Management Plan

FY11 REPORTS TO CONGRESS	
PROGRAM	DATE
BEYOND LOW-RATE INITIAL PRODUCTION (BLRIP) REPORTS	
C-5 Reliability Enhancement and Re-Engining Program (RERP)	October 2010
Suite of Integrated Radio Frequency Countermeasures (SIRFC)	October 2010
Excalibur Increment 1A-2	October 2010
TB-34 Next Generation Fat-Line Towed Array	November 2010
Warfighter Information Network – Tactical (WIN-T)	February 2011
Multi-functional Information Distribution System Joint Tactical Radio System (MIDS JTRS)	April 2011
Miniature Air-Launched Decoy (MALD)	April 2011
Improved (Chemical Agent) Point Detection System – Lifecycle Replacement (IPDS-LR)	April 2011
C-27J Joint Cargo Aircraft (JCA)	May 2011
Low Cost Conformal Array (LCCA)	May 2011
RQ-4B Global Hawk Block 30	May 2011
Space-Based Space Surveillance (SBSS) Block 10	June 2011
Integrated Defensive Electronic Countermeasures (IDECM) Block 3 Electronic Countermeasures Suite	June 2011
EARLY FIELDING REPORTS	"
Mk 48 Mod 6 Advanced Common Torpedo (ACOT) and Mk 48 Mod 7 Common Broadband Advanced Sonor System (CBASS) Torpedo with the Advanced Processor Build 4 (APB 4) Software	March 2011
Navy Multiband Terminal (NMT)	April 2011
MQ-8B Vertical Take-off and Landing Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (VTUAV)	June 2011
Precision Lethality Mark 82 (PL Mk 82) Bomb	September 2011
SPECIAL REPORTS	"
M855A1 Lead-Free, 5.56 mm Cartridge	October 2010
Military Combat Helmet Standard for Ballistic Testing	December 2010
High Mobility Multi-purpose Wheeled Vehicle (HMMWV) Expanded Capacity Vehicle (ECV) Family of Vehicles (FoV)	February 2011
Ship Self-Defense Operational Mission Capability	March 2011
Special Operations Force (SOF) Mine Resistant Ambush Protected – All Terrain Vehicle (M-ATV)	May 2011
Mine Resistant Ambush Protected (MRAP) Force Protection Industries (FPI) Cougar A1 and A2 Independent Suspension Systems (ISS)	June 2011
Stryker Double-V Hull (DVH) Infantry Carrier Vehicle (ICV)	August 2011
Patriot Post-Deployment Build (PDB)-6.5 System	September 2011
LFT&E REPORTS	
Medium Tactical Vehicle Replacement (MTVR) Family of Vehicles (FoV)	July 2011
Mine Resistant Ambush Protected (MRAP) All Terrain Vehicle (M-ATV) Underbody Improvement Kit (UIK)	September 2011
FOT&E REPORTS	
MH-60R Multi-Mission Helicopter and MH-60S Combat Support Helicopter	November 2010
AN/BQQ-10 Acoustic Rapid Commercial Off-the-Shelf (A-RCI) Sonar System Advanced Processor Build 2007 (APB-07) and AN/BYG-1 Combat Control System APB-07	July 2011
Joint Biological Point Detection System (JBPDS) Phase II Whole System Live Agent	August 2011
ANNUAL REPORTS	
Ballistic Missile Defense Systems (BMDS)	February 2011

# **Program Oversight**

DOT&E is responsible for approving the adequacy of plans for operational test and evaluation and for reporting the operational test results for all major defense acquisition programs to the Secretary of Defense, Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology and Logistics), Service Secretaries, and Congress. For DOT&E oversight purposes, major defense acquisition programs were defined in the law to mean those programs meeting the criteria for reporting under Section 2430, Title 10, United States Code (U.S.C.), Selected Acquisition Reports (SARs). The law (Section 139(a)(2)(B)) also stipulates that DOT&E may designate any other programs for the purpose of oversight, review, and reporting. With the addition of such "non-major" programs, DOT&E was responsible for oversight of a total of 311 acquisition programs during FY11.

Non-major programs are selected for DOT&E oversight after careful consideration of the relative importance of the individual program. In determining non-SAR systems for oversight, consideration is given to one or more of the following essential elements:

- Congress or OSD agencies have expressed a high level of interest in the program.
- Congress has directed that DOT&E assess or report on the program as a condition for progress or production.
- The program requires joint or multi-Service testing (the law (Section 139(b)(4)) requires DOT&E to coordinate "testing conducted jointly by more than one military department or defense agency").
- The program exceeds or has the potential to exceed the dollar threshold definition of a major program according to DoD 5000.1, but does not appear on the current SAR list (e.g., highly classified systems).

- The program has a close relationship to or is a key component of a major program.
- The program is an existing system undergoing major modification.
- The program was previously a SAR program and operational testing is not yet complete.

This office is also responsible for the oversight of LFT&E programs, in accordance with 10 U.S.C. 139. DoD regulation uses the term "covered system" to include all categories of systems or programs identified in 10 U.S.C. 2366 as requiring LFT&E. In addition, systems or programs that do not have acquisition points referenced in 10 U.S.C. 2366, but otherwise meet the statutory criteria, are considered "covered systems" for the purpose of DOT&E oversight.

A covered system, for the purpose of oversight for LFT&E, has been determined by DOT&E to meet one or more of the following criteria:

- A major system, within the meaning of that term in Title 10 U.S.C. 2302(5), that is:
  - User-occupied and designed to provide some degree of protection to the system or its occupants in combat
  - A conventional munitions program or missile program
- A conventional munitions program for which more than 1,000,000 rounds are planned to be acquired.
- A modification to a covered system that is likely to affect significantly the survivability or lethality of such a system.

DOT&E was responsible for the oversight of 118 LFT&E acquisition programs during FY11.

# Programs Under DOT&E Oversight Fiscal Year 2011

(As taken from the September 2011 DOT&E Oversight List)

#### DoD PROGRAMS

Joint Tactical Radio System Small Airborne & Maritime/Fixed Station (AMF JTRS)

Armed Forces Health Longitudinal Technology Application (AHLTA)

Ballistic Missile Defense System Program (BMDS)

Ballistic Missile Technical Collection (BMTC)

Chemical Demilitarization Program – Assembled Chemical Weapons Alternatives (CHEM DEMIL-ACWA)

Chemical Demilitarization (Chem Demil) – Chemical Materials Agency (Army Executing Agent) (CHEM DEMIL-CMA)

Defense Enterprise Accounting and Management

System – Transportation Command (DEAMS – TRANSCOM)

Defense Readiness Reporting System – Strategic

Defense Security Assistance Management System (DSAMS) Block 3

Defense Travel System (DTS)

Electronic Health Records (EHRs)

**EProcurement** 

Global Combat Support System – Joint (GCSS-J)

Global Command and Control System – Joint (GCCS-J)

Joint Biological Stand-Off Detection System (JBSDS)

Joint Biological Tactical Detection System (JBTDS)

Joint Chemical Agent Detector (JCAD)

Joint Command and Control Capabilities (JC2C) [Encompasses GCCS-Family of Systems (GCCS-J, GCCS-A, GCCS-M, TBMCS-FL, DCAPES, GCCS-AF, USMC JTCW, USMC TCO)]

Joint Tactical Radio System (JTRS) Enterprise Network Manager (JENM)

Joint Tactical Radio System (JTRS) Enterprise Network Services (ENS)

Joint Tactical Radio System Network Enterprise Domain (JTRS NED)

Joint Tactical Radio System Ground Mobile Radio (JTRS GMR)

Joint Tactical Radio System Handheld, Manpack, and Small Form Fit Radios (JTRS HMS)

Joint Warning and Reporting Network (JWARN)

Key Management Infrastructure (KMI)

Multi-functional Information Distribution System (MIDS) [Includes all current and planned integrations of MIDS JTRS into USAF and USN aircraft: F/A-18 E/F, E-2D, E-8, RC-135, EC-130 (All applicable series designations)]

Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) Increment 2

Soldier Radio Waveform (SRW) Network Manager

Teleport, Generation III

Theater Medical Information Program – Joint (TMIP-J) Block 2 Wideband Networking Waveform (WNW) Network Manager

#### **ARMY PROGRAMS**

25 mm Individual Semi-Automatic Airburst System (ISAAS)

Abrams Tank Modernization (M1E3)

Abrams Tank Upgrade (M1A1 SA/M1A2 SEP)

AN/ALQ-211 Suite of Integrated Radio Frequency

Countermeasures (SIRFC)

Apache Block III (AB3)

Armed Aerial Scout (previously named ARH Armed Recon Helicopter)

Armored Truck - Heavy Dump Truck (HDT)

Armored Truck - Heavy Equipment Transporter (HET)

Armored Truck – Heavy Expanded Mobility Tactical Truck (HEMTT)

Armored Truck - M915A5 Line Hauler

Armored Truck - M939 General Purpose Truck

Armored Truck – Palletized Loading System (PLS)

Army Integrated Air and Missile Defense (AIAMD)

Army Vertical Unmanned Aircraft System

Biometrics Enabling Capability (BEC)

Black Hawk Upgrade (UH-60M) – Utility Helicopter Upgrade Program

Bradley Fighting Vehicle System Upgrade

Bradley Tank Modernization (M2A3 V2)

### **ARMY PROGRAMS (continued)**

Cartridge, 7.62 mm, M80A1

CH-47F – Cargo Helicopter

Common Infrared Countermeasures (CIRCM)

Distributed Common Ground System - Army (DCGS-A)

Enhanced AN/TPQ-36 Radar System (EQ-36)

Enhanced Medium Altitude Recon Surveillance System (EMARSS)

Excalibur - Family of Precision, 155 mm Projectiles

Force XXI Battle Command Brigade and Below Program (FBCB2)

Force XXI Battle Command Brigade and Below Program Joint

Capabilities Release (FBCB2 JCR)

Family of Medium Tactical Vehicles (FMTV)

General Fund Enterprise Business System (GFEBS)

Global Combat Support System Army (GCSS-A)

Gray Eagle Unmanned Aircraft System (Formally ERMP UAS)

Ground Combat Vehicle (GCV)

Guided Multiple Launch Rocket System Alternate Warhead (GMLRS AW)

Guided Multiple Launch Rocket System (GMLRS) – Dual Purpose

Improved Conventional Munitions (DPICM)

Guided Multiple Launch Rocket System – Unitary (GMLRS Unitary)

Hellfire Romeo

High Mobility Artillery Rocket System (HIMARS)

High Mobility Multi-purpose Wheeled Vehicle (HMMWV)

Hostile Fire Detection System

Identification Friend-or-Foe Mark XIIA Mode 5 (All development

and integration programs)

Individual Carbine

Integrated Personnel and Pay System – Army (Army IPPS)

Interceptor Body Armor

Joint Air-to-Ground Missile (JAGM)

Javelin Antitank Missile System - Medium

Joint Assault Bridge

Joint Battle Command Platform (JBC-P)

Joint Cooperative Target Identification - Ground (JCTI-G)

Joint Future Theater Lift Concept (JFTLC)

Joint Land Attack Cruise Missile Defense Elevated Netted Sensor

System (JLENS)

Joint Lightweight Tactical Vehicle (JLTV)

Joint Personnel Identification (JPIv2)

Kiowa Warrior Upgrade

Land Warrior – Integrated Soldier Fighting System for Infantrymen

Logistics Modernization Program (LMP)

Long Endurance Multi-Intelligence Vehicle (LEMV)

Light Utility Helicopter (LUH)

M1200 Knight Targeting Under Armor (TUA)

M829E4

Nett Warrior (formerly Ground Soldier System)

One-System Remote Video Terminal

Paladin/FASSV Integrated Management (PIM)

Patriot Advanced Capability 3 (Missile only)

Patriot/Medium Extended Air Defense System (PATRIOT/MEADS)

Shadow Tactical Unmanned Aircraft System

Small Unmanned Aircraft System (Raven UAS)

Spider XM7 Network Command Munition

Stryker M1126 Infantry Carrier Vehicle (Including Double-V Hull

variant )

Stryker M1127 Reconnaissance Vehicle

Stryker M1128 Mobile Gun System

Stryker M1129 Mortar Carrier (Including the Double-V Hull variant)

Stryker M1130 Commander's Vehicle (Including the Double-V Hull variant)

Stryker M1131 Fire Support Vehicle (Including the Double-V Hull

Stryker M1132 Engineer Squad Vehicle (Including the Double-V

Hull variant)

Stryker M1133 Medical Evacuation Vehicle (Including the Double-V

Hull variant)

Stryker M1134 Anti-Tank Guided Missile (ATGM) Vehicle (Including

the Double-V Hull variant)

Stryker M1135 NBC Reconnaissance Vehicle (NBCRV) (Including

the Double-V Hull variant)

Stryker Modernization Program

Surface-Launched AMRAAM (SLAMRAAM)

Tactical Edge Network – Extension

Warfighter Information Network - Tactical (WIN-T) Increments 1, 2,

3, and 4

XM1156 Precision Guidance Kit (PGK)

XM395 Accelerated Precision Mortar Initiative (APMI)

#### **NAVY PROGRAMS**

Acoustic Rapid Commercial Off-the-Shelf (COTS) Insertion for SONAR

Active Electronically Scanned Array (AESA)

Advanced Airborne Sensor

Advanced Extremely High Frequency (AEHF) Navy Multiband Terminal (NMT) Satellite Program

**Aegis Modernization** 

AGM-88E Advanced Anti-Radiation Guided Missile (AARGM)

AH-1Z

AIM-9X Air-to-Air Missile Upgrade

Air and Missile Defense Radar (AMDR)

Air Warfare Ship Self Defense Enterprise

Airborne Laser Mine Detection System (ALMDS)

Airborne Mine Neutralization System (AMNS)

Airborne Resupply/Logistics for Seabasing (AR/LSB)

Amphibious Assault Vehicle Upgrade

AN/AAR-47 V2 Upgrade Missile/Laser Warning Receiver

AN/APR-39 Radar Warning Receiver AN/AQS-20A Minehunting Sonar

An/BLQ-10 Submarine Electronics Support Measures

AN/BVY-1 Integrated Submarine Imaging System

AN/SQQ-89A(V) Integrated USW Combat Systems Suite

Broad Area Maritime Surveillance Unmanned Aircraft System (BAMS UAS)

BYG-1 Fire Control (Weapon Control and TMA)

CH-53K Heavy Lift Replacement Program

Close-In Weapon System (CIWS) (Including SEARAM)

Cobra Judy Replacement – Ship-based radar system

Common Aviation Command and Control System (CAC2S)

Consolidated Afloat Network Enterprise Services (CANES)

Cooperative Engagement Capability (CEC)

Countermeasure Anti-Torpedo

CV-22 Osprey Joint Advanced Vertical Lift Aircraft

CVN 78 Gerald R. Ford Class Nuclear Aircraft Carrier

DDG 1000 Zumwalt Class Destroyer (Includes all supporting PARMs)

DDG 51 Arleigh Burke Class Guided Missile Destroyer (Includes all supporting PARMs)

Department of Navy Large Aircraft Infrared Countermeasures Program (DoN-LAIRCM)

Distributed Common Ground System – Navy (DCGS-N)

Distributed Common Ground System – Marine Corps (DCGS-MC)

E-2D Advanced Hawkeye (AHE)

EA-18G (Airborne Electronic Attack variant of the F/A-18 aircraft)

Enhanced Combat Helmet (ECH)

**Evolved Sea Sparrow Missile (ESSM)** 

Evolved Sea Sparrow Missile (ESSM) Block 2

Expeditionary Fighting Vehicle (EFV)

F/A-18E/F Super Hornet Naval Strike Fighter

Future Pay and Personnel Management Solution (FPPS)

Global Combat Support System - Marine Corps (GCSS-MC)

Global Command and Control System – Maritime (GCCS-M)

Ground/Air Task Oriented Radar (G/ATOR)

Identification Friend-or-Foe Mark XIIA Mode 5 (All development

and integration programs)

Infrared Search and Track System

Integrated Defensive Electronic Countermeasures (IDECM)

(All Blocks)

Joint and Allied Threat Awareness System (JATAS)

Joint Expeditionary Fires

Joint High Speed Vessel (JHSV)

Joint Mine Resistant Ambush Protected (MRAP) Vehicles Family of

Vehicles (Including SOCOM vehicles)

Joint Mission Planning System (JMPS) - Navy (E/F/A-18E/F/G and

JMPS-E)

Joint Precision Approach and Landing System (JPALS)

Joint Stand-Off Weapon C-1 variant (JSOW C-1)

KC-130J with Harvest Hawk

LHA-6 America Class Amphibious Assault Ship (Includes all

supporting PARMs)

LHD-8 Amphibious Assault Ship

Light Armored Vehicle

Littoral Combat Ship (LCS) - includes all supporting PARMs, and

57 mm, 30 mm, and missile lethality

**Littoral Combat Ship Mission Modules** 

Logistics Vehicle System Replacement

LPD-17 San Antonio Class - Amphibious Transport Dock Ship -

includes all supporting PARMs and 30 mm lethality

Marine Personnel Carrier

Maritime Prepositioning Force (Future) Mobile Landing Platform

Medium Tactical Vehicle Replacement Program (USMC) (MTVR)

MH-60R Multi-Mission Helicopter Upgrade

MH-60S Multi-Mission Combat Support Helicopter

Mk 48 CBASS Torpedo

### **NAVY PROGRAMS (continued)**

Mk 48 Torpedo Mods

Mk 54 Torpedo/Mk 54 VLA/Mk 54 Upgrades Including High Altitude ASW Weapon Delivery (HAWK)

Mobile User Objective System (MUOS)

Naval Integrated Fire Control – Counter Air (NIFC-CA)

Navy Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP)

Navy Unmanned Carrier Launched Airborne Surveillance and

Strike System (NAVY UCLASS)

Next Generation Cruiser (CG(X))

Next Generation Enterprise Network (NGEN)

Next Generation Jammer (NGJ)

Offensive Anti-Surface Warfare

Ohio Replacement Program (Sea-based Strategic Deterrence)

(Including all supporting PARMs)

Organic Airborne and Surface Influence Sweep (OASIS)

P-8A Poseidon Program

Rapid Airborne Mine Clearance System (RAMICS)

Remote Minehunting System (RMS)

Rolling Airframe Missile (RAM) [Including RAM Block 1A Helicopter

Aircraft Surface (HAS) and RAM Block 2 Programs]

Ship Self-Defense System (SSDS)

Ship-to-Shore Connector

Small Tactical Unmanned Aerial System (STUAS) - UAS Tier II

SSN 774 Virginia Class Submarine

Standard Missile-2 (SM-2) Block IIIB

Standard Missile-2 (SM-2) Block IIIC

Standard Missile-6 (SM-6)

Submarine Torpedo Defense System (Sub TDS) [Including countermeasures and Next Generation Countermeasure System (NGCM)]

Surface Electronic Warfare Improvement Program (SEWIP) Block 2

Surface Electronic Warfare Improvement Program (SEWIP) Block 3

Surface Electronic Warfare Improvement Program (SEWIP) Block 4

Surface Mine Countermeasures Unmanned Undersea Vehicle (SMCM UUV)

Surface Ship Torpedo Defensive Capability (Includes upgrades to AN/SQS-89 and NIXIE systems as well as the Countermeasure Anti-Torpedo and Torpedo Warning System acquisition programs)

Surveillance Towed Array Sonar System/Low Frequency Active (SURTASS/LFA)

Tactical Tomahawk - Follow-on to Tomahawk Baseline missile program

T-AKE *Lewis and Clark* Class of Auxiliary Dry Cargo Ships (T-AKE) (Includes all supporting PARMs)

Torpedo Warning System (Previously included with Surface Ship Torpedo Defense System) (Including all sensors and decision tools)

Trident II Missile – Sea-Launched Ballistic Missile (SLBM)

UH-1Y

Unmanned Surface Sweep System (US3)

Unmanned Undersea Vehicle Program

Vertical Take-Off and Land Tactical Unmanned Air Vehicle (VTUAV)

(Fire Scout)

VXX - Presidential Helicopter Fleet Replacement Program

### AIR FORCE PROGRAMS

20 mm PGU-28/B Replacement Combat Round

Advanced Extremely High Frequency (AEHF) Satellite Program

Advanced Medium-Range Air-to-Air Missile (AMRAAM)

**Advanced Pilot Trainer** 

Air and Space Operations Center – Weapons System (AOC-WS) Initiative 10.2

Air and Space Operations Center – Weapons System (AOC-WS) Initiatives including 10.0 and 10.1

Air Force Distributed Common Ground System (AF-DCGS)

Air Force Integrated Personnel and Pay System (AF-IPPS)

Air Force Network (AFNET) Increment 1

Air Force Network (AFNET) Increment 2

Airborne Signals Intelligence Payload (ASIP) Family of Sensors

Airborne Warning and Control System Block 40/45 Upgrade Program (AWACS Upgrade)

ALR-69A Radar Warning Receiver

B-2 Defensive Management System Modernization (DMS)

B-2 Advanced Extremely High Frequency (EHF) Satellite Communications (SATCOM) and Computer Capability Increments 1 and 2

B-61 Mod 12 Life Extension Program

Battle Control System – Fixed (BCS-F) 3.1 and 3.2

C-5 Aircraft Avionics Modernization Program (AMP)

C-5 Aircraft Reliability Enhancement and Re-Engining Program (RERP)

C-17A Globemaster III Advanced Cargo Aircraft Program

### **AIR FORCE PROGRAMS (continued)**

C-27J Joint Cargo Aircraft (JCA)

C-130 Aircraft Avionics Modernization Program (AMP)

C-130 Aircraft Avionics Modernization Program (AMP) Phase II

C-130J Hercules Cargo Aircraft Program

CITS AFNet Migration Urgent Operational Need

Cobra Judy Replacement Mission Planning Tool

Command and Control Air Operations Software (C2AOS)

(Follow-on to Theater Battle Management Core System)

Command and Control Information Services (C2IS)

Common Vertical Lift Support Platform (CVLSP)

Conventional Prompt Global Strike

Defense Enterprise Accounting and Management

System – Air Force (DEAMS-AF)

Defense Weather Satellite System (DWSS)

Deliberate and Crisis Action Planning and Execution Segments (DCAPES)

**Expeditionary Combat Support System (ECSS)** 

Enhanced Polar System (EPS)

F-15E Radar Modernization Program

F-22 Raptor Advanced Tactical Fighter

F-35 Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) Program

Family of Beyond Line-of-Sight Terminals (FAB-T)

Family of Beyond Line-of-Sight Terminals, Increment 2 (High Data Rate Airborne Terminal) (FAB-T HDRAT)

Full-Scale Aerial Target

Global Broadcast Service (GBS)

Global Broadcast System (GBS) Defense Enterprise Computing Center (DECC)

Global Hawk (RQ-4B) High-Altitude Long-Endurance Unmanned Aircraft System Blocks 30 and 40

Global Positioning Satellite Next Generation Control Segment (GPS OCX)

Global Positioning Satellite III (GPS-IIIA)

HC/MC-130 Recapitalization

HH-60 Recapitalization [Formerly known as Combat Search and Rescue Replacement (CSAR-X)]

Identification Friend-or-Foe Mark XIIA Mode 5 (All development and integration programs)

Information Transport Service (ITS) Increment 2

Integrated Strategic Planning and Analysis Network (ISPAN) Increment 2

Joint Air-to-Surface Standoff Missile (JASSM) and JASSM-Extended Range (JASSM-ER)

Joint Direct Attack Munition (JDAM)

Joint Aerial Layer Network

Joint Space Operations Center Mission System (JMS)

Joint Surveillance Target Attack Radar System (JSTARS)

Communications and Networking Upgrade (CNU) Phase I – MIDS JTRS Integration

Joint Surveillance Target Attack Radar System (JSTARS) Re-Engine Program

KC-46A Tanker Replacement Program

Large Aircraft Infrared Countermeasures Program (LAIRCM)

Long-Range Stand-Off (LRSO) Weapon

Massive Ordnance Penetrator (MOP)

Military GPS User Equipment (GPS MGUE)

Miniature Air-Launched Decoy (MALD)

Miniature Air-Launched Decoy – Jammer (MALD-J)

Mission Planning System (MPS) Increment 4 (E-8/E-3, F-22, A-10)

Mission Planning System (MPS) Increments 1-3 [Including the Joint Mission Planning System (JMPS) (RC-135)]

Multi-Platform Radar Technology Insertion Program (MP-RTIP)

MQ-9 Reaper Unmanned Aircraft System

MQ-X

National Airspace System (NAS)

NAVSTAR Global Positioning System (GPS) (Includes Satellites, Control, and User Equipment)

MV-22 Osprey – Joint Advanced Vertical Lift Aircraft

Presidential Aircraft Recapitalization (PAR) Program – Air Force One Recapitalization Program

Space-Based Infrared System Program, High Component (SBIRS HIGH)

Space-Based Space Surveillance Block 10 (SBSS B10)

Space-Based Space Surveillance Block 10 (SBSS B10) Follow-on

Small Diameter Bomb (SDB) Increments 1 and 2

Space Fence (SF)

Three-Dimensional Expeditionary Long-Range Radar (3DELRR)

Vulnerability Life-Cycle Management System (VLMS) 1.5

Wideband Global SATCOM (WGS) Program

### **Problem Discovery Affecting Operational Test and Evaluation**

Developmental testing and evaluation serves as a means for detection and identification of problems in program software and hardware. It provides programs the opportunity to correct those problems prior to commencement of production and operational test and evaluation. As such, the developmental test and evaluation phase must be rigorous and realistic to provide an accurate validation of system performance and to identify a program's readiness for operational testing.

In order to provide an accurate assessment of operational effectiveness, suitability, and survivability, it is paramount for operational test and evaluation to be of a production-representative system working in an operationally-realistic environment. The operational test should not be a time for problem discovery, nor should it be a time for resolution of lingering problems left over from developmental test and evaluation.

The Congress expressed concern that significant problems with weapons acquisition programs are discovered during operational test and evaluation that should have been detected during developmental test and evaluation and corrected during subsequent development. I am including this new section of my annual report with my assessment of significant issues observed in operational testing of systems under my oversight in 2010-2011 that in my view should have been discovered and resolved prior to the commencement of operational testing. This section also provides my assessment of significant issues observed in early testing of systems during 2010-2011, that if not corrected could adversely affect my evaluation of those systems'

effectiveness, suitability, and survivability during their initial operational test and evaluation (IOT&E).

Since the implementation of the Weapon Systems Acquisition Reform Act (WSARA) of 2009, I have received seven formal Assessments of Operational Test Readiness (AOTRs) from the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense, Developmental Test and Evaluation (DASD(DT&E) which provide detailed assessments of Key Performance Parameters and make specific recommendations to the Services regarding readiness to enter into IOT&E. In four of those AOTRs (C-5 Reliability Enhancement and Re-Engining Program, Global Hawk Blocks 20 and 30, Standard Missile-6, and the Joint Tactical Radio System Handheld, Manpack, and Small Form Fit Rifleman Radio, the DASD(DT&E) recommended that the program not proceed to IOT&E, and in all four cases, the Services elected to proceed into IOT&E. The trend is that major discrepancies are being discovered and raised to the Service leadership, but decisions to enter IOT&E are not being affected by these AOTRs.

The tables below list systems for which we observed and evaluated operational testing during FY10 and FY11. Some of the systems had significant issues discovered during the IOT&E that should have been discovered in developmental testing; other systems had issues observed during early testing that if not corrected, could adversely affect my assessment of operational effectiveness, suitability, and survivability during IOT&E (to be conducted within the next two years) and should be resolved prior to that testing.

SIGNIFICANT DISCOVERIES IN IOT&E		
AGM-88E Advanced Anti-Radiation Guided Missile (AARGM)	LPD-17 San Antonio Class Amphibious Transport Dock	
C-130J	Multi-functional Information Distribution System (MIDS) Joint Tactical Radio System (JTRS)	
Common Aviation Command and Control System (CAC2S)	Navy Multiband Terminal (NMT)	
CV-22 Osprey	Nett Warrior	
Department of the Navy Large Aircraft Infrared Countermeasures (DoN LAIRCM)	Space-Based Space Surveillance (SBSS)	
Early Infantry Brigade Combat Team (E-IBCT)	Standard Missile-6	
Financial Information Resource System (FIRST)	Stryker Nuclear, Biological, and Chemical Reconnaissance Vehicle (NBCRV)	
Force XXI Battle Command Brigade and Below (FBCB2) Joint Capabilities Release (JCR)	Vertical Launch Anti-Submarine Rocket (VLA) Mk 54	
Joint Tactical Radio System (JTRS) Ground Mobile Radio (GMR)		

DISCOVERIES IN EARLY TESTING THAT SHOULD BE CORRECTED PRIOR TO IOT&E		
Aegis Ballistic Missile Defense (BMD)	Miniature Air-Launched Decoy – Jammer (MALD-J)	
AIM-120 Advanced Medium-Range Air-to-Air Missile (AMRAAM)	Mk 48 Advanced Capability Mod 7 Common Broadband Advanced Sonar System (CBASS) Torpedo	
Apache Block 3 (AB3)	Mk 54 Lightweight Torpedo	
Defense Enterprise Accounting and Management System (DEAMS)	MQ-1C Gray Eagle Unmanned Aircraft System (UAS)	
E-2D Advanced Hawkeye	MQ-9 Reaper Unmanned Aircraft System (UAS)	
Enhanced AN/TPQ-36 Radar System (EQ-36)	P-8A Poseidon	
EProcurement	RQ-4B Global Hawk Block 30	
Joint High Speed Vessel (JHSV)	Spider XM7 Network Command Munition	
Joint Tactical Radio System (JTRS) Handheld, Manpack, and Small Form Fit (HMS)	Surveillance Towed Array Sensor System (SURTASS) and Compact Low Frequency Active (CLFA)	
Joint Tactical Radio System (JTRS) Network Enterprise Domain (NED)	Vertical Take-Off and Landing Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (VTUAV) Fire Scout	
Littoral Combat Ship (LCS) Mission Modules	Warfighter Information Network – Tactical (WIN-T) Increment 2	
LHA-6 (formerly LHA(R)) New Amphibious Assault Ship		

# PROBLEMS DISCOVERED DURING OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION THAT SHOULD HAVE BEEN DISCOVERED DURING DEVELOPMENTAL TEST AND EVALUATION

#### AGM-88E Advanced Anti-Radiation Guided Missile (AARGM)

The AARGM began IOT&E in June 2010, but the Navy stopped the test in September 2010 after eight anomalies occurred during 12 captive carry flights. Of the eight anomalies, six operational mission failures included:

• Three separate "weapon fail" indications from the built-in test (BIT) equipment (this presents a caution on the cockpit display that the weapon will not work), a BIT indication for a communications failure between the aircraft and the weapon, a BIT indication for a guidance control section failure, and finally, the BIT system did not detect a malfunction in which an anti-radiation homing failure occurred; it was noted because of an absence of displayed track files while flying on an instrumented range with known radar systems emitting radio frequency energy.

Of the eight anomalies, two additional discrepancies included:

- The misidentification of an unambiguous target emitter
- One instance during post-flight inspection where the pilot received an electrical shock from the weapon

#### C-130J

The C-130J is in production with periodic Block Upgrades to correct deficiencies and to provide capability enhancements.

 Reliability problems with the Station Keeping Equipment prevented the achievement of the required formation flight success rate. Consequently, the C-130J is still not certified for formation flight in instrument meteorological conditions and is therefore only partially mission capable for the airdrop mission.

#### Common Aviation Command and Control System (CAC2S)

The Marine Corps conducted IOT&E of the CAC2S Phase 1 this year. The testing revealed the following deficiencies:

- The inability to receive data via Joint Range Extension Application Protocol A and B and provide an accurate and timely air picture from these sources.
- The inability to interface with Theater Battle Management Core System as designed and access web-based applications via the system hyperlink functionality.
- The inability of net time server to synchronize time with the GPS through the CAC2S Defense Advanced GPS Receiver.

#### **CV-22 Osprey**

The Air Force conducted the CV-22 IOT&E in three phases from September 2007 through April 2008. Intended capabilities added by electronic warfare and communications equipment unique to the CV variant of the V-22 have not reached their full potential and limit mission accomplishment.

Poor reliability and performance shortfalls of the
Directional Infrared Countermeasures system, the Suite
of Integrated Radio Frequency Countermeasures system,
and the multi-mission advanced tactical terminal as
installed on the CV-22 limit mission accomplishment by
necessitating avoidance of threats and reliance on visual
cueing and manual dispense of chaff and flares if unknown
threats are encountered.

# Department of the Navy (DoN) Large Aircraft Infrared Countermeasures (LAIRCM)

DOT&E submitted a Beyond Low-Rate Production Report to Congress in December 2009 on the DoN LAIRCM as installed on the CH-53E helicopter. This report highlighted a critical classified performance shortfall.

- Critical system performance shortfalls in certain environments and terrain because of software errors.
- The results from the Navy verification of correction of deficiencies testing using a CH-46E aircraft indicated the correction to the major DoN LAIRCM deficiency identified in the CH-53E IOT&E was effective.

#### **Early Infantry Brigade Combat Team (E-IBCT)**

The Army conducted a Limited User Test (LUT 10) at White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico, in September 2010. LUT 10 was the second operational test of the E-IBCT systems and was intended to assess progress in E-IBCT operational effectiveness and suitability in a realistic operational environment. The E-IBCT Increment 1 comprised: Network Integration Kit mounted on a tactical wheeled vehicle such as High Mobility Multi-purpose Wheeled Vehicle or Mine Resistant Ambush Protected vehicle, Unattended Ground Sensors, Class 1 Unmanned Aerial System Block 0, and Small Unmanned Ground Vehicle (SUGV).

- E-IBCT Increment 1 systems contributed little to mission effectiveness. Blue force combat power was sufficient to accomplish assigned missions with or without employment of the E-IBCT systems. Key performance parameters not met are: Net Ready, SUGV recognition range at night, Material Availability.
- Based upon analyses of the results from LUT 10 and developmental testing, DOT&E's current assessment of the E-IBCT systems is that, with the exception of the SUGV, none of the systems have demonstrated an adequate level of performance to be fielded to units and deployed in combat.

#### Financial Information Resource System (FIRST)

The 346th Test Squadron and Air Force Financial Systems Operations conducted the OT&E of the FIRST in the Pentagon from March 28-31, 2011. DOT&E assessed the system to be operationally effective and operationally suitable, but with limitations in the areas of interoperability and information assurance.

 FIRST was able to process flying hours data, but was unable to correctly process inventory data provided by the Reliability and Maintainability Information System, thus hampering planning actions.

# Force XXI Battle Command Brigade & Below (FBCB2) Joint Capabilities Release (JCR)

In FY11, the Army and Marine Corps conducted a Limited User Test (LUT) of FBCB2 JCR/Blue Force Tracker 2 (BFT2). The FBCB2 JCR/BFT2 LUT highlighted the following deficiencies:

• Situational awareness "fading," which would freeze display icons for 30 seconds to 5 minutes.

- New Equipment Training was not adequate to train new FBCB2 operators.
- All versions of FBCB2 supported by line-of-sight Enhanced Position Location Reporting System (EPLRS) radios demonstrated poor mission effectiveness and interoperability.
- · Less than required reliability.

#### Joint Tactical Radio System (JTRS) Ground Mobile Radio (GMR)

The Army planned a Milestone C Limited User Test of the JTRS GMR in June and July 2011 and later downgraded that test to a Customer Test because of a Nunn-McCurdy breach and continuing performance and reliability problems that could not be fixed prior to the planned operational test.

- During the Customer Test at the Army's Network Integration Evaluation (NIE), commanders attempted to use the JTRS GMR Wideband Networking Waveform (WNW) network, but found the network was not useful due to range limitations and poor reliability.
- The JTRS GMR schedule delays were due to technically immature GMR hardware, software operating environment, and waveform software.
- JTRS GMR was not reliable during the NIE. Reliability was 125 hours Mean Time Between Essential Function Failure versus a 466-hour requirement.
- The Joint WNW network manager is not an effective tool to manage the WNW network, and the Soldiers preferred the commercially-available Simple Network Management Protocol Console software for WNW network management.

#### LPD-17

The Navy completed two IOT&E events in FY10: a Rolling Airframe Missile engagement on the Self-Defense Test Ship in December 2009 and Probability of Raid Annihilation modeling and simulation in November 2009. The Navy completed two LFT&E events in FY08: the Full Ship Shock Trial was conducted in August and September 2008 and the Total Ship Survivability Trial was conducted in September 2008. DOT&E noted the following deficiencies:

- Poor reliability of critical systems (network, voice communications, engineering control), support systems (cargo ammunition magazine elevators, vehicular ramps, main propulsion diesel engines, electrical distribution system, and steering system), and combat systems (SPQ-9B horizon search radar, the Mk 46 Gun Weapons System (GWS), and the Magnetic Signature Control System) adversely impacted mission capability.
- LPD-17 self-defense systems (Mk 46 GWS, Ship Self-Defense System (SSDS) Mk 2, SPQ-9B, and SPS-48/Cooperative Engagement Capability did not demonstrate adequate capability.
- The ship provided poor command and control capability for embarked troops.
- The conduct of the Full Ship Shock Trial and the Total Ship Survivability Trial on the LPD-17 class ships were adversely affected by reliability issues with the same critical system

identified by IOT&E. These reliability issues resulted in increased cost and schedule delays for the trials.

# Multi-functional Information Distribution System – Joint Tactical Radio System (MIDS JTRS)

The Navy completed IOT&E of the MIDS JTRS core terminal integrated into the F/A-18E/F in November 2010. The MIDS JTRS IOT&E data indicated performance shortfalls.

- Link 16 messages that provide situational awareness of friendly force positions and intentions were consistently exchanged during only 90 percent of the F/A-18E/F sorties flown, compared to the Key Performance Parameter threshold requirement of 98 percent.
- Link 16 close air support messages were successfully exchanged in only 26 percent of the attempts.
- Poor system reliability during start-up prevented timely mission launch during 16 percent of sorties.
- Post-test causality analysis indicated that manufacturing and quality control problems with ViaSat-produced MIDS JTRS terminals led to new failure modes discovered during IOT&E. Other deficiencies were traced to errors in the Link 16 waveform software code and inadequate aircrew and maintenance personnel training.

#### **Navy Multiband Terminal (NMT)**

DOT&E completed an Early Fielding Report in April 2011 when the Navy deployed an operational NMT on the USS *Roosevelt* (DDG 80) prior to IOT&E. The Navy completed integrated testing in June 2011 and operational testing in August 2011.

The program stopped testing due to schedule pressure prior to completion of the Reliability Growth Test (RGT). The program conducted a composite reliability analysis from a collection of data sources, to include contractor integrated tests, Government independent verification and validation activities, and hours collected from operational fleet that indicated that the reliability could be met. However, in order to meet the schedule, the program did not conduct a thorough failure analysis with corrective action before starting the integrated test and IOT&E. During the RGT, the NMT demonstrated a Mean Time Between Critical Failure (MTBCF) of 892 hours against a 1,400-hour requirement. During the integrated testing, NMT demonstrated an MTBCF of 338 hours. The IOT&E confirmed the NMT is not reliable. While the full failure analysis is ongoing, results from the operational test have revealed that the MTBCF is comparable to that of the integrated test.

#### **Nett Warrior (formerly Ground Soldier System)**

The Army conducted the Nett Warrior Limited User Test (LUT) of three competing systems from October 18 – November 5, 2010, at Fort Riley, Kansas. There were two problems observed during the LUT that should have been corrected earlier:

- · Unclear voice communications
- Excessive light emissions

#### Space-Based Space Surveillance (SBSS) Block 10

The Air Force launched the SBSS satellite at the end of FY10. During FY11, the Air Force completed both on-orbit developmental testing and IOT&E.

 During the later stages of integrated testing, a data formatting problem was discovered, which prevented full utilization of SBSS mission data by one user. This problem could have been identified earlier in developmental testing by sharing sample data products with the end users.

#### Standard Missile 6 (SM-6)

The Navy completed the remaining FY10 missions during developmental and operational flight scenario testing of the SM-6 in January 2011 and completed SM-6 IOT&E flight testing in July 2011. There were two classified performance anomalies in IOT&E that a more rigorous developmental testing program may have discovered earlier. Additionally, two anomalies discovered in developmental testing did not have sufficient corrective action prior to the IOT&E:

- One anomaly discovered in developmental testing (antenna debris) carried forward to IOT&E without corrective action fully implemented on all missiles; there were additional occurrences during IOT&E on this configuration.
- One anomaly discovered in developmental testing (Mk 54 Safe-Arm Device) carried forward into IOT&E and remains under investigation; additional occurrences were experienced during IOT&E. This anomaly could influence the SM-6 lethality.

# Stryker Nuclear, Biological, and Chemical Reconnaissance Vehicle (NBCRV)

The Army conducted IOT&E phase two at Dugway Proving Ground, Utah, from September 20 – October 1, 2010. In IOT&E phase one, conducted from September to October 2006, the NBCRV experienced numerous operational mission failures. The program undertook a reliability improvement program and made a number of changes to the system configuration tested in IOT&E phase one with the result of significantly improved reliability of the base vehicle.

Initial testing of the NBCRV, equipped with Stryker Reactive
Armor Tile II, indicates the added weight of the armor kit
negatively affects NBCRV mobility in steep terrain, such as
Afghanistan. During a 3,090-mile NBCRV reliability test with
the Stryker Reactive Armor Tile II, the system experienced
multiple driveline failures, including three broken differentials
and multiple broken axle half-shafts. Driveline failures
negatively affect mobility by limiting the speed of travel and
the vehicle's ability to traverse steep terrain.

# Vertical Launch Anti-Submarine Rocket (VLA) with the Mk 54 Mod 0 Lightweight Hybrid Torpedo

The Navy conducted operational testing of the VLA with an Mk 54 torpedo payload at the Pacific Missile Range Facility in February 2009; DOT&E published a BLRIP in 2010.

- The Mk 54 torpedo experienced excessive depth excursion on entering the water that could cause the torpedo to impact the bottom in shallow water. Testing suggests that the excessive depth excursion problem is linked to VLA rocket delivery method rather than the weapon itself.
- The Mk 54 VLA is not operationally effective in its primary mission environment because the ship's Combat System cannot effectively detect, classify, and target a threat
- submarine; this deficiency was identified by the Navy in 2007, but the Combat System continued to experience performance problems during the 2009 IOT&E.
- The Navy has not completed sufficient operational testing of the Mk 54 torpedo to verify its effectiveness. The testing completed so far indicates the Mk 54 torpedo may not be effective in attacking the target. (The Mk 54 torpedo is discussed further below.)

# PROBLEMS OBSERVED DURING EARLY TESTING THAT IF NOT CORRECTED, COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT MY ASSESSMENT OF OPERATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS, SUITABILITY, AND SURVIVABILITY DURING INITIAL OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION (CONDUCTED WITHIN THE NEXT TWO YEARS)

#### **Aegis Modernization Program**

The Navy conducted operational testing of Aegis Guided Missile Cruisers (CGs 52 58) upgraded with Aegis Warfare System (AWS) Advanced Capability Build 2008 (ACB08) and Aegis Guided Missile Destroyers (DDGs 103-112) upgraded with AWS Baseline 7.1R in FY10 with the exception of air defense and suitability testing, which is expected to complete in 1QFY12.

 Aegis Guided Missile Cruisers upgraded with AWS ACB08 and Aegis Guided Missile Destroyers upgraded with AWS Baseline 7.1R have limited ability to counter high-speed surface threats in littoral waters.

# AIM-120 Advanced Medium-Range Air-to-Air Missile (AMRAAM)

The next update to the AIM-120 AMRAAM, the AIM-120D, is currently in developmental testing by both the Air Force and Navy at Eglin AFB, Florida, and China Lake Naval Weapons Station, California. Progression to operational testing has been suspended pending resolution of four key technical deficiencies. The AIM-120D was originally scheduled to begin operational testing in 2008; it is now more than three years behind schedule.

- The four key deficiencies include missile lockup, built-in test (BIT) failures, aircraft integration problems, and poor GPS satellite acquisition.
- DOT&E approvals of the Test and Evaluation Master Plan and test plan are awaiting resolution of the deficiencies that suspended operational testing in 2009. Raytheon has solved the BIT fail problem and has developed a pending solution to the GPS failure problem. Weapons failure and aircraft integration deficiencies remain.

#### **Apache Block 3 (AB3)**

In November 2009, the Army conducted the Apache Block III (AB3) Limited User Test (LUT).

- Initial testing of the fire control radar indicated performance comparable to that of the legacy radar in most operating modes. However, the new radar generated excessive false targets in some operating modes.
- During the LUT, the Integrated Helmet and Display Sight System did not fit well and limited the pilots' visibility of the Helmet Display Unit imagery.

- Mission planning tools do not allow creation of a flight plan for the Unmanned Aerial System or multiple frequency settings for the ARC-231 radios.
- During flight testing, pilots discovered that the Modernized
  Targeting Acquisition Designation Sight voice communication
  and navigation subsystems video vibrates excessively during
  certain flight regimes. Subsequent testing revealed that
  the cause of the vibration was the natural frequency of the
  Electronics Display and Control overlays with the main rotor
  frequency.
- Interoperability testing between the AB3 and Gray Eagle unmanned aircraft is ongoing. Ground and flight testing between the Gray Eagle and AB3 programs have identified differences in frame size of the video sensor movement, inverted commands, and differences in the data rate and data format between AB3 and Gray Eagle

# **Defense Enterprise Accounting and Management System** (DEAMS)

The Air Force Operational Test and Evaluation Center (AFOTEC) began, but did not complete, an Early Operational Assessment (EOA) of DEAMS Spiral 2 from August through December 2010 at Scott AFB, Illinois, and at the Defense Finance and Accounting Service in Limestone, Maine. AFOTEC curtailed the EOA when it became apparent that major system deficiencies were present. The data from the incomplete EOA were insufficient to determine readiness for IOT&E, currently scheduled for 1QFY14, and a full evaluation of operational effectiveness, suitability, and mission capability.

- Important interfaces were inoperable. During the EOA, non-functioning interfaces with the Component Billing and Automated Funds Management systems required manual procedures from onsite personnel.
- Required reports were not being produced or were inaccurate or incomplete.
- Since the Air Force released Spiral 2 in May 2010,
   2,313 deficiencies have been reported and 1,680 have been closed, leaving a gap of 633 open deficiencies. Although the program has made progress on closing the deficiencies, new ones continue to accrue.

#### E-2D Advanced Hawkeye

The Navy completed an operational assessment in December 2010 of the E-2D to support a decision to procure the next two lots of low-rate production aircraft.

 DOT&E identified potentially inadequate overland performance of the E-2D radar system as a risk to a successful Theater Air Missile Defense/Anti-Air Warfare mission effectiveness assessment during IOT&E.

#### Enhanced AN/TPQ-36 Radar System (EQ-36)

The Army is developing and fielding 38 Quick Reaction Capability radars to support an Urgent Materiel Release. Fielding began in 2010 with 10 systems operating in Iraq and Afghanistan. The Army conducted three radar test events at Yuma Proving Ground, Arizona, in October 2010, January 2011, and June 2011. Testing focused on acquiring threat rocket, artillery, and mortar fires, and the radar's integration with the Counter Rocket, Artillery, and Mortar system.

 The live ammunition system demonstration averaged one system abort in less than 30 hours. This demonstrated performance will impact operational suitability without an increased effort to increase the hours between system aborts. The EQ-36 Program of Record requirement is one system abort every 185 hours.

#### **EProcurement**

EProcurement extends the functionality of the Defense Logistics Agency Enterprise Business System in three releases. The final release, Release 1.2, is currently in limited deployment and is planned for IOT&E in 2012. The Joint Interoperability Test Command (JITC) conducted an operational assessment (OA) of Release 1.1 in June 2011. JITC conducted validation tests of fixes to deficiencies in Release 1.1 in August and October 2011.

- JITC found 20 critical software defects that have subsequently been fixed. These defects inhibited users from successfully processing purchase requisitions and orders, managing and processing contracts, and managing contract line items. Another 22 moderate software defects remain open and require large amounts of functionality workarounds to use Release 1.1.
- The user community found manual award processing and post-award processing for modifications to be largely inaccurate, incomplete, and unusable.
- Only one-third of the Release 1.1 users rated the human-system interface and other system usability attributes as acceptable during the OA. User dissatisfaction may also have been due, in part, to slow screen refresh times for some operations.
- During the developmental test of Release 1.1, numerous critical system defects were discovered and documented.
   These defects were reported as fixed just prior to deployment of Release 1.1 into the production environment; however, the OA still found many critical defects, which indicates that defect resolution and developmental testing may not be as robust as they should be.

#### Joint High Speed Vessel (JHSV)

A Navy-led operational assessment in January 2009 identified multiple areas of risk to the program's achieving operational effectiveness and suitability. The JHSV will likely meet or exceed its threshold requirements; however, missions other than basic transport, as outlined in the Capabilities Development Document and Concept of Operations, may prove to be too challenging unless the program pursues objective requirements in selected areas such as ammunition storage and communications.

- The absence of forced ventilation and air quality monitors in the mission bay jeopardizes the safety of the crew and embarked force during onload and offload of vehicles, particularly in port or at anchor when there is little natural circulation.
- Storage space for embarked force personal equipment is inadequate.
- JHSV will not have the capability to support the Joint
  Integration Concept to interface with Sea Base units at high
  sea states. The Navy is developing a ramp for Sea State 3 but
  interfacing at Sea State 4 is unlikely.
- To support more challenging Army concepts of employment, the JHSV must have more robust communications, capability to land armed helicopters, and store palletized ammunition.
- JHSV requirements do not include any metrics for reliability, availability, and maintainability.

# Joint Tactical Radio System (JTRS) Handheld, Manpack, and Small Form Fit (HMS)

The JTRS HMS program provides handheld and two-channel manpack radios supporting Army, Marine Corps, Navy, and Air Force operations. In June 2011, the Army conducted a Manpack Limited User Test (LUT) as a part of the 2011 Network Integration Evaluation (NIE). During the NIE JTRS HMS Manpack LUT, the radio demonstrated the following:

- · Poor reliability
- Short range of the Soldier Radio Waveform and Single Channel Ground and Airborne Radio System (SINCGARS) waveforms that significantly constricted the operational area of the cavalry troop
- Inconsistent voice quality
- SINCGARS waveform did not support unit operations and was immature for operational test

#### **Littoral Combat Ship (LCS) Mission Modules**

The Littoral Combat Ship is intended to accommodate a variety of individual warfare systems (mission modules) assembled and integrated into interchangeable mission packages. The Navy split the program into two separate acquisition programs – one for seaframes and the other for mission modules.

 Both developmental and operational testing of the AN/AQS-20A Sonar Mine Detecting Set, an Airborne Mine-countermeasures mission module system within the LCS Mine Countermeasures (MCM) mission package, revealed the system is deficient in meeting required

thresholds for False Classification Density (FCD) and Vertical Localization. These deficiencies may preclude the LCS MCM mission package from meeting its required threshold for Area Coverage Rate Sustained (ARCS). If the FCD and Vertical Localization deficiencies are not corrected prior to IOT&E, they may adversely affect the operational effectiveness of the LCS MCM Mission Package.

- Developmental testing of the Airborne Laser Mine Detection System (ALMDS), an Airborne Mine-countermeasures mission module system within the LCS MCM mission package, revealed the system is deficient in meeting the required threshold for FCD. This deficiency will likely preclude the LCS MCM mission package from meeting its required threshold for ARCS. If the ALMDS FCD deficiency is not corrected prior to IOT&E, it will adversely affect the operational effectiveness of the LCS MCM Mission Package.
- LCS is not expected to be survivable (i.e., be capable of continuing to fight after being attacked) in a hostile combat environment.

#### LHA-6 (formerly LHA(R)) New Amphibious Assault Ship

The Navy conducted an operational assessment of the LHA-6 large-deck amphibious ship from June to August 2008. Experienced fleet operators (Navy and Marine Corps) reviewed ship plans and specifications, data on fielded systems, and previous testing conducted on systems that will be installed on LHA-6. Since that time, no specific operational testing has occurred with the exception of enterprise testing on the Self-Defense Test Ship.

- Due to long-standing and previously identified legacy sensor limitations, LHA-6 may be vulnerable to certain airborne threat flight profiles.
- Based on combat systems testing on other platforms, it is unlikely that LHA-6's Ship Self-Defense System Mk 2-based combat system (including Nulka, SLQ-32, and Evolved Sea Sparrow Missile) will meet the ship's Probability of Raid Annihilation requirement against anti-ship cruise missiles.

#### Miniature Air Launched Decoy - Jammer (MALD-J)

The Air Force completed IOT&E on MALD (the decoy only variant) in 2011 after additional development test missions were flown to evaluate upgrades resulting from deficiencies found in the 2010 IOT&E. DOT&E conclusions regarding MALD-J suitability---particularly its reliability---depend in part upon data from MALD testing, which will be used by DOT&E to evaluate whether the vehicle reliability problems have been resolved. In the interim, outstanding MALD reliability deficiencies pose some risk to the planned FY12 MALD-J IOT&E due to the vehicle commonality between the two variants.

- During the 2010 IOT&E, the MALD reliability point estimate that combines free-flight and aircraft carriage time was 77 percent, which fell short of the threshold requirement of 93 percent.
- MALD carriage life during the 2010 IOT&E failed to meet the required threshold of a minimum of 60 hours. All MALDs

- that accumulated over 14 hours of carriage time, and were subsequently launched by the Air Force, failed during free-flight test. This is significant for long-endurance B-52 missions, which are likely to accumulate 14 or more hours of carriage time before operational employment.
- The MALD IOT&E failure in FY10 was most likely a result from long-term vehicle exposure to rain and moisture during aircraft carriage, which caused excessive ice accumulation in the fuel filter and flamed out the motor during open-air free-flight. During the MALD IOT&E retest in August 2011 (following hardware, firmware, and software fixes), one of the vehicles experienced another (unrelated) malfunction after failing to complete the engine start sequence after aircraft release. An Air Force review board concluded the malfunction was likely a result of cold soak of the arming lanyard during long endurance flight. Cold soaking reduces the tensile strength of the wire.
- The August 2011 mission failure during the final event of the MALD IOT&E further validates the DOT&E assessment of poor vehicle material reliability. The testing failed to demonstrate the resolution of deficiencies when MALD is employed in an operationally-realistic manner.

#### Mk 48 Advanced Capability Mod 7 Common Broadband Advanced Sonar System (CBASS) Torpedo

In FY11, the Navy began operational testing of the Advanced Processor Build 4's (APB4) tactical software for the Mk 48 Advanced Capability (ADCAP) Mod 7 CBASS torpedo and Mk 48 ADCAP Mod 6 Advanced Common Torpedo (ACOT). OT&E is expected to continue through the end of FY12. From January to February 2011, the Navy conducted a Quick Reaction Assessment of the Mk 48 APB4 to evaluate the torpedo's capability against an emerging submarine threat.

- DOT&E assessed that testing to date indicates the Mk 48
   APB4 has a limited capability, under certain operational
   conditions, against the threat identified in the urgent
   operational need statement; however, the Navy did
   not have adequate threat surrogates for the evaluation.
   DOT&E's assessment also reported that the APB4 torpedo
   did not demonstrate expected improvements over the
   legacy torpedo, and may degrade current capability in
   certain warfare scenarios.
- assessed for operational realism and validity incrementally as the fleet training and test events are completed. Due to delays in completing the development of the Submarine Launched Countermeasure Emulator (SLACE) mobile countermeasure surrogate, some important operational testing to confirm performance has not begun. DOT&E assesses that Mk 48 APB4 performance against SLACE-like threats is high risk because the program office completed little in-water developmental testing.

#### Mk 54 Lightweight Torpedo

The Navy's Fifth Fleet issued an Urgent Operational Need Statement (UONS) in March 2010 requesting solutions to address an emerging submarine threat. The Navy identified the Mk 54 Block Upgrade (BUG) software as a solution. In August to September 2011, the fleet fired 22 Mk 54 BUG torpedoes against a Steel Diesel Electric Submarine surrogate target and against U.S. attack submarine targets. Based on preliminary results of this test, the Navy scheduled an additional phase of in-water trials in November 2011 and delayed the planned early fielding until January 2012.

- The Navy did not complete adequate in-water or model and simulation developmental testing of the Mk 54 BUG. As the program office shifted resources to demonstrate that the Mk 54 BUG has a capability against the UONS emerging submarine threat, testing focused on the UONS threat scenarios vice the operational scenarios for which the Mk 54 BUG was originally intended.
- The Navy developed an unmanned Steel Diesel Electric Submarine target. This Steel Diesel Electric Submarine target has different signature characteristics than the UONS emerging threat, thus this surrogate is of limited utility in assessing torpedo operational performance for the UONS. However, completing set-to-hit-terminal homing testing may address some unresolved test scenarios identified in the IOT&E. Mk 54 BUG performance in these previously unresolved test areas will affect the overall effectiveness and suitability of the torpedo against other submarine threats.
- Testing in structured scenarios and relatively benign environments indicates the Mk 54 BUG likely has a limited capability against the Steel Diesel Electric Submarine surrogate target. The Mk 54 BUG performance in other environmental areas and against operationally-realistic target scenarios is unresolved.

# MQ-1C Gray Eagle (formerly Extended Range Multi-Purpose (ERMP)) Unmanned Aircraft System (UAS)

Deployment of the Gray Eagle Quick Reaction Capability took place prior to completion of IOT&E and the full-rate production decision. The Army conducted a Limited User Test in conjunction with training for unit deployment to Afghanistan from May to June 2010.

- Gray Eagle did not meet reliability requirements for the ground station, the aircraft, and the electro-optical/infrared sensor payload. The poor aircraft reliability was largely due to ARC-231 radio subsystem failures.
- Remote video from Gray Eagle to the One System Remote Video Terminal was generally not available, not clear, and not reliable. Integration of Gray Eagle with a reliable remote video display system is not complete.
- Soldiers did not receive training on fundamentals of reconnaissance, mission planning, set-up and operation of radios, distribution of video, or optimal employment of Gray Eagle.
- Manning of the quick reaction capability unit is not adequate to sustain the required operational tempo of 22 flight hours per day.

#### MQ-9 Reaper Armed Unmanned Aircraft System (UAS)

Responding to urgent operational needs and incorporating associated emerging technologies has affected the MQ-9 UAS ability to meet program of record requirements within a predictable development timeline and stable test and fielding schedule in FY11.

- Deficiencies with fusing, aircraft integration, and cockpit integration identified during the ongoing GBU-38 Joint Direct Attack Munition (JDAM) evaluation indicate that the developmental testing of JDAM integration with the MQ-9 system was insufficient.
- The program faces systemic challenges in prioritizing and maturing software flight programs to meet development and fielding timelines for the Increment One program of record. The projected FOT&E for the final Increment One configuration slipped from FY13 to FY14, and the desired June 2011 Milestone C decision was deferred due to the program's inability to demonstrate sufficient system integration maturity in the FY11 development schedule. Until the program is able to better prioritize and control maturation and development of the Increment One program of record capabilities, future delays in operational testing and fielding of capabilities will continue to occur.

#### P-8A Poseidon

The P-8 integrated test team is conducting 10 to 14 integrated test flights per week.

- The P-8A currently has an operational flight envelope limit that precludes it from flying at a bank angle greater than 48 degrees when maneuvering. In order to fly operationally realistic tactics during anti-submarine warfare missions, the aircraft will have to fly maneuvers that require a bank angle of 53 degrees. The P-8A full flight envelope should be cleared for flight to conduct operationally-realistic missions and maneuvering flight profiles during the IOT&E.
- Priority 1 and 2 software problems that will affect IOT&E remain open. Although 92 percent of the priority 1 and 2 software problems have been closed, the current closure rate is not sufficient to have all the priority 1 and 2 software problems resolved by the start of IOT&E. Priority 1 software problems prevent a mission-essential capability from being performed. Priority 2 software problems affect mission-essential capabilities, and there is no acceptable workaround for these problems onboard the P-8A. There are 369 priority 1 and 2 software problems as of September 21, 2011. Software problems discovered during the later stages of the integrated testing may not be fixed in the software version that is currently planned for IOT&E, and may require additional software upgrades prior to starting IOT&E to ensure the software is production-representative.

# RQ-4B Global Hawk Block 30, High-Altitude, Long-Endurance Unmanned Aerial System

The Air Force conducted RQ-4B Global Hawk Block 30 IOT&E from October 2010 through January 2011. Operational testing for the next incremental Block 30 capability began in July 2011.

- When operating at near-continuous operational tempos, the system provided less than half the required 55 percent Effective-Time-On-Station coverage over a 30-day period.
- The system was not operationally suitable due to low air vehicle reliability, incomplete maintenance technical data, inadequate maintenance training, and ineffective integrated diagnostic systems.
- The Airborne Signals Intelligence Payload provided a limited operational utility, but did not consistently deliver actionable signal intelligence products to operational users, due to technical performance deficiencies and immature training, tactics, techniques, and procedures.
- The system did not meet joint interoperability certification and information assurance requirements.
- In August 2011, the Air Force halted follow-on operational testing due to a serious air vehicle command and control software deficiency. The RQ-4B Global Hawk Block 30 developmental test program previously identified this deficiency, but underestimated its impact during operational missions.

#### **Spider XM7 Network Command Munition**

The Army continued corrective actions to address Spider system and training deficiencies following the FOT&E conducted in May 2010. The Army conducted a Spider Limited User Test as part of the Army's Network Integration Evaluation at Fort Bliss, Texas, and White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico, in June 2011.

- Current software development to achieve requirements for munition control unit reliability and reuse are inadequate.
   Increased efforts are needed to achieve operational suitability.
- Further development focused on identifying ways to reduce the system's complexity and increase its ease of use by Soldiers is needed to achieve operational suitability.

# Surveillance Towed Array Sensor System (SURTASS) and Compact Low Frequency Active (CLFA)

The Navy completed an operational assessment of the SURTASS CLFA during FY11.

 The operational assessment identified some classified deficiencies with the CLFA detection algorithms and with some components' software and hardware reliability.

# Vertical Take-Off and Landing Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (VTUAV) (Fire Scout)

The program deployed two systems aboard Navy frigates USS *McInerney* in 2010 and USS *Halyburton* in 2011 to conduct Military Utility Assessments. In May 2011, the Navy deployed a land-based VTUAV system to Afghanistan in support of ongoing Army operations. Developmental testing was also conducted during 2011.

- The lack of ability to disseminate VTUAV near-real-time imagery off the host frigate limits VTUAV effectiveness.
   In the foreseeable future, this problem is a function of the shipboard infrastructure and the Navy's overall command and control system. While not required as part of the program of record, it is an area that the Navy should address to maximize the utility of the VTUAV and other Unmanned Aerial Systems.
- The focus on non-program of record activities between 2010 and 2011, such as the Military Utility Assessments and Afghanistan deployment, slowed developmental testing. The time spent training additional operators and maintainers, modifying air vehicles, integrating non-program of record payloads, and a requirement to provide spare parts to three operating locations, delayed the program's efforts to address deficiencies.
- Challenges with system reliability and the lack of a dependable communications relay capability continue to delay the IOT&E.

### Warfighter Information Network – Tactical (WIN-T) Increment 2

The Army conducted a combined WIN-T Increment 2 and Increment 1b Limited User Test at Fort Stewart, Georgia; Fort Lewis, Washington; and Fort Gordon, Georgia, in March 2009. DOT&E assessed the WIN-T Increment 2 as supportive of voice, video, and data communications. However, the network needs improvement in the following areas:

- · Reliability
- Ability to support on the move communications
- Training provided to Soldiers due to complexity of the system
- Speed of communication due to network routing
- · Network Operations Management
- Information Assurance