

**UNCLASSIFIED**

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2011 Army									DATE: February 2010		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)				R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603766A: Tactical Electronic Surveillance System - Adv Dev							
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Estimate	FY 2011 Base Estimate	FY 2011 OCO Estimate	FY 2011 Total Estimate	FY 2012 Estimate	FY 2013 Estimate	FY 2014 Estimate	FY 2015 Estimate	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	12.235	12.164	17.962	0.000	17.962	3.005	4.961	4.363	4.522	Continuing	Continuing
907: Tactical Exploitation of National Capabilities-MIP	12.235	12.164	17.962	0.000	17.962	3.005	4.961	4.363	4.522	Continuing	Continuing
A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification Execute the Congressionally-directed Tactical Exploitation of National Capabilities (TENCAP) program for the Army by leveraging multiple Intelligence Community (IC) National Agencies' technical development efforts for potential integration into Army tactical systems. TENCAP provides the technical expertise and support needed to protect Army equities in the IC and ensures that critical Army requirements are addressed in the development of: (1) new sensor capabilities; (2) data processing, exploitation, and dissemination architectures; and (3) Joint CONOPS. TENCAP also serves as the Army's centralized lead to evaluate, enhance, prototype, and transition new technologies/capabilities, developed in the Science & Technology (S&T) community, to Army tactical systems to include DCGS-A, Prophet, and WIN-T, and facilitates integration of these systems into a coherent enterprise solution that serves as a force multiplier for Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR), Battle Command, and Force Protection capabilities. TENCAP emerging technologies (1) ensure continued access to current National and Theater sensors and supporting ground architectures; and (2)exploit new opportunities that focus on improving the tasking, analysis, processing, exploitation and dissemination of the data collected. This includes efforts to: (1) shorten targeting timelines down to Platoon level; (2) enhance target identification; (3) provide better target location (accuracy); (4) provide continued coverage of a target; and (5) develop in -theater analytic tools to exploit data in near-real-time to support contingency operations.											

**UNCLASSIFIED**

R-1 Line Item #61

Page 1 of 9

168 of 1922

**UNCLASSIFIED**

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2011 Army				DATE: February 2010	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY		R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE			
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army		PE 0603766A: Tactical Electronic Surveillance System - Adv Dev			
BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)					
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)					
	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011 Base	FY 2011 OCO	FY 2011 Total
Previous President's Budget	12.235	18.228	18.057	0.000	18.057
Current President's Budget	12.235	12.164	17.962	0.000	17.962
Total Adjustments	0.000	-6.064	-0.095	0.000	-0.095
• Congressional General Reductions		-6.064			
• Congressional Directed Reductions		0.000			
• Congressional Rescissions	0.000	0.000			
• Congressional Adds		0.000			
• Congressional Directed Transfers		0.000			
• Reprogrammings	0.000	0.000			
• SBIR/STTR Transfer	0.000	0.000			
• Adjustments to Budget Years	0.000	0.000	-0.095	0.000	-0.095
Change Summary Explanation					
Change Summary Explanation: Funding - FY 2010: Funding decrease for unsubstantiated growth.					

**UNCLASSIFIED**

R-1 Line Item #61

Page 2 of 9

169 of 1922

**UNCLASSIFIED**

<b>Exhibit R-2A, RDT&amp;E Project Justification:</b> PB 2011 Army								<b>DATE:</b> February 2010			
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 2040: <i>Research, Development, Test &amp; Evaluation, Army</i> BA 4: <i>Advanced Component Development &amp; Prototypes (ACD&amp;P)</i>				<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0603766A: <i>Tactical Electronic Surveillance System - Adv Dev</i>				<b>PROJECT</b> 907: <i>Tactical Exploitation of National Capabilities-MIP</i>			
<b>COST (\$ in Millions)</b>	<b>FY 2009 Actual</b>	<b>FY 2010 Estimate</b>	<b>FY 2011 Base Estimate</b>	<b>FY 2011 OCO Estimate</b>	<b>FY 2011 Total Estimate</b>	<b>FY 2012 Estimate</b>	<b>FY 2013 Estimate</b>	<b>FY 2014 Estimate</b>	<b>FY 2015 Estimate</b>	<b>Cost To Complete</b>	<b>Total Cost</b>
907: <i>Tactical Exploitation of National Capabilities-MIP</i>	12.235	12.164	17.962	0.000	17.962	3.005	4.961	4.363	4.522	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											
<b>A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification</b> Execute the Congressionally-directed Tactical Exploitation of National Capabilities (TENCAP) program for the Army by leveraging multiple Intelligence Community (IC) National Agencies' technical development efforts for potential integration into Army tactical systems. TENCAP provides the technical expertise and support needed to protect Army equities in the IC and ensures that critical Army requirements are addressed in the development of: (1) new sensor capabilities; (2) data processing, exploitation, and dissemination architectures; and (3) Joint Concepts of Operations (CONOPS). TENCAP also serves as the Army's centralized lead to evaluate, enhance, prototype, and transition new technologies/capabilities, developed in the Science & Technology (S&T) community, to Army tactical systems to include Distributed Common Ground System - Army (DCGS-A), Prophet, and Warfigher Information Network - Tactical (WIN-T), and facilitates integration of these systems into a coherent enterprise solution that serves as a force multiplier for Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR), Battle Command, and Force Protection capabilities. TENCAP emerging technologies (1) ensure continued access to current National and Theater sensors and supporting ground architectures; and (2) exploit new opportunities that focus on improving the tasking, analysis, processing, exploitation and dissemination of the data collected. This includes efforts to: (1) shorten targeting timelines down to Platoon level; (2) enhance target identification; (3) provide better target location (accuracy); (4) provide continued coverage of a target; and (5) develop in -theater analytic tools to exploit data in near-real-time to support contingency operations. FY2011 Base amount of \$17.9 million RDTE funds (1) development activities that exploit and facilitate the integration of advancing Joint and National space/airborne sensor capabilities (multiple Intelligence disciplines) to ensure Army Tactical Commanders have access to and use of those assets, and (2) development of TENCAP General Officer Steering Group (TGOSG) validated and prioritized emerging technologies to meet or accelerate ISR collection, targeting, and situational awareness requirements for contingency operations.											
<b>B. Accomplishments/Planned Program (\$ in Millions)</b>											
						<b>FY 2009</b>	<b>FY 2010</b>	<b>FY 2011 Base</b>	<b>FY 2011 OCO</b>	<b>FY 2011 Total</b>	
Program #1						1.950	3.993	9.042	0.000	9.042	
Collaborate, develop and exploit emerging Multi-INT and space-based technologies to satisfy/accelerate ISR, Battle Command and Force Protection requirements											

**UNCLASSIFIED**

R-1 Line Item #61

Page 3 of 9

170 of 1922

**UNCLASSIFIED**

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2011 Army			DATE: February 2010		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603766A: Tactical Electronic Surveillance System - Adv Dev	PROJECT 907: Tactical Exploitation of National Capabilities-MIP			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Program (\$ in Millions)					
	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011 Base	FY 2011 OCO	FY 2011 Total
FY 2009 Accomplishments: FY 2009					
FY 2010 Plans: FY 2010					
FY 2011 Base Plans: FY 2011 Base					
FY 2011 OCO Plans: FY 2011 OCO					
Program #2  Develop simulations for TENCAP mission rehearsals, warfighter exercises, and CONOPS revision and development  FY 2009 Accomplishments: FY 2009  FY 2010 Plans: FY 2010  FY 2011 Base Plans: FY 2011 Base  FY 2011 OCO Plans: FY 2011 OCO	1.100	0.686	1.205	0.000	1.205
Program #3	6.700	4.650	3.850	0.000	3.850

**UNCLASSIFIED**

R-1 Line Item #61

Page 4 of 9

171 of 1922

**UNCLASSIFIED**

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2011 Army			DATE: February 2010			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603766A: Tactical Electronic Surveillance System - Adv Dev	PROJECT 907: Tactical Exploitation of National Capabilities-MIP				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Program (\$ in Millions)						
		FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011 Base	FY 2011 OCO	FY 2011 Total
Maintain a ready core competency of technical expertise to research and evaluate classified/unclassified commercial and government prototypes and emerging technologies  FY 2009 Accomplishments: FY 2009  FY 2010 Plans: FY 2010  FY 2011 Base Plans: FY 2011 Base  FY 2011 OCO Plans: FY 2011 OCO						
Program #4  Program Support  FY 2009 Accomplishments: FY 2009  FY 2010 Plans: FY 2010  FY 2011 Base Plans: FY 2011 Base  FY 2011 OCO Plans: FY 2011 OCO		2.485	2.835	3.865	0.000	3.865

**UNCLASSIFIED**

R-1 Line Item #61

Page 5 of 9

172 of 1922

**UNCLASSIFIED**

<b>Exhibit R-2A, RDT&amp;E Project Justification:</b> PB 2011 Army				<b>DATE:</b> February 2010				
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 2040: <i>Research, Development, Test &amp; Evaluation, Army</i> BA 4: <i>Advanced Component Development &amp; Prototypes (ACD&amp;P)</i>	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0603766A: <i>Tactical Electronic Surveillance System - Adv Dev</i>	<b>PROJECT</b> 907: <i>Tactical Exploitation of National Capabilities-MIP</i>						
<b><u>B. Accomplishments/Planned Program (\$ in Millions)</u></b>								
				<b>FY 2009</b>	<b>FY 2010</b>	<b>FY 2011 Base</b>	<b>FY 2011 OCO</b>	<b>FY 2011 Total</b>
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals				12.235	12.164	17.962	0.000	17.962
<b><u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u></b> N/A								
<b><u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u></b> The acquisition strategy for TENCAP begins with the TENCAP General Officer Steering Group (TGOSG), with representatives from the G2, G3, G6, G8 and ASAALT, who validate and prioritize proposed initiatives that address Army shortfalls or capability gaps. TENCAP coordinates with PEOs and Program Managers to facilitate transfer/integration of capabilities into Program of Record (POR) systems. Increase in funds from FY10 to FY11 is based upon the intent of the 2007 TENCAP Charter that directs TENCAP to serve as the Army's primary interface to National and Theater organizations and resources. The goal is to: (1) continue to leverage billions of dollars of investment in National and Theater sensor development and exploitation at minimal cost to the Army; (2) limit duplication of effort within Army programs; and (3) improve transition of S&T capabilities to Army Programs of Record (POR). In order to realize this goal, TENCAP needs to be funded at a consistent level to allow oversight of many S&T activities throughout the IC, at Battle Labs and institutions of higher learning and be familiar with system requirements from a multitude of Army programs. The FY11 funding level enables TENCAP to achieve the broader goal envisioned by the revised Charter and facilitate transition of the TENCAP General Officer Steering Group (TGOSG) prioritized critical capabilities that will significantly improve contingency operations in OEF.								
<b><u>E. Performance Metrics</u></b> Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.								

**UNCLASSIFIED**

R-1 Line Item #61

Page 6 of 9

173 of 1922

**UNCLASSIFIED**

<b>Exhibit R-3, RDT&amp;E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2011 Army</b>											<b>DATE:</b> February 2010																																																										
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 2040: <i>Research, Development, Test &amp; Evaluation, Army</i> BA 4: <i>Advanced Component Development &amp; Prototypes (ACD&amp;P)</i>				<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0603766A: <i>Tactical Electronic Surveillance System - Adv Dev</i>				<b>PROJECT</b> 907: <i>Tactical Exploitation of National Capabilities-MIP</i>																																																													
<b>Product Development (\$ in Millions)</b> <table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse; margin-top: 10px;"> <tr> <th colspan="4"></th> <th colspan="2">FY 2010</th> <th colspan="2">FY 2011 Base</th> <th colspan="2">FY 2011 OCO</th> <th>FY 2011 Total</th> <th colspan="3"></th> </tr> <tr> <th>Cost Category Item</th> <th>Contract Method &amp; Type</th> <th>Performing Activity &amp; Location</th> <th>Total Prior Years Cost</th> <th>Cost</th> <th>Award Date</th> <th>Cost</th> <th>Award Date</th> <th>Cost</th> <th>Award Date</th> <th>Cost</th> <th>Cost To Complete</th> <th>Total Cost</th> <th>Target Value of Contract</th> </tr> <tr> <td>Technology Insertion - Emerging Technologies</td> <td>SS/CPAF</td> <td>Multiple Location could not be determined.</td> <td align="right">94.258</td> <td align="right">3.993</td> <td></td> <td align="right">9.042</td> <td></td> <td align="right">0.000</td> <td></td> <td align="right">9.042</td> <td align="center">Continuing</td> <td align="center">Continuing</td> <td align="right">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="right" colspan="3"><b>Subtotal</b></td> <td align="right">94.258</td> <td align="right">3.993</td> <td></td> <td align="right">9.042</td> <td></td> <td align="right">0.000</td> <td></td> <td align="right">9.042</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td align="right">0.000</td> </tr> </table>																		FY 2010		FY 2011 Base		FY 2011 OCO		FY 2011 Total				Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract	Technology Insertion - Emerging Technologies	SS/CPAF	Multiple Location could not be determined.	94.258	3.993		9.042		0.000		9.042	Continuing	Continuing	0	<b>Subtotal</b>			94.258	3.993		9.042		0.000		9.042			0.000
				FY 2010		FY 2011 Base		FY 2011 OCO		FY 2011 Total																																																											
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract																																																								
Technology Insertion - Emerging Technologies	SS/CPAF	Multiple Location could not be determined.	94.258	3.993		9.042		0.000		9.042	Continuing	Continuing	0																																																								
<b>Subtotal</b>			94.258	3.993		9.042		0.000		9.042			0.000																																																								
<u>Remarks</u>																																																																					
<b>Support (\$ in Millions)</b> <table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse; margin-top: 10px;"> <tr> <th colspan="4"></th> <th colspan="2">FY 2010</th> <th colspan="2">FY 2011 Base</th> <th colspan="2">FY 2011 OCO</th> <th>FY 2011 Total</th> <th colspan="3"></th> </tr> <tr> <th>Cost Category Item</th> <th>Contract Method &amp; Type</th> <th>Performing Activity &amp; Location</th> <th>Total Prior Years Cost</th> <th>Cost</th> <th>Award Date</th> <th>Cost</th> <th>Award Date</th> <th>Cost</th> <th>Award Date</th> <th>Cost</th> <th>Cost To Complete</th> <th>Total Cost</th> <th>Target Value of Contract</th> </tr> <tr> <td>Program Management</td> <td>C</td> <td>ASPO Alexandria, VA</td> <td align="right">25.369</td> <td align="right">2.835</td> <td></td> <td align="right">3.865</td> <td></td> <td align="right">0.000</td> <td></td> <td align="right">3.865</td> <td align="center">Continuing</td> <td align="center">Continuing</td> <td align="right">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="right" colspan="3"><b>Subtotal</b></td> <td align="right">25.369</td> <td align="right">2.835</td> <td></td> <td align="right">3.865</td> <td></td> <td align="right">0.000</td> <td></td> <td align="right">3.865</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td align="right">0.000</td> </tr> </table>																		FY 2010		FY 2011 Base		FY 2011 OCO		FY 2011 Total				Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract	Program Management	C	ASPO Alexandria, VA	25.369	2.835		3.865		0.000		3.865	Continuing	Continuing	0	<b>Subtotal</b>			25.369	2.835		3.865		0.000		3.865			0.000
				FY 2010		FY 2011 Base		FY 2011 OCO		FY 2011 Total																																																											
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract																																																								
Program Management	C	ASPO Alexandria, VA	25.369	2.835		3.865		0.000		3.865	Continuing	Continuing	0																																																								
<b>Subtotal</b>			25.369	2.835		3.865		0.000		3.865			0.000																																																								
<u>Remarks</u>																																																																					

**UNCLASSIFIED**

R-1 Line Item #61

Page 7 of 9

174 of 1922

**UNCLASSIFIED**

<b>Exhibit R-3, RDT&amp;E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2011 Army</b>											<b>DATE:</b> February 2010		
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 2040: <i>Research, Development, Test &amp; Evaluation, Army</i> BA 4: <i>Advanced Component Development &amp; Prototypes (ACD&amp;P)</i>				<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0603766A: <i>Tactical Electronic Surveillance System - Adv Dev</i>				<b>PROJECT</b> 907: <i>Tactical Exploitation of National Capabilities-MIP</i>					
<b>Test and Evaluation (\$ in Millions)</b>													
				<b>FY 2010</b>		<b>FY 2011 Base</b>		<b>FY 2011 OCO</b>		<b>FY 2011 Total</b>			
<b>Cost Category Item</b>	<b>Contract Method &amp; Type</b>	<b>Performing Activity &amp; Location</b>	<b>Total Prior Years Cost</b>	<b>Cost</b>	<b>Award Date</b>	<b>Cost</b>	<b>Award Date</b>	<b>Cost</b>	<b>Award Date</b>	<b>Cost</b>	<b>Cost To Complete</b>	<b>Total Cost</b>	<b>Target Value of Contract</b>
Exercises and Architecture	SS/CPAF	Multiple Location could not be determined.	0.000	0.686		1.205		0.000		1.205	Continuing	Continuing	0
<b>Subtotal</b>			0.000	0.686		1.205		0.000		1.205			0.000
<b>Remarks</b>													
<b>Management Services (\$ in Millions)</b>													
				<b>FY 2010</b>		<b>FY 2011 Base</b>		<b>FY 2011 OCO</b>		<b>FY 2011 Total</b>			
<b>Cost Category Item</b>	<b>Contract Method &amp; Type</b>	<b>Performing Activity &amp; Location</b>	<b>Total Prior Years Cost</b>	<b>Cost</b>	<b>Award Date</b>	<b>Cost</b>	<b>Award Date</b>	<b>Cost</b>	<b>Award Date</b>	<b>Cost</b>	<b>Cost To Complete</b>	<b>Total Cost</b>	<b>Target Value of Contract</b>
Technology Insertion - Subject Matter Experts	C/CPAF	Northrup Grumman ASPO, Alexandria, VA	0.000	0.000		0.000		0.000		0.000	Continuing	Continuing	0
Intelligence Subject Matter Experts and Engineering Services	C/CPAF	TBD Location could not be determined.	0.000	3.750		2.950		0.000		2.950	Continuing	Continuing	0
Imagery Intelligence Engineering Services	C	Army Geospatial Center (AGC) Alexandria, VA	0.000	0.900		0.900		0.000		0.900	Continuing	Continuing	0
<b>Subtotal</b>			0.000	4.650		3.850		0.000		3.850			0.000
<b>Remarks</b>													

**UNCLASSIFIED**

R-1 Line Item #61

Page 8 of 9

175 of 1922



UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2011 Army									DATE: February 2010							
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)					R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603766A: Tactical Electronic Surveillance System - Adv Dev					PROJECT 907: Tactical Exploitation of National Capabilities- MIP						
						Total Prior Years Cost	FY 2010		FY 2011 Base		FY 2011 OCO		FY 2011 Total	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Project Cost Totals					119.627	12.164		17.962		0.000		17.962				0.000
Remarks																

UNCLASSIFIED