PE NUMBER: 0604855F

PE TITLE: Operationally Responsive Launch

	Alleman A. Address and a										
Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification										2006	
BUDGE	BUDGET ACTIVITY PE NUMBER AND TITLE										
04 Advanced Component Development and Prototypes (ACD&P) 0604855F Operationally Responsive La							sponsive La	unch			
Cost (\$ in Millions)		FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	Cost to	Total	
		Actual	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Complete		
	Total Program Element (PE) Cost	32.142	38.519	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	87.686	
A013	Small Launch Vehicle	32.142	38.519	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	87.686	

In FY 2007 this PE is being closed and the effort transferred to PE 0604857F, Operationally Responsive Space. The new PE recognizes the broader scope of not just responsive launchers, but also satellites and ranges, necessary for a responsive space system.

(U) A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The 2002 Operationally Responsive Spacelift (ORS) Mission Needs Statement (MNS) established the requirement for responsive, on-demand access to, through and from space. This requirement encompasses the spacelift missions of delivering payloads to, or from, mission orbit and changing the orbit of existing systems to better satisfy new mission requirements. It also requires on-demand, flexible, and cost effective operations.

In December 2002 the DepSecDef directed the Air Force and the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) to establish a joint program office to accelerate the Operationally Responsive Space (ORS) effort to meet portions of this requirement. This joint technology development program has been named Falcon and is focused on the development and transition of more mature technologies into a future weapon system capable of delivering and deploying conventional payloads worldwide from and through space such as Joint Warfighting Space satellites. Concept development, risk reduction and technology maturation are the key elements in the ORS program; and demonstrations, modeling and simulations are the critical tools. Although Falcon is a joint program, the Air Force is funding the ORS portion; DARPA is sharing the Hypersonic Technology Vehicle costs with the Air Force.

In July 2004 the Air Force Requirements for Operational Capabilities Council (AFROCC) reviewed the ORS Analysis of Alternatives (AoA), and approved the following recommendations: (1.) Leverage lessons learned from AF-DARPA Falcon demo (2.) Conduct Architecture Studies -- Responsive spacecraft: size and functions study, -- Integration and technology needs (3.) Pursue a Hybrid (part reusable, part expendable) launch vehicle: spiral development approach, Step one: Small scale hybrid integration demonstrator, Step two: Full scale operational hybrid demonstrator, Step three: Vehicle production /operations. The AoA evolutionary approach begins with a starting point Hybrid Demonstrator to reduce risk and uncertainties.

In FY 2006 Congress added funds to conduct operational, technical, and economic analysis of Near Space vehicle design, development, and operational architectures. Near Space provides a persistent, responsive and dedicated capability to perform reconnaissance, communications, electronic warfare, and other missions.

This program is Budget Activity 4, Advanced Component Development and Prototypes (ACDP), because it involves evaluating integrated technologies in as realistic an operating environment as possible to assess the performance or cost reduction potential of advanced technology.

R-1 Shopping List - Item No. 59-1 of 59-8

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Ite	DATE Februa	DATE February 2006		
UDGET ACTIVITY 4 Advanced Component Development and Prototypes (ACD&P)	PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0604855F Operationally Responsive Laur	nch	·	
J) B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)				
	<u>FY 2005</u>	FY 2006	FY 2007	
J) Previous President's Budget	33.068	23.480	35.504	
J) Current PBR/President's Budget	32.142	38.519	0.000	
J) Total Adjustments	-0.926	15.039		
J) Congressional Program Reductions		-0.004		
Congressional Rescissions	-0.025	-0.557		
Congressional Increases		15.600		
Reprogrammings				
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.901			
J) <u>Significant Program Changes:</u>				
FY06: Congressional increases of +\$7.8M for TacSat launch, +\$5.7M for Ta	cSat demos, and +\$2.1M for Near Space analysis.			
FY07: This PE is being closed and funding transfered to PE 0604857F, Opera	tionally Responsive Space.			

R-1 Shopping List - Item No. 59-2 of 59-8

Exhibit R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification										DATE February 2006		
04 Advanced Component Development and Prototypes (ACD&P)								OJECT NUMBER AND TITLE 13 Small Launch Vehicle				
	Cost (\$ in Millions)	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2006 Estimate	FY 2007 Estimate	FY 2008 Estimate	FY 2009 Estimate	FY 2010 Estimate	FY 2011 Estimate	Cost to Complete	Total		
A013	Small Launch Vehicle	32.142	38.519	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	87.686		
	Quantity of RDT&E Articles	0	0	C	0	0	0	C				

(U) A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The 2002 Operationally Responsive Spacelift (ORS) Mission Needs Statement (MNS) established the requirement for responsive, on-demand access to, through and from space. This requirement encompasses the spacelift missions of delivering payloads to, or from, mission orbit and changing the orbit of existing systems to better satisfy new mission requirements. It also requires on-demand, flexible, and cost effective operations.

In December 2002 the DepSecDef directed the Air Force and the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) to establish a joint program office to accelerate the Operationally Responsive Space (ORS) effort to meet portions of this requirement. This joint technology development program has been named Falcon and is focused on the development and transition of more mature technologies into a future weapon system capable of delivering and deploying conventional payloads worldwide from and through space such as Joint Warfighting Space satellites. Concept development, risk reduction and technology maturation are the key elements in the ORS program; and demonstrations, modeling and simulations are the critical tools. Although Falcon is a joint program, the Air Force is funding the ORS portion; DARPA is sharing the Hypersonic Technology Vehicle costs with the Air Force.

In July 2004 the Air Force Requirements for Operational Capabilities Council (AFROCC) reviewed the ORS Analysis of Alternatives (AoA), and approved the following recommendations: (1.) Leverage lessons learned from AF-DARPA Falcon demo (2.) Conduct Architecture Studies -- Responsive spacecraft: size and functions study, -- Integration and technology needs (3.) Pursue a Hybrid (part reusable, part expendable) launch vehicle: spiral development approach, Step one: Small scale hybrid integration demonstrator, Step two: Full scale operational hybrid demonstrator, Step three: Vehicle production /operations. The AoA evolutionary approach begins with a starting point Hybrid Demonstrator to reduce risk and uncertainties.

In FY 2006 Congress added funds to conduct operational, technical, and economic analysis of Near Space vehicle design, development, and operational architectures. Near Space provides a persistent, responsive and dedicated capability to perform reconnaissance, communications, electronic warfare, and other missions.

This program is Budget Activity 4, Advanced Component Development and Prototypes (ACDP), because it involves evaluating integrated technologies in as realistic an operating environment as possible to assess the performance or cost reduction potential of advanced technology.

	(U) B. Accomplishments/Planned Program (\$ in Milli	ions)	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007
((U) Continued SLV system design and development, sys	stems engineering and flight test planning for Phase II	22.196	17.300	
((U) Supported early demonstration flights and launch/tes	st facilities evaluation and improvement	5.210	3.139	
((U) Performed analysis, costing and assess utility for open	erationally responsive space concepts/requirements and Program	2.068	2.480	
ı	Management support				
((U) Blue MAJIC		1.778		
((U) Advanced Rocket Components		0.890		
ı	Project A013	R-1 Shopping List - Item No. 59-3 of 59-8		Exhibit R-2a	(PE 0604855F)

Exhibit R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification									DATE February 2006		
BUDGET ACTIVITY 04 Advanced Component Development and Prototypes (ACD&P)				PE NUMBER A 0604855F O Launch	ND TITLE perationally R		ROJECT NUMBER AND TITLE 013 Small Launch Vehicle				
(U) (U) (U) (U) (U)	B. Accomplishments/Planned Pro TacSat Launch Tactical Satellite Demonstrations Near Space analysis and program de Total Cost		lions)					<u>Y 2005</u> 32.142	FY 2006 7.800 5.700 2.100 38.519	FY 2007 0.000	
(U)	C. Other Program Funding Summ	nary (\$ in Millio FY 2005 Actual	ons) FY 2006 Estimate	FY 2007 Estimate	FY 2008 Estimate	FY 2009 Estimate	FY 2010 Estimate	FY 2011 Estimate	Cost to Complete	Total Cost	
(U)	AF RDT&E, PE 0604857F, ORS (R-xx)			35.625	41.663	75.720	77.064	78.122	Continuing	TBD	
(U)	AF RDT&E, PE 0604856F, CAV (R-xx)	16.053	26.993						Continuing	TBD	
(U)	Defensewide RDT&E, DARPA, PE 0603285E, Falcon (R-xx)	12.500	40.000						Continuing	TBD	
(U)	NASA funding provided to support multiple contractors	2.000								2.350	

(U) D. Acquisition Strategy

Efforts will be executed by the joint AF/DARPA Falcon Program Office. Nine Phase I contracts were awarded in November 2003, Firm Fixed Price (FFP) with a duration of 6 months. An open competition was held for Phase II contracts in August 2004, resulting in four awards in September 2004 using an Other Transactions contract vehicle. At the completion of Phase II, a third phase will be considered to conduct additional developmental flight testing.

Project A013 R-1 Shopping List - Item No. 59-4 of 59-8

Exhibit R-2a (PE 0604855F)

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis								D	February 2006			
i i									NUMBER ANI all Launc h			
(U) Cost Categories (Tailor to WBS, or System/Item Requirements) (\$ in Millions)	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior to FY 2005 Cost	FY 2005 Cost	FY 2005 Award Date	FY 2006 Cost	FY 2006 Award Date	FY 2007 Cost	FY 2007 Award Date	Cost to Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
(U) Product Development Nine Phase I contractors Phase II contractors: Air Launch	FFP OTA	various Reno, NV	3.490 4.140	2.000 5.573	Sep-05 Oct-04	17.300	Oct-05			Continuing Continuing	3.490 TBD TBD	3.490 TBD TBD
Lockheed Martin	OTA	New Orleans, LA		6.083	Oct-04	17.500	300 03			Continuing	TBD	TBD
Microcosm Space-X TBD Phase III contractors Hybrid Design and Development	OTA OTA TBD TBD TBD	El Segundo El Segundo TBD TBD TBD	4.000	4.540 4.000	Oct-04 Oct-04	2 100	May-06			Continuing Continuing Continuing	TBD TBD TBD 0.000 2.100	TBD TBD TBD
Near Space analysis and program development Subtotal Product Development Remarks:	IDD	ТВО	11.630	22.196		19.400	May-06	0.000		Continuing	TBD	TBD
(U) Test & Evaluation Test Stand 2A Modification	MIPR	Edwards AFB, CA		3.804	Jan-05						3.804	3.804
Range Services	MIPR	Army-Huntsvil le, AL		1.406	Mar-05					Continuing	TBD	TBD
Flight Demo Support SLC-3W Modification	MIPR MIPR	various Naval Research	6.254 1.700			3.139	Oct-05			Continuing	TBD 1.700	TBD 1.700
Blue MAJIC	CPFF	Lab/Wash DC Sparta, Lake Forest CA		1.778	Mar-05						1.778	2.000
Advanced Rocket Components	SBIR	Rocket Prop. Eng., Mojave CA		0.890	Jan-06						0.890	1.000
TacSat Launch	TBD	SMC Det 12/RP/Kirtland AFB NM				7.800	May-06				7.800	
TacSat Demonstrations	TBD	SMC Det 12/RP/Kirtland AFB NM				5.700	May-06				5.700	
Subtotal Test & Evaluation Remarks: (U) Development Support and Management		···· ·	7.954	7.878		16.639		0.000		Continuing	TBD	TBD
Perform analysis and assess alternative concepts/requirements & program support	various	various	1.960	2.068	Oct-04	2.480	Oct-05			Continuing	TBD	TBD
Subtotal Development Support and Management			1.960	2.068	- 50 5 -4 50	2.480		0.000		Continuing	TBD	TBD
Project A013			R-1 Shopping Li	st - Item No	o. 59-5 of 59	-გ				⊨xh	ibit R-3 (PE (JbU4855F)

Exhibit R-3	DATE Febru	DATE February 2006					
BUDGET ACTIVITY 04 Advanced Component Development and Protot	types (ACD&P)	PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0604855F Operationally Responsive Launch			JECT NUMBER AND	ECT NUMBER AND TITLE Small Launch Vehicle	
Remarks: (U) Total Cost	21.544	32.142	38.519	0.000	Continuing	TBD	TBD
Project A013	R-1 Shopping List	- Item No. 59-6 of	59-8		Exhibi	t R-3 (PE 0604	1855F)

UNCLASSIFIED DATE Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile February 2006 BUDGET ACTIVITY PE NUMBER AND TITLE PROJECT NUMBER AND TITLE 04 Advanced Component Development and Prototypes (ACD&P) 0604855F Operationally Responsive A013 Small Launch Vehicle Launch ORS Schedule U.S. AIR FORCE FY05 FY06 FY08 **FY09 FY10 FY11** FY07 Phase 3 Phase 2 Go/No-Go Contract Small Launch Vehicle (SLV) Award Demonstration Design & Development Flight Demos On Ramp to RSS (funded by ORL PE 64855F, PDR CDR through FY06, ORS PE Plt Demos 🗸 64857F FY07 and beyond) Flt Demo FIt Demo Hybrid Launch Vehicle Program Research & **Development Announcement** Concept (Studies & Analysis) PDR CDR Small Scale Hybrid Launch Vehicle Flt Demos Design & Development Demonstrator (ARES Program) TacSat performance, utility, and costing analyses **TacSat Demonstration** Launches (Note: only TacSat-1 TacSat4 TacSat-2 TacSat-3 partially funded in this PE) AoA: Analysis of Alternatives CDR: Critical Design Review PDR: Preliminary Design Review RSS: Responsive Small Spacelift Concept activities Design / development Integration / test Operations / sustainment ∧ Key events Production / fielding

Exhibit R-4 (PE 0604855F)

FY07 Staffer Brief

Project A013

Exhibit R-4a, RDT&E Sch	DATE Febr i	DATE February 2006		
BUDGET ACTIVITY 04 Advanced Component Development and Prototypes (ACD&P)	PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0604855F Operationally Responsive Launch	PROJECT NUMBER AND A013 Small Launch	TITLE	
(U) Schedule Profile (U) Phase II Preliminary Design Review (U) Phase II Critical Design Review (U) TacSat-1 Launch	FY 2005 3Q	FY 2006 2Q 4Q 4Q	FY 2007	
Project A013 R-1 Shoppin	ng List - Item No. 59-8 of 59-8	Exhibit	R-4a (PE 0604855F)	