ARMY RDT&E BUDG	ET ITEM JUST	TIFICATION	ON (R2 Ex	khibit)		Februar	ry 2006
BUDGET ACTIVITY 6 - Management support		PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0604256A - THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT				ргојест <b>976</b>	
COST (In Thousands)	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Estimate	FY 2007 Estimate	FY 2008 Estimate	FY 2009 Estimate	FY 2010 Estimate	FY 2011 Estimate
976 ARMY THREAT SIM (ATS)	32292	28878	21180	22162	21730	22469	17238

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification: This program supports the design, development, acquisition, integration and fielding of realistic mobile threat simulators and realistic threat simulation products utilized in Army training and developmental and operational tests. While this project originally funded simulators representing Soviet equipment, the changing world order has expanded the scope of this program to address other world threats. Army Threat Simulator and Threat Simulation products are utilized to populate test battlefields for U.S. Army Test and Evaluation Command (ATEC), to conduct developmental and operational tests, and to support Program Executive Office (PEO) required user testing in System Integration Laboratories and hardware/simulation in-the-loop facilities. Army threat simulator and threat simulation products developed or fielded under this program support Army-wide, non-system specific threat product requirements. Each capability is pursued in concert and coordination with existing Army and tri-service capabilities to eliminate duplication of products and services, while providing the proper mix of resources needed to support Army testing and training. These battlefield simulators represent systems (e.g. missile systems, command, control and communications systems, electronic warfare systems, helicopters, etc.) that are used to portray a realistic threat environment during testing of U.S. weapon systems. Simulator development is responsive to Office of the Secretary of Defense and General Accounting Office guidance for the Army to conduct operational testing in a realistic threat environment. Actual threat equipment is acquired when appropriate (in lieu of development) and total package fielding is still required (i.e., instrumentation, operations and maintenance, manuals, new equipment training, etc.). Threat simulator development is accomplished under the auspices of the Project Manager for Instrumentation, Targets and Threat Simulators (PM ITTS) and the Director, Operati

<u>FY 2005</u>	<u>FY 2006</u>	FY 2007
7692	6806	6048
4500	2800	451
1478	3036	2526
400	0	0
991	1393	2118
5877	6270	6427
1697	1965	2428
6800	1000	0
1057	1108	1182
1800	0	0
0	1400	0
0	2100	0
	7692 4500 1478 400 991 5877 1697 6800 1057	7692 6806 4500 2800 1478 3036 400 0 991 1393 5877 6270 1697 1965 6800 1000 1057 1108 1800 0 0 1400

0604256A ARMY THREAT SIM (ATS) Item No. 128 Page 1 of 3

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION (R2 Exhibit)				February 2006		
BUDGET ACTIVITY 6 - Management support	PE NUMBER AND TITLE					
Develop a Web Assured Response Protocol (WARP).	,	0	1000			
Гotal		32292	28878	2118		

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION (R2 Exhibit)				hibit)	February 2006  PROJECT	
BUDGET ACTIVITY	PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0604256A - THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT					
6 - Management support					976	
	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007			
B. Program Change Summary						
Previous President's Budget (FY 2006)	29694	23796	21151			
Current BES/President's Budget (FY 2007)	32292	28878	21180			
Total Adjustments	2598	5082	29			
Congressional Program Reductions		-127				
Congressional Rescissions		-291				
Congressional Increases		5500				
Reprogrammings	2598					
SBIR/STTR Transfer						
Adjustments to Budget Years			29			

FY2006 - Congressional Plus-Up (\$5,500) - Townsend Electronic Combat Training Range (\$1,400); Operating Center - West (\$2,100); distributed RF/SAM (\$1,000); and Web Assured Response Protocol (WARP)(\$1,000).