	ARMY RDT&E BUDGET IT	TEM JU	STIFIC	ATION	(R2 Exl	nibit)		I	February 2	006
	ET ACTIVITY vanced Component Development and P		PE NUMBER A 0603790A •		esearch and	d Developr	nent		PRO 691	JECT
	COST (In Thousands)	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Estimate	FY 2007 Estimate	FY 2008 Estimate	FY 2009 Estimate	FY 2010 Estimate	FY 2011 Estimate	Cost to Complete	Total Cost
691	NATO RSCH & DEVEL	4598	4832	4946	5136	5235	5330	5428	0	42674

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification: This program implements the provisions of Title 10 U.S. Code, Section 2350a, Cooperative Research and Development (R&D) Projects: Allied Countries. The objective is to improve, through the application of emerging technologies, the conventional defense capabilities of the United States and our cooperative partners, including the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO), U.S. major non-NATO allies and Friendly Foreign countries. Through technology sharing and joint equipment development these projects help reduce U.S. acquisition costs and leverage important technologies for the Army Transformation and the development of the Future Combat system. Cooperative efforts also improve multinational force compatibility with potential coalition partners through the development and use of similar equipment and improved interfaces. The program focuses specifically on international cooperative technology demonstration, validation, and interoperability of Army weapon and command, control, communications and information (C3I) systems. Projects are implemented through international agreements with foreign partners that define scope, cost and work sharing arrangements, management, contracting, security, data protection and third party transfers. Funds are used to pay for only the U.S. work share that occurs in the United States at U.S. Government and U.S. contractors' facilities.

Accomplishments/Planned Program	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007
Multilateral Interoperability Program (MIP) (Partners: Germany, France, United Kingdom, Canada, Italy): Continued integration work from the Command and Control Systems Interoperability Program (C2SIP) into an Advanced Concept Technology Demonstration (ACTD) to achieve NATO levels four (messaging) and five (database) interoperability and also extend the effort into a sustainable program to incorporate lessons learned into national systems.	450	600	640
International Agreement Tracking System (IATS)/International Online (IO) Development and Implementation, NATO/International Cooperative R&D Policy Development, and Report to Congress Pursuant to 10 USC 2350a, prepare and provide to USD(A&T) the Army section of the Report to Congress on the International Cooperative Research and Development Program.	740	802	808
Low Level Air Defense Interoperability (LLAPI) (Partners: Major NATO Allies): The objective of this program is to successfully demonstrate Command and Control (C2) interoperability among the participant nations' Short Range Air Defense (SHORAD) assets for automated air picture exchange.	200	200	200
Network Enabled Shared Awareness (NESA) (Potential Partners: United Kingdom, France, Italy, Sweden, Spain and Germany) NESA would develop concepts, methods and standards that will make better use of existing information; share data in an interoperable environment; leverage national operational picture capabilities; and enable progressive development of interoperability of data, databases, applications and systems networks. NESA will show that information sharing can be accomplished through developing an architecture and interoperability framework (horizontal and vertical) needed to meet coalition operations and by rapid prototyping and demonstrations of Net-Centric warfighter services. This project would produce a National Operational Concepts (OPCON) and CONOPS (BDE and below). The end result would be integration of national C2 and Net-Centric Systems of Record (SORs)into an NCES environment. Included would be a report on NESA military operational utility. This would provide architectures and seed funding to SORs to accelerate migration. Benefits would include lessons learned on NESA implications for coalition operations.	460	500	506
Combat Identification (Partners: UK, Germany, France and Italy): Combat ID will pursue the extension of tasks required for implementing the associated NATO Standardization Agreement (STANAG 4579), allied participation in Coalition Combat ID Advanced	100	100	100

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION (R2 I	Exhibit)	February 2006				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Advanced Component Development and Prototypes PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0603790A - NATO Research	and Development	PROJECT 691				
Concept Technology Demonstrator (ACTD), will pursue the NATO Staff Requirement and a STANAG for the Dismount	nted Soldier ID.					
Simulation and Command and Control (C2) Information System Connectivity Experimentation (SINCE) (Partner: Germ define and demonstrate a generic solution for interfacing and networking Brigade/Battalion (BDE/BN) Command and C Systems (C2IS) and applicable Modeling and Simulation (M&S) systems as required to support Coalition Force Collaboration (Management Experimentation.	Control Information	0	0			
Senior National Representatives (Army) (SNR-(A)) Projects (Partners: France, Germany, United Kingdom and Italy): S harmonization of programs at various levels: exchanging information, identifying knowledge gaps and conducting feasil further promote cooperative development; standardizing, fielding and roadmapping various processes; distributing the w the different nations. The Military Operation in Urban Terrain (MOUT) study will benefit the Five Power nations as the requirements and materiel solutions for Multinational forces in and around urban terrain. The Sturctured Technology Deby the U.S. reps to Land Group 6, NATO Army Armaments Group (NAAG), will provide and opportunity to observe an current and future capability of participaing NATO nations with a view to assisting future operational and materiel inter-	bility studies to workload among y identify emo (STD) hosted and demonstrate the	1100	1100			
Technology Research and Development Projects (TRDP) (Partners: United Kingdom, Germany, France, Canada, Austra Korea, Norway): The scope of this MOU encompasses R&D collaboration on basic, exploratory and advanced Land Wa and Technologies that are focused on Future Combat System enabling technologies, the maturation of which may lead to of technologically superior conventional weapon systems.	arfare Concepts	930	952			
Artillery Command and Control Interoperability (ASCA) (Partners: France, Germany, Italy, UK): The Participants in the develop an automated software interface between their national field artillery command and control systems. The nation receive and provide mutual fire support (i.e. cannon and rocket fire) in combined operations more rapidly and with mini	ns will be able to	300	320			
Joint Tactical Radio System (JTRS) (Partners: Japan, Sweden, UK): The participants in these programs will develop an Software-enabled radios as replacements to current radio systems. The projects shall be focused on maintaining interop countries pursue their own separate software radio programs. The project agreements (PAs) will include a joint develop radio specifications, separate development and testing of software waveforms, and joint interoperability testing using the developed as part of the agreements.	erability as the ment of software	300	320			
Total	4598	4832	4946			

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEN	February 2006				
BUDGET ACTIVITY	PE NUMBE	R AND TITLE			PROJECT
4 - Advanced Component Development and Protot	ypes 0603790 <i>A</i>	A - NATO R	Research ar	nd Development	691
	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007		
B. Program Change Summary					
Previous President's Budget (FY 2006)	4600	4902	4994		
Current BES/President's Budget (FY 2007)	4598	4832	4946		
Total Adjustments	-2	-70	-48		
Congressional Program Reductions		-21			
Congressional Rescissions	-2	-49			
Congressional Increases					
Reprogrammings					
SBIR/STTR Transfer					
Adjustments to Budget Years			-48		

D. Acquisition Strategy All projects are test or technical demonstrations to feed into potential new requirements in support of Army Transformation to the Future Force or as product improvements to the Current Force.

ARMY RDT&	(R3)						February 2006					
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Advanced Component Development and Prototypes				ER AND TI		rch and	nent			PROJE6 691	CT	
I. Product Development	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2005 Cost	FY 2005 Award Date	FY 2006 Cost	FY 2006 Award Date	FY 2007 Cost	FY 2007 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Multilateral Interoperability Program (MIP)	CPFF	C3S, CSC Fort Washington, PA	861	100	1Q	150	1Q	150	1Q	0	1261	0
International Agreement Tracking System (IATS) - Software Development	CPFF	JIL Information Systems Vienna, VA	1311	520	2Q	552	2Q	560	2Q	0	2943	0
Low Level Air Defense Interoperability (LLAPI)	MIPR	AMCOM, Redstone Ars, AL	437	115	1Q	115	1Q	115	1Q	0	782	0
Shared Tactical Ground Picture (STGP)/Single Integrated Ground Picture (SIGP)	MIPR	CECOM, Ft. Monmouth, VA	69	346	2Q	346	2Q	346	2Q	0	1107	0
Combat Identification	MIPR	CECOM, Ft. Monmouth, VA	787	25	1Q	25	1Q	25	1Q	0	862	0
Simulation & C2 Information System Connectivity Experimentation (SINCE) - C2 Systems	MIPR	CECOM, Ft. Monmouth, VA	1417	140	1Q	0	1Q	0		0	1557	0
Senior National Representatives (Army) (SNR[A])	TBD	TBD	2997	690	2Q	761	2Q	761	2Q	0	0	0
TRDP	TBD	TBD	0	312	2Q	300	2Q	300	2Q	0	0	0
Artillery Command and Control Interoperability (ASCA)	MIPR	CECOM, Ft. Monmouth, NJ	344	208	1Q	208	1Q	208	1Q	0	968	0
Joint Tactical Radio System (JTRS)	MIPR	PM JTRS, Rosslyn, VA	50	100	1Q	150	1Q	150	1Q	0	450	0
Subtota	al:		8273	2556		2607		2615		0	9930	0
II. Support Costs	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2005 Cost	FY 2005 Award Date	FY 2006 Cost	FY 2006 Award Date	FY 2007 Cost	FY 2007 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
MIP	MIPR	CECOM Ft. Monmouth,	208	100	1Q	150	1Q	190	1Q	0	648	0

0603790A NATO RSCH & DEVEL Item No. 68 Page 4 of 7 138 Exhibit R-3 ARMY RDT&E COST ANALYSIS

4 - Advanced Component Development and Prototypes 0603790A - NATO Research and Development 691		y 2006	Februar							(R3)	ARMY RDT&E COST ANALYSIS					
Low Level Air Defense MiPR AMCOM, Redstone 244 41 1Q 41 1Q 41 1Q 0 36	ECT	PROJECT 691				Developr	rch and l									
Interoperability (LLAPI)	7 0	667	0	1Q	125	1Q	126	1Q	116	300		MIPR	IATS			
CSTGP)Single Integrated Ground Monmouth, VA Substitute (SIGP) Combat Identification MIPR CECOM Ft. Monmouth, NJ MIPR CECOM Ft. Monmouth, NJ System Connectivity Experimentation (SINCE) SNR(A) MIPR TBD G42 154 1Q 169 1Q 169 1Q 0 113 1Q 0 113 1Q 169 1Q 0 113 104 105 104 105 104 105	7 0	367	0	1Q	41	1Q	41	1Q	41	244	*	MIPR				
NJ Simulation and C2 Information System Connectivity Experimentation (SINCE) NJ NJ NJ NJ NJ NJ NJ N	2 0	252	0	1-3Q	83	1Q	77	1Q	77	15		MIPR	(STGP)/Single Integrated Ground			
System Connectivity Experimentation (SINCE) SNR(A) MIPR TBD G42 154 1Q 169 1Q 169 1Q 0 113	4 0	514	0	1Q	25	1Q	25	1Q	25	439	,	MIPR	Combat Identification			
TRDP	4 0	484	0		0	1Q	0	1Q	100	384	,	MIPR	System Connectivity			
Artillery Command and Control Interoperability (ASCA) MIPR CECOM Ft. Monmouth, NJ Add 1Q 46 1Q 66 1Q 0 23	4 0	1134	0	1Q	169	1Q	169	1Q	154	642	TBD	MIPR	SNR(A)			
Interoperability (ASCA)	0 0	0	0	1Q	309	1Q	300	1Q	313	0	TBD	MIPR	TRDP			
Subtotal: 2330 1022 1009 1103 0 454	1 0	231	0	1Q	66	1Q	46	1Q	46	73	,	MIPR				
III. Test And Evaluation	5 0	245	0	1Q	95	1Q	75	1Q	50	25	PM JTRS, Rosslyn, VA	MIPR	Joint Tactical Radio System (JTRS)			
Method & Location PYs Cost Cost Award Date Cost Award Date Cost Award Date Complete	2 0	4542	0		1103		1009		1022	2330		al:	Subtota			
Method & Type	Tongs:	Total	Cost To	EV 2007	EV 2007	EV 2006	EV 2006	EV 2005	EV 2005	Total	Doufourning Activity &	Contract	HI Tost And Evolvation			
IATS MIPR RDECOM, Ft. Belvoir, VA 201 77 1Q 84 1Q 83 1Q 0 44 VA Low Level Air Defense Interoperability (LLAPI) MIPR AMCOM, Redstone Ars, AL 99 13 1Q 13 1Q 13 1Q 0 13 IQ 0 13 IQ 0 13 IQ 10 IS INTEROPERABILITY IN THE PROVING Ground, NJ 10 10 10 10 INTEROPERABILITY IN THE PROVING GROUND, NJ 10 INTEROPERABILITY IN THE PROVING GROUND, NJ INTEROPERABILITY IN THE PROVING G				Award		Award		Award				Method &	III. Test And Evaluation			
Low Level Air Defense Interoperability (LLAPI) Shared Tactical Ground Picture (STGP)/Single Integrated Ground Picture (SIGP) WA AMCOM, Redstone 99 13 1Q 13 1Q 13 1Q 0 13 Ars, AL Shared Tactical Ground Picture (STGP)/Single Integrated Ground Proving Ground, NJ	7 0	547	0	1Q	150	1Q	150	1Q	100	147		MIPR	MIP			
Interoperability (LLAPI) Shared Tactical Ground Picture (STGP)/Single Integrated Ground Picture (SIGP) Ars, AL AMSAA, Aberdeen 10 20 1Q 52 1Q 52 1Q 0 13 13 13 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	5 0	445	0	1Q	83	1Q	84	1Q	77	201		MIPR	IATS			
(STGP)/Single Integrated Ground Proving Ground, NJ Picture (SIGP)	8 0	138	0	1Q	13	1Q	13	1Q	13	99	*	MIPR				
Combat Identification MIPR CECOM Ft Monmouth, 419 25 25 10 25 10 0 49	4 0	134	0	1Q	52	1Q	52	1Q	20	10		MIPR	(STGP)/Single Integrated Ground			
	4 0	494	0	1Q	25	1Q	25		25	419	CECOM Ft Monmouth,	MIPR	Combat Identification			

0603790A NATO RSCH & DEVEL Item No. 68 Page 5 of 7 139 Exhibit R-3 ARMY RDT&E COST ANALYSIS

ARMY RDT&	E COST	Γ ANALYSIS	(R3)					February 2006				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Advanced Component Development and Prototypes				ER AND TI' A - NAT			PROJE 691					
		NJ										
Simulation and C2 Information System Connectivity Experimentation (SINCE)	MIPR	CECOM Ft Monmouth, NJ	291	100	1Q	0		0		0	391	0
SNR(A)	MIPR	TBD	405	103	1Q	113	1Q	113	1-2Q	0	734	0
TRDP	MIPR	TBD	0	0		0		0		0	0	0
ASCA	MIPR	CECOM Ft Monmouth, NJ	50	31	1Q	31	1Q	31	1Q	0	143	0
Joint Tactical Radio System (JTRS)	MIPR	CECOM Ft Monmouth, NJ	12	10	1Q	38	1Q	38	1Q	0	98	0
Subtotal:			1634	479		506		505		0	3124	0
IV. Management Services	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2005 Cost	FY 2005 Award Date	FY 2006 Cost	FY 2006 Award Date	FY 2007 Cost	FY 2007 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
, and the second				Cost						Complete		Value of Contract
MIP	MIPR	PEO C3S, Ft. Monmouth, NJ	86	100	1Q	150	1Q	150	1Q	0	486	0
IATS	MIPR	RDECOM, Ft. Belvoir, VA	98	37	1Q	41	1Q	41	1Q	0	217	0
Low Level Air Defense Interoperability (LLAPI)	MIPR	AMCOM, Redstone, Ars, AL	143	31	1Q	31	1Q	31	1Q	0	236	0
Shared Tactical Ground Picture (STGP)/Single Integrated Ground Picture (SIGP)	MIPR	CECOM, Ft. Monmouth, VA	5	17	1Q	25	1Q	25	1Q	0	72	0
Combat Identification	MIPR	CECOM, Ft. Monmouth, NJ	357	25	1Q	25	1Q	25	1Q	0	432	0
Simulation and C2 Information System Connectivity Experimentation (SINCE)	MIPR	CECOM, Ft. Monmouth, NJ	192	100	1Q	0	1Q	0	1Q	0	292	0
SNR(A)	MIPR	TBD	217	46	1Q	56	1Q	56	1Q	0	375	0
TRDP	MIPR	TBD	0	155	1Q	329	1Q	342	1Q	0	0	C
Artillery Command and Control	MIPR	CECOM, Ft.	24	15	1Q	15	1Q	15	1Q	0	69	0

0603790A NATO RSCH & DEVEL Item No. 68 Page 6 of 7 140 Exhibit R-3 ARMY RDT&E COST ANALYSIS

ARMY RDT&E COST ANALYSIS (R3)							February 2006				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Advanced Component Development and Prototypes				R AND TITLE A - NATO Res	search and Devel	opment	PROJECT 691				
Interoperability (ASCA)		Monmouth, NJ									
JTRS	MIPR	PM JTRS, Rosslyn, VA	12	15	38	38	0	103			
Sub	total:		1134	541	710	723	0	2282			
			13371	4598	4832	4946	0	19878			