CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification	DATE:	DATE:						
·							FEBRU/	ARY 2005
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NO	MENCLATURE						
RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST & EVALUATION, NAVY	0603237N De	ployable Joint	Command & C	Control (DJC2)				
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Total PE Cost	63.475	41.984	41.464	7.895	8.016	8.139	8.332	8.501
3050 Deployable Joint Command & Control	63.475	41.984	41.464	7.895	8.016	8.139	8.332	8.501
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	1							

(U) A. MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION: Deployable Joint Command and Control (DJC2) is a SecDef and Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff (CJCS) priority DoD transformation initiative that provides a deployable, scalable and tailorable headquarters command and control (C2) capability for each Regional Combatant Commander (RCC), and one maritime variant. It is the materiel solution to Standing Joint Force Headquarters (SJFHQ), a new capability to be implemented at each RCC starting in FY05. DJC2 will ensure that Joint Force Commanders (JFC) are equipped, as well as trained and organized, to carry out their C2 responsibilities. The DJC2 program addresses both the Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR) finding that a joint command and control architecture needs to be developed for standing JTFs at each of the RCCs and the need for a deployable Joint Command and Control System described in the Transformation Study Report presented to the Secretary of Defense in April 2001. It integrates lessons learned from U.S. Central Command's deployable headquarters funded from the FY 2001 Emergency Supplemental Act for Recovery from and Response to Terrorist Attacks on the United States. The JCS/Joint Requirement Oversight Council (JROC) has approved the DJC2 Mission Needs Statement (MNS) and Operational Requirements Document (ORD).

DJC2 seeks to provide standing, and standardized, joint C2 systems that can be deployed by RCCs or JTFs and the new SJFHQ concept and doctrine being developed by Joint Forces Command in coordination with other RCCs and the Joint Staff, as tasked by DPG. RCC and JTF commanders will use a deployable joint command and control capability for day-to-day operations, as well as when deployed for training or contingency operations. The capability is intended for all levels of conflict and will be reconfigurable to meet specific RCC and JTF mission requirements. This capability must be interoperable with higher and adjacent echelons of command (to include coalition allies) as well as with supporting elements to include joint forces.

DJC2 will utilize Global Command and Control System (GCCS) in its core suite of applications, ensuring interoperability with the worldwide-installed base of GCCS-J.

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No.

32

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2a, RDTEN Project Justification (Exhibit R-2a, page 1 of 10)

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification	DATE:
	FEBRUARY 2005
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST & EVALUATION, NAVY / BA4	0603237N Deployable Joint Command & Control (DJC2)
The RDT&E line supports an evolutionary acquisition strategy. The intent of this strategy is to develop a system ba based upon those requirements, analyze operational utilization of the systems, and roll the results of the analysis in operational effectiveness. Maximum use will be made of commercial technologies; technology insertion of each DJ Increment I configuration will be based upon existing S&T initiatives, Advanced Concepts Technology Demonstratic services and defense agencies, scaled to the RCC level. The Increment II and subsequent deliveries will include no operational feedback from utilization of earlier delivered systems, as well as incorporation of new commercial technology.	onto periodic upgrades of the systems to maintain currency and maximize C2 suite will be made approximately every three years. The baseline on (ACTD) Programs, programs of record, and fielded capabilities of the ewly developed capabilities based on emergent, joint requirements and
(U) JUSTIFICATION FOR BUDGET ACTIVITY: This program is funded under ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELor and software for experimental tests related to specific applications.	OPMENT AND PROTOTYPES because it develops and integrates hardware

CLASSIFICATION:

UNCLASSIFIED

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification	DATE:					
				FEBRUARY 2005		
PPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	OPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME PROJECT NUMBER AND					
DTOF N /DA /	N / BA 4 0603237N Deployable Joint Command & Control 3050 DJC2					
RDT&E, N / BA 4	0603237N Deployable Joint C	Offinatio & Control	3030 2302			
U) B. Accomplishments/Planned Program			1			
,	FY 04	FY 05	FY 06	FY 07		
,			1	FY 07 0.000		

FY04 - Performed System Engineering and Integration (SE&I) activities associated with DJC2 deliveries to JFCOM and the four RCCs. Conducted engineering and design tradeoffs to validate the concept of operations and ensure ORD compliance. Conducted requirements traceability analysis to ensure operational requirements identified in the MNS and ORD are adequately captured in specifications. Began integrating legacy systems (information technology and infrastructure) for DJC2 deliveries, including the core software applications, deployability systems, and transportability and mobility components. Developed evaluation and test plans, and perform initial testing for the JFCOM delivery. Refined configuration management baselines and the Technology Development Plan. Utilized analysis, architectural design, and design review processes to perform detailed design implementation. Utilized results of capability improvement process to determine which applications will be transitioned to DJC2, and integrated them into the baseline.

FY05 - FY06: Continue to perform SE&I activities associated with the follow-on increment requirements update and design process. Refine configuration management baselines and Technology Development Plan. Utilized analysis, architectural design, and design review processes to perform detailed design for technologies identified as part of the technology insertion process for Increment I. Begin assessment and detailed planning for follow-on increment and methodology necessary to implement that design into the engineering test bed, as well as the JFCOM, PACOM, and CENTCOM systems. Refine Architecture views necessary to support follow-on Increment Information Support Plan, Cost Documentation, Testing, and Capabilities Production Document. Perform necessary requirements decomposition using Rational Unified Process, driving toward a production level specification. Begin testing and integrating service based architecture, refining knowledge management procedures necessary for incorporation into the GIG-ES. Evaluate and begin transition of hardware toward Internet Protocol 6.0. Identify solution for Multi-Level Security and when chosen, evaluate impact on IT server size and deployability. Determine impact on bandwidth and refinement of data reachback procedures to specified Centers of Excellence, optimizing only handling information once (OHIO). Conduct necessary design reviews to validate proposed design.

	FY 04	FY 05	FY 06	FY 07
Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost	10.000	7.590	4.396	3.595
RDT&E Articles Quantity				

FY04 - Utilized the initial test facility to support extended development of commercial technologies to develop deployable C2 centers for each of the four RCCs and one maritime platform. Utilized this initial test facility to further refine the requirements for the DJC2 material solution based upon experimentation and ACTD results. Developed and implemented changes in the DJC2 RDT&E test bed based on lessons learned in ACTDs and operations/exercises. Utilized the test bed in realistic military demonstrations, and on that basis, made assessments of the military utility.

FY05-07 - Continue to utilize the initial test facility to support extended development of commercial technologies to develop deployable C2 centers for each of the four RCCs and one maritime platform. Utilize this initial test facility to further refine the requirements for the DJC2 materiel solution based upon experimentation and ACTD results. Develop and implement changes in the DJC2 RDT&E test bed based on lessons learned in ACTDs and operations/exercises. Utilize the test bed in realistic military demonstrations, and on that basis, make assessments of the military utility.

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 32

Exhibit R-2a, RDTEN Project Justification (Exhibit R-2a, page 3 of 10)

CLASSIFICATION:

UNCLASSIFIED

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification			DATE:
			FEBRUARY 2005
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME	PROJECT NUMBER AND N	AME
RDT&E, N / BA 4	0603237N Deployable Joint Command & Control	3050 DJC2	

(U) B. Accomplishments/Planned Program

	FY 04	FY 05	FY 06	FY 07
Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost	2.986	3.483	3.900	4.300
RDT&E Articles Quantity				

FY04- Utilized initial systems engineering analysis to establish systems concepts and compliance with MAIS and Clinger-Cohen Act requirements. Oversaw development of the test bed facility and SE&I work to develop the initial core applications for the DJC2 baseline. Analyzed, prepared, and performed Milestone B, In-Process Review (IPR), and Milestone C activities for Increment I.

FY05-07 Analyze, prepare, and perform Milestone B, In-Process Review (IPR), and Milestone C activities for Increment II and beyond.

	FY 04	FY 05	FY 06	FY 07
Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost	14.000	12.719	10.189	0.000
RDT&E Articles Quantity				

FY04- Evaluated validated technical concepts and technologies to be prototyped in advanced technology transitions to address deployable C2 requirements, and selected technologies for integration into DJC2 increments. Evaluation/selection emphasized technology assessment and integration/incorporation of existing commercial technologies to provide a prototype capability to the warfighter and to support capability evaluations. Conducted technology market surveys of selected components to support overall product selection.

FY05-06 - Select commercial technology which enhances warfighter capability will be obtained, tested and when deemed appropriate, placed on the roadmap for insertion. Mature technology will be recommended for inclusion into the follow-on increments. Continue to validate technical concepts and technologies.

	FY 04	FY 05	FY 06	FY 07
Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost	16.880	0.000	1.979	0.000
RDT&E Articles Quantity	1			

FY04 - Designed, developed, integrated, tested, and implemented DJC2 CONOPS experimentation system at JFCOM. The CONOPS experimentation system consist of the baseline configuration and prototype systems and capabilities developed for the follow-on SJFHQ concept demonstrations, and is used in the refinement of operational requirements and processes.

FY06 - Provide technology refresh and component upgrade for the CONOPS Experimentation System at JFCOM

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 32

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2a, RDTEN Project Justification (Exhibit R-2a, page 4 of 10)

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification				DATE:	
					FEBRUARY 2005
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME		PROJECT NUM	BER AND NAME	
RDT&E, N / BA 4	0603237N Deployable Joint Command & Contr	ol	3050 DJC2		
(U) C. PROGRAM CHANGE SUMMARY:					
(U) Funding:	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	
FY05 President's Budget	63.541	42.394	42.068	7.938	
FY06 President's Budget	63.475	41.984		7.895	
Total Adjustments	-0.066	-0.410	-0.604	-0.043	
Summary of Adjustments					
Summary of Aujustments					
Programmatic Adjustments					
Economic Assumptions	-0.066	-0.410	-0.604	-0.043	
Subtotal	-0.066	-0.410	-0.604	-0.043	
(U) Schedule:					
Not Applicable					
(U) Technical:					
Not Applicable					
	R-1 SHOPPING LIST -	Itam Na	32		

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification			DATE:
			FEBRUARY 2005
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME	PROJECT NUMBER AND N	AME
RDT&E, N / BA 4	0603237N Deployable Joint Command & Control	3050 DJC2	

(U) D. OTHER PROGRAM FUNDING SUMMARY:

Line Item No. & Name	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	To <u>Complete</u>	Total <u>Cost</u>
OPN BLI 2804	51,368	32,271	27,901	0	59,437	0	0	0	Cont.	Cont.

(U) E. ACQUISITION STRATEGY:

This RDT&E line supports an evolutionary acquisition strategy. The intent of this strategy is to: develop a system based upon a current understanding of joint requirements; rapidly field systems based upon those requirements; analyze operational utilization of the systems; and roll the results of the analysis into periodic upgrades of the systems to maintain currency and maximize operational effectiveness. The Increment I configuration will be based upon existing C4I systems, scaled to the Combatant Command level. The follow-on configurations will include newly developed capabilities based on emergent, joint requirements and operational feedback based upon utilization of earlier delivered systems.

(U) G. METRICS:

Earned Value Management (EVM) is used for metrics reporting and risk management.

CLASSIFICATION:

							DATE:						
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (page							FEBRUARY 2005						
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV	'ITY		PROGRAM E	LEMENT			PROJECT N	JMBER AND	NAME				
RDT&E, N / BA 4			0603237N De	1 /	Command & C		3050 DJC2						
Cost Categories	Contract	Performing		Total	E) / 05	FY 05	E) (00	FY 06	57.07	FY 07			
	Method & Type	Activity & Location		PY s Cost	FY 05 Cost	Award Date	FY 06 Cost	Award Date	FY 07 Cost	Award Date	Cost to Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Hardware Development	VAR	+	e, USA, & VAR			1	3.000	1	COSt	Date	Continuing	+	
Ancillary Hardware Development	VAIX	140WO, Claric	<u>,, 00A, & VAIL</u>	9.900	3.000	VAIC	3.000	VAIX	+		Continuing	Continuing	1
Aircraft Integration							+		+				
Ship Integration											1	-	
Ship Suitability											1	-	
Systems Engineering	VAR	VAR		19.175	6.461	VAR	12.000	VAR			Continuing	Continuing	1
Training Development													
Engineering Facility Development	WX	NSWC, CSS		13.000	3.590	VAR	7.664	VAR	3.595		Continuing	Continuing	1
Tooling		ĺ											
GFE													
Award Fees													
Subtotal Product Development				42.075	13.051	1	22.664	1	3.595		Continuing	Continuing	1
Development Support												1	
Software Integration	VAR	NSWC, CSS &	VAR	17.680	9.469	VAR	8.000	VAR			Continuing	Continuing	1
Integrated Logistics Support													
Configuration Management													
Technical Investigations	VAR	NTA & VAR		6.309	3.000	VAR	3.000	VAR			Continuing	Continuing	,
Trade-off Studies & Analyses	VAR	NTA & VAR		5.000	2.000	VAR	2.000	VAR			Continuing	Continuing	j
GFE													
Award Fees													
Subtotal Support				28.989	14.469	9	13.000		0.000		Continuing	Continuing	J
Remarks:													

CLASSIFICATION:

Exhibit D.2 Coot Analysis (nos	ro 2)					DATE:			EBBUARY	2005								
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (pagappropriation/BUDGET ACTIV		PROGRAM	CI CMCNIT			FEBRUARY 2005 PROJECT NUMBER AND NAME												
RDT&E, N / BA 4	111			Oamana and 0 Oa	- matural	3050 DJC2												
Cost Categories	Contract	Performing	Deployable Joint (FY 05	3050 DJC2	FY 06		FY 07	1		1						
Cost Categories	Method	Activity &	PY s	FY 05	Award	FY 06	Award	FY 07	Award	Cost to	Total	Target Value						
		Location	Cost	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Cost	Date		Cost	of Contract						
Developmental Test & Evaluation	MPR	46th Test Wing & VAR	3.000							Continuing	Continuing							
Operational Test & Evaluation	VAR	OPTEVFOR & VAR	3.500	4.000	VAR					Continuing	Continuing							
Live Fire Test & Evaluation																		
Test Assets	MPR	Eglin AFB & VAR	1.000	1.000	VAR	1.000	VAR			Continuing	Continuing							
Tooling																		
GFE																		
Award Fees																		
Subtotal T&E			7.500	9.000		1.000		0.000		Continuing	Continuing							
Contractor Engineering Support Government Engineering Support																		
Program Management Support	VAR	NSWC, CSS & VAR	16.438	5.464	VAR	4.800	VAR	4.300		Continuing	Continuing							
Travel		,								Ĭ								
Transportation																		
Subtotal Management			16.438	5.464		4.800		4.300		Continuing	Continuing							
Remarks:																		
Total Cost			95.002	41.984		41.464		7.895		Continuing	Continuing							
Remarks:																		

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R4, Schedule																									DATE		FE	BRU	ARY 2	2005		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET	ACTIV	ITY							PROG														IUMBE	R AN	D NAN	1E						
RDT&E, N / BA 4									06032	3/N D	ерюуа	able Jo	int Coi			ntroi					3050	DJC2										
Fiscal Year		2004			2005			2006			2007			2008				2009			2010			ı	2011							
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Acquisition Milestones																																
MILESTONE B	Ir	creme	ent I							Inc	remer	t II																				
MILESTONE C					Ir	Creme	nt I							In	creme	nt II																
Test & Evaluation Milestones				A																												
Development Test Operational Test			DT	/ OA			ОТ																									
Production Milestones																																
Deliveries																																

CLASSIFICATION:

Exhibit R-4a, Schedule Detail							BRUARY 2	005			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY PROGRAM ELEMENT PROJ										
RDT&E, N / BA 4	0603237N De	ployable Joint (Command & Co	3050 DJC2							
Schedule Profile	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY2010	FY2011			
MILESTONE B											
INCREMENT I	2Q										
INCREMENT II			3Q								
MILESTONE C		<u> </u>		1							
INCREMENT I		2Q									
INCREMENT II				3Q							
TEST AND EVALUATION MILESTONES											
DEVELOPMENTAL TEST	4Q										
DEVELOT MENTAL TEST	70										
OPERATIONAL TEST		3Q									
				1							