#### CLASSIFICATION:

## **UNCLASSIFIED**

EXHIBIT R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification						DATE:	
-						Februa	ry 2004
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY				R-1 ITEM NOMEN	ICLATURE		
RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST & EVALUATION	N, NAVY / BA-05		T.	0604261N Acoust	ic Search Sensors	1	
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
Total PE Cost	13	.311 16.94	13.363	3 29.187	56.316	66.643	39.915
H0480 ASW SENSORS & PROCESSING	13	3.311 13.479	10.507	7 17.518	9.790	9.988	6.690
H4017 ARPDD		3.46	1 2.856	11.669	46.526	56.655	33.225
		1	1	1		l	

FY2004 budget reflects a \$3.500 million Congressional add for Automatic Radar Periscope Detection and Discrimination (ARPDD), which has been reduced by \$.039 million for undistributed Congressional reductions.

U) (H0480) – The ASW Sensors and Processing project provides the tools and methods necessary to maintain maritime superiority by preventing hostile submarines from disrupting the US Navy's ability to maintain naval superiority, control the sea lines of communication, and carry out their missions. This project encompasses the System Development and Demonstration (SDD) of sensor systems to improve the mission effectiveness of airborne Anti-Submarine Warfare (ASW) cueing, search, localization, and attack. Smaller and quieter threat submarines drive the requirement for continued advancement in ASW sensor capabilities for both blue water and littoral environments. The littoral regions of the world create an additional ASW challenge to defeat the increase in background clutter caused by the shallow water depth, high volume of shipping, and commercial radio frequency interference. Project H0480 provides funding for the engineering development of solutions that acquire, confirm, and attack threat submarines. Efforts being funded during the period identified are the Generic Acoustic Stimulation System (GASS), the Extended Echo Ranging (EER) family of multi-static active sensor systems, the Hydrostatic Sensor Firing Device (HSFD), the Non-Traditional Acoustic Processing (NTAP), and the Tactical Acoustics Measurement and Decision Aid (TAMDA) programs. GASS provides real time ocean, sensor, and target modeling that will couple with all ASW trainers and add shallow water and range dependent capabilities; multi-static active sensor systems provides improved threat target detection capabilities for harsh water environments; HSFD provides an ASW depth bomb capability; and TAMDA provides a real time ocean environmental measurement capability for modifying sensor field management and sensor utilization. Technology adjuncts to these programs include Sonobuoy Precise Positioning, Air Deployed Low Frequency Projector (ADLFP), Shallow Water Localization and Attack, and Light Weight Search System.

(U) (H4017) - The Automatic Radar Periscope Detection and Discrimination (ARPDD) Project provides a fully automated periscope detection, classification, and tracking capability to reliably detect periscopes and masts, and reliably discriminate periscopes from other targets. This capability is suitable for air and surface platforms. This project funds only the airborne application.

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No.

94

**UNCLASSIFIED** 

Exhibit R-2, RDTEN Budget Item Justification (Exhibit R-2, page 1 of 19)

#### CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification							DATE:	
							Februa	ry 2004
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEM	ENT NUMBER AND	NAME		PROJECT NUMBE	R AND NAME		
RDT&E, N / BA-05	0604261N Acousti	c Search Sensors			H0480 ASW Senso	ors and Processing		
COST (\$ in Millions)		FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
H0480 ASW Sensors and Processing		13.311	13.479	10.507	17.518	9.790	9.988	6.690
RDT&E Articles Quantity								

(U) A. MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION: The ASW Sensors and Processing project provides the tools and methods necessary to maintain maritime superiority by preventing hostile submarines from disrupting the US Navy's ability to maintain naval superiority, control the sea lines of communication, and carry out their missions. This project encompasses the System Development and Demonstration (SDD) of sensor systems to improve the mission effectiveness of airborne Anti-Submarine Warfare (ASW) cueing, search, localization, and attack. Smaller and quieter threat submarines drive the requirement for continued advancement in ASW sensor capabilities for both blue water and littoral environments. The littoral regions of the world create an additional ASW challenge to defeat the increase in background clutter caused by the shallow water depth, high volume of shipping, and commercial radio frequency interference. Project H0480 provides funding for the engineering development of solutions that acquire, confirm, and attack threat submarines. Efforts being funded during the period identified are the Generic Acoustic Stimulation System (GASS), the Extended Echo Ranging (EER) family of multi-static active sensor systems, the Hydrostatic Sensor Firing Device (HSFD), the Non-Traditional Acoustic Processing (NTAP), and the Tactical Acoustics Measurement and Decision Aid (TAMDA) programs. GASS provides real time ocean, sensor, and target modeling that will couple with all ASW trainers and add shallow water and range dependent capabilities; multi-static active sensor systems provides improved threat target detection capabilities for harsh water environments; HSFD provides an ASW depth bomb capability; and TAMDA provides a real time ocean environmental measurement capability for modifying sensor field management and sensor utilization. Tehcnology adjuncts to these programs include Sonobuoy Precise Positioning, Air Deployed Low Frequency Projector (ADLFP), Shallow Water Localization and Attack, and Light Weight Search

### **CLASSIFICATION:**

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justificat	ion		DATE: February 20	04
PPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME	PROJECT NUMBER AND	NAME	<del>-</del>
DT&E, N / BA-05	0604261N Acoustic Search Sensors	H0480 ASW Sensors & Pro	ocessing	
3. Accomplishments/Planned Program	•			
	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	
Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost	12.311	13.479	10.507	
RDT&E Articles Quantity				
Software, integration, and test for Multi-Static a	active sensor systems.			
	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	
Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost	1.000	1104	1100	
RDT&E Articles Quantity				
Hydrostatic Device system design, trade offs,	and developmental testing. Prepare for production.			
	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	
Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost				
RDT&E Articles Quantity				
				<del></del>

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No.

94

#### CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification				DATE:	
					February 2004
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME		PROJECT NUMBER AND	NAME	
RDT&E, N / BA-05	0604261N Acoustic Search Sensors		H0480 ASW Sensors & P	ocessing	
C. PROGRAM CHANGE SUMMARY:					
Funding:	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005		
Previous President's Budget:	13.621	15.831	17.427		
FY2005 President's Budget	13.311	13.479	10.507		
Total Adjustments	-0.310	-2.352	-6.920		
Summary of Adjustments					
Congressional program reductions					
Congressional undistributed reductions	}	-0.152			
Congressional rescissions					
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.157				
Economic Assumptions			-0.007		
Reprogrammings	-0.153				
Reprioritization		-2.200	-6.913		
Subtotal	-0.310	-2.352	-6.920		

## Schedule:

(U) Schedule: Impulsive active source (Q110B) improvement effort added as an Abbreviated Acquisition Program (AAP). 1Q/02 GASS CDR#4 and 3Q/02 GASS MS III deleted - Due to a GASS contract restructure, per NPDM dated 22 January 2002, GIM#4 was dropped, therefore, no CDR required. Also no production tail, therefore no MS III required. TTPRR deleted due to contract termination. TAMDA deleted due to POM-02 budget cut forcing postponment of environmental measurements effort. Added multistatic active integration milestones into emerging P-3 acoustic configurations to provide additional program definition. To avoid schedule delays to MSA Integration of IEER, reduction of \$6.8M FY05 funding will result in 12 month delay to NTAP advance signal processing and TAMDA program development.

HSFD schedule changed by direction of N78 to delay production 1 year.

Tecnnicai:

N/A

#### CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification							DATE:		
								Febru	ary 2004
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM E	LEMENT NUM	BER AND NAM	ИE	PROJECT NU	MBER AND N	AME		
RDT&E, N / BA-05	0604261N Ac	oustic Search S	Sensors		H0480 ASW S	Sensors & Prod	essing		
D. OTHER PROGRAM FUNDING SUMMARY:									
D. OTHER PROGRAM PONDING COMMARY.								То	Total
Line Item No. & Name	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	Complete	Cost
(U) APN/SH-60R/(018200)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(U) OPN (404800) Q110B	9.3	7.1	4.3	4.4	7.5	6.4	4.6	Continuing	Continuing
(U) OPN (404800) HSFD	0.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	Continuing	Continuing
(U) Related RDT&E									-
(U) P.E. 0603254N (ASW Systems Development)									

#### E. ACQUISITION STRATEGY:

The integration of MSA into increased number of P-3 Aircraft can be achieved as an option under the current MSA contracts. Various alternatives of MSA implementation are also being investigated that could require Full and Open competition.

#### F. MAJOR PERFORMERS:

#### CLASSIFICATION:

								DATE:				
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (pa	age 1)									February 20	04	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTI		PROGRAM	ELEMENT			PROJECT NU	JMBER AND	) NAME			-	
RDT&E, N / BA-05		0604261N	Acoustic Search S	Sensors		H0480 ASW \$	Sensors & P	rocessing				
Cost Categories	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PY s Cost	FY 03	FY 03 Award Date	FY 04 Cost	FY 04 Award Date	FY 05 Cost	FY 05 Award Date	Cost to Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Primary Hardware Development	C/FFP	Sparton, FL	3.178	3						·	3.178	3.178
	C/FFP	USSI, IN	2.710								2.710	1
	C/CPFF	Misc Contracts	1.000								1.000	
Subtotal Product Development			6.888	0.000		0.000	)	0.000	)	0.000	6.888	
Remarks:												
Software Development (GASS)	C/CPIF	Northrop Grumman, NY	46.314								46.314	46.314
Integrated Logistics Support	C/CPFF	Misc GASS Con	12.834								12.834	12.834
Software Development (Phase I)	C/CPFF	Misc /P-3 Baseline Cont's	8.300	)							8.300	8.300
Software Development (Phase II)	WX	Misc In-House				1.000	10/03	2.040	10/04		3.040	
Technical Data	wx	Misc In-House	1.861	1,291	11/02	1.230	10/03	1.630	10/04		6.012	

Remarks:

Studies & Analyses
Award Fees

Subtotal Support

1.291

69.309

0.000

76.500

2.230

3.670

#### **CLASSIFICATION:**

								DATE:				
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (pag	je 2)									February 200	)4	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV	ITY	PROGRAM E	LEMENT			PROJECT NU	JMBER AND I	NAME				
RDT&E, N / BA-05		0604261N Ac	oustic Search S	Sensors		H0480 ASW \$	Sensors & Pro	cessing				
Cost Categories	Contract	Performing	Total		FY 03		FY 04		FY 05			
	Method	Activity &	PY s	FY 03	Award	FY 04	Award	FY 05	Award	Cost to		Target Value
	& Type	Location	Cost	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Complete		of Contract
Developmental Test & Evaluation	WX	Misc In-House	1.850	0.90	0 11/02	0.450	11/03	0.400	11/04	Continuing	Continuing	
Subtotal T&E			1.850	0.90	0	0.450		0.400	)	Continuing	Continuing	
Program Mgmt Support (Cont)	C/FFP	Misc/Contracts	38.575	0.81	7 02/03	0.817	11/03	0.710	11/04		41.026	41.026
Engineering Support (Gov)	WX	Misc In-House	37.750	8.73	2 10/02	8.402	10/03	4.477	10/04	Continuing	Continuing	
Program Mgmt Support (Gov)	WX	Misc In-House	6.733	0.14	0 10/02	0.150	10/03	0.150	10/04	Continuing	Continuing	
Engineering Support (Contract)	RX	Misc Contracts		1.43	1 11/02	1.430	10/03	1.100	10/04	Continuing		
Travel												
Transportation												
Subtotal Management			83.058	11.12	0	10.799		6.437	,	Continuing	Continuing	
Remarks:												
Total Cost			161.105	13.31	1	13.479	)	10.507	,	Continuing	Continuing	
Remarks:												

#### CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R4, Schedule Multi-Static Active																									DATE		Fe	brua	ry 20	04		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGE									PROG						NAM	=									D NAM							
RDT&E, N /	BA-	05			1				06042	61N A	cousti	c Sear	ch Sen	sors							H0480	) ASW	/ Senso	ors & F	roces	sing		1				
Fiscal Year		20	002			20	03			20	04			200	)5			200	06			20	07			20	08			200	)9	
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Acquisition Milestones										MSA II	ntegrat	ion Cor	tract																			
Prototype Phase																																
System Development		Q110I	B CDR													MSA Ir	ntegrati	on CDF	₹													
EDM Delivery																																
Software Integration								Q110E	3																							
Test & Evaluation Milestones																						MSA I	ntegrati	on TEC	CH EVA	AL 						
Development Test  Operational Test										Q110E	FOT8	E E												MSA I	ntegrat	ion OPI	EVAL					
Production Milestones																																
LRIP I FY 05																																
LRIPII FY 06																																
FRP FY 07																																
Deliveries																																

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 94

Note: To avoid schedule delays to MSA Integration of IEER, reduction of \$6.8M FY05 funding will result in 12 month delay to NTAP advance signal processing and TAMDA program development. These funds were removed from FY2005 funding due to poor execution in prior years. FY2003 funds will be used to forward finance future years' priorities.

#### **CLASSIFICATION:**

Exhibit R-4a, Schedule Detail						DATE:		
Multi-Static Active						l	ebruary 20	04
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM E	LEMENT			PROJECT NU	MBER AND N		
RDT&BA-05	0604261N Ac	oustic Search S	Sensors		H0480 ASW S	Sensors & Proc	essing	
Schedule Profile	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
Prototype Phase	112002	1 1 2000	1 1 200 1	1 1 2000	1 1 2000	1 1 2007	1 1 2000	1 1 2000
System Design Review (SDR)								
Milestone II (MSII)								
Integration Contract			2Q					
Software Specification Review (SSR)								
Preliminary Design Review (PDR)								
System Development								
Critical Design Review (CDR)	2Q			4Q				
Quality Design and Build				. ~				
Test Readiness Review (TRR)								
Developmental Testing (DT-IIA)								
Eng Dev Model (EDM) Radar Delivery - Lab								
Software Intergration		4Q						
Preproduction Readiness Review (PRR)								
EDM Radar Delivery - Flt Related								
Milestone C (MS C)								
Operational Testing (OT-IIA)								
Start Low-Rate Initial Production I (LRIP I)								
Software Delivery 2XXSW								
Developmental Testing (DT-IIB1)								
Developmental Testing (DT-IIB2)								
Start Low-Rate Initial Production II								
Operational Testing (OT-IIB)								
Developmental Testing (DT-IIC)								
Functional Configuration Audit (FCA)								
Low-Rate Initial Production I Delivery								
Technical Evaluation (TECHEVAL)						2Q		
Physical Configuration Audit								
Operational Evaluation (OT-IIC) (OPEVAL)			2Q			4Q		
Low-Rate Initail Production II Delivery								
IOC								
Full Rate Production (FRP) Decision								
Full Rate Production Start								
First Deployment								

#### CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R4, Schedule F Hydrostatic Device																									DATE		F	ebrua	ary 20	04		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET / RDT&E, N /	ACTIVI BA-0									GRAM I 261N A					MAM C	E									D NAM s and		occin	a				
RDT&E, N /	DA-U								06042			Sean	n Sen								11040			115013	s anu			9				
Fiscal Year		20	02			20	03	1		200	)4			20	05			20	006	ı		20	07			20	08	1		200	09	1
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Acquisition Milestones	мѕ-в								MS-C																							
Prototype Phase																																
System Development  Contract Award	<u> </u>	PDR	CDR					FCA/P	CA																							
EDM Delivery						Lab 		Flight 60																								
Software N/A																																
Test & Evaluation Milestones					TRR																											
Development Test					Desig	n Verific	ation T	esting	(DVT)																							
Operational Test (Not Required)																																
Production Milestones						PRR				Lot I (3	06)			Lot II (	(306)			ontract Lot III	Award (306)	(306)	Lot IV	(306)										
Contract Production Options								Produc	ction De	ecision		First D	eploym	ent			FRP															
FRP FY 06											HSFD	Produc	tion					<u> </u>								<u> </u>						
Deliveries															306				306				306				306					

#### **CLASSIFICATION:**

Exhibit R-4a, Schedule Detail						DATE:		
lydrostatic Device						F	ebruary 20	04
PPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM E	LEMENT			PROJECT NU			
RDT&BA-05	0604261N Ac	oustic Search S	Sensors				d Processin	g
Schedule Profile	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
Prototype Phase (Prior to FY02)								
System Design Review (SDR) (Prior ro FY02)								
Milestone II (MSII) (4Qtr FY01)								
Contract Preparation (FY01)								
Software Specification Review (SSR) (N/A)								
Preliminary Design Review (PDR)	2Q							
System Development	1Q - 4Q	1Q - 4Q						
Critical Design Review (CDR)	3Q							
Quality Design and Build	1Q - 4Q	1Q - 3Q						
Test Readiness Review (TRR)		1Q						
Developmental Testing (DVT)		1Q - 4Q	1Q					
Eng Dev Model (EDM) Delivery - Lab (Prior FY02)								
Software Delivery (N/A)								
Preproduction Readiness Review (PRR)		2Q						
,								
Milestone C (MS C)			1Q					
Operational Testing (OT-IIA) (N/A)								
Start Low-Rate Initial Production I (LRIP I) (N/A)								
Software Delivery 2XXSW (N/A)								
Developmental Testing (DT-IIB1) (N/A)								
Developmental Testing (DT-IIB2) (N/A)								
Start Low-Rate Initial Production II (N/A)								
Operational Testing (OT-IIB) (N/A)								
Developmental Testing (DT-IIC) (N/A)								
Functional Configuration Audit (FCA)		4Q						
Low-Rate Initial Production I Delivery (N/A)								
Technical Evaluation (TECHEVAL) (N/A)								
Physical Configuration Audit		4Q						
Operational Evaluation (OT-IIC) (OPEVAL) (N/A)								
Low-Rate Initail Production II Delivery (N/A)					1			
IOC								
Full Rate Production (FRP) Decision					1Q			
Full Rate Production Start					1Q			
First Deployment				1Q				

#### CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification	ion						DATE:	
							Februai	ry 2004
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEM	ENT NUMBER ANI	D NAME		PROJECT NUMBE	R AND NAME		
RDT&E, N / BA-05	0604261N Acousti	c Search Sensors			H4017 ARPDD			
COST (\$ in Millions)		FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
H4017 ARPDD			3.461	2.856	11.669	46.526	56.655	33.225

FY2004 budget reflects a \$3.500 million Congressional add for Automatic Radar Periscope Detection and Discrimination (ARPDD), which has been reduced by \$.039 million for undistributed Congressional reductions.

(U) A. MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION: The Automatic Radar Periscope Detection and Discrimination (ARPDD) Project provides a fully automated periscope detection, classification, and tracking capability to reliably detect periscopes and masts, and reliably discriminate periscopes from other targets. This capability is suitable for air and surface platforms. This project funds only the airborne application. This capability is essential for effective detection of submarines in congested Littoral areas. This funding is for engineering development of the ARPDD system. The output will be a productionized form, fit, function incorporation of ARPDD into the AN/APS-137 radar. Two engineering development models for systems integration testing and four pre-production prototypes for environmental, reliability, development and operational testing will be provided. Full testing will be performed to support the production approval milestone (milestone C).

#### **CLASSIFICATION:**

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justific	ation			DATE:	0004
PPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	AND NAME	PROJECT NUMBER AND NA		ry 2004
DT&E, N / BA-05	0604261N Acoustic Search Senso		H4017 ARPDD	IVIL	
DI&E, N / BA-03	060426 IN Acoustic Search Senso	)IS	H4017 ARPDD		
Accomplishments/Planned Program					
		FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	
Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost		0.000	3.461	2.856	
RDT&E Articles Quantity					
documentation preparation, developing an in specifications, and a Statement of Work in pr				mination software package, u	eveloping
		FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	
Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost		0.000	0.000	0.000	
RDT&E Articles Quantity					
Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost		FY 03 0.000	FY 04 0.000	FY 05 0.000	
RDT&E Articles Quantity					
RDI &E Articles Quantity					

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No.

94

#### **CLASSIFICATION:**

XHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification					DATE:	
						February 2004
PROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEMEN	NT NUMBER AND NAME	P	ROJECT NUMBER	AND NAME	
DT&E, N / BA-05	0604261N Acoustic S	Search Sensors	F	14017 ARPDD		
C. PROGRAM CHANGE SUMMARY:						
Funding:		FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005		
Previous President's Budget:		0.000	0.000	2.863		
FY2005 President's Budget		0.000	3.461	2.856		
Total Adjustments		0.000	3.461	-0.007		
Summary of Adjustments						
Congressional program reduc	ctions					
Congressional undistributed i	reductions		0.039			
Congressional rescissions						
SBIR/STTR Transfer						
Economic Assumptions				-0.005		
Reprioritization			0.500	-0.002		
Congressional increases Subtotal		0.000	3.500	-0.007		
Subtotal		0.000	3.539	-0.007		
Schedule:						
				_		
(U) Schedule: FY2004 Cong	ressional plus up accelera	ted the ARPDD progran	n by 3-5 moı	nths.		
Technical:						
N/A						
		1 SHODDING LIST I	om No 0			

#### CLASSIFICATION:

									1			
EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Pr	oject Justification								DATE:			
			T				•			Februa	ary 2004	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET A			PROGRAM E	LEMENT NUM	BER AND NAI	ΛE	PROJECT NU		AME			
RDT&E, N /	BA-05		0604261N Ac	oustic Search S	Sensors		H4017 ARP	DD				
D. OTHER PROGRAM	FUNDING SUMMARY:											
D. OTHER TROOKAM	ONDING COMMANT.									To	Total	
Line Item No. & Name		FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	Complete	Cost	
Line Item #36 (APN	P-3 OSIP 29-94)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	48.5	TBD	TBD	
E. ACQUISITION STRATE	:GY:											
Analyze merits of so	ole source verses compe	titive procureme	nt. Explore Alt	ernatives. Aw	ard prime contr	act to TBD co	ontractor. Techr	nical support w	ill be provided	by NAWCWD (C	hina Lake) and	
JHU/APL.										.,		
F. MAJOR PERFORMERS	S:											

#### CLASSIFICATION:

Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (paga APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV	ae 1)												
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV											February 200	)4	
	'ITY		PROGRAM E	LEMENT			PROJECT NU		NAME				
RDT&E, N / BA-05			0604261N Acc		Sensors		H4017 ARF						
Cost Categories		Performing		Total		FY 03		FY 04		FY 05			_
	Method & Type	Activity & Location		PY s	FY 03 Cost	Award Date	FY 04	Award	FY 05 Cost	Award Date		Total	Target Value of Contract
Drive and Head ware Development	& Type	Location		Cost	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Complete	Cost	or Contract
Primary Hardware Development													
Subtotal Product Development				0.000	0.000		0.000		0.000	)	0.000	0.000	
Software Development	WX	Misc In-Hous	е				0.000		1.159	10/04	Continuing	Continuing	
Integrated Logistics Support													
Configuration Management													
Technical Data													
Studies & Analyses	C/CPFF	Misc. Contracts	3				0.511	03/04	0.500	11/04		1.011	1.011
GFE													
Award Fees													
Subtotal Support				0.000	0.000		0.511		1.659	)	Continuing	Continuing	
Remarks:													

#### **CLASSIFICATION:**

								DATE:				
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (pag	je 2)									February 200	)4	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV	ITY	PROGR	RAM ELEMENT			PROJECT NU		NAME				
RDT&E, N / BA-05			31N Acoustic Search S	Sensors		H4017 ARF						
Cost Categories	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PY s Cost	FY 03 Cost	FY 03 Award Date	FY 04 Cost	FY 04 Award Date	FY 05 Cost	FY 05 Award Date	Cost to Complete		Target Value of Contract
Developmental Test & Evaluation												
Subtotal T&E			0.000	0.0	00	0.000	)	0.0	000	0.000	0.000	
Program Mgmt Support (Cont)	TBD	Misc. Contracts				0.240	04/04	0.2	11/04		0.490	0.490
Government Engineering Support	WX	Misc In-House				0.600	03/04	0.4	00 10/04		1.000	
Program Mgmt Support (Gov)	WX	Misc In-House				0.400	03/04	0.5	37 10/04		0.937	
Travel						0.010	02/04	0.0	10/04		0.020	
Engineering Support (Cont)	TBD	Misc Contracts				1.700	05/04				1.700	1.700
Subtotal Management			0.000	0.0	00	2.950	)	1.1	97		4.147	
Remarks:												
Total Cost			0.000	0.0	00	3.461		2.8	56	Continuing	Continuing	
Remarks:												

#### CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R4, Schedule Automatic Radar Peri			ction	and	Disc	rimin	etion	(APP	וחחי			-			-			-							DATE	:		ebrua	rv 20	Ω4		
ADT&E, N /	F ACTIVI BA-0	TY	CLIOII	anu	DISC	11111111	ation		PROG	RAM I					D NAM	IE					PROJ H401				I ID NAN	1E		<del>ebi ua</del>	1 y 20	<del>04</del>		
Fiscal Year		20	02			20	03			200	04			20	05			20	06			20	07			20	08			200	19	
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Acquisition Milestones															MS-B															MS-C		
Prototype Phase (Prior to FY02)														000	010	Contrac	ct	000	200			000						200				
System Development														SRR	SFR	Award		SSR				CDR						PRR				
EDM & Pre Production Delivery																										Lab Ui	nits		Pre-Pro	oduction 2	1	
Software														Initial	GFE			Softwa	are Dev	elopme L	ent and	Test										
Test & Evaluation Milestones																												TRR	DT IIA			
Development Test Operational Test																															OT IIA	
Production Milestones																														LRIP De	ecision	1
LRIP FY 09																																
Deliveries																																

Note: FY2004 Congressional plus up accelerated the ARPDD program by 3-5 months.

#### **CLASSIFICATION:**

Exhibit R-4a, Schedule Detail						DATE:					
Automatic Radar Periscope Detection and Dis							February 20	04			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM EI	LEMENT	PROJECT NU	JMBER AND NAME							
RDT&BA-05	0604261N Acc	oustic Search S	Sensors	H4017 ARPDD							
Schedule Profile	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009			
Prototype Phase											
Systems Requirement Review (SRR)				2Q							
System Functional Review (SFR)				3Q							
Milestone II (MS B)				3Q							
Contract Award				4Q							
Software Specification Review (SSR)					2Q						
Preliminary Design Review (PDR)					3Q						
System Development				4Q	1Q - 4Q	1Q - 4Q	1Q - 4Q	1Q - 3Q			
Critical Design Review (CDR)						2Q					
Quality Design and Build						3Q-4Q	1Q - 4Q				
Test Readiness Review (TRR)							4Q				
Developmental Testing (DT-IIA)							4Q	1Q - 2Q			
Lab Units							2Q - 3Q				
Software Delivery							4Q				
Preproduction Readiness Review (PRR)							4Q				
EDM & Pre Production Prototype Delivery								1Q - 2Q			
Milestone C (MS C)								2Q			
Operational Testing (OT-IIA)								1Q - 3Q			
Start Low-Rate Initial Production (LRIP)								2Q			
Software Delivery 2XXSW											
Developmental Testing (DT-IIB1)											
Developmental Testing (DT-IIB2)											
Start Low-Rate Initial Production II											
Operational Testing (OT-IIB)											
Developmental Testing (DT-IIC)											
Functional Configuration Audit (FCA)											
Low-Rate Initial Production I Delivery											
Technical Evaluation (TECHEVAL)											
Physical Configuration Audit											
Operational Evaluation (OT-IIC) (OPEVAL)											
Low-Rate Initail Production II Delivery											
IOC											
Full Rate Production (FRP) Decision											
Full Rate Production Start											
First Deployment											