ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUS	STIFIC	ATION	(R2 E	xhibit)		Fe			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Advanced Component Development and Prototypes	PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0603790A - NATO Research and Development								
COST (In Thousands)	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	Cost to	Total Cost
COST (III Tribusarius)	Actual	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Complete	
691 NATO RSCH & DEVEL	456	1 2747	4801	4869	4949	5034	5123	0	63215

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification: This program implements the provisions of Title 10 U.S. Code, Section 2350a, Cooperative Research and Development (R&D) Projects: Allied Countries. The objective is to improve, through the application of emerging technologies, the conventional defense capabilities of the United States and our cooperative partners, including the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO), U.S. major non-NATO allies and Friendly Foreign countries. Through technology sharing and joint equipment development these projects help reduce U.S. acquisition costs and leverage important technologies for the Army Transformation and the development of the Future Combat system. Cooperative efforts also improve multinational force compatibility with potential coalition partners through the development and use of similar equipment and improved interfaces. The program focuses specifically on international cooperative technology demonstration, validation, and interoperability of Army weapon and command, control, communications and information (C3I) systems. Projects are implemented through international agreements with foreign partners that define scope, cost and work sharing arrangements, management, contracting, security, data protection and third party transfers. Funds are used to pay for only the U.S. work share that occurs in the United States at U.S. Government and U.S. contractors' facilities.

Accomplishments/Planned Program	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005
Multilateral Interoperability Program (MIP) (Partners: Germany, France, United Kingdom, Canada, Italy): Continued integration work from the Command and Control Systems Interoperability Program (C2SIP) into an Advanced Concept Technology Demonstration (ACTD) to achieve NATO levels four (messaging) and five (database) interoperability and also extend the effort into a sustainable program to incorporate lessons learned into national systems.	0	100	400
International Agreement Tracking System (IATS)/International Online (IO) Development and Implementation, NATO/International Cooperative R&D Policy Development, and Report to Congress Pursuant to 10 USC 2350a, prepare and provide to USD(A&T) the Army section of the Report to Congress on the International Cooperative Research and Development Program.	690	657	751
Low Level Air Defense Interoperability (LLAPI) (Partners: Major NATO Allies): The objective of this program is to successfully demonstrate Command and Control (C2) interoperability among the participant nations' Short Range Air Defense (SHORAD) assets for automated air picture exchange.	300	200	200
Shared Tactical Ground Picture (STGP)/Single Integrated Ground Picture (SIGP) (Partners: United Kingdom and Norway): STGP/SIGP is an OSD interoperability initiative. The STGP is a coalition (U.S./UK/NO) part of the SIGP which is key to the Future Combat System. STGP links directly with SIGP and FCS making the Army a key player.	0	100	500

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION (R2 Exhibit) February 2004 **PROJECT BUDGET ACTIVITY** PE NUMBER AND TITLE 4 - Advanced Component Development and 0603790A - NATO Research and Development 691 **Prototypes Accomplishments/Planned Program B(continued)** FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2005 Combat Identification (Partners: UK, Germany, France and Italy): Combat ID will pursue the extension of tasks required for 1300 100 implementing the associated NATO Standardization Agreement (STANAG 4579), allied participation in Coalition Combat ID Advanced Concept Technology Demonstrator (ACTD), will pursue the NATO Staff Requirement and a STANAG for the Dismounted Soldier ID. Simulation and Command and Control (C2) Information System Connectivity Experimentation (SINCE) (Partner: Germany): 800 400 400 Continues to define and demonstrate a generic solution for interfacing and networking Brigade/Battalion (BDE/BN) Command and Control Information Systems (C2IS) and applicable Modeling and Simulation (M&S) systems as required to support Coalition Force Collaborative Mission Management Experimentation. Senior National Representatives (Army) (SNR(A))/International Cooperative Opportunities (ICO) Projects (Partners: France, 1171 820 1000 Germany, United Kingdom, Italy): Supports harmonization of programs at various levels; exchanging information, identifying knowledge gaps and conducting feasibility studies to further promote cooperative development; standardizing, fielding and roadmapping various processes: distributing the workload among the different nations. The Mine Protection for Armored Vehicles (MPAV) Working Group, specifically, will explore mine protection techniques and technologies in pursuit of advanced armor opportunities in mine protections, and other applicable cooperative R&D areas. Another ongoing program is Lightweight Soldier System Working Group which examines digitized soldier power-sharing during coalition operations. The study explores various requirements associated with standardization of soldier communications to define levels of interconnectivity and hardware solutions. Technology Research and Development Projects (TRDP) (Partners: United Kingdom, Germany, France, Canada, Australia. 0 950 0 Netherlands, Korea, Norway): The scope of this MOU encompasses R&D collaboration on basic, exploratory and advanced Land Warfare Concepts and Technologies that are focused on Future Combat System enabling technologies, the maturation of which may lead to the development of technologically superior conventional weapon systems. Artillery Command and Control Interoperability (ASCA) (Partners: France, Germany, Italy, UK): The Participants in this 300 190 300 program will develop an automated software interface between their national field artillery command and control systems. The nations will be able to receive and provide mutual fire support (i.e. cannon and rocket fire) in combined operations more rapidly

and with minimal errors.

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIF	ICATION (R2 Exhibit)	Februa	ry 2004	
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Advanced Component Development and Prototypes	PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0603790A - NATO Research and De	evelopment	PROJ 691	ECT
Accomplishments/Planned Program A(continued) Joint Tactical Radio System (JTRS) (Partners: Japan, Sweden, UK): The participal implement Software-enabled radios as replacements to current radio systems. To interoperability as the countries pursue their own separate software radio program a joint development of software radio specifications, separate development and to interoperability testing using the system assets developed as part of the agreement of the system assets developed as part of the agreement of the system assets developed as part of the agreement of the system assets developed as part of the agreement of the system assets developed as part of the agreement of the system assets developed as part of the agreement of the system assets developed as part of the agreement of the system assets developed as part of the agreement of the system assets developed as part of the agreement of the system assets developed as part of the agreement of the system assets developed as part of the agreement of the system assets developed as part of the agreement of the system assets developed as part of the agreement of the system assets developed as part of the agreement of the system assets developed as part of the agreement of the system assets developed as part of the system assets developed as part of the system assets developed as part of the system as a	the projects shall be focused on maintaining ms. The project agreements (PAs) will include esting of software waveforms, and joint	FY 2003 0	FY 2004 100	FY 2005 200
Small Business Innovative Research/Small Business Technology Transfer Progr	ams	0	80	0
Totals		4561	2747	4801

B. Program Change Summary	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005
Previous President's Budget (FY 2004)	4559	4779	5263
Current Budget (FY 2005 PB)	4561	2747	4801
Total Adjustments	2	-2032	-462
Congressional program reductions		-2027	
Congressional rescissions			
Congressional increases			
Reprogrammings	2	-5	
SBIR/STTR Transfer			
Adjustments to Budget Years			-462

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIF	ICATION (R2 Exhibit)	February 2004
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Advanced Component Development and Prototypes	PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0603790A - NATO Research and De	PROJECT
C. Other Program Funding Summary: None		
D. Acquisition Strategy: All projects are test or technical demonstrations to fee product improvements to Current Force.	ed into potential new requirements in support of Arr	ny Transformation to the Future Force or as

ARMY RDT&E COST ANALYSIS(R3) February 2004 BUDGET ACTIVITY PE NUMBER AND TITLE PROJECT 4 - Advanced Component Development and Prototypes 0603790A - NATO Research and Development 691 . Product Development Contract Performing Activity & Total FY 2003 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2005 FY 2005 Cost To Total Target Method & Location PYs Cost Cost Cost Award Complete Cost Value of Award Award Cost Contract Type Date Date Date CPFF a . Multilateral C3S, CSC Fort 836 0 25 100 961 Interoperability Program Washington, PA (MIP) 520 0 b . International JIL Information 419 477 454 1870 Agreement Tracking Systems Vienna, VA System (IATS) - Software Development c . Low Level Air Defense 274 47 116 115 0 552 0 Interoperability (LLAPI) d . Shared Tactical TBD **TBD** n O 69 346 n 415 0 **Ground Picture** (STGP)/Single Integrated Ground Picture (SIGP) e. Combat Identification 25 25 0 418 344 812 0 100 0 f. Simulation & C2 753 564 100 1517 Information System Connectivity Experimentation (SINCE) -C2 Systems

g . Senior National

(SNR[A])

Representatives (Army)

TBD

TBD

824

0

623

0

692

312

1590

0

0

0

n

3729

312

ARMY RDT&E COST ANALYSIS(R3)										February 2004					
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Advanced Com	ponent De	evelopment and P	rototype		NUMBER AN 6 03790A -		esearch	and Dev	velopme	nt	PROJEC 691				
. Product Development (continued)	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2003 Cos		FY 2004 Cost	FY 2004 Award Date	FY 2005 Cost	FY 2005 Award Date	Complete	Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac			
i . Artillery Command and Control Interoperability (ASCA)	31		0	212		132		208		0	552	(
j . Joint Tactical Radio System (JTRS)			0	()	50		100		0	150	(
Subtotal:			4290	2468	3	1594		2518		0	10870	C			
II. Support Cost	Contract	Performing Activity &	Total	EY 200°	EV 2003	FY 2004	FY 2004	EY 2005	FY 2005	Cost To	Total	Targe			
II. Support Cost	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2003 Cos		FY 2004 Cost	FY 2004 Award Date	FY 2005 Cost	FY 2005 Award Date	Complete	Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac			
II. Support Cost	Method &				t Award Date		Award		Award	Complete		Value o			
• •	Method & Type	Location CECOM Ft.	PYs Cost	Cos	t Award Date	Cost	Award	Cost	Award	Complete	Cost	Value o			
a . MIP	Method & Type MIPR	Location CECOM Ft.	PYs Cost 183	Cos	t Award Date	Cost 25	Award	Cost 100	Award	Complete 0	Cost 308	Value o Contrac			

ARMY RDT&E COST ANALYSIS(R3) February 2004 PE NUMBER AND TITLE PROJECT BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Advanced Component Development and Prototypes 0603790A - NATO Research and Development 691 FY 2003 FY 2005 Cost To Total II. Support Cost Contract Performing Activity & Total FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2005 Target (continued) Method & Location PYs Cost Cost Award Cost Award Cost Award Complete Cost Value of Type Date Date Date Contract 322 25 e. Combat Identification **MIPR** 92 25 464 f . Simulation and C2 **MIPR** CECOM Ft. 484 166 118 100 100 0 Information System Monmouth, NJ Connectivity Experimentation (SINCE) g. SNR(A) **MIPR** 350 173 139 154 0 816 0 h. TRDP **MIPR** TBD 0 0 0 313 0 313 i. Artillery Command and 0 44 29 46 119 0 Control Interoperability (ASCA) j. Joint Tactical Radio n 50 75 0 25 System (JTRS) 957 891 502 1022 0 3372 0 Subtotal:

ARMY RDT&E COST ANALYSIS(R3) February 2004 BUDGET ACTIVITY PE NUMBER AND TITLE PROJECT 4 - Advanced Component Development and Prototypes 0603790A - NATO Research and Development 691 III. Test and Evaluation Contract Performing Activity & Total FY 2003 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2005 FY 2005 Cost To Total Target Method & Location PYs Cost Cost Award Cost Award Complete Cost Value of Award Cost Date Contract Type Date Date a. MIP **MIPR CECOM Ft** 122 0 25 100 247 0 Monmouth, NJ 73 77 0 b. IATS **MIPR** 60 68 278 c . Low Level Air Defense **MIPR** 49 38 12 13 112 0 Interoperability (LLAPI) d. Shared Tactical **MIPR** AMSAA, Aberdeen 0 0 10 52 0 62 0 **Ground Picture** Proving Ground, NJ (STGP)/Single Integrated Ground Picture (SIGP) e. Combat Identification **MIPR** 61 333 25 25 444 0 f. Simulation and C2 **MIPR CECOM Ft** 110 81 100 100 391 0 Information System Monmouth, NJ Connectivity Experimentation (SINCE) g. SNR(A) **MIPR** 233 119 93 103 0 548 0 h. TRDP **MIPR** 0 0 0 0 0 0 i. ASCA 0 30 20 31 0 81 0 i . Joint Tactical Radio 0 0 12 25 0 37 0 System (JTRS)

ARMY RDT&E COST ANALYSIS(R3)										February 2004					
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Advanced Com	PE NUMBER AND TITLE OGET ACTIVITY - Advanced Component Development and Prototypes O603790A - NATO Research and Development							PROJECT 691							
III. Test and Evaluation (continued)	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2003 Cost	FY 2003 Award Date	FY 2004 Cost	FY 2004 Award Date	FY 2005 Cost	FY 2005 Award Date	Complete	Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac			
Subtotal:			635	674		365		526		0	2200	(
V. Management Services	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2003 Cost	FY 2003 Award Date	FY 2004 Cost	FY 2004 Award Date	FY 2005 Cost	FY 2005 Award Date	Complete	Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac			
a. MIP	MIPR	PEO C3S, Ft. Monmouth, NJ	61	0		25		100		0	186	(
b. IATS	MIPR		31	34		33		38		0	136	(
c . Low Level Air Defense Interoperability (LLAPI)	MIPR		25	88		30		31		0	174	(
d . Shared Tactical Ground Picture (STGP)/Single Integrated Ground Picture (SIGP)	MIPR	LOGSA	0	0		5		25		0	30	(
e . Combat Identification	MIPR		31	301		25		25		0	382	(
f . Simulation and C2 Information System Connectivity	MIPR	CECOM, Ft. Monmouth, NJ	55	37		100		100		0	292	(

	AKIN	Y RDT&E CO	91 AN	ALYS	19(K3)				Feb	ruary 20	04	
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Advanced Component Development and Prototypes					PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0603790A - NATO Research and Development						PROJECT 691	
V. Management Services	Contract	Performing Activity &	Total	FY 2003	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2005	Cost To	Total	Targe
(continued)	Method &	Location	PYs Cost	Cost	Award	Cost	Award	Cost	Award	Complete	Cost	Value o
	Туре				Date		Date		Date			Contrac
g. SNR(A)	MIPR		117	54		46		51		0	268	
h. TRDP	MIPR	TBD	0	0		0		325		0	325	
i . Artillery Command and Control Interoperability (ASCA)			0	14		10		15		0	39	
j. JTRS			0	0		12		25		0	37	
Subtotal:			320	528		286		735		0	1869	
C LOCKER.	•											
Project Total Cost:			6202	4561		2747		4801		0	18311	