ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION (R2 Exhibit) February 2004 PE NUMBER AND TITLE **BUDGET ACTIVITY** 4 - Advanced Component Development and 0603779A - Environmental Quality Technology Dem/Val **Prototypes** FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2005 FY 2006 FY 2007 FY 2008 FY 2009 Cost to Total Cost COST (In Thousands) Estimate Estimate Actual Estimate Estimate Estimate Estimate Complete Total Program Element (PE) Cost 30980 40509 9356 9050 8839 15200 12327 Continuing Continuing 035 NATIONAL DEFENSE CNTR FOR ENVIRO 4562 4831 4813 8871 8839 15200 12327 Continuing Continuing **EXCELLENCE-NDCEE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION TECH** 0 0 0 0 04E 4005 5284 3225 0 15052 **VALIDATION** 04F COMMERCIALIZATION OF TECH TO LOWER 3009 0 0 0 13731 **DEFENSE COSTS** 041 TECHNOLOGIES TO REDUCE NON-1624 989 0 0 0 0 0 HAZARDOUS WASTE **ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE** 199 1318 179 n 0 0 04J 1271 2967 **TECHNOLOGY VALIDATION** 0 0 0 0 04K WASTE MINIMIZATION AND POLLUTION 1719 1385 0 **PREVENTION** F12 TRANSPORTABLE DETONATION CHAMBER 3344 4747 0 0 O 0 5786 **VALIDATION ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY INITIATIVE (CA)** E14 3362 0 0 0 0 0 3362 E15 ARSENIC REMOVAL (CA) 0 1681 0 0 0 0 0 0 1681 0 0 0 0 ABERDEEN PG ASBESTOS CONVERSION 1385 0 1385 E16 FACILITY (CA) E17 ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS 2473 O 0 0 0 0 2473 PROGRAM (CA) SUSTAINABLE INSTALLATIONS INITIATIVE 0 0 O 0 F19 989 0 989 (CA) CASTING EMISSION REDUCTION PROGRAM 6307 0 0 0 0 0 EN1 3955 11458 (CERP) EN3 MANAGING ARMY TECHNOLOGY ENVIRON 955 3114 0 0 0 0 0 1000 **ENHANCEMENTS**

	ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUS	February 2004								
	ACTIVITY vanced Component Development and ypes		PE NUMBER . 0603779<i>F</i>			l Quality	Techno	logy Der	m/Val	
EN6	UNEXPLODED ORDNANCE IN SUPPORT OF MILITARY READ	406 ⁻	1 5043	0	0	0	0	0	0	3400
EN7	VANADIUM TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	119	5 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1300

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification: There is a broad application potential for environmental quality technology (EQT) to be applied to multiple Army weapon systems and installations. However, technology must be validated (total ownership cost and performance data identified) before potential users will consider exploiting it. Therefore, this program element includes projects focused on validating the general military utility or cost reduction potential of technology when applied to different types of military equipment or techniques. It may include validations and proof-of-principle demonstrations in field exercises to evaluate upgrades or provide new operational capabilities. The validation of technologies will be in as realistic an operating environment as possible to assess performance or cost reduction potential. EQT demonstration/validation is systemic; i.e., applies to a class of systems (e.g., tanks or aircraft) or to a Department of Army-wide, multiple site/installation problem (e.g., unexploded ordnance detection and discrimination). This program will address, and eventually resource, programs in each of the environmental quality technology pillars (restoration, conservation, compliance, and pollution prevention). Work must be endorsed by potential users and supported by a state-of-the-art assessment (i.e., technology is well-in-hand).

B. Program Change Summary	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005
Previous President's Budget (FY 2004)	31121	11514	9454
Current Budget (FY 2005 PB)	30980	40509	9356
Total Adjustments	-141	28995	-98
Congressional program reductions		-384	
Congressional rescissions			
Congressional increases		29450	
Reprogrammings	-141	-71	
SBIR/STTR Transfer			
Adjustments to Budget Years			-98

Change Summary Explanation: Funding - FY 2004: There were eleven Congressionally added projects in FY04: Technologies to Reduce Non-Hazardous Was

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFI	CATION (R2 Exhibit)	February 2004
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Advanced Component Development and Prototypes	PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0603779A - Environmental Quality	Technology Dem/Val
te; Waste Minimization and Pollution Prevention; Transportable Detonation Char Ground Asbestos Conversion Facility; Army Environmental Solutions Program; Technology Environmental Enhancements.		

	ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUS	F	February 2004							
	ACTIVITY ranced Component Development and rypes		PE NUMBER 0603779<i>I</i> Dem/Val			l Quality	Techno	logy	PROJECT 035	
	COST (In Thousands)	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Estimate	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Estimate	FY 2007 Estimate	FY 2008 Estimate	FY 2009 Estimate	Cost to Complete	Total Cost
035	NATIONAL DEFENSE CNTR FOR ENVIRO EXCELLENCE-NDCEE	456	2 4831	4813	8871	8839	15200	12327	Continuing	Continuing

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification: The National Defense Center for Environmental Excellence (NDCEE) was established by Congress in 1990 with a directive to "serve as a national leadership organization to address high priority environmental problems for the Department of Defense (DoD), other government organizations, and the industrial community." The NDCEE Program is a national resource for developing and disseminating advanced environmental technologies. The NDCEE is used to demonstrate environmentally acceptable technology to industry; validate new technology prior to transferring that technology; and assist in the training of potential users as part of that technology transfer process. The NDCEE is a DoD resource for environmental quality management and technology validation. This program is managed by the Army on behalf of the Office of the Assistant Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Environment (ADUSD-E).

This project supports the Current to Future transition path of the Transformation Campaign Plan (TCP).

Accomplishments/Planned Program	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005
Management and operations of the NDCEE by the prime contractor.	1000	1000	1200
Industrial base integration, operation of the NDCEE environmental technology facility, and environmental information analysis.	0	500	400
Conduct demonstration/validation of environmentally acceptable technologies that enhance military readiness and reduce production, operating, and/or disposal costs.	2917	2791	2813
NDCEE Government program management during contract negotiations and execution and during project formulation, execution, and technology transfer.	645	400	400
Small Business Innovative Research/Small Business Technology Transfer Programs	0	140	0
Totals	4562	4831	4813

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION (R-2A Exhibit) February 2004 PE NUMBER AND TITLE BUDGET ACTIVITY PROJECT 4 - Advanced Component Development and 0603779A - Environmental Quality Technology 035 **Prototypes** Dem/Val B. Other Program Funding Summary: Not applicable for this item. C. Acquisition Strategy: The NDCEE is a national asset focused on DoD applications that include technology transfer to appropriate DoD organizations. An NDCEE task has been established that includes training of personnel to help exploit an NDCEE validated capability. This is essential to efficient and effective technology transfer. The NDCEE fosters an outreach program to describe its products and capabilities that includes publication of task results and participation in professional meetings, symposia, conferences, and coordination with industry as much as reasonable. The management strategy for the NDCEE centers on a DoD Executive Advisory Board (EAB) chaired by the DoD NDCEE Executive Agent on behalf of the ADUSD-E and composed of senior DoD leadership to direct and oversee NDCEE operations. The EAB is supported by an EAB Working Group (EABWG) that includes staff members from each of the offices represented on the EAB. The EABWG coordinates all NDCEE activities and reports back the EAB Principals. The EABWG is, in turn, supported by a Technical Working Group (TWG) that addresses the details of NDCEE program execution. The contracting strategy of the NDCEE is based on using an NDCEE Contracting Officer's Representative to validate all the contractual portions of the NDCEE and by technical monitors (TM) to oversee the technical aspects of each contracted task. TMs serve on the TWG. An NDCEE prime contractor operates an NDCEE test facility(s) to validate environmentally compatible technologies on a representative "shop floor". The NDCEE accounts for and conducts work for: (1) direct funded Army tasks; (2) reimbursable tasks from within DoD and from other Government agencies: and (3) Congressionally directed and funded tasks.

	AKM	Y RDT&E CO	SIAN	ALYS	18(R3)				February 2004				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Advanced Con	nponent De	evelopment and P	es 060	PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0603779A - Environmental Quality T Dem/Val					gy	PROJE(035			
I. Product Development	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2003 Cost	FY 2003 Award Date	FY 2004 Cost	FY 2004 Award Date	FY 2005 Cost	FY 2005 Award Date	Complete		Targe Value o Contrac	
a . Not applicable.			0	0		0		0		0	0		
Subtotal	:		0	0		0		0		0	0	(
II. Support Cost	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2003 Cost	FY 2003 Award Date	FY 2004 Cost	FY 2004 Award Date	FY 2005 Cost	FY 2005 Award Date			Targe Value o Contrac	
a . Technical Data	C; CPFF	Concurrent Technologies Corporation (CTC), Johnstown, PA	900	1000		1600	2Q	1600		Continue	Continue	Continu	
								1600		Continue		Continu	

	ARMY RDT&E COST ANALYSIS(R3) GET ACTIVITY PE NUMBER AND TITLE											
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Advanced Com	ponent De	evelopment and P	rototype	es 060			mental C	uality T	Technology 035			
III. Test and Evaluation	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2003 Cost	FY 2003 Award Date	FY 2004 Cost	FY 2004 Award Date	FY 2005 Cost	FY 2005 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
a . Development Testing	C; CPFF	Concurrent Technologies Corp.	2466	0		0		0		0	2466	2466
b . Development Testing	C; CPFF	To be determined **	0	2917		2831	2Q	2813	2Q	Continue	8561	Continue
			2466	2917		2831		2813		Continue	11027	Continue
Subtotal: Remarks: ** Note: There awarded on the new contra		re procurement in process		ill be made	second qua	ter in FY04.	The FY03	amount of \$	S2.9M will b	e used on t	he first tas	k order
Remarks: ** Note: There		re procurement in process		ill be made	second qua	ter in FY04.	The FY03	amount of \$	62.9M will b	e used on t	he first tas	k order
Remarks: ** Note: There		Performing Activity & Location Office of the Assistant Sec Army	Total PYs Cost	FY 2003 Cost	Second qual FY 2003 Award Date 2Q	FY 2004 Cost	The FY03 FY 2004 Award Date 2Q	amount of \$ FY 2005 Cost 400	S2.9M will b FY 2005 Award Date		Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Remarks: ** Note: There awarded on the new contract. IV. Management Services. a . Program Management.	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location Office of the Assistant	Total PYs Cost	FY 2003 Cost	FY 2003 Award Date	FY 2004 Cost	FY 2004 Award Date	FY 2005 Cost	FY 2005 Award	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	k order Target Value of Contract Continue
Remarks: ** Note: There awarded on the new contract. IV. Management Services. a . Program Management.	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location Office of the Assistant Sec Army (Installations and	Total PYs Cost	FY 2003 Cost	FY 2003 Award Date	FY 2004 Cost	FY 2004 Award Date	FY 2005 Cost	FY 2005 Award	Cost To Complete	Total Cost Continue	Target Value of Contract
Remarks: ** Note: There awarded on the new contract. IV. Management Services a . Program Management Support	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location Office of the Assistant Sec Army (Installations and	Total PYs Cost 1342	FY 2003 Cost 645	FY 2003 Award Date	FY 2004 Cost 400	FY 2004 Award Date	FY 2005 Cost 400	FY 2005 Award	Cost To Complete Continue	Total Cost Continue	Target Value of Contract Continue

	ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUS	it)	F							
	ACTIVITY vanced Component Development and vpes		PE NUMBER 0603779/ Dem/Val			ıl Quality	Techno	logy	PROJECT 04E	
	COST (In Thousands)	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Estimate	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Estimate	FY 2007 Estimate	FY 2008 Estimate	FY 2009 Estimate	Cost to Complete	Total Cost
04E	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION TECH VALIDATION	400	5 5284	3225	0	0	0	0	0	15052

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification: Unexploded Ordnance (UXO) Identification and Discrimination. The Army reported in the 2000 UXO Report to Congress that 72 installations have identified 531,167 acres of land known to be contaminated with UXO and an additional 940,438 acres of suspected UXO contamination. In addition, formerly used defense sites, many of which may no longer be under military ownership, may also have buried UXO. Current technologies are very expensive and have limited detection and discrimination capability in historical and active ranges, impact areas, landfills, underground storage locations, and open burning and open detonation sites. Technologies must be developed that are non-intrusive, accurately detect and discriminate scrap and shrapnel, and identify the orientation, configuration, and type of UXO. The development of identification/discrimination technologies is critical to increasing the safety to remove UXO, design appropriate removal operations, and decrease removal costs. The purpose is to demonstrate and validate UXO detection, discrimination, and identification systems that minimizes residual risk and significantly reduces remediation costs. The activities funded under this project implement the 1996 UXO Report to Congress and the 1998 Defense Science Board requirements to improve UXO discrimination capabilities by reducing false alarm rates tenfold while achieving greater than 90% probability of detection of a wide range of UXO in a variety of environmental and geologic conditions. The system will consist of arrays of sensors specifically designed to provide reliable signatures of buried UXO and advanced sensor fusion/signal analysis technologies that will allow robust discrimination and identification of buried UXO in the presence of man-made and natural clutter. This demonstration/validation program will be performed in stages, with prototype systems that incorporate the more mature technologies [magnetometry and multi-channel electromagnetic induction (EMI)]

This project supports the Current to Future transition path of the Transformation Campaign Plan (TCP).

Accomplishments/Planned Program - Validation of prototype systems and approaches that integrate advanced magnetometry and EMI sensors with fusion/analysis algorithms to improve buried UXO detection, discrimination, and identification at well-characterized controlled sites.	FY 2003 1114	FY 2004 222	<u>FY 2005</u> 199
- Controlled and live site validation of prototype UXO Multisensor and Analysis System.	791	1135	715
- Integrate advanced sensors in prototype UXO Multisensor and Analysis System.	1000	2514	635
- Live site validation of enhanced prototype UXO Multisensor and Analysis System.	1100	1260	1676

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUS	STIFICATION (R-2A Exhibit)	February 2004			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Advanced Component Development and Prototypes	Technology	PROJ 04E	ECT		
Accomplishments/Planned Program (continued) Small Business Innovative Research/Small Business Technology Transfe	er Programs.	FY 2003 0	FY 2004 153	FY 2005 0	
Totals	4005	5284	3225		

B. Other Program Funding Summary: Not applicable for this item.

C. Acquisition Strategy: The U.S. Army's Environmental Quality Technology (EQT) program provides new or innovative methods, equipment, materials, and/or protocols to reduce the total cost of Army operations and/or allow training operations to continue with minimum adverse impact on the environment that result from base operations and weapons system maintenance/support activities. The restoration demonstration/validation portion of EQT is designed to support Army-wide stewardship of its lands and facilities by focusing on the transfer of potential technological solutions to restoration problems on Army installations and to industry to support restoration of Army lands to their former or redesignated use. The restoration EQT demonstration/validation program goal is to support installation needs through exploitation of technology without compromising readiness or training. It accomplishes this goal in two steps. First, Technology Teams identify, prioritize, and justify technological solutions to Army high-priority environmental quality technology restoration requirements. Second, based on Department of the Army and Office of the Secretary of Defense guidance, funding authority is sought through the Army's planning, programming, and budgeting process. The EQT management oversight process consists of an Environmental Technology Technical Council (ETTC; a program management council), an Environmental Technology Integrated Process Team, (a working group supporting the ETTC) and, in this case, a Restoration Technology Team (composed of experts in restoration technology and in Army user needs).

This program is leveraging resources and knowledge gained from the Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program (SERDP), the Environmental Security Technology Certification Program (ESTCP) and the National Defense Center for Environmental Excellence (NDCEE).

BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Advanced Com ր		Y RDT&E CO		PE N	PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0603779A - Environmental Quality Dem/Val					PROJECT Technology 04E			
. Product Development	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2003 Cost		FY 2004 Cost	FY 2004 Award Date	FY 2005 Cost		Complete	Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac	
a . Validate developed or commercially available technologies to discriminate and identify buried UXO.	Allot	Army Environmental Center (AEC), Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD	500	650	2Q	0		0		0	1150		
b . In-House Development - Integration of sensors, hardware/software, & navigation system into prototype	Allot	Army Environmental Center (AEC), Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD	750	984	2Q	480	2Q	250	2Q	0	2464	(
Subtotal:			1250	1634		480		250		0	3614	(
I. Support Cost	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2003 Cost		FY 2004 Cost	FY 2004 Award Date	FY 2005 Cost		Complete	Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac	
Support at test site(s) to facilitate the validation of technologies,	Allot	U.S. Army ESC, Huntsville, AL; AEL, Vicksburg, MS; and ATC, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD*	250	500	2Q	0		0		0	750		
b . In-House Development - Preparation of Test Sites	Allot	AEC	370	680	2Q	415	2Q	635	2Q	0	2100		

ARMY RDT&E COST ANALYSIS(R3) February 2004 PE NUMBER AND TITLE PROJECT **BUDGET ACTIVITY** 4 - Advanced Component Development and Prototypes 0603779A - Environmental Quality Technology 04E Dem/Val FY 2003 Target II. Support Cost Contract Performing Activity & Total FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2005 FY 2005 Cost To Total Method & PYs Cost Cost Award Cost Cost Award Complete (continued) Location Award Cost Value of Date Date Date Contract Type 620 1180 415 635 0 2850 0 Subtotal: Remarks: *U.S. Army Engineering and Support Center, Huntsville, AL; U.S. Army Environmental Laboratory, Vicksburg, MS; and Aberdeen Test Center, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD III. Test and Evaluation Contract Performing Activity & Total FY 2003 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2005 FY 2005 Cost To Total Target Method & Location PYs Cost Cost Award Cost Award Cost Award Complete Cost Value of Type Date Date Date Contract a . Test & evaluate the U.S. Army ESC, 209 281 2Q 1166 20 581 2Q 0 2237 Allot Huntsville, AL: AEL. effectiveness of potential technologies to Vicksburg, MS; and

Remarks: *U.S. Army Engineering and Support Center, Huntsville, AL; U.S. Army Environmental Laboratory, Vicksburg, MS; and Aberdeen Test Center, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD

791

510

2Q

2913

4079

2Q

1416

1997

2Q

5100

7337

0

0

0

261

470

ATC, Aberdeen

AEC

Proving Ground, MD*

discriminate & identify

- Planning and Execution

b . In-House Development | Allot

Subtotal:

buried UXO.

	ARM	Y RDT&E CO	ST AN	ALYS	IS(R3)				February 2004				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Advanced Comp	oonent De	evelopment and P	es 06	iuмвек ani 03779A - m/Val		mental C	uality To	Technology			CT :		
IV. Management Services	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2003 Cost		FY 2004 Cost	FY 2004 Award Date	FY 2005 Cost	FY 2005 Award Date		Total Cost	Target Value of Contract	
a . In-house Management (ERDC)	MIPR	Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC), Vicksburg, MS	100	200	2Q	25	2Q	25	2Q	0	350	0	
b . In-House Management (AEC)	Allot	AEC	98	200	2Q	285	2Q	318	2Q	0	901	C	
Subtotal:			198	400		310		343		0	1251	C	
Project Total Cost:			2538	4005		5284		3225		0	15052	C	

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUS	STIFIC	CATION	(R-2A	Exhib	it)	F	ebruary 2	2004	
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Advanced Component Development and Prototypes		PE NUMBER 0603779/ Dem/Val			l Quality	Techno	logy	PROJECT 04J	
COST (In Thousands)	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Estimate	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Estimate	FY 2007 Estimate	FY 2008 Estimate	FY 2009 Estimate	Cost to Complete	Total Cost
04J ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE TECHNOLOGY VALIDATION	19	9 1271	1318	179	0	0	0	0	2967

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification: This project will support the "Sustainable Army Live-Fire Range Design and Maintenance" task by: (1) identifying risk assessment parameters for determining environmental compliance for training and live-fire operations and to identify on-post and off-post impacts; (2) demonstrating and validating a compliance risk assessment model for range siting, design, and maintenance to provide input to the military construction process; and (3) validating improved design elements for ranges that incorporate erosion and contaminant control technologies for current range problems and to support future sustainable range designs. Sustainable range designs and maintenance procedures will be validated based on lessons-learned and technologies and procedures developed in this effort, other programs, and other requirements. This project will validate a systemic capability to perform range specific assessments that are complementary to the Army Training and Testing Area Carrying Capacity methodology. This project will be overseen and executed by the Army Environmental Center (lead) and the Army Engineer Research and Development Center (testing/validation support).

This project supports the Current to Future transition path of the Transformation Campaign Plan (TCP).

Accomplishments/Planned Program	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005
- Validate a range maintenance prediction and reduction capability and a compliance impact prediction capability incorporating	199	0	0
ordnance and erosion considerations.			
- Demonstrate a Range Risk Assessment Model	0	125	0
- Demonstrate and validate Range Design Specifications	0	1053	1133
- Demonstrate the Munitions Carrying Capacity Model	0	56	185
Small Business Innovative Research/Small Business Technology Transfer Programs	0	37	0
Totals	199	1271	1318

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION (R-2A Exhibit)

February 2004

BUDGET ACTIVITY

4 - Advanced Component Development and Prototypes

PE NUMBER AND TITLE

PROJECT

0603779A - Environmental Quality Technology

04J

Dem/Val

B. Other Program Funding Summary: Not applicable for this item.

C. Acquisition Strategy: The Army Environmental Quality Technology (EQT) is an integrated user-focused program developing technology applications and providing technology transfer to appropriate Army organizations. The EQT requirements generation process is a bottom-up, user driven process. Army installation and major command (MACOM) stakeholders define technology material requirements for compliance. An Army Compliance Technology Team, which includes MACOM representation, formulates, defends, and helps oversee technical programs required to satisfy user needs, to include validation. EQT compliance technology demonstration and validation projects are executed in coordination with Army installation and MACOM users. In some cases, technology validation (either pilot or full scale) occurs at one or more installation or facilities having identified the compliance technology requirement. This is essential to efficient and effective technology transfer, as it provides installation participation and helps enable technology pull to occur. Once compliance technology capabilities are validated, technology transfer can occur via 1) direct implementation by using installations, 2) licensing of the technology to commercial entities that will provide service and implementation to the installations, and 3) licensing under cooperative research and development agreements in cases where commercial entities have provided cost sharing in the technology development. Additionally, products and capabilities will be transferred through publication of results and participation in professional meetings, symposia, conferences, and cooperation with industry as much as reasonable.

This project is currently focused on the "Sustainable Army Live-Fire Range Design and Maintenance" task, which is currently the only existing, funded task in this project. That task will validate a systemic capability to perform range specific assessments that are complementary to Army training and testing area carrying capacity estimates. It will be accomplished by using technical expertise from multiple Government organizations. Product development support will utilize personnel from the Construction Engineering Research and Development Laboratories, U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center and from the Army Environmental Center. Demonstration site support will be performed primarily by the Huntsville District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Test and evaluation will be executed with a mix of Government personnel and supporting contractors.

ARMY RDT&E COST ANALYSIS(R3) February 2004 PE NUMBER AND TITLE PROJECT **BUDGET ACTIVITY** 4 - Advanced Component Development and Prototypes 0603779A - Environmental Quality Technology 04J Dem/Val Product Development Contract Performing Activity & Total FY 2003 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2005 FY 2005 Cost To Total Target Method & Location PYs Cost Cost Cost Award Complete Cost Value of Award Award Cost Contract Type Date Date Date a. Testing requirements **MIPR** Army Environmental 0 0 150 2Q 150 2Q 181 481 and documentation Center. Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD; see remarks. 0 0 150 150 181 0 481 Subtotal: Remarks: Actual performing activity and location will be determined through input from an Army EQT product Delivery Team chaired by the Army Environmental Center (AEC). FY 2003 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2005 FY 2005 Cost To II. Support Cost Contract Performing Activity & Total Total Target Method & Location PYs Cost Cost Award Cost Award Cost Award Complete Cost Value of Contract Type Date Date Date MIPR Huntsville Division. 50 2Q 50 2Q 0 0 0 100 Demonstration/validation US Army Corps of Engineers site support US Army Construction O **MIPR** 0 50 50 100 0 Engineering Research Demonstration/validation site support Laboratories, Urbana, IL

0

0

200

100

Subtotal:

100

0

200

0

ARMY RDT&E COST ANALYSIS(R3)									February 2004				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Advanced Component Development and Prototypes					PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0603779A - Environmental Quality Dem/Val					PROJECT			
II. Test and Evaluation	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2003 Cost	FY 2003 Award Date	FY 2004 Cost	FY 2004 Award Date	FY 2005 Cost	FY 2005 Award Date		Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac	
a . Validation testing	MIPR	Army Environmental Center, MD; see remarks.	0	0		946	2Q	993	2Q	0	1939	(
Subtotal:			0	0		946		993		0	1939	(
			_	·	·	·	·		·				
Remarks: Actual performin	ng activity and	l location will be determin	ed through i	nput from a		product De	livery ream	i cnaired by	the Army E	nvironinent	ai Cerilei	(ALC).	
	Contract Method &	Performing Activity & Location	ed through i Total PYs Cost	FY 2003 Cost	FY 2003 Award Date	FY 2004 Cost	FY 2004 Award Date	FY 2005 Cost	FY 2005 Award Date		Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac	
V. Management Services a . Management by the Army Environmental	Contract	Performing Activity &	Total	FY 2003	FY 2003 Award	FY 2004	FY 2004 Award	FY 2005	FY 2005 Award	Cost To	Total	Targe Value o Contrac	
Remarks: Actual performin V. Management Services a . Management by the Army Environmental Center (AEC)	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location AEC, Aberdeen	Total PYs Cost	FY 2003 Cost	FY 2003 Award	FY 2004 Cost	FY 2004 Award	FY 2005 Cost	FY 2005 Award	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Targe Value o	
V. Management Services a . Management by the Army Environmental	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location AEC, Aberdeen	Total PYs Cost	FY 2003 Cost	FY 2003 Award	FY 2004 Cost	FY 2004 Award	FY 2005 Cost	FY 2005 Award	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac	
V. Management Services a . Management by the Army Environmental Center (AEC)	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location AEC, Aberdeen	Total PYs Cost	FY 2003 Cost 199	FY 2003 Award	FY 2004 Cost 75	FY 2004 Award	FY 2005 Cost 75	FY 2005 Award	Cost To Complete	Total Cost 349	Targe Value o Contrac	