|  | RDT&E BUDGET ITEM          | DATE              | DATE <b>February 2003</b>                            |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |            |  |
|--|----------------------------|-------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------|--|
| BUDGET ACTIVITY  07 - Operational System Development |                            |                   | PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0708011F Industrial Preparedness |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |            |  |
|  | COST (\$ in Thousands)     | FY 2002<br>Actual | FY 2003<br>Estimate                                  | FY 2004<br>Estimate | FY 2005<br>Estimate | FY 2006<br>Estimate | FY 2007<br>Estimate | FY 2008<br>Estimate | FY 2009<br>Estimate | Cost to<br>Complete | Total Cost |  |
| 2865   | Manufacturing Technology   | 55,694            | 44,381   | 39,396              | 40,112              | 39,505              | 40,157              | 40,787              | 41,336              | Continuing          | TBD        |  |
|  | Quantity of RDT&E Articles | 0                 | 0  | 0                   | 0                   | 0                   | 0                   | 0                   | 0                   | 0                   | 0          |  |

Note: Program funding was reduced in FY 2003 from FY 2002 due to higher priority Air Force requirements.

#### (U) A. Mission Description

The DoD Manufacturing Technology (ManTech) program is mandated by Section 2521, Title 10, United States Code, to create an affordable, world-class industrial base manufacturing capability responsive to warfighter's needs. The Air Force ManTech major program tenets are: improvement of manufacturing processes and technologies; collaboration with Government program offices, industry, and academia; investments in technologies beyond reasonable risk level for industry alone; cost-sharing; multiple system/customer applications; potential for significant return on investment; and customer commitment to implement. To this end, ManTech develops, demonstrates, and transitions advanced manufacturing processes and technologies to reduce costs, improve quality/capability, and shorten cycle times of weapon systems during design, development, production, and sustainment. ManTech projects include efforts that respond to Government program office acquisition and sustainment requirements to reduce cost, schedule, cycle time, and risks during transition of technology. Where mature processes are not available, laboratory-developed initial process capabilities are matured and inserted into weapon system programs. ManTech's project scope often extends beyond factory floor manufacturing/repair processes, encompassing every activity within an industrial enterprise, ranging from business management tools to supplier base interactions and performance. ManTech program efforts also enhance repair/remanufacture capabilities to affordably sustain the aging weapon systems inventory, thereby, reducing total ownership costs. ManTech objectives are conducted through partnership with all industry levels, from large prime contractors to small material and parts vendors. Program planning centers on the aeronautical, sustainment, armament/directed energy, and command, control, intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance sectors of the industrial base. Note: In FY 2003, Congress added \$3.2M for Prototype Low-Observable Coatings Development, \$2.0M

#### (U) FY 2002 (\$ in Thousands)

(U) \$0 Accomplishments/Planned Program

U) \$24,870 Launched affordable and efficient manufacturing technology investigations for critical, high quality and reliable structural, propulsion, and electronic components and assemblies required for existing and next generation aircraft (e.g., missile warning sensor). Conducted high-value pilot efforts to verify advantages of flexible manufacturing, commercial/military integration, quality processing, and supplier improvements (e.g., Composites Affordability Initiative). Leveraged specialty aerospace metals work into metals affordability initiatives focused on laser forming, casting, welding, and forging. Focused long-term projects using lean enterprise integration tools. Delivered final version of the Lean Enterprise

Project 2865 Page 1 of 8 Pages Exhibit R-2 (PE 0708011F)

|     | RDT                 | &E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIF   | TICATION SHEET (R-2 Exhibit)   | DATE <b>February 2003</b>  |
|-----|---------------------|---|--|--|
|     | GET ACTIVITY        | ystem Development   | PE NUMBER AND TITLE  0708011F Industrial Prepared  | PROJECT  |
| (U) | A. Mission Descrip  | otion Continued   |  |  |
| (U) | FY 2002 (\$ in Thou | Self-Assessment Tool and Transition to<br>University. Continued rapid response p<br>manufacture of more affordable low-ob<br>weight fiber composites for transition to<br>investigations for manufacturing model  | Lean Roadmaps to aerospace industry. Completed Lean Enroductivity improvement efforts with selected high value proservable coatings. Established processing parameters for one C-17. Planned effort to reduce high-cycle fatigue dampining and simulation activity to reduce the number of engineer Manufacturing Simulation for Affordability).   | orograms. Continued activities aimed at optimized manufacture of high strength, lowing in engine components. Completed   |
| (U) | \$13,267            | Provided cost-effective repair and manureadiness. Completed reconfigurable to maintenance, repair, and overhaul active established remanufacturing capabilities benefits derived from inserting electron effort to extend the life of critical, high-productivity improvement efforts to over | afacturing technologies for affordable sustainment of existing technologies for affordable sustainment of existing pol for rapid, accurate sheet metal stretch forming. Complete ities at organic and contractor depots. Reduced repair and its which rapidly generate standardized replacement parts on the parts obsolescence management tools into weapon system a value rotating engine components exposed to high cycle factorized representations of the process o | eted transition of lean concepts applied to maintenance cycle time for aging systems and a demand. Continued pilot efforts to assess am production programs. Initiated technical atigue environments. Continued rapid response wake of hot engine exhaust, improve Air |
| (U) | \$7,169             | Pursued efficient and cost-effective man<br>efficient propulsion methods for advance<br>inserting best practices from small and a<br>AIM-9X). Initiated joint program with<br>Systems. Continued rapid response pro   | nufacturing methods for high performance, high reliability of ced tactical missiles. Established system-level, pilot efforts medium size suppliers into weapon system production prog Navy to provide lower drift rate Inertial Measurement Unit oductivity improvement efforts to: increase production (surgnaterial acceptable for use in airborne laser turret windows;   | electronics, lightweight structures, and s to assess potential benefits accrued from grams (e.g., Joint Direct Attack Munition, t (IMU) for Micro-Electro-Mechanical rge) rate of IMUs for precision-guided  |
| (U) | \$5,348             | Provided affordable, flexible manufacture vehicles. Established effective and efficient required for surveillance, tracking commefficient manufacturing capability for locontrol, communications, and intelligent (e.g., leverage standard modular spaces).                                   | uring process development to reduce cost and lead-time for cient manufacturing technology for critical high quality, rel munications links, and data/signal processing. Conducted pow-rate production capability of components and weapon synce industrial base sectors. Continued efforts to rapidly responsite architecture using flexible multi-mission production linimprovement effort to improve affordability of space-based  | liable electronic components and assemblies pilot efforts to demonstrate enhanced and systems in the space, launch, and command, pond to space sector manufacturing issues nes to achieve cost and cycle time reductions).   |
| F   | Project 2865        |   | Page 2 of 8 Pages  | Exhibit R-2 (PE 0708011F)  |

|                          | RDT   | &E BUDGET ITEM JUST  | IFICATION SHEET (R-2 Exhibit)  | DATE February 2003  |
|--------------------------|---|--|--|---|
|                          | geт астіvітү<br>- <mark>Operational S</mark> y      | ystem Development  | PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0708011F Industrial Preparednes  | PROJECT <b>2865</b>   |
| <b>(U)</b>               | A. Mission Descrip                                  | tion Continued   |  |   |
| (U)<br>(U)<br>(U)<br>(U) | FY 2002 (\$ in Thou<br>\$4,049<br>\$991<br>\$55,694 | Developed tasks associated with Adv  | vanced Low-Observable Coatings (e.g., increased sputtering rate duer Peening for F-119 Engine (e.g., increased damage tolerance of in  | 0 11  |
| (U)<br>(U)<br>(U)        | FY 2003 (\$ in Thou<br>\$0<br>\$19,540              | Accomplishments/Planned Program Continue affordable and efficient ma and electronic components and assen advantages of flexible manufacturing Affordability Initiative). Complete n aimed at manufacture of more afforda | nufacturing technology investigations for critical, high quality and abblies required for existing and next generation aircraft. Continue has, commercial/military integration, quality processing, and supplier netals affordability initiatives focused on laser forming, casting, we able low-observable coatings. Start effort to reduce high-cycle fatigup provement effort to address manufacturing issues related to agile and approvement effort to address manufacturing issues related to agile and approvement effort to address manufacturing issues related to agile and approvement effort to address manufacturing issues related to agile and approvement effort to address manufacturing issues related to agile and approvement effort to address manufacturing issues related to agile and approvement effort to address manufacturing issues related to agile and approvement effort to address manufacturing issues related to agile and approvement effort to address manufacturing issues related to agile and approvement effort to address manufacturing issues related to agile and approvement effort to address manufacturing issues related to agile and approvement effort to address manufacturing issues related to agile and approvement effort to address manufacturing issues related to agile and approvement effort to address manufacturing issues related to agile and approvement effect to address manufacturing issues related to agile and approvement effect to address manufacturing issues related to agile and approvement effect to a decrease and approvement effect to address manufacturing issues and approvement effect to address manufacturing issues and approximate a | high-value pilot efforts to verify improvements (e.g., Composites lding, and forging. Continue activities gue damping in engine components. |
| (U)                      | \$12,185  | pilot efforts to assess benefits derived   | ufacturing technologies for affordable sustainment of aircraft and to<br>d from inserting electronic parts obsolescence management tools in<br>the life of critical, high-value rotating engine components exposed to  | to weapon system production programs.   |
| (U)                      | \$4,094   | Continue development of efficient an tactical missiles and aircraft missiles for Micro-Electro-Mechanical System   | ad cost-effective manufacturing methods for high performance, high<br>sensors. Continue joint program with Navy to provide a lower drift<br>ins. Complete rapid response productivity improvement efforts to in<br>rovide high quality glass material acceptable for use in airborne las   | rate Inertial Measurement Unit (IMU) ncrease production (surge) rate of IMUs  |
| (U)                      | \$1,119   | Develop risk reduction efforts addres  | sing critical manufacturing issues for various command, control, in<br>orts on components such as electronically scanned arrays to improv  | telligence, surveillance, and   |
| (U)                      | \$3,093   | Develop tasks associated with Prototy  | ype Low-Observable Coatings Development (e.g., increase sputteri   | ng rate during coating application).  |
| (U)<br>(U)               | \$1,933<br>\$1,451                                  | -  | Peening for F-119 Engine (e.g., increase damage tolerance of integrology Insertion Demonstration and Evaluation (e.g., supply chain respectively).   | •   |
| F                        | Project 2865  |  | Page 3 of 8 Pages  | Exhibit R-2 (PE 0708011F)   |

|                   | RDT                                       | &E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATI  | ON SHEET (R-2 Exhibit)  | DATE February 2003  |
|-------------------|---|---|---|---|
| •                 | GET ACTIVITY - Operational S              | ystem Development   | PE NUMBER AND TITLE  0708011F Industrial Preparedness   | PROJECT 2865  |
| (U)               | A. Mission Descri                         | ption Continued   |   |   |
| (U)<br>(U)<br>(U) | FY 2003 (\$ in Thors<br>\$966<br>\$44,381 |   | ell Nickel-Metal Hydride Aircraft Battery (e.g., performance  | testing and environmental testing).   |
| (U)<br>(U)<br>(U) | FY 2004 (\$ in Thorse)<br>\$0<br>\$16,423 | Accomplishments/Planned Program Pursue affordable and efficient manufacturing te electronic components and assemblies required f for the Affordable Missile Warning Sensor for la commercial/military integration, quality process develop manufacturing capabilities for more afformation. | chnology investigations for critical, high quality, reliable str<br>for existing and next generation aircraft. Complete manufacturge aircraft. Continue high value pilot efforts to verify advaing, and supplier improvements (e.g., Composites Affordabitordable low-observable structures. Continue effort to reduce onse producibility improvement efforts with selected high verifications.   | turability efforts of laser components antages of flexible manufacturing, lity Initiative). Investigate and a high-cycle fatigue damping in |
| (U)               | \$8,085                                   | Pursue cost-effective repair and manufacturing to<br>pilot efforts to demonstrate benefits from insertin<br>Maintain technical effort to extend the life of cri   | echnologies for affordable sustainment of aircraft and turbin ag electronic parts obsolescence management tools into weatical, high-value rotating engine components, which have be a effort). Initiate/continue rapid response producibility improvements.   | ne engine components. Complete pon system production programs. Seen exposed to high cycle fatigue   |
| (U)               | \$4,735                                   | Continue to pursue efficient and cost-effective m<br>missiles, aircraft missile sensors (e.g., Inertial M<br>Initiate manufacturing technology efforts suppor   | nanufacturing methods for high performance, high reliability easurement Unit for Micro-Electro-Mechanical Systems effiting producibility/affordability improvements in high priority oducibility improvement efforts with selected high value pro-  | ort), and directed energy systems.  ty precision-guided munitions   |
| (U)               | \$10,153                                  | Continue efforts to address critical electronics m and reconnaissance platforms. Focus efforts on   | anufacturing technologies for various command, command, components such as electronically scanned arrays to improve oducibility improvement efforts with selected high value producibility in the selected high value producibility | control, intelligence, surveillance,<br>e producibility, reliability, and   |
| (U)               | \$39,396                                  | Total   | oducionity improvement errorts with selected inght value pr   | <i>y</i> grumo.   |
| (U)               |   | dget Activity 7, Operational System Development, si   | ince it provides support for systems in design, production, and fense Planning Guidance and the Air Force Planning Guidan   | ÷   |
| P                 | roject 2865                               |   | Page 4 of 8 Pages   | Exhibit R-2 (PE 0708011F)   |

|              | RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUST  | TIFICA <sup>.</sup> | TION SH          | IEET (R             | -2 Exhib                    | oit)                        |                     | DATE<br><b>Febru</b>    | ary 2003            |
|--------------|---|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
|              | ET ACTIVITY Operational System Development  |                     | PE               | NUMBER AN           |                             | -                           | ness                |                         | PROJECT <b>2865</b> |
| (U)          | C. Program Change Summary (\$ in Thousands)   |                     |                  |                     |                             |                             |                     |                         |                     |
| (U)<br>(U)   | Previous President's Budget Appropriated Value  |                     |                  | ]                   | FY 2002<br>58,406<br>58,982 | FY 2003<br>37,581<br>45,281 |                     | <u>Y 2004</u><br>40,319 | Total Cost          |
| (U)          | Adjustments to Appropriated Value a. Congressional/General Reductions b. Small Business Innovative Research c. Omnibus or Other Above Threshold Reprogram |                     |                  |                     | -576<br>-1,538              | -478<br>-422                |                     |                         |                     |
| (U)          | d. Below Threshold Reprogram e. Rescissions Adjustments to Budget Years Since FY 2003 PBR   |                     |                  |                     | -900<br>-274                | 122                         |                     | -923                    |                     |
| (U)          | Current Budget Submit/FY 2004 PBR   |                     |                  |                     | 55,694                      | 44,381                      | -                   | 39,396                  | TBD                 |
| (U)          | Significant Program Changes: Not applicable.  |                     |                  |                     |                             |                             |                     |                         |                     |
| ( <b>U</b> ) |   | FY 2004 Estimate    | FY 2005 Estimate | FY 2006<br>Estimate | FY 2007 Estimate            | FY 2008 Estimate            | FY 2009<br>Estimate | Cost to Complete        | Total Cost          |
| (U)          | AF RDT&E Other APPN Not Applicable.   |                     |                  |                     |                             |                             |                     |                         |                     |
|              | E. Acquisition Strategy All major contracts in this Program Element were awarded  | l after full a      | and open com     | petition.           |                             |                             |                     |                         |                     |
| (U)          | F. Schedule Profile   |                     | ]                | FY 2002             |                             | FY 20                       | 003                 |                         | FY 2004             |
|              |   |                     |                  |                     |                             |                             |                     |                         |                     |
| Р            | roject 2865   |                     | Page 5 of        | 8 Pages             |                             |                             |                     | Exhibit R-2             | 2 (PE 0708011F)     |

|     | RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET (R-2 Exhibit)  |     |                |           |   |   |                |           |   | February 2003 |                  |                    |      |
|-----|--|-----|----------------|-----------|---|---|----------------|-----------|---|---------------|------------------|--------------------|------|
|     | BUDGET ACTIVITY  07 - Operational System Development  0708011F Industrial Preparedness   |     |                |           |   |   |                |           |   |               |                  | PRO.<br><b>286</b> |      |
|     | F. Schedule Profile Continued  | 1   | <u>FY</u><br>2 | 2002<br>3 | 4 | 1 | <u>FY</u><br>2 | 2003<br>3 | 4 | 1             | <u>FY 2</u><br>2 | 2 <u>004</u><br>3  | 4    |
|     | Manufacturing technology for aeronautical systems Request for Proposal Release Contract Awards Repair/remanufacture technologies for weapon systems sustainment Request for Proposal Release Contract Awards Manufacturing technologies for missiles, munitions, directed energy Request for Proposal Release Contract Awards Mfg for command, control, intel, surveillance, and reconnaissance Request for Proposal Release | * * | * *            | *         |   |   | X<br>X         | X         |   | X<br>X<br>X   | X<br>X           |                    |      |
| (U) | Contract Awards  * = completed, X = planned  |     | *              |           |   |   |                | X         |   |               | X                |                    |      |
| P   | Project 2865   | Pag | ge 6 of 8 I    | Pages     |   |   |                |           |   | Exhibit       | R-2 (PE          | ≣ 07080            | 11F) |

|     | RDT&E PROC                              | RAM ELE           | MENT/P            | ROJECT C           | OST B          | REAKDO                    | WN (R-3)      | )             | DATE <b>F</b> ( | ebruary 2     | 003                    |
|-----|---|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------------|
|     | GET ACTIVITY  Operational System        | Developme         | nt                |                    | =              | BER AND TITLE  11F Indust | trial Prepa   | redness       |                 |               | PROJECT<br><b>2865</b> |
| (U) | A. Project Cost Breakdown               | n (\$ in Thousan  | ds)               |                    |                |                           |               |               |                 |               |                        |
|     |   |                   |                   |                    |                |                           | <u>FY</u>     | <u>2002</u>   | FY 20           | <u>03</u>     | FY 2004                |
| (U) | Manufacturing technologies              | for aeronautical  | systems           |                    |                |                           | 24            | ,870          | 19,54           | 10            | 16,423                 |
| (U) | Repair/remanufacture techno             | ologies for weapo | on system sust    | ainment            |                |                           | 13            | ,267          | 12,18           | 35            | 8,085                  |
| (U) | Manufacturing technologies              | for missiles, mu  | nitions, and di   | rected energy we   | apons          |                           | 7             | ,169          | 4,09            | 94            | 4,735                  |
| (U) | Manufacturing technologies              | for command, co   | ontrol, intellig  | ence, surveillance | e, and recon   | naissance                 | 5             | ,348          | 1,11            | 9             | 10,153                 |
| (U) | Bipolar Wafer-Cell Nickel M             | letal-Hydride Ai  | rcraft Battery    | I                  |                |                           |               | 0             | 96              | 66            |                        |
| (U) | Prototype Low-Observable (              | Coatings Develop  | oment             |                    |                |                           | 4             | ,049          | 3,09            | 93            |                        |
| (U) | Laser Peening for F-119 Eng             | gine              |                   |                    |                |                           |               | 991           | 1,93            | 33            |                        |
| (U) | Technology Insertion Demo               | nstration and Eva | aluation          |                    |                |                           |               |               | 1,45            | 51            |                        |
| (U) | Total                                   |                   |                   |                    |                |                           | 55            | ,694          | 44,38           | 31            | 39,396                 |
| (U) | <b>B. Budget Acquisition Historical</b> | ory and Plannin   | g Informatio      | n (\$ in Thousand  | ds)            |                           |               |               |                 |               |                        |
| (U) | Performing Organizations:               |                   |                   |                    |                |                           |               |               |                 |               |                        |
|     | Contractor or                           | Contract          |                   |                    |                |                           |               |               |                 |               |                        |
|     | Government                              | Method/Type       | Award or          | <b>Performing</b>  | <b>Project</b> |                           |               |               |                 |               |                        |
|     | Performing                              | or Funding        | <b>Obligation</b> | Activity           | <u>Office</u>  | <b>Total Prior</b>        | <b>Budget</b> | <b>Budget</b> | <b>Budget</b>   | Budget to     | <u>Total</u>           |
|     | Activity                                | <u>Vehicle</u>    | <u>Date</u>       | EAC                | <u>EAC</u>     | to FY 2002                | FY 2002       | FY 2003       | FY 2004         | Complete      | Progran                |
|     | Product Development Organ               | izations          |                   |                    |                |                           |               |               |                 | _             | _                      |
|     | Advanced Technology Inst                | Coop Agmt         | Aug 00            | N/A                | N/A            | 500                       | 325           | 0             | 0               | 0             | 825                    |
|     | Aerojet-General Corp                    | Coop Agmt         | Various           | N/A                | N/A            | 1,850                     | 300           | 0             | 0               | 0             | 2,150                  |
|     | Anteon                                  | Various           | Various           | N/A                | N/A            | 6,042                     | 644           | 966           | 0               | 0             | 7,652                  |
|     | AT&T Government                         | Cost Plus         | Mar 02            | N/A                | N/A            | 0                         | 300           | 0             | 0               | 0             | 300                    |
|     | Solutions                               |                   |                   |                    |                |                           |               |               |                 |               |                        |
|     | Boeing                                  | Various           | Various           | N/A                | N/A            | 20,990                    | 4,680         | 1,180         | 1,030           | 0             | 27,880                 |
|     | Central State University                | Cost Share        | Jul 00            | N/A                | N/A            | 212                       | 100           | 88            | 0               | 0             | 400                    |
|     | Frontier Technologies                   | Cost Plus         | May 00            | N/A                | N/A            | 150                       | 215           | 192           | 0               | 0             | 557                    |
|     | GE                                      | Coop Agmt         | Jun 99            | N/A                | N/A            | 898                       | 0             | 0             | 0               | 0             | 898                    |
|     | GRC                                     | Cost Plus         | Various           | N/A                | N/A            | 2,170                     | 300           | 0             | 0               | 0             | 2,470                  |
|     | Honeywell                               | Various           | Various           | N/A                | N/A            | 900                       | 1,790         | 1,500         | 1,500           | 0             | 5,690                  |
| Р   | roject 2865                             |                   |                   | D.                 | ge 7 of 8 Pa   |                           |               |               | Г./ <b>Ь</b> :Ь | oit R-3 (PE 0 | 700011 <b>5</b> \      |

|     | RDT&E PROG  | RAM ELE      | EMENT/PF | ROJECT C | OST B         | REAKDO                    | WN (R-3) | )       | DATE <b>F</b> ( | ebruary 20      | 03           |
|-----|---|--------------|----------|----------|---------------|---------------------------|----------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|
|     | GET ACTIVITY Operational System                     | Developme    | ent      |          | =             | BER AND TITLE  11F Indust |          |         | PROJECT<br>2865 |                 |              |
| (U) | Performing Organizations Product Development Organi |              |          |          |               |                           |          |         |                 |                 |              |
|     | KBSI  | Cost Share   | Nov 98   | N/A      | N/A           | 2,975                     | 375      | 0       | 0               | 0               | 3,350        |
| 1   | Lockheed Martin                                     | Various      | Various  | N/A      | N/A           | 11,795                    | 3,079    | 1,371   | 995             | 0               | 17,240       |
|     | LSP Technologies                                    | Cost Share   | Various  | N/A      | N/A           | 5,793                     | 1,108    | 1,933   | 0               | 0               | 8,834        |
|     | Mississippi State University                        | Cost Share   | Jul 00   | N/A      | N/A           | 150                       | 100      | 0       | 0               | 0               | 250          |
|     | MIT   | Coop Agmt    | Various  | N/A      | N/A           | 8,800                     | 1,656    | 0       | 0               | 0               | 10,456       |
| 1   | Motorola  | Tech Int Agr | Mar 99   | N/A      | N/A           | 1,939                     | 0        | 0       | 0               | 0               | 1,939        |
|     | Northrop Grumman                                    | Various      | Various  | N/A      | N/A           | 17,997                    | 7,188    | 4,744   | 2,100           | 0               | 32,029       |
|     | Pratt & Whitney                                     | Tech Int Agr | Jun 99   | N/A      | N/A           | 5,600                     | 350      | 0       | 0               | 0               | 5,950        |
|     | Raytheon  | Coop Agmt    | Aug 00   | N/A      | N/A           | 500                       | 600      | 0       | 0               | 0               | 1,100        |
|     | TMCI  | Cost Plus    | Various  | N/A      | N/A           | 875                       | 760      | 0       | 0               | 0               | 1,635        |
|     | TRW   | Coop Agmt    | Various  | N/A      | N/A           | 3,325                     | 1,290    | 0       | 0               | 0               | 4,615        |
|     | Univ Dayton Res Inst                                | Cost Plus    | Apr 02   | N/A      | N/A           | 200                       | 3,100    | 5,004   | 4,400           | 0               | 12,704       |
|     | Univ Maryland                                       | Coop Agmt    | Jun 99   | N/A      | N/A           | 1,900                     | 350      | 300     | 0               | 0               | 2,550        |
|     | UTC   | Various      | Various  | N/A      | N/A           | 280                       | 250      | 300     | 0               | 0               | 830          |
|     | Various   | Various      | Various  | N/A      | N/A           | 50,005                    | 26,834   | 26,803  | 29,371          | Continuing      | TBD          |
|     | Support and Management Or                           | ganizations  |          |          |               | ,                         | ,        | ,       | ,               | C               |              |
|     | In house support                                    |              |          |          |               |                           |          |         |                 |                 |              |
|     | Test and Evaluation Organiza                        | ations       |          |          |               |                           |          |         |                 |                 |              |
|     |   |              |          |          |               | Total Prior               | Budget   | Budget  | Budget          | Budget to       | <u>Total</u> |
|     | Subtotals   |              |          |          |               | to FY 2002                | FY 2002  | FY 2003 | FY 2004         | Complete        | Progran      |
|     | Subtotal Product Developme                          | nt           |          |          |               | 145,846                   | 55,694   | 44,381  | 39,396          | TBD             | TBD          |
|     | Subtotal Support and Manage                         |              |          |          |               | ,                         | ,        | ,       | ,               |                 |              |
|     | Subtotal Test and Evaluation                        |              |          |          |               |                           |          |         |                 |                 |              |
|     | Total Project                                       |              |          |          |               | 145,846                   | 55,694   | 44,381  | 39,396          | TBD             | TBD          |
| l   | v   |              |          |          |               | •                         | •        | -       |                 |                 |              |
| l   |   |              |          |          |               |                           |          |         |                 |                 |              |
|     |   |              |          |          |               |                           |          |         |                 |                 |              |
|     |   |              |          |          |               |                           |          |         |                 |                 |              |
| l   |   |              |          |          |               |                           |          |         |                 |                 |              |
| Р   | roject 2865   |              |          | Pag      | ge 8 of 8 Pag | ges                       |          |         | Exhib           | oit R-3 (PE 070 | 08011F)      |