## **UNCLASSIFIED**

RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET (R-2 Exhibit)							DATE	DATE February 2003			
BUDGET ACTIVITY  06 - RDT&E Management Support			PE NUMBER AND TITLE  0605864F Space Test Program								PROJECT <b>2617</b>
	COST (\$ in Thousands)	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Estimate	FY 2004 Estimate	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Estimate	FY 2007 Estimate	FY 2008 Estimate	FY 2009 Estimate	Cost to Complete	Total Cost
2617	Free-Flyer Spacecraft Missions	46,758	49,141	42,909	44,638	45,223	46,189	57,404	58,149	Continuing	TBD
	Quantity of RDT&E Articles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## (U) A. Mission Description

- (U) The Space Test Program (STP) conducts space test missions for the purpose of accelerating DoD space technology transformation at lower risk, and enabling future US space superiority. The program flies the maximum number of DoD experiments consistent with priority, opportunity, and funding. STP missions are the most cost effective way to flight test new space system technologies, concepts and designs, providing an inexpensive way to:
- Demonstrate the feasibility of new space systems and technologies
- Provide early operational capabilities to evaluate usefulness or quickly react to new developments
- Perform operational risk reduction through direct flight test of prototype components
- Improve operational design by characterizing the space environment, event, or sensor physics proposed for an operational system/system upgrade
- Develop a knowledge base from which to plan new and improved operational systems and system upgrades
- Develop, test, acquire advanced payload support hardware for Launch Vehicles/Shuttle/ISS
- (U) The Deputy Secretary of Defense issued a 'Space Test Program Management & Funding Policy' in Jul 02 reaffirming STP as the primary provider of spaceflight for the entire DoD space research community. 'The STP funding level must be sufficient to provide spaceflight for DoD Space Experiments Review Board (SERB) approved experiments in a timely manner.' 'As a goal, the Air Force funding level should provide for a Small-Launch-Vehicle-Class mission every 2 years and a Medium-Launch-Vehicle-Class mission every 4 years.' This is in addition to funding required to support secondary payload and spacecraft missions on other organizations' spacecraft and launch vehicles. The Jul 02 policy statement also reaffirms STP role as the single manager for all DoD payloads on the Space Shuttle and the International Space Station. Air Force Space Command Directorate of Operations policy, signed 18 Jun 2002, establishes STP as the front door for all agencies requesting heritage launch services from piggyback payloads and secondary satellites on Combatant Command missions.
- (U) STP has a constantly evolving mission portfolio, whereby space experiments and technology payloads are selected for spaceflight from the most recent list approved by the SERB. STP is authorized to initiate new missions from the prioritized, SERB-approved list. STP may also support non-SERB customers, both DoD and other US government, on a cost reimbursable basis. Selection of the most appropriate spaceflight mode for a payload is dependent on optimizing the combination of SERB list priority, timing and readiness of experiments, launch opportunity, and availability of funding. STP support for these payloads includes some or all of the following: mission planning (SERB and non-SERB payloads), and related support activities; acquisition of a dedicated satellite, launch vehicle, and/or associated integration

Project 2617 Page 1 of 3 Pages Exhibit R-2 (PE 0605864F)

## **UNCLASSIFIED**

	RDT	DATE February 2003		
	get activity - RDT&E Manag	ement Support	PE NUMBER AND TITLE  0605864F Space Test Progr	PROJECT <b>2617</b>
(U)	one year of on-orbit	on onto a host satellite, launch vehicle, Noperations. This flexible approach is e	IASA shuttle and or the International Space Station; reading assential to take advantage of inexpensive 'target of opportue research is accomplished with the limited resources availa	unity' space hardware, including operational
(U) (U) (U) (U) (U) (U) (U)	FY 2002 (\$ in Thou \$0 \$9,262 \$3,397 \$883 \$33,216 \$46,758	Accomplishments/Planned Program Conducted piggyback/secondary pay Conducted Space Shuttle payload int Initiated space missions (including pl	load, mission planning, and risk reduction, provide technical egration, analysis, pre- and post-launch processing, and onlanning and source selection activities) using experiments for selection the current and prior SERB lists	i-orbit support
(U) (U) (U) (U) (U) (U) (U)	FY 2003 (\$ in Thou \$0 \$11,997 \$3,280 \$4,400 \$29,464 \$49,141	Accomplishments/Planned Program Conduct piggyback/secondary payloa Conduct Space Shuttle payload integ Initiate space missions (including pla	ad, mission planning, and risk reduction, provide technical ration, analysis, pre- and post-launch processing, and on-or nning and source selection activities) using experiments from the current and prior SERB lists	rbit support
(U) (U) (U)	FY 2004 (\$ in Thou \$0 \$16,308 \$2,875	Accomplishments/Planned Program Initiate, develop and continue piggyb reduction; and program support Initiate, develop and continue DoD-s	pack/secondary payload missions and associated hardware,	
U) U)	\$6,371 \$17,355	reduction; and program support Initiate, develop and continue Mediu	gram support Launch Vehicle Class missions and associated hardware, s am Launch Vehicle Class missions and associated hardware	
(U) F	\$42,909 Project 2617	reduction; and program support Total	Page 2 of 3 Pages	Exhibit R-2 (PE 0605864F

## **UNCLASSIFIED**

RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET (R-2 Exhibit)						DATE	DATE February 2003			
	RDT&E Management Support		BER AND		est Prograi	n .	PROJEC*** <b>2617</b>	т		
( <b>U</b> )	B. Budget Activity Justification STP is in Budget Activity 6, RDT&E Management and Support, because it	it supports R	DT&E sat	tellite laun	ches.					
(U)	C. Program Change Summary (\$ in Thousands)									
				2002	FY 2003	-				
(U)	Previous President's Budget			9,318	49,882	53,851	Т	TBD		
(U) (U)	Appropriated Value Adjustments to Appropriated Value		5	0,523	49,882					
(0)	a. Congressional/General Reductions		_	1,433	-527					
	b. Small Business Innovative Research			2,332	321					
	c. Omnibus or Other Above Threshold Reprogram			_,	-214					
	d. Below Threshold Reprogram									
	e. Rescissions									
(U)	Adjustments to Budget Years Since FY 2003 PBR					-10,942				
(U)	Current Budget Submit/FY 2004 PBR		4	6,758	49,141	42,909	Т	TBD		
(U)	Significant Program Changes: FY04 reductions were reprogrammed to support higher USAF priorities	S.								
( <b>U</b> )	D. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Thousands)									
	FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY	<u>7 2005</u> FY	2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	Cost to Total	Cost		
		stimate Es	stimate	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	Estimate C	<u>Complete</u>			
(U)	Related Procurement:									
	Not Required									
	E. Acquisition Strategy									
	Not Required									
( <b>U</b> )	F. Schedule Profile									
		FY 2			FY 20		<u>FY 2004</u>			
	1	. 2	3	4 1	1 2	3 4	1 2 3	4		
(U)	Not Required									
Р	roject 2617 P	Page 3 of 3 Page	ages			Ex	khibit R-2 (PE 0605864I	F)		