CLASSIFICATION:

UNCLASSIFIED

EXHIBIT R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification							DATE:	
-							Februa	ry 2003
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY					R-1 ITEM NOMEN	ICLATURE		
RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST & EVALUAT	ION, NAVY /	BA-4			0603860N, Joint P	recision Approach	and Landing Syster	m
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
Total PE Cost	5.452	11.668	24.304	38.989	29.725	25.438	20.939	21.337
W2329 JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEM (JPALS)	* 5.452	11.668	24.304	38.989	29.725	25.438	20.939	21.337

A. MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION:

This program element provides for the development, integration, and testing of JPALS on Navy, Marine Corps, and Coast Guard aircraft, ships, and ground stations. JPALS will allow equipped aircraft to land on any suitable surface world wide (land and sea), while minimizing impacts to aircraft recovery operations due to low ceiling or visibility. The JPALS program was established in response to the Joint Mission Need Statement (MNS) for Precision Approach and Landing Capability (PALC), which was approved by the Chief of Naval Operations on 28 July 94 and the Chief of Staff of the Air Force on 8 August 94. The PALC MNS was validated by the Joint Requirements Oversight Council on 29 August 95. Army Joint Service participation was included in the 28 May 96 Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition and Technology) Milestone 0 Acquisition Decision Memorandum (ADM) which also designated the Air Force as the lead Service. JPALS will provide a rapidly deployable, adverse weather, adverse terrain, day-night, survivable, and mobile precision approach and landing capability. Operating environments include fixed base, tactical, shipboard and special mission. Military and civil interoperability is required. The funds cited above will provide for completion of the JPALS Shipboard architecture and development of equipment satisfying the unique requirements for the data link and Global Positioning System GPS user equipment which will support the high accuracy, integrity, availability, and continuity needed for JPALS to work in a shipboard environment. These will include Shipboard Relative GPS (SRGPS) and Embedded GPS/Inertial Navigation System (EGI) components.

(U) B. JUSTIFICATION FOR BUDGET ACTIVITY: This program is funded under DEMONSTRATION AND VALIDATION (Component Advanced Development) because it develops and integrates hardware for experimental test related to specific ship or aircraft applications.

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No.

82

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2, RDTEN Budget Item Justification (Exhibit R-2, page 1 of 9)

^{*} FY 02 funds were executed under Program Element 0603216N, Project W9035.

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justific	cation						DATE:	
•							Februar	y 2003
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEM	ENT NUMBER AND	NAME		PROJECT NUMBE	R AND NAME		
RDT&E, N / BA-4	0603860N, Joint Pi	recision Approach a	and Landing System	1	W2329, Joint Preci	sion Approach and	Landing System	
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
Project Cost	* 5.452	11.668	24.304	38.989	29.725	25.438	20.939	21.337
RDT&E Articles Qty			6	16				16

^{*} FY 02 funds were executed under P.E. 0603216N, Project W9035.

A. MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION: This project provides for the development, integration, and testing of JPALS on Navy, Marine Corps, and Coast Guard aircraft, ships, and ground stations. JPALS will allow equipped aircraft to land on any suitable surface world wide (land and sea), while minimizing impacts to aircraft recovery operations due to low ceiling and/or visibility. The JPALS program was established in response to the Joint Mission Need Statement (MNS) for Precision Approach and Landing Capability (PALC), which was approved by the Chief of Naval Operations on 28 July 94 and the Chief of Staff of the Air Force on 8 August 94. The PALC MNS was validated by the Joint Requirements Oversight Council on 29 August 95. Army Joint Service participation was included in the 28 May 96 Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition and Technology) Milestone 0 Acquisition Decision Memorandum (ADM) which also designated the Air Force as the lead Service. JPALS will provide a rapidly deployable, adverse weather, adverse terrain, day-night, survivable, and mobile precision approach and landing capability. Operating environments include fixed base, tactical, shipboard and special mission. Military and civil interoperability is required.

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No.

82

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justifica	ıtion			DATE:	
DDDDDIATION (DUDGET A OTI) (IT)	IDDOOD AM ELEMENT NUM	DED AND NAME	DDO JEOT NIJ IMBED AND NIA	February 2	2003
PROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUM	BER AND NAME	PROJECT NUMBER AND NA	ME	
DT&E, N / BA-4	0603860N, Joint Precision Ar	oproach and Landing Systen	W2329, Joint Precision Appro	ach and Landing System	
Accomplishments/Planned Program					
	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	
Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost	5.452	11.668	24.304	38.989	
RDT&E Articles Quantity	0	0	6	16	
	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	
Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost RDT&E Articles Quantity	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	
'	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No.

82

CLASSIFICATION:

					February 2003
PROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	AND NAME		PROJECT NUMBER A	ND NAME
T&E, N / BA-4	0603860N, Joint Precision Approa	ch and Landin	g System	W2329, Joint Precision	Approach and Landing System
C. PROGRAM CHANGE SUMMARY:					
Funding:	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	
FY 2003 President's Budget:	1.500	11.932	30.645	41.500	
FY 2004-2005 President's Budget	5.452	11.668	24.304	38.989	
Total Adjustments	3.952	-0.264	-6.341	-2.511	
Summary of Adjustments					
Congressional program reductions					
Congressional undistributed reductions	-0.028	-0.070			
Congressional rescissions	-0.003				
SBIR/STTR transfer	-0.020				
Economic assumptions	-0.015	-0.194	-0.647	-0.936	
Reprogrammings	4.018				
Sponsor/FMB/NAVAIR adjustments			-5.694	-1.575	
Other Navy/OSD adjustments					
Congressional increases					
Subtotal	3.952	-0.264	-6.341	-2.511	

Schedule:

Milestones that have accelerated are due to the need to deliver to the Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) program as a forward fit avionics package. Requirement to perform anti-jam testing on SH-60 has been eliminated by a restructured program plan which accommodates funding shortfalls.

Technical:

Not Applicable.

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Proje	ect Justification								DATE:			
								Joint Precision Approach and Landing System To Total O7 FY 2008 FY 2009 Complete Cost modifications only to existing aircraft systems procured from existing prime				
			PROGRAM EL	EMENT NUM	BER AND NAM	IE	PROJECT NU	MBER AND NA	AME			
RDT&E, N /	BA-4		0603860N, Joi	nt Precision A	pproach and La	inding System	W2329, Joint F	Precision Appro	oach and Land	ling System		
D. OTHER PROGRAM FU	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME Description Approach and Landing System Description Approach and Landing System Description Approach and Landing System Description Approach Approach and Landing System Description Approach Approa											
	Survivability		PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME 0603860N, Joint Precision Approach and Landing System W2329, Joint Precision Approach and Landing System To Total 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2005 FY 2006 FY 2007 FY 2008 FY 2009 Complete Cost To non-proprietary algorithms to an open system architecture. JPALS avionics will be modifications only to existing aircraft systems procured from existing prime									
Navy JPALS will be deve	loped with government own									systems procured f	from existing prime	

CLASSIFICATION:

								DATE:				
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (pag	e 1)									February 20	03	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVI	TY	PROGRAM E	LEMENT			PROJECT NU	JMBER AND N	NAME		•		
RDT&E, N / BA-4		0603860N, Jo	int Precision Ap	oproach and La	anding System	W2329, Joint	Precision App	roach and Land	ing System			
Cost Categories	Contract	Performing	Total		FY 03		FY 04		FY 05			
	Method	Activity &	_	FY 03	Award	FY 04	Award	FY 05	Award	Cost to	Total	Target Value
	& Type	Location	Cost	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Complete	Cost	of Contract
Primary Hdw Develop - SRGPS	WR	NAWCAD, Pax River, MD	4.125	3.581	11/02	2.519	11/03				10.225	5
Primary Hdw Develop - SRGPS 1	SS/CR	EMA Lexington Park, MD		1.600	12/02	2.800	12/03				4.400	4.400
Primary Hdw Develop - SRGPS 2	C/CR	ARINC, California, MD	2.600	3.475	12/02	9.617	12/03				15.692	15.692
Primary Hdw Develop - SRGPS	SS/CR	Honeywell, Clearwater, FL		0.900	12/02	1.100	12/03	3.283	12/04	2.500	7.783	7.783
Primary Hdw Develop - SRGPS	SS/CR	TISI, Bloomington IL				0.700	12/03				0.700	0.700
Primary Hdw Develop - SRGPS	C/CR	Various - TBD						27.241	12/04	205.281	232.522	2
Primary Hdw Develop - Anti-jam	C/CR	TBD		0.800	12/02	3.958	12/03				4.758	3
Systems Engineering	WR	NAWCAD, Pax River, MD						5.435	11/04	125.935	131.370	
Ship Integration	WR	NAWCAD, Pax River, MD	1.414	0.849	11/02	3.142	11/03	2.534	11/04	152.526	160.465	5
Aircraft Integration	C/CR	Various - TBD								269.819	269.819	
Subtotal Product Development			8.139	11.205		23.836		38.493		756.061	837.734	ļ

Remarks: 1 Subcontractor: Sierra Nevada Corp. Sparks, NV & Salt Lake City, UT 2 Subcontractor: Rockwell Collins Cedar Rapids, IA

Development Support												
Software Development												
Integrated Logistics Support	WR	NAWCAD - Pax River, MD		0.075	11/02	0.075	11/03	0.075	11/04	0.525	0.750	
Configuration Management												
Technical Data												
Studies & Analyses	WR	NAWCAD - Pax River, MD		0.180	11/02	0.182	11/03	0.183	11/04	0.671	1.216	
GFE												
Award Fees												
Subtotal Support			0.000	0.255		0.257		0.258		1.196	1.966	

Remarks:

CLASSIFICATION:

								DATE:				
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (pa	ge 2)									February 200	03	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV	/ITY	PROGRAM E				PROJECT NU						
RDT&E, N / BA-4	1.					W2329, Joint		proach and Land			1	-
Cost Categories	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PY s Cost	FY 03 Cost	FY 03 Award Date	FY 04 Cost	FY 04 Award Date	FY 05 Cost	FY 05 Award Date	Cost to Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Developmental Test & Evaluation	WR	NAWCAD - Pax River, MD				0001	2 4.10		24.0	18.000		
Operational Test & Evaluation	WR	NAWCAD - Pax River, MD								9.000		
Live Fire Test & Evaluation		TWITTER TO AN THIOT, IND								0.000	0.000	1
Test Assets												
Tooling												
GFE												
Award Fees												
Subtotal T&E			0.000	0.000		0.000		0.000		27.000	27.000)
		_	_							_		
Contractor Engineering Support												
Government Engineering Support												
Program Management Support	Various	NAWCAD & NSWC	0.060	0.158	11/02	0.161	11/03	0.163	11/04	1.835	2.377	<i>'</i>
Travel	WR	NAWCAD - Pax River, MD	0.010	0.050	11/02	0.050	11/03	0.075	11/04	0.500	0.685	í
Transportation												
SBIR Assessment			0.020								0.020)
Subtotal Management			0.090	0.208		0.211		0.238		2.335	3.082	<u>'</u>
Remarks:												
Total Cost			8.229	11.668		24.304		38.989		786.592	869.782	2
Remarks:												

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R4, Schedule	Profile																								DATE							
																										-	Fe	ebrua	ry 20	003		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET	ACTIV	ITY							PROG	SRAM	ELEM	ENT N	UMBE	R AND	NAM	E					PROJ	ECT N	UMBE	R ANI	D NAM	ΙE						
RDT&E, N /	BA-4	ļ							06038	860N, J	Joint P	recisio	n Appı	roach a	ınd Lar	nding S	System				W232	9, Join	t Precis	ion A	pproac	h and	Landir	ng Syst	em			
		00	002			00	03			20	0.4			200	0.5			20	00			200	7			20	00			000	20	
Fiscal Year			102	•			03			20	04				05			20	06			200				20	08			200)9	
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Acquisition Milestones												۷	МЅ В															4	MS C △			
SRGPS System Development					SRO	SPS A	RCHIT	ECTU	RE												SI	RGPS	ENGIN	EERII	NG							
Contract Award/Event	CAD													SDD																		
Test & Evaluation Milestones																																
																					INTEC	RATIO	ON	D.	T-I) L	DT-II					
Operational Test																										J [[OA				
Production Milestones																																
LRIP FY 09																													L	RIP Sta	art	
Deliveries (Start in 2010)																																

 $^{^{\}star}$ Not required for Budget Activities 1, 2, 3, and 6

CLASSIFICATION:

Exhibit R-4a, Schedule Detail						DATE:	ebruary 20	03
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM E	IEMENT			PROJECT NU	I IMBER AND NA		00
RDT&E, N / BA-4			nrooch and La	ndina System	W2329, Joint			na System
								1
Schedule Profile	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
Prototype Phase								
System Design Review (SDR)								
Milestone II (MSII)				1Q				
Contract Preparation			4Q	1Q				
Software Specification Review (SSR)								
Preliminary Design Review (PDR)								
System Development				1-4Q	1-4Q			
Critical Design Review (CDR)								
Quality Design and Build								
Test Readiness Review (TRR)						3Q		
Developmental Testing (DT-IIA)							2-4Q	
Eng Dev Model (EDM) Radar Delivery - Lab								
Software Delivery 1XXSW								
Preproduction Readiness Review (PRR)								
EDM Radar Delivery - Flt Related								
Milestone C (MS C)								1Q
Operational Testing (OT-IIA)								
Start Low-Rate Initial Production I (LRIP I)								1Q
Software Delivery 2XXSW								
Developmental Testing (DT-IIB1)								
Developmental Testing (DT-IIB2)								
Start Low-Rate Initial Production II								
Operational Testing (OT-IIB)								
Developmental Testing (DT-IIC)								
Functional Configuration Audit (FCA)								
Low-Rate Initial Production I Delivery								
Technical Evaluation (TECHEVAL)								
Physical Configuration Audit								
Operational Evaluation (OT-IIC) (OPEVAL)								
Low-Rate Initail Production II Delivery								
IOC								
Full Rate Production (FRP) Decision								
Full Rate Production Start								
First Deployment	<u> </u>							