CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification							DATE:	
							Februa	ry 2003
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY					R-1 ITEM NOMEN	CLATURE	•	
RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST & EVALUATI	ON, NAVY /	BA-4			0603609N/Conven	tional Munitions		
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
Total PE Cost	23.484	17.988	42.539	43.461	43.866	33.122	33.493	33.90
K1821/Conventional Fuzed Warhead Package	17.355	13.390	13.713	14.580	14.994	18.553	18.848	19.17
32299/Non-Nuclear Expendable Ordnance	0.897	0.916	25.773	25.778	25.782	10.930	10.941	10.95
S0363/Insensitive Munitions Advanced Development	2.766	3.682	3.053	3.103	3.090	3.639	3.704	3.77
S2611/Env. Safe Energetic Materials	2.466	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

Defense Emergency Response Funds (DERF) Funds: Not Applicable A. MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION:

Conventional Fuzed Warhead Package (Project K1821/U1821): The Navy requires improved lethality of air and surface launched ordnance to defeat advanced threats. This is the only Navy 6.3B RDT&E program that addresses improvements in warhead and fuze technology to meet this requirement. This program is a significant vehicle for orderly planning, and timely and effective transition of Navy 6.2 and 6.3A investments to Engineering and Manufacturing Development (E&MD) phase missile/weapon systems. This program addresses increased lethality against current and emerging threats, and is resposive to all mission areas -- anti-air, strike, defense suppression, theater defense and ship defense -- and supports development of complete ordnance sections. The current ongoing projects address significant technology advancements for missile systems by developing mature physical concepts to enhance anti-air kill probability, advanced ordnance with augmented overland cruise missile defense and theater ballistic missile defense capabilities, and advanced seeker technology. The program supports the full spectrum of missile advanced development and technology improvements and in future years will continue to provide the vehicle to address emergent requirements by transitioning mature development efforts into weapon systems with minimal technical and financial risk

B. PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND PLANS:

The Guidance Integrated Fuze (GIF) program is the major constituent of the NNEO budget line, representing \$25M annually in FY04-FY06, and \$10M annually from FY07-FY09. Other NNEO programs include the Multi-Function Fuze (MFF) P3I and the Extended Range Propulsion Charge.

1. FY2004 PLANS:

(\$25M) GIF: Award two contracts for developing the GIF baseline design for high rate, low cost production. Evaluate 10 fuzes form each contractor in laboratory and simulated gun launch conditions to assess guidance, navigation and control features, conventioanl fuzing functions and shock survivability. Formally document all design and test data and provide to both contractors.

2. FY2005 PLANS:

(\$25M) GIF: Complete two additional design-build-test cycles, resulting in each contractor providing 25 (Jan 05) and 100 (Aug 05) fuzes for government evaluation. Peform the full spectrum of laboratory, simulation and gun launch tests to determine the best design. Award 1,250 fuze option to one contractor (Sep 05).

3. FY2006 PLANS:

(\$25M) GIF: 1,250 GIFs delivered (Apr 06): 150 for acceptance testing and 100 for operational assessment. The remaining 1,000 GIFs will be production representative hardware suitable for Field Training and Follow-on Test and Evaluation, as necessary.

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 60

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2, RDTEN Budget Item Justification (Exhibit R-2, page 1 of 36)

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification	DATE:
	February 2003
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST & EVALUATION, NAVY / BA-4	0603609N/Conventional Munitions
Non-Nuclear Expendable Ordnance (NNEO) (Project 32299): This item addresses improvements the Multi-Function Fuze (MMF) from E&MD to production. Insensitive Munitions Advanced Development (IMAD) (Project S0363): Most Navy munitions read shock and bullet impact, thus presenting a great hazard to ships, aircraft, and personnel. This IM explosives, propellants, and ordnance to enable production of munitions insensitive to unplanned Environmentally Safe Energetic Materials (Project S2611): This project will mature and demonstr propellants, and pyrotechnics that minimize or eliminate any adverse environmental impact norm demilitarization. These new environmentally safe materials will meet insensitive munitions and sy ownership costs of the weapon systems.	act violently when exposed to unplanned stimuli such as fire, MAD program will provide, validate, and transition technology for d stimuli with no reduction to combat performance. trate energetic materials and processes for explosives, mally associated with these materials in production and

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justificat	EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification									
							Februa	ry 2003		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME PROJECT NUMBER AND NAME									
RDT&E, N / BA-4	0603609N/Conven	tional Munitions			K1821/Convention	al Fuzed Warhead	Package			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009		
Project Cost	17.355	13.390	13.713	14.580	14.994	18.553	18.848	19.176		
RDT&E Articles Qty										

A. MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION:

This program provides for orderly planning, timely maturation, and effective transition of Navy 6.2 and 6.3A investments in ordnance technology to missile/weapon systems end item System Development and Demonstration (SD&D) phase development. It is the only Navy 6.3B RDT&E program that addresses improvements in warhead and fuze technology. It focuses on increasing effectiveness against current and emerging threats and is responsive to all mission areas -- anti-air, strike, defense suppression, theater defense, and ship defense. On-going projects make advanced fuze and warhead technology available to and reduce the time and risk for specific system development programs by performing three important functions: (1) identify technology advances with the most potential to improve generic warhead and fuze safety, reliability, and effectiveness; (2) mature the most promising technologies with a goal of achieving Technology Readiness Level 6, or preferably TRL 7, and (3) transition mature technology to specific cruise missile, surface-to-air missile, and land attack weapons system development programs. The program supports the full spectrum of missile advanced development and technology improvements and in future years will continue to provide the vehicle to address emergent requirements by transitioning mature development efforts into weapon systems with minimal technical and financial risk.

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No.

60

CLASSIFICATION: Unclassified

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justificat	lion		DATE: February 2003		
PROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUM	IBER AND NAME	PROJECT NUMBER AND		
T&E, N / BA-4	0603609N/Conventional Mu	nitions	K1821/Conventional Fuzed	l Warhead Package	
Accomplishments/Planned Program					
	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	
Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost	11.582	8.156		11.00	
RDT&E Articles Quantity	111000	51100			
	Continued advanced development Y 03: Complete advanced developr	ment			
F Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost		FY 03 1.400	FY 04 1.250	FY 05	
SM-2 Block IIIB MK 45 MOD 14 TDD: FY 02: F Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost RDT&E Articles Quantity Office of Special Projects	Y 03: Complete advanced developr	FY 03	_	FY 05	
Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost RDT&E Articles Quantity	Y 03: Complete advanced developr	FY 03	_	FY 05	
Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost RDT&E Articles Quantity	Y 03: Complete advanced developr	FY 03 1.400	1.250		

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 60

and multi-point initiator improvements.

CLASSIFICATION: Unclassified

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification		DATE:
		February 2003
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME	PROJECT NUMBER AND NAME
RDT&E, N / BA-4	0603609N/Conventional Munitions	K1821/Conventional Fuzed Warhead Package
B. Accomplishments/Diamed Brogram (Cont.)		

B. Accomplishments/Planned Program (Cont.)

	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05
Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost	0.200	1.000		
RDT&E Articles Quantity				

Micro-Electro-Mechanical System Safe and Arm Device: FY 02: Preliminary design risk reduction

FY 03: Continue preliminary design risk reduction

	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05
Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost	0.785	0.241	0.821	0.433
RDT&E Articles Quantity				

Future Standard Missile Systems (FSMS) Studies: FY 02: Continued system engineering studies to support mid and far term strategic planning for warhead and fuze development.

FY 03: Continue system engineering studies to support mid and far term strategic planning for warhead and fuze development.

FY 04: Continue system engineering studies to support mid and far term strategic planning for warhead and fuze development.

FY 05: Continue system engineering studies to support mid and far term strategic planning for warhead and fuze development

E.				
	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05
Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost			11.642	14.147
RDT&E Articles Quantity				

Advanced Fuze Technology Development: FY 04: Perform preliminary concept design review of Guidance Integrated Fuzing and MEMS Safe and Arm Device (SAD) technologies.

FY 05: Risk reduction, and other preparations for transitioning advance fuze technologies to System Development and Demonstration.

BIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justificatio						DATE:	February 2003
OPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM EI	EMENT NUMBER	AND NAME	I	PROJECT NUMBER A	ND NAME	. 02. 44. y 2000
&E, N / BA-4						uzed Warhead Package	
xL, N / DA-4	000300314/C01	609N/Conventional Munitions			K 102 1/Conventional 1	uzeu Wameau Fackage	
C. PROGRAM CHANGE SUMMARY:							
Funding:		FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005		
Previous President's Budget: (FY 03 Pr	res Controls)	18.308	17.743	18.921	18.909		
Current BES/President's Budget: (FY 0-	4/05 Pres Controls)	17.355	13.390	13.713	14.580		
Total Adjustments		-0.953	-4.353	-5.208	-4.329		
Summary of Adjustments							
Congressional program red	uctions		-4.000				
Congressional undistributed							
Congressional rescissions		-0.039					
SBIR/STTR Transfer		-0.415					
Reprogrammings		-0.358					
Business Process Reform			-0.055				
Economic Assumptions		-0.049	-0.077	-0.020	-0.020		
IT Cost Growth			-0.025				
Overhead and Direct Cost Rec	duction			-0.109	-0.158		
Ftprint Reduction				-0.012	-0.018		
Single Site Common Support				-0.017	-0.026		
Reduction in Suort Contractors	6			-0.122	-0.208		
Examine Non Core Competen	cies			-0.008	-0.009		
FY03 FFRDC Reduction			-0.003				
FY02 Actuals		-0.092					
Inflation Savings			-0.146				
PBD 426				-0.011			
Non S&T R&D Offset				-1.552			
PBD 203 ACTD Offsets				-0.252	-0.318		
NWCF Rates - R&D Fuel				0.011	0.018		
PBD 604 Nonpay Inflation				-0.893	-0.854		
PBD 630 Project Correction			-0.047				
Post Production R&D Continua	ation			-2.223	-2.736		
Subtotal		-0.953	-4.353	-5.208	-4.329		

Technical: Not Applicable

UNCLASSIFIED
R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 60

Exhibit R-2a, RDTEN Project Justification (Exhibit R-2a, page 6 of 36)

CLASSIFICATION: Unclassified

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project	ct Justification		DATE:	
				February 2003
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV		PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME	PROJECT NUMBER AND NAME	
RDT&E, N /	BA-4	0603609N/Conventional Munitions	K1821/Conventional Fuzed Warhead Package	
D. OTHER PROGRAM FUN	DING SUMMARY: Not Applical	ble		
E. ACQUISITION STRATEGY:	Not Applicable			
F. MAJOR PERFORMERS:				
Raytheon Company, T NSWC Dahlgren, Dahl NAWC China Lake	ucson, AZ; SM-2 Block IIIB MK 4 gren, VA; Advanced Warhead Te	5 MOD 14 TDD development; Oct 02 schnology Analysis;		

CLASSIFICATION: Unclassified

								DATE:				
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (pa	ge 1)									February 200	03	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV	/ITY	PROGRAM E	LEMENT			PROJECT NU						
RDT&E, N / BA-4			nventional Mun	itions	•	K1821/Conve		Warhead Packa				
Cost Categories	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location		FY 03 Cost	FY 03 Award Date	FY 04 Cost	FY 04 Award Date	FY 05 Cost	FY 05 Award Date	Cost to Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Design and Analysis	WR	NSWC Dahlgren	31.561	0.060	10/02	0.500	11/03	1.000	11/04	Continuing	Continuing	
	WR	NAWC China Lake	61.616			2.993	11/03	3.000	11/04	Continuing	Continuing	,
	CPAF	Raytheon	14.053	5.283	10/02	1.700	11/03	2.936	11/04	Continuing	Continuing	j
	PR	JHU/APL	1.889	1.128	10/02	4.100	11/03	3.850	11/04	Continuing	Continuing	j
	RC	ONR	0.052	0.015						0.000	0.067	
	MIPR	MIT/LL	0.400							0.000	0.400	,
	WR	SPAWAR	0.520							0.000	0.520	,
	WR	NSWC Port Hueneme	0.147							0.000	0.147	
	WR	NSWC Indian Head	0.800			0.500	11/03	1.000	11/04	Continuing	Continuing	i
	PD	Office of Special Projects	8.994	1.400	10/02	1.250	11/03			0.000	11.644	
Hardware Fabrication	WR	NSWC Dahlgren	6.257							0.000	6.257	
	WR	NAWC China Lake	8.683							0.000	8.683	,
	CPAF	Raytheon	8.516							0.000	8.516	i
	PD	Office of Special Projects	41.549							0.000	41.549)
Subtotal Product Development			185.037	9.971		11.043	<u> </u>	11.786		Continuing	Continuing	<u></u>
Remarks:												

CLASSIFICATION:

	٥)							DATE:				
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (page										February 20	03	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV	/ITY		AM ELEMENT			PROJECT NU						
RDT&E, N / BA-4	10		N/Conventional Mur	nitions	Inv. oo	K1821/Conve	entional Fuze	ed Warhead Pack		1	1	
Cost Categories	Contract Method	Performing Activity &	Total PY s	FY 03	FY 03 Award	FY 04	FY 04 Award	FY 05	FY 05 Award	Cost to	Total	Target Value
	& Type	Location	Cost	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Complete	Cost	of Contract
Developmental Test & Evaluation	WR	NSWC Dahlgren	12.987	0031	Date	0031	Date	Cost	Date	0.000		
201010pmana 100t a 21anaanon	WR	NAWC China Lake	15.582							0.000		
	WR	WSMR								0.000		
	CPAF	Raytheon								0.000		
	WR	NSWC Port Hueneme		0.762	10/02					0.000		
					10,0=							
Subtotal T&E			28.569	0.762	2	0.000)	0.000)			
Program Management Support	WR WR C/FPI	NSWC Dahlgren NAWC China Lake Various	2.074 3.360 3.092		11/02	2.645	5 11/03	2.769) 11/04	0.000 0.000 Continuing	3.360	0
	RC	NSWC Indian Head	0.160							0.000	0.160)
Travel	PD	NAVSEA Travel	0.350	0.025	5	0.025	5	0.025	5	Continuing	Continuing	3
Subtotal Management			9.036	2.657	,	2.670	D	2.794	1			
Remarks:												
Total Cost			222.642	13.390)	13.713	3	14.580)	Continuing	Continuing	3
Remarks:												

CLASSIFICATION: Unclassified

EXHIBIT R4, Schedule			T AP	PLIC	ABL	E																			DATE		F	ebrua	ary 20	03		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET RDT&E, N /	F ACTIVI BA-4											ENT N		R AND	NAMI	E					PROJ K1821						Packa	ae				
Fiscal Year		20	02			20	03		-	20				200	05			20	06			20		<u> </u>			008	90		200)9	
Tiscal Teal	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Acquisition Milestones																																
Prototype Phase																																
Radar System Development																																
EDM Radar Delivery																																
Software 1XXSW Delivery 2XXSW Delivery																																
Test & Evaluation Milestones																																
Development Test Operational Test																																
Production Milestones																																
LRIP I FY 05																																
LRIPII FY 06 FRP FY 07																																
Deliveries																																

 $^{^{\}star}$ Not required for Budget Activities 1, 2, 3, and 6

CLASSIFICATION:

Exhibit R-4a, Schedule Detail: NOT APPLICABL	E					DATE:	ebruary 20	03
PPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM EI	EMENT			IPROJECT NU	MBER AND NA		
RDT&E, N / BA-4	0603609N/Coi		itions		K1821/Conver			ae.
·			1	E) (000 =				T .
Schedule Profile	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
Prototype Phase								
System Design Review (SDR)								
Milestone II (MSII)								
Contract Preparation								
Software Specification Review (SSR)								
Preliminary Design Review (PDR)								
System Development								
Critical Design Review (CDR)								
Quality Design and Build								
Test Readiness Review (TRR)								
Developmental Testing (DT-IIA)								
Eng Dev Model (EDM) Radar Delivery - Lab								
Software Delivery 1XXSW								
Preproduction Readiness Review (PRR)								
EDM Radar Delivery - Flt Related								
Milestone C (MS C)								
Operational Testing (OT-IIA)								
Start Low-Rate Initial Production I (LRIP I)								
Software Delivery 2XXSW								
Developmental Testing (DT-IIB1)								
Developmental Testing (DT-IIB2)								
Start Low-Rate Initial Production II								
Operational Testing (OT-IIB)								
Developmental Testing (DT-IIC)								
Functional Configuration Audit (FCA)								
Low-Rate Initial Production I Delivery								
Technical Evaluation (TECHEVAL)								
Physical Configuration Audit								
Operational Evaluation (OT-IIC) (OPEVAL)								
Low-Rate Initail Production II Delivery								
IOC								
Full Rate Production (FRP) Decision								
Full Rate Production Start					1			
First Deployment								

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification							DATE:	
							Februa	ry 2003
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEM	ENT NUMBER AND	NAME		PROJECT NUMBE	R AND NAME		
RDT&E, N / BA - 4	0603609N Cor	nventional Munition	s		32299 Non-Nucle	ear Expendable Ord	dnance (NNEO)	
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
Project Cost	0.897	0.916	25.773	25.778	25.782	10.930	10.941	10.957
RDT&E Articles Qty								

A. MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION:

This budget item addresses improvements to Navy surface launched (2T) Non-Nuclear Expendable Ordnance (NNEO) outside existing operational capabilities. The commodities comprising 2T NNEO are: Major and medium caliber gun ammunition, small arms ammunition, other ship gun ammunition, pyrotechnics, and demolition items. There are no other RDT&E budget items supporting the 2T NNEO program. This project currently supports the Guidance Integrated Fuze (GIF) demonstration and incremental development program, Multi-Function Fuze (MFF) and Extended Range Propelling Charge. These items will be used with 5" caliber gun ammunition. GIF is a "smart fuze", conforming to DoD and NATO interface requirements, that can be retrofitted on all 105mm, 5" and 155mm projectiles. While retaining all necessary conventional fuzing functions, GIF will provide GPS accuracy to the entire inventory of conventional projectiles. Multi-Function Fuze program is nearing completion, and provides performance, safety and logisits enhancements to the existing inventory of Navy Conventional Fuzes. Extended Range Propelling Charge program will incorporate new technology into the production of an extended range propelling charge, increasing the range of 5" conventional ammunition in the 5"/62 caliber gun to 20 nautical miles.

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No.

60

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification	OH			DATE:	
				February 2003	
PROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AN	ID NAME	PROJECT NUMBER AND N	AME	
T&E, N / BA - 4	0603609N Conventional Munition	ns	32299 Non-Nuclear Expend	dable Ordnance (NNEO)	
Accomplishments/Planned Program					
	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	
Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost	0.897	0.916	0.328	0.000	
RDT&E Articles Quantity					
RDT&E Articles Quantity Continued and completed Qualification of Multi-	Function Fuze.				
	Function Fuze.				
	Function Fuze.	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	
		FY 03 0.000	FY 04 0.445	FY 05 0.778	
Continued and completed Qualification of Multi-	FY 02				
Continued and completed Qualification of Multi-	FY 02 0.000	0.000	0.445	0.778	
Continued and completed Qualification of Multi- Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost RDT&E Articles Quantity	FY 02 0.000	0.000	0.445	0.778	
Continued and completed Qualification of Multi- Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost RDT&E Articles Quantity	FY 02 0.000 to production of an extended range propelli	0.000 ing charge (20 na	0.445 autical miles), improving produci	0.778 bility and performance.	

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No.

60

CLASSIFICATION:

XHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification					DATE:
					February 2003
PPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY PF	ROGRAM ELEMENT NUM	BER AND NAM	ΙE	PROJECT NUME	BER AND NAME
DT&E, N / BA - 4	03609N Conventional Mi	unitions		32299 Non-Nucl	ear Expendable Ordnance (NNEO)
C. PROGRAM CHANGE SUMMARY:					
Funding:	FY 20	02 FY 20	03 FY 200	4 FY 2005	
Previous President's Budget: (FY 03 Pres Controls)	0.9	0.9	37 1.05	7 0.993	
Current BES/President's Budget: (FY04/05 PRESBUD	Ocontrols)0.8	97 0.9	16 25.77		
Total Adjustments	-0.0	27 -0.0	21 24.71	6 24.785	
Summary of Adjustments					
Reprogrammings	-0.0	18	25.00	0 25.000	
Miscellaneous Adjustments	-0.0	0.0 -0.0	21 -0.16	0 -0.071	
Post Production R&D Continuation			-0.12	4 -0.144	
Subtotal	-0.0	027 -0.0	21 24.71	6 24.785	
Schedule:					
Not Applicable					
Technical:					
Not Applicable					
		ODDING LIE		60	

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification		DATE:
		February 2003
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME	PROJECT NUMBER AND NAME
RDT&E, N / BA-4	0603609N Conventional Munitions	32299 Non-Nuclear Expendable Ordnance (NNEO)

D. OTHER PROGRAM FUNDING SUMMARY:

Related RDT&E: PE 0603795 Naval Surface Fire Support

Line Item No. & Name	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	Complete	Cost
BLIN 025000 5"/54 Ammunition	0	0	0.7	0.7	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A

E. ACQUISITION STRATEGY:

- -Award 5-Year (Multi-Option) Contract for MFF.
- -Award single year competitive contract for Extended Range Propelling Charge.
- -Award two contracts for developing the GIF baseline design for high rate, low cost production. Evaluate 10 fuzes from each contractor in laboratory and simulated gun launch conditions to assess guidance, navigation and control features, conventional fuzing functions and shock survivability. Formally document all design and test data and provide to both contractors. Complete two additional design-build-test cyclces, resulting in each contractor providing 25 and 100 fuzes for government evaluation. Peform the full spectrum of laboratory, simulation and gun launch tests to determine the best design. Award 1,250 fuze option to one contractor.

F. MAJOR PERFORMERS:

MFF: Contractor - Alliant Tech Systems (ATK), Janesville, WI - Awarded July 99 Gov't - Naval Surface Warfare Center, Dahlgren Division, Dahlgren, VA

Extended Range Propelling Charge: Gov't - Naval Surface Warfare Center, Indian Head Division, Indian Head, MD

Guidance integrated Fuzing - Contractor - unknown at this time, TBD

Gov't - Naval Surface Warfare Center, Dahlgren Division, Dahlgren, Virginia

Army Research, Development & Engineering Center (ARDEC), Picatinney Arsenal, Picatinney, NJ

UNCLASSIFIED

								DATE:				
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (pa	ge 1)									February 200	13	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTI	VITY	PROGRAM E				PROJECT NU						
RDT&E, N / BA 4			Conventional Mu	unitions		32299 Non-N		dable Ordnance				
Cost Categories	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PY s 99/02 Cost	FY 03 Cost	FY 03 Award Date		FY 04 Award Date	FY 05 Cost	FY 05 Award Date	Cost to Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Primary Hardware Development	WR	NSWC Dahlgren	1.933		2410	0001	24.0		24.0	Complete	1.933	
Timary Haraware Bevelopment	C/CPFF	ALLIANT	1.054	1							1.054	
		MOTOROLA	0.336								0.336	
	WR	NSWC Indian Head	0.600	1		0.445		0.448			1.493	
	CPFF	GIF Contractor 1	0.000			10.130	10/03	12.002	TBD		22.132	-
	CPFF	GIF Contractor 2				10.130	10/03	2.338	TBD		12.468	
Ancillary Hardware Development							10,00				0.000	
Component Development											0.000)
Ship Integration											0.000	
Ship Suitability											0.000)
Systems Engineering											0.000)
Training Development											0.000)
Licenses											0.000)
Tooling											0.000)
GFE											0.000)
Award Fees											0.000)
Subtotal Product Development			3.923	0.000		20.705		14.788		0.000	39.416	;
Remarks:		,										
Development Support	WR	NSWC Dahlgren				0.500		0.350			0.850)
Software Development	WR	NSWC Dahlgren				0.275		0.200			0.475	<u>;</u>
Training Development	WR	NSWC Dahlgren						0.125			0.125	<u>;</u>
Training Development	MIPR	ARDEC						0.350			0.350)
Integrated Logistics Support	WR	NSWC Dahlgren						0.175			0.175	<u>;</u>
Integrated Logistics Support	MIPR	ARDEC						0.480			0.480	
Configuration Management	WR	NSWC Dahlgren				0.350		0.400			0.750)
Contract Support	WR	NSWC Dahlgren				0.150		0.350			0.500)
GFE											0.000)
Award Fees											0.000)
Subtotal Support			0.000	0.000)	1.275		2.430		0.000	3.705	5
Remarks:												

Exhibit R-3, Project Cost Analysis

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 60 (Exhibit R-3, page 16 of 36)

UNCLASSIFIED

	0)							DATE:		F-1	20	
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (pagaperopriation/BUDGET ACTIV	ge 2)	IDDOCDAA	A EL ENAENT			IDDO IECT NII	IMPED AND	N NIA NAT		February 200	13	
RDT&E, N / BA - 4	/11 Y	0603609N	/ ELEMENT			PROJECT NU			(NINIEO)			
Cost Categories	Contract	Performing	Conventional M Total	unitions	FY 03	32299 Non-N	FY 04	ndable Ordnance	FY 05			
Cost Categories	Method	Activity &	PY s 99/02	FY 03	Award	FY 04	Award	FY 05	Award	Cost to	Total	Target Value
	& Type	Location	Cost	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Complete		of Contract
Developmental Test & Evaluation	WR	NSWC Dahlgren	0.528			0.780		1.630			2.938	
	WR	NSWC China Lake	0.200								0.200	
	WR	ARL				0.300					0.300	
Operational Test & Evaluation	WR	COMOPTEFOR	0.200			0.200		0.330			0.730	
•	WR	NSWC Dahlgren				0.128					0.128	
	MIPR	ARDEC						0.950)		0.950	
Live Fire Test & Evaluation											0.000	
Test Assets	C/CPFF	ALLIANT		0.363	10/02						0.363	
	WR	NSWC Indian Head						0.400)		0.400	
Tooling											0.000	
GFE											0.000	
Award Fees											0.000	
Subtotal T&E			0.928	0.363		1.408		3.310	, i	0.000	6.009	
Remarks:												
	T			ı	ı		T		Ţ			
Remarks: Contractor Engineering Support	FP	EDO	0.032								0.032	
	C/CPFF	ALLIANT	0.032	0.113	10/02						0.113	
Contractor Engineering Support	C/CPFF FP	ALLIANT Various		0.113		0.200		0.600			0.113 0.800	
Contractor Engineering Support Government Engineering Support	C/CPFF FP WR	ALLIANT Various NSWC Dahlgren	0.032	0.113		1.235		3.100)		0.113 0.800 5.068	
Contractor Engineering Support Government Engineering Support Government Engineering Support	C/CPFF FP WR MIPR	ALLIANT Various NSWC Dahlgren ARDEC/ARL	0.293	0.113		1.235 0.400		3.100 0.600)		0.113 0.800 5.068 1.000	
Contractor Engineering Support Government Engineering Support Government Engineering Support Program Management Support	C/CPFF FP WR MIPR	ALLIANT Various NSWC Dahlgren ARDEC/ARL NSWC Dahlgren		0.113		1.235 0.400 0.350		3.100 0.600 0.650			0.113 0.800 5.068 1.000 1.093	
Contractor Engineering Support Government Engineering Support Government Engineering Support Program Management Support Program Management Support	C/CPFF FP WR MIPR WR MIPR	ALLIANT Various NSWC Dahlgren ARDEC/ARL NSWC Dahlgren ARDEC	0.293	0.113		1.235 0.400		3.100 0.600			0.113 0.800 5.068 1.000 1.093	
Contractor Engineering Support Government Engineering Support Government Engineering Support Program Management Support Program Management Support Travel	C/CPFF FP WR MIPR	ALLIANT Various NSWC Dahlgren ARDEC/ARL NSWC Dahlgren	0.293	0.113		1.235 0.400 0.350		3.100 0.600 0.650			0.113 0.800 5.068 1.000 1.093 0.500	
Contractor Engineering Support Government Engineering Support Government Engineering Support Program Management Support Program Management Support Travel Labor (Research Personnel)	C/CPFF FP WR MIPR WR MIPR	ALLIANT Various NSWC Dahlgren ARDEC/ARL NSWC Dahlgren ARDEC	0.293	0.113		1.235 0.400 0.350		3.100 0.600 0.650			0.113 0.800 5.068 1.000 1.093 0.500 0.020	
Contractor Engineering Support Government Engineering Support Government Engineering Support Program Management Support Program Management Support Travel Labor (Research Personnel) SBIR Assessment	C/CPFF FP WR MIPR WR MIPR	ALLIANT Various NSWC Dahlgren ARDEC/ARL NSWC Dahlgren ARDEC	0.293	0.440		1.235 0.400 0.350 0.200		3.100 0.600 0.650 0.300			0.113 0.800 5.068 1.000 1.093 0.500 0.020 0.000	
Contractor Engineering Support Government Engineering Support Government Engineering Support Program Management Support Program Management Support Travel Labor (Research Personnel)	C/CPFF FP WR MIPR WR MIPR	ALLIANT Various NSWC Dahlgren ARDEC/ARL NSWC Dahlgren ARDEC	0.293	0.113		1.235 0.400 0.350		3.100 0.600 0.650		0.000	0.113 0.800 5.068 1.000 1.093 0.500 0.020	
Contractor Engineering Support Government Engineering Support Government Engineering Support Program Management Support Program Management Support Travel Labor (Research Personnel) SBIR Assessment	C/CPFF FP WR MIPR WR MIPR	ALLIANT Various NSWC Dahlgren ARDEC/ARL NSWC Dahlgren ARDEC	0.293	0.440		1.235 0.400 0.350 0.200		3.100 0.600 0.650 0.300		0.000	0.113 0.800 5.068 1.000 1.093 0.500 0.020 0.000	
Contractor Engineering Support Government Engineering Support Government Engineering Support Program Management Support Program Management Support Travel Labor (Research Personnel) SBIR Assessment Subtotal Management	C/CPFF FP WR MIPR WR MIPR	ALLIANT Various NSWC Dahlgren ARDEC/ARL NSWC Dahlgren ARDEC	0.293	0.440		1.235 0.400 0.350 0.200		3.100 0.600 0.650 0.300		0.000	0.113 0.800 5.068 1.000 1.093 0.500 0.020 0.000	
Contractor Engineering Support Government Engineering Support Government Engineering Support Program Management Support Program Management Support Travel Labor (Research Personnel) SBIR Assessment Subtotal Management	C/CPFF FP WR MIPR WR MIPR	ALLIANT Various NSWC Dahlgren ARDEC/ARL NSWC Dahlgren ARDEC	0.293	0.113		1.235 0.400 0.350 0.200		3.100 0.600 0.650 0.300		0.000	0.113 0.800 5.068 1.000 1.093 0.500 0.020 0.000	

Exhibit R-3, Project Cost Analysis (Exhibit R-3, page 17 of 36)

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACT RDT&E, N / BA Fiscal Year	4	Y 200)2						PROG	D 4 1 4																		:DI UA	ry 20	บง		
Fiscal Year		200)2							KAW	ELEM	ENT N	UMBE	R AND	NAMI						PROJI	ECT N	UMBE	R AN	D NAM	1E						
		200)2						06036	09N	Conve	entiona	l Muni	tions							32299	Non-l	Nuclea	ar Expe	endabl	e Ordr	nance (NNEO)			
						200	03			200	04			20	05			200	06			200)7			20	80			200	9	
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Acquisition Milestones									MS	В					MS	c L	RIP1 I	Dec	LRIP	2 Dec					√ IOC	FRP	Dec					
Prototype Phase																																
GIF Development & Demonstration												PDR		CDR		PRR-	1		PRR	-2												
GIF P3I																																
311 1 31																											P3I	Devel	opmen	nt		
Test & Evaluation Milestones												TRR		TF			TR	R		1	RR											
Development Test													DT-IIA I		DT-IIB			DT-II	IC		 TECH		RR									
Operational Test																						4	7				FOT&I	-I		FO	Γ&E-II	
Follow-on Test																		OT-IIA				OT-I	IB OP	EVAL						¢		
Production Milestones																																
LRIP2 FY 05																		RIP1 tart														
LRIP2 FY06																	5	ıail		LR												
FRP FY08																				Sta	ırt					\triangle	FRP S	start				
Deliveries																			\triangle	RIP1	(1,250)			\triangle^{L}	RIP2 (22,500)					

 $^{^{\}star}$ Not required for Budget Activities 1, 2, 3, and 6

Exhibit R-4a, Schedule Detail						DATE:	February 20	U3
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM E	EMENT			PROJECT NU			03
RDT&BA-4	0603609N C		.nitiona					(NINIEO)
	+			F			able Ordnance	T .
Schedule Profile	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
Milestone B			1Q					
Preliminary Design Review (PDR)			4Q					
Test Readiness Review (TRR)			4Q					
Developmental Test (DT-IIA)			4Q					
Critical Design Review (CDR)				2Q				
Test Readiness Review (TRR)				2Q				
Developmental Test (DT-IIB)				2Q				
Milestone C				3Q				
Production Readiness Review (PRR-1)				4Q				
LRIP1 Decision					1Q			
LRIP1 Sart					1Q			
Test Readiness Review (TRR)					1Q			
Developmental Test (DT-IIC)					1Q			
Operational Testing (OT-IIA)					2Q			
LRIP1 Delivery					3Q			
Production Readiness Review (PRR-2)					3Q			
LRIP2 Decision					4Q			
LRIP 2 Start					4Q			
Test Readiness Review (TRR)					70	1Q		
TECHEVAL						1Q 1Q		
Operational Test Readiness Review (OTRR)						2Q		
OPEVAL					+	3Q		
LRIP 2 Delivery					+	4Q		
Initial Operational Capability (IOC)						40	1Q	
P3I Development Start							1Q 2Q	
Full Rate Production (FRP) Decision								
FRP Start							2Q	
FOT&E1							3Q	00
FOT&E2					ļ			3Q
					ļ			

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justifica	ition						DATE:	
							FEBRUA	RY 2003
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEM	ENT NUMBER AND	NAME		PROJECT NUMBE	ER AND NAME		
RDT&E, N / BA-4	0603609N/Conven	tional Munitions			S0363/Insensitive	Munitions Advance	d Development	
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
Project Cost	2.766	3.682	3.053	3.103	3.090	3.639	3.704	3.773
RDT&E Articles Qty								

A. MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION:

Most Navy munitions react violently when exposed to unplanned stimuli such as fire, shock and bullet impact, thus presenting a great hazard to ships, aircraft and personnel. This program will provide, validate and transition technology to all new weapon developments and priority weapon systems and enable production of munitions insensitive to these stimuli with no reduction in combat performance. The Insensitive Munitions Advanced Development (IMAD) Program is the Navy's focused effort on propellants, propulsion units, explosives, warheads, fuses and pyrotechnics to reduce the severity of cook-off and bullet/fragment impact reactions, minimizing the probability for sympathetic detonation, both in normal storage and in use, increasing ship survivability and satisfying performance and readiness requirements. Each technology area is divided into subtasks addressing specific munition/munition class IMAD deficiencies. Energetic materials producibility is demonstrated to assure national capability to produce and load munitions systems. The program is being closely coordinated with other Military Departments, NATO and allied countries to eliminate redundant efforts and maximize efficiency. A joint service IMAD requirement has been developed. Insensitive munitions are identified as a DoD critical technology requirement and considered as part of a weapon design per DoD 5000.2R.

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No.

60

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification			DATE:
			FEBRUARY 2003
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME	PROJECT NUMBER AND N	IAME
RDT&E, N / BA-4	0603609N/Conventional Munitions	S0363/Insensitive Munitions	Advanced Development

B. Accomplishments/Planned Program

	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05
Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost	1.252	1.237	1.188	1.238
RDT&E Articles Quantity				

Continue validation and assessment of weapon systems POA&M's for IMAD compliance. Continue compilation and analysis of weapon system, energetic material and generic technology IMAD test data.

	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05
Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost	0.607	0.905	0.703	0.698
RDT&E Articles Quantity				

Demonstrate high explosives that show improved IMAD characteristics while maintaining or improving operational performance. Complete qualification of internal blast explosive. Continue evaluation of pressed and cast metal accelerating explosives. Plan to complete qualification of high performance booster explosive to weapons systems. Begin qualification of best candidate metal accelerating explosive.

Accomplishments: Demonstrated high explosives that show improved IMAD characteristics while maintaining or improving operational performance. Completed qualification of internal blast explosive. Continued evaluation of pressed metal accelerating explosives. Began qualification high performance booster explosive to weapons systems.

	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05
Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost	0.048	0.048	0.035	0.037
RDT&E Articles Quantity				

Evaluate ordnance and container concepts. Continue modeling applications that reduce and enhance IMAD warhead design.

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification			DATE:
			FEBRUARY 2003
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME	PROJECT NUMBER AND N	IAME
RDT&E, N / BA-4	0603609N/Conventional Munitions	S0363/Insensitive Munitions	Advanced Development

B. Accomplishments/Planned Program (Cont.)

	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05
Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost	0.859	1.492	1.127	1.130
RDT&E Articles Quantity				

Evaluate and demonstrate IMAD propellants and propulsion systems which provide improved or comparable performance to in-service systems and better IMAD characteristics. Combine candidate IMAD propellants and case concepts to demonstrate compliance with IMAD and performance requirements. Demonstrate an insensitive multi-mission, high performance rocket motor. Evaluate options for minimum smoke propellants for shoulder launched applications.

Accomplishments: Evaluated and demonstrated IMAD propellants and propulsion systems which provide improved or comparable performance to in-service systems and better IMAD characteristics. Combined candidate IMAD propellants and case concepts to demonstrate compliance with IMAD and performance requirements.

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification					DATE:	
						FEBRUARY 2003
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	AND NAME		PROJECT NUMBER	AND NAME	
RDT&E, N / BA-4	0603609N/Conventional Munitions	S		S0363/Insensitive Mu	nitions Advanced De	evelopment
C. PROGRAM CHANGE SUMMARY:						
Funding: Previous President's Budget: (FY 03 Pres Controls Current BES/President's Budget: (FY04 Pres Cont Total Adjustments		FY 2003 3.765 3.682 -0.083	FY 2004 4.021 3.053 -0.968	FY 2005 3.829 3.103 -0.726		
Summary of Adjustments						
Reprogrammings Miscellaneous Adjustments	-0.057 -0.028	0.000 -0.083	-0.472 -0.496	-0.554 -0.172		
Subtotal	-0.085	-0.083	-0.968	-0.726		
Schedule: NOT APPLICABLE						
Technical: NOT APPLICABLE						

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&	E Project Justification				DATE:
		T			FEBRUARY 2003
APPROPRIATION/BUDGE			ENT NUMBER AND NAME	PROJECT NUMBER AND NA	
RDT&E, N /	BA-4	0603609N/Convent	ional Munitions	S0363/Insensitive Munitions	Advanced Development
D. OTHER PROGR	AM FUNDING SUMMARY: NOT A	PPLICABLE			
E. ACQUISITION STR	RATEGY:				
NOT APPLICA	BLE				
F. MAJOR PERFORM	MERS:				
NAWC WPN I	DIV/China Lake - Propulsion Develo	oment and Evaluation	11/02		
NOSSA/Indiar	n Head - Program Management and	Explosive Development	11/02		

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 60

Exhibit R-2a, RDTEN Project Justification (Exhibit R-2a, page 24 of 36)

CLASSIFICATION:

								DATE:				
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (page 1)	age 1)									FEBRUARY 20	003	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACT	IVITY	PROGRAM E	LEMENT			PROJECT NU	JMBER AND N	NAME				
RDT&E, N / BA-4		0603609N/Co	nventional Mun	nitions		S0363/Insens	itive Munitions	Advanced Dev	elopment			
Cost Categories	Contract	Performing	Total		FY 03		FY 04		FY 05			
	Method		_	FY 03	Award	FY 04	Award	FY 05	Award	Cost to		Target Value
	& Type	Location	Cost	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Complete	Cost	of Contract
Propulsion Dev. And Eval.	WR	NAWC WPN DIV/China Lake	83.931	1.492	11/02	1.127	11/03	1.130	11/04	Continuing	Continuing	NA NA
	RCP	NAWC WPN DIV/China Lake	10.250	0.000	NA	0.000	NA	0.000	NA	NA	10.250	NA NA
Explosives Dev. And Eval.	WR	NSWC/Indian Head Div.	68.334	0.898	11/02	0.693	11/03	0.693	11/04	Continuing	Continuing	NA NA
Ordnance Dev. And Eval.	WR	NSWC/Dahlgren Div.	19.338	0.048	11/02	0.035	11/03	0.037	11/04	Continuing	Continuing	NA.
Pyrotechnic Dev. And Eval.	WR	NSWC/Crane Div.	6.553	0.007	11/02	0.010	11/03	0.005	11/04	Continuing	Continuing	NA NA
											0.000)
											0.000)
											0.000	
											0.000	
											0.000	
											0.000	
Subtotal Product Development			188.406	2.445		1.865		1.865		Continuing	Continuing	NA NA

Remarks: This cost category includes technology development and subsequent test and evaluation of Insensitive Munitions concepts for propulsion, explosives, ordnance and pyrotechnics. Environmentally Safe Energetics Development was a Congressional add for FY 99 (in S2611) and FY 00 (in S0363). The efforts transitioned to S0363 in FY 00.

Development Support							0.000	
Software Development							0.000	
Training Development							0.000	
Integrated Logistics Support							0.000	
Configuration Management							0.000	
Technical Data							0.000	
GFE							0.000	
Award Fees							0.000	
Subtotal Support		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	

Remarks:

CLASSIFICATION:

									DATE:				
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (p	age 2)										FEBRUARY 20	003	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACT	DGET ACTIVITY BA-4 Contract Method & Type Evaluation aluation ation act, S0363, IMAD, is a Non-ACAT progrably IMAD are transitioned to weapon dev Support WR NSWC/Indian H Jupport WR NOSSA WR NOSSA	PROGRAM EL	EMENT			PROJECT NU	JMBER AND	NAME					
			0603609N/Cor	nventional Mun	itions		S0363/Insens	itive Munitior	ns Advanced Dev	elopment			
Cost Categories	Method	Activity &		Total PY s Cost	FY 03 Cost	FY 03 Award Date	FY 04 Cost	FY 04 Award Date	FY 05 Cost	FY 05 Award Date	Cost to Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Developmental Test & Evaluation											•	0.000	
Operational Test & Evaluation												0.000	
Live Fire Test & Evaluation												0.000	
Test Assets												0.000	
Tooling												0.000	
GFE												0.000	
Award Fees												0.000	
Subtotal T&E				0.000	0.000)	0.000		0.000)	0.000	0.000	
Contractor Engineering Support												0.000	
Program Management Support	WR	NSWC/Indian	Head Div.	29.926	0.000	NA	0.000	NA	0.000) NA	NA	29.926	NA
Program Management Support	WR	NOSSA		0.291	1.203	11/02	1.157	11/03	1.205	11/04	Continuing	Continuing	NA
Travel	WR	NOSSA		0.348	0.034	11/02	0.031	11/03	0.033	11/04	Continuing	Continuing	NA
Labor (Research Personnel)												0.000	
SBIR Assessment												0.000	
Subtotal Management				30.565	1.237		1.188		1.238	3	Continuing	Continuing	NA
Remarks:													
Total Cost				218.971	3.682		3.053		3.103	3	Continuing	Continuing	NA
Remarks:													

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R4, Schedule			Г АРБ	PLICA	BLE	1																			DATE		FE	BRUA	ARY 2	2003		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET RDT&E, N /	Γ ACTIVI BA-4											ENT N		R AND	NAM	E					PROJ S0363						d Deve	lopmei	nt			
Fiscal Year			002			20	03			20				20	05			20	06		2007			2008				2009				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Acquisition Milestones																																
Prototype Phase																																
Radar System Development																																
EDM Radar Delivery																																
Software 1XXSW Delivery 2XXSW Delivery				SSR																												
Test & Evaluation Milestones Development Test Operational Test																																
Production Milestones LRIP I FY 05 LRIPII FY 06 FRP FY 07																																
Deliveries																																

 $^{^{\}ast}$ Not required for Budget Activities 1, 2, 3, and 6

CLASSIFICATION:

Exhibit R-4a, Schedule Detail: NOT APPLICABL	E					DATE: FI	EBRUARY 2	003				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM E	LEMENT			PROJECT NU	MBER AND N	AME					
RDT&E, N / BA-4	0603609N/Co	nventional Mun	itions		S0363/Insensi	S0363/Insensitive Munitions Advanced Developme						
Schedule Profile	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009				
Prototype Phase												
System Design Review (SDR)												
Milestone II (MSII)												
Contract Preparation												
Software Specification Review (SSR)												
Preliminary Design Review (PDR)												
System Development												
Critical Design Review (CDR)												
Quality Design and Build												
Test Readiness Review (TRR)												
Developmental Testing (DT-IIA)												
Eng Dev Model (EDM) Radar Delivery - Lab												
Software Delivery 1XXSW												
Preproduction Readiness Review (PRR)												
EDM Radar Delivery - Flt Related												
Milestone C (MS C)												
Operational Testing (OT-IIA)												
Start Low-Rate Initial Production I (LRIP I)												
Software Delivery 2XXSW												
Developmental Testing (DT-IIB1)												
Developmental Testing (DT-IIB2)												
Start Low-Rate Initial Production II												
Operational Testing (OT-IIB)												
Developmental Testing (DT-IIC)												
Functional Configuration Audit (FCA)												
Low-Rate Initial Production I Delivery												
Technical Evaluation (TECHEVAL)												
Physical Configuration Audit												
Operational Evaluation (OT-IIC) (OPEVAL)												
Low-Rate Initail Production II Delivery												
IOC												
Full Rate Production (FRP) Decision												
Full Rate Production Start												
First Deployment												
1 not bopioyment					1							

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification							DATE:	
·							FEBRUA	RY 2003
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEM	ENT NUMBER AND	NAME		PROJECT NUMBE	ER AND NAME		
RDT&E, N / BA-4	0603609N/Conven	tional Munitions			S2611/Environmer	ntally Safe Energetic	c Materials	
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
(\$\psi\) (\$\psi\) (\$\psi\) (\$\psi\) (\$\psi\) (\$\psi\) (\$\psi\)	1 1 2002	2000	200 .	2000	2000	200.	2000	2000
Project Cost	2.466	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
RDT&E Articles Qty								

A. MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION:

The development, manufacture and demilitarization of energetic materials generate significant quantities of waste. The generation and subsequent disposal of this waste has come under increased scrutiny and regulation by Federal, State and local officials. Additionally, due to environmental compliance and waste disposal issues, the cost of energetic materials is rapidly increasing. New technologies, energetic materials and ingredients that minimize any adverse environmental impact are being developed within the Navy's science and technology initiatives. These technologies are commonly referred to as "green" energetic materials. The efforts under this project will provide, validate, and transition technology for explosives, propellants and pyrotechnics using materials and compositions that have low adverse environmental impact in production and demilitarization, will meet insensitive munitions requirements and will have no reduction to combat performance.

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No.

60

CLASSIFICATION:

tic ingredients for use in Navy	FY 03 0.000 Evaluated candidate to explosives and propellad life cycle costs for energy and propellad life cycle cycle costs for energy and propellad life cycle	ants. Initiated the development are ergetic materials and processes.		en minimum
FY 02 2.466 ermoplastic elastomer binders tic ingredients for use in Navy onmental effect and associate all effects of energetics manufa	FY 03 0.000 Evaluated candidate by explosives and propellar d life cycle costs for eneacturing, use and demilit	S2611/Environmentally Safe FY 04 0.000 Domb fill with reduced environmentally safe ants. Initiated the development are regetic materials and processes. arization.	FY 05 0.000 Intal impact in manufacturing and de evaluation of an insensitive gree Began the development of a method	en minimum
FY 02 2.466 ermoplastic elastomer binders tic ingredients for use in Navy onmental effect and associate al effects of energetics manufa	FY 03 0.000 Evaluated candidate to explosives and propellar difference costs for encacturing, use and demilit	FY 04 0.000 comb fill with reduced environmer ants. Initiated the development are regetic materials and processes. arization.	FY 05 0.000 Intal impact in manufacturing and de evaluation of an insensitive gree Began the development of a methor	en minimum
2.466 ermoplastic elastomer binders tic ingredients for use in Navy onmental effect and associate il effects of energetics manufa	0.000 Evaluated candidate by explosives and propellar difference costs for encacturing, use and demilit	oomb fill with reduced environmer ants. Initiated the development ar ergetic materials and processes. arization.	0.000 Intal impact in manufacturing and de evaluation of an insensitive gree Began the development of a method	en minimum
2.466 ermoplastic elastomer binders tic ingredients for use in Navy onmental effect and associate il effects of energetics manufa	0.000 Evaluated candidate by explosives and propellar difference costs for encacturing, use and demilit	oomb fill with reduced environmer ants. Initiated the development ar ergetic materials and processes. arization.	0.000 Intal impact in manufacturing and de evaluation of an insensitive gree Began the development of a method	en minimum
ermoplastic elastomer binders tic ingredients for use in Navy onmental effect and associate Il effects of energetics manufa	. Evaluated candidate by explosives and propellar difference cycle costs for enacturing, use and demilit	comb fill with reduced environmer ants. Initiated the development ar ergetic materials and processes. arization.	ntal impact in manufacturing and de nd evaluation of an insensitive gree Began the development of a metho	en minimum
tic ingredients for use in Navy onmental effect and associate il effects of energetics manufa	explosives and propella d life cycle costs for ene acturing, use and demilit	ants. Initiated the development are ergetic materials and processes. arization.	nd evaluation of an insensitive gree Began the development of a metho	en minimum
tic ingredients for use in Navy onmental effect and associate il effects of energetics manufa	explosives and propella d life cycle costs for ene acturing, use and demilit	ants. Initiated the development are ergetic materials and processes. arization.	nd evaluation of an insensitive gree Began the development of a metho	en minimum
FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	
		*		
•				
FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	
	FY 02	FY 02 FY 03	FY 02 FY 03 FY 04	FY 02 FY 03 FY 04 FY 05

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No.

60

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification						DATE:	
							FEBRUARY 2003
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM	M ELEMENT NUMBER	AND NAME	I	PROJECT NUM	BER AND NAME	
RDT&E, N / BA-4	0603609N	/Conventional Munitions	5	;	S2611/Environm	entally Safe Energetic Mat	terials
C. PROGRAM CHANGE SUMMARY:							
Funding:		FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005		
Previous President's Budget: (FY 03 Pres 0		2.478	0.000	0.000	0.000		
Current BES/President's Budget: (FY04 Pre	es Controls)	2.466	0.000	0.000	0.000		
Total Adjustments		-0.012	0.000	0.000	0.000		
Summary of Adjustments:							
Economic Assumptions		-0.012					
		-0.012	0.000	0.000	0.000		
Schedule:							
NOT APPLICABLE							
Tachnical							
Technical:							
NOT APPLICABLE							
		D 4 CHODD	INCLIOT I	NI 00			

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E	Project Justification		1	DATE: FEBRUARY 2003
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET	ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME	PROJECT NUMBER AND NA	
RDT&E, N /	BA-4	0603609N/Conventional Munitions	S2611/Environmentally Safe B	Energetic Materials
D. OTHER PROGRA	AM FUNDING SUMMARY: NOT APPLICA	ABLE		
E. ACQUISITION STR	ATEGY:			
NOT APPLICABI	LE			
F. MAJOR PERFORMI	ERS:			
NSWC Indian F	Head Division - Technology Development	11/01		

CLASSIFICATION:

Performing Activity & Location NSWC/India	PROGRAM E 0603609N/Co	ELEMENT conventional Mu Total PY s Cost 5.29	FY 03	FY 03 Award	PROJECT NU S2611/Enviro	nmentally Saf	e Energetic Mate	rials	FEBRUARY 20	003	
Activity & Location	0603609N/Co	Total PY s Cost	FY 03			nmentally Saf	e Energetic Mate	rials			
Activity & Location		Total PY s Cost	FY 03		S2611/Enviro			rials			
Activity & Location	in Head Div.	PY s Cost									
	ın Head Div.		ICOSL	Date	FY 04 Cost	FY 04 Award Date	FY 05	FY 05 Award Date	Cost to Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
		3.29		+	0.000	1	0.000	NA	NA	5.295	
										0.000	
										0.000	
										0.000	
										0.000	
										0.000	
										0.000	
										0.000	
										0.000	,
										0.000	,
										0.000	,
		5.29	5 0.000)	0.000)	0.000		0.000	5.295	,
										0.000	1
										0.000	,
										0.000	,
										0.000	ı
										0.000)
										0.000	J
		0.00	0.000)	0.000)	0.000		0.000	0.000	
			0.00	0.000 0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	0.000

CLASSIFICATION:

									DATE:				
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (pag	je 2)										FEBRUARY 20	003	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV	ITY		PROGRAM ELEMEN	IT			PROJECT NU	JMBER AND	NAME				
RDT&E, N / BA-4	_		0603609N/Convention	nal Muni			S2611/Enviro		fe Energetic Ma				
Cost Categories	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PY s Cost		FY 03	FY 03 Award Date	FY 04 Cost	FY 04 Award Date	FY 05 Cost	FY 05 Award Date	Cost to Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Developmental Test & Evaluation												0.000	
Operational Test & Evaluation												0.000	
Live Fire Test & Evaluation												0.000	
Test Assets												0.000	
Tooling												0.000	
GFE												0.000	
Award Fees												0.000	
Subtotal T&E				0.000	0.000		0.000		0.00	0	0.000	0.000	
Contractor Engineering Support												0.000	
Government Engineering Support												0.000	
Program Management Support	WR	NSWC/Indian H	ead Div.	0.137	0.000	NA	0.000	NA	0.00) NA	NA	0.137	NA
Travel	WR	NOSSA		0.010	0.000	NA	0.000	NA	0.00) NA	NA	0.010	NA
Labor (Research Personnel)												0.000	
SBIR Assessment												0.000	
Subtotal Management				0.147	0.000		0.000		0.00	ס	0.000	0.147	<u> </u>
Remarks:													
Total Cost				5.442	0.000		0.000		0.00	0	0.000	5.442	
Remarks:													

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R4, Schedule			APP	LICA	BLE																				DATE		FE	BRU	ARY 2	2003		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET									PROG						NAME	Ē					PROJ											
RDT&E, N /	BA-4				1				06036	609N/C	onven	tional I	Munitic	ns							S2611	/Envir	onmen	itally S	ate En	ergetic	Mater	ials				
Fiscal Year		20	002			20	03			20	04			20	05			20	06			20	07	_		20	80			200	09	
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Acquisition Milestones																												 				
Prototype Phase																																
Radar System Development																																
EDM Radar Delivery																																
Software 1XXSW Delivery 2XXSW Delivery																																
Test & Evaluation Milestones																																
Development Test																																
Operational Test																																
Production Milestones																																
LRIP I FY 05																																
LRIPII FY 06																											l	l		\		
FRP FY 07																																
Deliveries																						•										

^{*} Not required for Budget Activities 1, 2, 3, and 6

CLASSIFICATION:

Exhibit R-4a, Schedule Detail: NOT APPLICABL	E		DATE: FEBRUARY 2003									
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM E	LEMENT			PROJECT NU	MBER AND N	AME					
RDT&E, N / BA-4	0603609N/Co	nventional Mun	itions		S2611/Enviror	S2611/Environmentally Safe Energetic Materials						
Schedule Profile	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009				
Prototype Phase												
System Design Review (SDR)												
Milestone II (MSII)												
Contract Preparation												
Software Specification Review (SSR)												
Preliminary Design Review (PDR)												
System Development												
Critical Design Review (CDR)												
Quality Design and Build												
Test Readiness Review (TRR)												
Developmental Testing (DT-IIA)												
Eng Dev Model (EDM) Radar Delivery - Lab												
Software Delivery 1XXSW												
Preproduction Readiness Review (PRR)												
EDM Radar Delivery - Flt Related												
Milestone C (MS C)												
Operational Testing (OT-IIA)												
Start Low-Rate Initial Production I (LRIP I)												
Software Delivery 2XXSW												
Developmental Testing (DT-IIB1)												
Developmental Testing (DT-IIB2)												
Start Low-Rate Initial Production II												
Operational Testing (OT-IIB)												
Developmental Testing (DT-IIC)												
Functional Configuration Audit (FCA)												
Low-Rate Initial Production I Delivery												
Technical Evaluation (TECHEVAL)												
Physical Configuration Audit												
Operational Evaluation (OT-IIC) (OPEVAL)												
Low-Rate Initail Production II Delivery												
IOC												
Full Rate Production (FRP) Decision		 			†							
Full Rate Production Start		1										
First Deployment												
. not bopioymont		 		 	+	 						