CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification							DATE:	
							Februa	ry 2003
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY					R-1 ITEM NOMEN	CLATURE		
RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST & EVALUATION	ON, NAVY /	BA-4	T		0603563N/Ship Co	ncept Advanced D	esign	
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
Total PE Cost	22.437	25.102	7.679	7.545	1.784	1.762	1.769	1.793
S2196/Design Tools, Plans & Concepts	4.068	5.691	7.679	7.545	1.784	1.762	1.769	1.793
S9041/Small Combatant Craft	8.165	7.823	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
S9042/Sealion Tech Demo	0.966	0.977	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
S9043/Metallic Materials Adv Dev & Certification	3.323	3.325	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
S9044/DocumentAutomation Of ICAS Maint	2.514	2.494	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
S9045/Planning and Design LHD-Type Ship	3.401	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
S9192/Autonomous Maritime Navigation	0.000	1.467	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
S9194/Adv Integ Low-Profile Antenna (HF,VHF,UHF)	0.000	2.348	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
S9195/Advanced Stealth Ship Radars	0.000	0.977	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

Defense Emergency Response Funds (DERF) Funds: Not Applicable.

A. MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION:

A. (U) Mission Description and Budget Item Justification:

The mission of the PE is to explore alternative surface ship force structures, the advanced surface ship & unmanned surface vehicles concepts, and the potential technologies for these force structures and the advanced concepts in support pre-acquisition mission needs analysis, mission area analysis, SCN and R&D planning. The objective is more affordable mission capable surface ship force including ships with reduced manning, increased producibility, reduced operating and support costs, and greater utilization of the latest technology. The program directly supports the Navy Shipbuilding Plan with state-of-the-art design tools and methods for surface ship force structure alternative studies, ship & unmmanned vehicle concept studies, and the actual conduct of surface ship force structure alternative studies and advanced design concept studies for the ships that may become part of the SCN plan.

- (U) Project S2196 This project funds concept develop engineering, mission effectiveness analysis, and other analysis for formulation of future surface ship force structure along with development of the tools to accomplish these efforts. Advanced ship concept studies, ship and ship systems technology assessments, and the development and upgrade of ship concept design and engineering tools, methods, and criteria are also funded in this project.
- (U) Project S9041 Congressional add. This project funds only acquisition, test and evaluation of a high speed variable freeboard planning craft and related special warfare high speed support craft and equipment. This was a Congressional add project in FY 2000 and FY 2001 in a different PE.

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 53 - 1 of 53 - 11

Exhibit R-2, RDTEN Budget Item Justification (Exhibit R-2, page 1 of 11)

CLASSIFICATION:

HIBIT R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification		D	ATE:
-			February 2003
PROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	F	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	
SEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST & EVALUATION, NAVY / BA-4		0603563N/Ship Concept Advar	nced Design
U) Project S9042 - Congressional add. This project funds Situation Awareness N	Module, related to the Sealion Craft	(project S9041).	
J) Project S9043 - Congressional add. This project funds the Metallic Material	Advanced Development and Certific	cation Program.	
J) Project S9044 - Congressional add. This project funds Documentation Automormat.	nation of Integrated Condition Asses	ssment System (ICAS) Mainter	nance and other Navy prcedures in XML
) Project S9045 - Congressional add. This project funds Planning and Design of	of LHD-type ship.		
I) Project S9192 - Congressional add. This project funds development of autono	omous operation technologies in ma	aritime vehicles and their paylo	ads.
I) Project S9194 - Congressional add. This project funds design and test constru	ruction of conformal antennas relate	d to SEALION craft (project So	9941).
J) Project S9195 - Congressional add. This project funds adaptive design and te	est construction of low probability of	intercept (LP1) radar, related	to SEALION craft (project S0941).

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 53 - 2 of 53 - 11

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification							DATE:	
							Februa	ry 2003
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME PROJECT NUMBER AND NAME							
RDT&E, N / BA-4	0603563N/ship Co	0603563N/ship Concept Advanced Design			S2196/Design Tools, Plans, and Concepts			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
Project Cost	4.068	5.691	7.679	7.545	1.784	1.762	1.769	1.793
RDT&E Articles Qty								

- **A. MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION:** A. (U) Mission Description and Budget Item Justification: This project develops and explores alternative surface ship force structures, the advanced surface ship & unmanned surface vehicles concepts, and the potential technologies for these force structures and the advanced concepts in support pre-acquisition mission needs analysis, mission area analysis, SCN and R&D planning. The objective is more affordable mission capable surface ship force including ships with reduced manning, increased producibility, reduced operating and support costs, and greater utilization of the latest technology. The program directly supports the Navy Shipbuilding Plan with state-of-the-art design tools and methods for surface ship force structure alternative studies, ship & unmmanned vehicle concept studies, and the actual conduct of surface ship force structure alternative studies and advanced design concept studies for the ships that may become part of the SCN plan.
- (U) This project provides the foundation for affordable and mission capable surface ship force. It also supports the next step in the development of a transformed naval force by accomplishing the pre-milestone A efforts for all potential surface ships. These efforts are the required first step in the integration of total ship systems, including combat systems and hull, mechanical and electrical (HM&E) systems. Inadequate early planning and ship concept formulation can result in down-stream design/construction and operational problems. A more subtle and severely negative impact of neglecting this early effort is that the "best" concepts and technologies may never even be considered and our greatest potential ship design advances never realized. Designs and technologies must meet the threat. This project supports this requirement.
- (U) This project funds concept develop engineering, mission effectiveness analysis, and other analysis for formulation of future surface ship force structure along with development of the tools to accomplish these efforts. Advanced ship concept studies, ship and ship systems technology assessments, and the development and upgrade of ship concept design and engineering tools, methods, and criteria are also funded in this project.
- (U) This project accomplishes the following: (1) Develops alternative surface ship force structure concepts including the ships and unmanned vehicles; (2) Evaluates the mission capability effectiveness and costs for these alternatives surface fleet architectures; (3) Performs fleet warfighting / mission effectivenes assessment studies; (4) identifies future surface ship requirements and characteristics necessary to meet future threats and support mission needs; (5) investigates new affordable ship concepts and evaluates technologies necessary to support these concepts; (6) provides design methods and automated design tools to develop and evaluate ship concepts; and (7) supports development of Mission Need Statements (MNS) for future ships. These efforts are done to support mission analysis, mission needs development and technology assessment in support of future fleet concepts and potential ship acquisition programs. These efforts are foundational to the Navy's formulation of the future fleet.
- (U) Efforts under Project S2196 transition directly to early stage ship design in PE 0603564N, Ship Preliminary Design and Feasibility Studies. While these efforts support concept exploration and mission needs assessment for potential future ship acquisition programs, they are not direct efforts for specific authorized shipbuilding programs. This project is the only R&D effort (Government or commercial) that supports and maintains this country's naval ship design and engineering capabilities in the area of very early stage (Concept Design) design tools, criteria, and methods.

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 53 - 3 of 53 - 11

Exhibit R-2a, RDTEN Project Justification (Exhibit R-2a, page 3 of 11)

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification			DATE:	
			February 2003	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME	PROJECT NUMBER AND NAME		
RDT&E, N / BA-4	0603563N/Ship Concept Advanced Design	S2196/Design Tools, Plans, and Concepts		

B. Accomplishments/Planned Program

	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05
Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost	0.504	0.744	0.502	0.811
RDT&E Articles Quantity				

(U) Ship Concepts and Mission Need Analysis: Develop ship concepts and perform mission area analysis (MAA) for potential ships 5-10 years out in the SCN plan, including ship size, configuration, capabilities and rough order of magnitude (ROM) ship costs. Conduct pre-Milestone A ship concept studies for potential ship concepts / configurations in support of SCN planning. Assess the future ship concepts as part of potential future fleet architecture concepts.

	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05
Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost	0.189	0.261	0.000	0.000
RDT&E Articles Quantity				

(U) Total Ship Technology Assessment: Analyzed the benefits and impacts of new ship and hull, mechanical & electrical (HM&E) concepts and technologies. Identified characterize and assess new and emergent technologies. Developed methodologies for assessment of benefits and imapcts of technologies in total ship concepts. Supported development of total ship and HM&E technology roadmaps.

	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05
Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost	1.175	1.066	0.848	1.196
RDT&E Articles Quantity				

⁽U) Ship Concept Design and Engineering Tools, Methods, and Criteria. Improve capability for rapid and accurate ship performance/cost/risk assessments and tradeoff studies. Improve the US Navy's Advanced Surface Ship Evaluation Tool (ASSET) surface ship synthesis/assessment models in the following areas: improve performance assessment capabilities, update and enhance capabilities to handle new ship configurations, hull form alternatives, signature reduction features, characterize advanced machinery technologies, address optimal required shipboard manning, reduced total ownership cost, and increased capabilities to determine ship size impacts of new technologies including warfare systems. Improve interoperability of Navy and shipbuilder design systems.

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 53- 4 of 53 - 11

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification	1		DATE:	
			February 2003	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME	PROJECT NUMBER AND N	IAME	
RDT&E, N / BA-4	0603563N/Ship Concept Advanced Design	S2196/Design Tools, Plans, and Concepts		

B. Accomplishments/Planned Program (Cont.)

	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05
Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost	0.000	1.810	3.115	5.538
RDT&E Articles Quantity				

(U) Future Force Formulation (Core): Continue development of methodology for force architecture alternatives and analysis. Conduct analysis of force architecture concepts that can illuminate the high level interfaces between surface ship warfare communities and other force elements such as aviation and submarines. Examine the distribution of functions between various existing and postulated ship classes, the interface between diverse force elements such as platform configuration and mission, network connectivity, force level logistics and concept of operations, with a particular focus on total force level cost, performance and risk.

	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05
Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost	0.000	1.810	3.214	0.000
RDT&E Articles Quantity				

(U) Future Force Formulation (Demo): Conduct first Future Force Formulation case study, selecting a limited case of force architecture for practical execution and feedback into the process development. Selection of a family of ships within a community will be made and the developing methodology of Future Force Formulation exercised in a one year study with deliverables and for presentation before decision authority for a pre-MS A project.

	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05
Accomplishments/Effort/Subtotal Cost	2.200	0.000	0.000	0.000
RDT&E Articles Quantity				

(U) This task funds requirement development for ship and technologies to counter such threatrs as part of a netted distributed family of ships that will project power forward and enable naval and joint task force commander to dominate the littoral battlespace. The transformation of the surface Combatant Fleet starts with Highly capable, multi-mission Sestroyers, advanced Cruisers and a new breed of focused mission ships designed to defeat enemy littoral defenses including mines, small boats, and submarines, ultimately ensuring maritime access in any environment. This study focuses on requirements for a ship with the newest generation hull form and tailored, modularized combat systems package designs to accommodate: small boats, Littoral mine countermeasures and Littoral ASW.

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 53 - 5 of 53 - 11

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification						DATE:	
							Febraury 2003
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEME	NT NUMBER	AND NAME		PROJECT NUMBER	R AND NAME	
RDT&E, N / BA-4	0603563N/Ship Cor	ncept Advance	d Design		S2196/Design Tools	s, Plans, and Concepts	
C. PROGRAM CHANGE SUMMARY:							
Funding:		FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005		
Previous President's Budget (FY 03 Pres Controls)	:	1.932	5.820	8.054	7.909		
Current BES/President's Budget: (FY04 President	Controls Controls)	4.068	5.691	7.679	7.545		
Total Adjustments		2.136	-0.129	-0.375	-0.364		
Summary of Adjustments							
FY 02 Actuals (30-Sept)		2.185	0.000	0.000	0.000		
FY05/09 Inflation adjustments		0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.163		
Reduction in Support Contractors		0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.102		
Nonpay Inflation Adjustments		0.000	0.000	-0.136	0.000		
Minor adjustments		-0.049	-0.129	-0.239	-0.099		
Subtotal		2.136	-0.129	-0.375	-0.364		
Schedule:							
Not Applicable.							
Not Applicable.							
Technical:							
Not Applicable.							
T. F							

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 53 - 6 of 53 - 11

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification		DATE:	
			February 2003
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME	PROJECT NUMBER AND NAME	
RDT&E, N / BA-4	0603563N/Ship Concept Advanced Design	S2196/Design Tools, Plans, and Concepts	

D. OTHER PROGRAM FUNDING SUMMARY:

To Total

<u>Line Item No. & Name</u> <u>FY 2002</u> <u>FY 2003</u> <u>FY 2004</u> <u>FY 2005</u> <u>FY 2006</u> <u>FY 2007</u> <u>FY 2008</u> <u>FY 2009</u> <u>Complete</u> <u>Cost</u>

- (U) Related RDT&E
- (U) PE 0603512N (Carrier Systems Development)
- (U) PE 0603513N (Shipboard Systems Component Development)
- (U) PE 0603564N (Ship Preliminary Design and Feasibility Studies)
- (U) PE 0604300N (SC21 Total Ship Systems Engineering)
- (U) PE 0604567N (Ship Contract Design/Live Fire T&E)

E. ACQUISITION STRATEGY: *

This is a non acquisition program that develops, evaluates, and validates early stages of total ship concepts and technologies in support of SCN planning and potential future ship acquisition programs. This program also supports development, demonstration, evaluation, and validation of engineering tools, methods, and criteria for those concept designs and assessments.

F. MAJOR PERFORMERS: **

List major contractors, universities, colleges, government facilities, federally funded research and development centers, laboratories, center, or other organizations contributing to this effort through BY2 (FY 2005). Only list those who were primary recipients of funds (e.g., received 15% or over \$10 million, whichever is less). Include name or titles, locations and brief description of work performed. Include actual or projected award date (month/year).

- * Not required for Budget Activities 1,2,3, and 6
- ** Required for DON and OSD submit only.

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 53 - 7 of 53 - 11

CLASSIFICATION:

	ip Concept Adv Total PY s Cost 55.097	FY 03 Cost 0.953 1.525 2.382	various	S2196/Design FY 04 Cost 2.397 1.908	Tools, Plan FY 04 Award Date various various	FY 05 Cost 2.387 1.875	Award Date various	Cost to Complete	Total Cost 0.000 60.834 5.308	Target Value of Contract
of the root of the	ip Concept Adv Total PY s Cost 55.097	FY 03 Cost 0.953 1.525 2.382	Award Date various various	S2196/Design FY 04 Cost 2.397 1.908	Tools, Plan FY 04 Award Date various various	FY 05 Cost 2.387 1.875	Award Date various		0.000 60.834 5.308 0.000	
erforming ctivity & ocation other Various Contractors AVSEA, Dahlgren Div, ahlgren, VA AVSEA, Carderock Div, //est Bethesda, MD	Total PY s Cost 55.097	FY 03 Cost 0.953 1.525 2.382	Award Date various various	FY 04 Cost 2.397 1.908	FY 04 Award Date various various	FY 05 Cost 2.387 1.875	Award Date various		0.000 60.834 5.308 0.000	
ctivity & ocation oc	PY s Cost 55.097 29.958	0.953 1.525 2.382	Award Date various various	2.397 1.908	Award Date various various	FY 05 Cost 2.387 1.875	Award Date various		0.000 60.834 5.308 0.000	
other Various Contractors AVSEA, Dahlgren Div, ahlgren, VA AVSEA, Carderock Div, //est Bethesda, MD	55.097 29.958	0.953 1.525 2.382	various various	2.397 1.908	various various	2.387 1.875	various	Complete	0.000 60.834 5.308 0.000	of Contract
AVSEA, Dahlgren Div, ahlgren, VA AVSEA, Carderock Div, //est Bethesda, MD	29.958	1.525 2.382	various	1.908	various	1.875			60.834 5.308 0.000	
AVSEA, Dahlgren Div, ahlgren, VA AVSEA, Carderock Div, //est Bethesda, MD	29.958	1.525 2.382	various	1.908	various	1.875			5.308 0.000	
ahlgren, VA AVSEA, Carderock Div, /est Bethesda, MD		2.382					various		0.000	
AVSEA, Carderock Div, /est Bethesda, MD			various	1.908	various	2 005				1
/est Bethesda, MD			various	1.908	various	2 005				
/est Bethesda, MD			various	1.908	various	2 005				
	PY s Cost FY 03 Cost Award Date FY 04 Cost Award Date FY 05 Cost Award Date Cost to Cost to Cost Total Cost of Contract of Contract of Cost Total Cost of Contract of Cost of Contract of Cost Total Cost of Contract of Cost									
ther Govt. Activities	8.828	0.816								
ther Govt. Activities	8.828	0.816							0.000	
			various	1.446	various	1.258	various		12.348	
									0.000	
									0.000	
	93.883	5.676		7.659		7.525		0.000	114.743	1
									0.000	
									0.000	
									0.000	
									0.000	
									0.000	
									0.000	
									0.000	
									0.000	
	0.000	0.000		0.000		0.000		0.000	0.000	
		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000

CLASSIFICATION:

									DATE:											
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (pa	ge 2)										February 200)3								
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV	/ITY		PROGRAM E						ER AND NAME											
RDT&E, N / BA-4		•	0603563N/Sh	nip Concept Adv	vanced Design		S2196/Desigr		s, and Concepts											
Cost Categories	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location		Total PY s Cost	FY 03 Cost	FY 03 Award Date	FY 04 Cost	FY 04 Award Date	FY 05 Cost	FY 05 Award Date	Cost to Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract							
Developmental Test & Evaluation												0.000)							
Operational Test & Evaluation												0.000)							
Live Fire Test & Evaluation												0.000)							
Test Assets												0.000)							
Tooling												0.000)							
GFE												0.000)							
Award Fees												0.000)							
Subtotal T&E				0.000	0.000	o	0.000)	0.00	0	0.000	0.000)							
		T			1	T				1										
Contractor Engineering Support												0.000								
Government Engineering Support												0.000								
Program Management Support												0.000								
Travel					0.015	5	0.020)	0.02)		0.055								
Labor (Research Personnel)												0.000								
SBIR Assessment												0.000	+							
Subtotal Management				0.000	0.015	5	0.020)	0.02	ס	0.000	0.055	<u>i</u>							
Remarks:																				
Total Cost				93.883	5.691	1	7.679		7.54	5	0.000	114.798	3							
Remarks:																				

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 53 - 9 of 53 - 11

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT R4, Schedule	Profile)																							DATE	Ξ:	F	ebrua	ary 20)03		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGE RDT&E, N /	T ACTIV													R AND		E									ID NAN ans, an							
Fiscal Year		2	002			20	03			20	04			200	05			200	06			20	07			20	800			200	09	
	1	2	2 3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Acquisition Milestones			MS II									1	мs с															IOC	FRF	Dec	First [Deploy
Prototype Phase																																
Radar System Development	SDR	A		PDR	7	CDR						PRR									FCA		PCA									
EDM Radar Delivery										Lab 1	\bigwedge_2	Flt F	el 4	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>																
Software 1XXSW Delivery 2XXSW Delivery				SSR																												
Test & Evaluation Milestones									TRR		DT-	-IIA		DT-	IIB1		DT-	IIB2		DT-II	С	TEC	HEVA	L								
Development Test Operational Test														OT-IIA						OT-IIE	3							OT-II	C OPE	VAL		
Production Milestones													Δι	RIP I S	Start		٨															
LRIPII FY 06 FRP FY 07																		RIP II S	Start												FRP S	\$tart
Deliveries																						, -	LRIP I	(20)			LRIP	II (30)			Lot 24	(36)

^{*} Not required for Budget Activities 1, 2, 3, and 6

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 53 - 10 of 53 - 11

CLASSIFICATION:

Exhibit R-4a, Schedule Detail						DATE:						
							ebruary 20	03				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY												
RDT&BA-4	0603563N/Shi	ip Concept Adv	S2196/Design	n Tools, Plans, and Concepts								
Schedule Profile	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009				
Prototype Phase	1Q-3Q											
System Design Review (SDR)	2Q											
Milestone II (MSII)	3Q											
Contract Preparation	3Q											
Software Specification Review (SSR)	4Q											
Preliminary Design Review (PDR)	4Q											
System Development		1Q-2Q										
Critical Design Review (CDR)		2Q										
Quality Design and Build		3Q-4Q	1Q-4Q									
Test Readiness Review (TRR)			1Q									
Developmental Testing (DT-IIA)			3Q-4Q	1Q								
Eng Dev Model (EDM) Radar Delivery - Lab			2Q-3Q									
Software Delivery 1XXSW			2Q-4Q	1Q								
Preproduction Readiness Review (PRR)			4Q									
EDM Radar Delivery - Flt Related			4Q	1Q-4Q								
Milestone C (MS C)				1Q								
Operational Testing (OT-IIA)				1Q								
Start Low-Rate Initial Production I (LRIP I)				2Q								
Software Delivery 2XXSW				1Q-4Q								
Developmental Testing (DT-IIB1)				1Q-4Q								
Developmental Testing (DT-IIB2)				4Q	1Q-3Q							
Start Low-Rate Initial Production II					1Q							
Operational Testing (OT-IIB)					3Q	1Q-2Q						
Developmental Testing (DT-IIC)					4Q	1Q-2Q						
Functional Configuration Audit (FCA)						1Q						
Low-Rate Initial Production I Delivery						2Q-4Q	1Q-2Q					
Technical Evaluation (TECHEVAL)						2Q-3Q						
Physical Configuration Audit						3Q						
Operational Evaluation (OT-IIC) (OPEVAL)							2Q-3Q					
Low-Rate Initail Production II Delivery							2Q-4Q	1Q-2Q				
IOC								1Q				
Full Rate Production (FRP) Decision								2Q				
Full Rate Production Start								2Q				
First Deployment								4Q				

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 53 - 11 of 53 - 11