ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION (R-2 Exhibit									ebruary 2	003	
BUDGET ACTIVITY 5 - System Development and Demonstration				e number 0604329A			:			PROJECT 013	
	COST (In Thousands)	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Estimate	FY 2004 Estimate	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Estimate	FY 2007 Estimate	FY 2008 Estimate	FY 2009 Estimate	Cost to Complete	Total Cost
013	AIR TO GROUND COMMON MISSILE	16075	28602	183790	182932	148314	51328	24943	57679	0	698346

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification: The Common Modular Missile (CMM) is an Objective Force missile system that provides Line of Sight (LOS) and Beyond Line of Sight (BLOS) capabilities, including precision strike and fire and forget technologies, increased range, and lethality for Army rotary wing systems - Comanche (RAH-66) and Apache (AH-64). CMM is potentially a lethality candidiate for Future Combat Systems (FCS) ground platforms. The CMM is a joint program (rotary and fixed wing requirements) with the Navy and USMC and is a cooperative development program with the United Kingdom. Threshold aircraft for the Navy are the F/A 18 E/F and the AH-1Z Cobra. The CMM maximizes the warfighters' operational flexibility by allowing them to effectively engage a variety of stationary and mobile targets on the battlefield, including advanced armor, bunkers, buildings, patrol craft, command and control vehicles, transporter/erector (SCUD) launchers and light armored vehicles. A goal of the CMM is to minimize the logistics burden of the combat force. CMM reduces the number of different types of missiles that will need to be shipped to a combat zone and allows flexibility in the location of resupply on the battlefield. CMM's modular design and continuous parallel technology insertion program will reduce life -cycle costs, including demilitarization, while ensuring the missile system continues to provide the required improvements to keep pace with needed capabilities and advancing threats.

The CMM with multi-mode seeker will replace the aging stocks of the HELLFIRE family of missiles, the Navy Maverick missile, and has the potential to be the replacement/midlife upgrade for the UK Brimstone missile and the potential to mitigate risk for the aging legacy ground force missiles (TOW) with a CMM variant. The CMM multi-mode seeker will allow maximum capability in adverse weather, day or night, and in an obscured/coutermeasure environment. Developmental cost is much less than that required to develop four separate missile systems. Technology maturation and preliminary systems integration will be accomplished using SMART (Simulation and Modeling for Acquisition, Requirements and Training) to begin systems integration efforts for the enabling subsystems, including multi-mode seekers, variable thrust propulsion and advanced warheads. In FY04, the Qualified Baseline Design, developmental testing and operational assessment will be initiated for the System Development and Demonstration phase.

The CMM system supports the Legacy to Objective transition path of the Transformation Campaign Plan (TCP).

Accomplishments/Planned Program	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005
Complete Risk Reduction engineering effort on seeker, warhead, propulsion and platform integration	10354	18769	0	0
Continue Systems Engineering - Risk Reduction development of multi-sensor testbed, tri-mode seeker, GPS/IMU, controllable propulsion and enhanced multi-functional warhead	5721	0	0	0

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIF	TCATION (R-2 Exhibit)	February 2003
BUDGET ACTIVITY 5 - System Development and Demonstration	PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0604329A - Common Missile	ргојест 013

Accomplishments/Planned Program (continued)	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005
Complete Systems Engineering - Risk Reduction evaluation of sensor models, geometric/performance models, perform warhead lethality, and continue development of seeker, sensor, propulsion and warhead technologies	0	9833	0	0
Initiate Qualified Baseline Design, developmental testing, and operational assessment for System Development and Demonstration (SDD).	0	0	141853	164084
Procure component hardware for engineering testing, prepare and update missile design documentation and procure prototype hardware and	0	0	41937	18848
test equipment				
RDT&E Articles Quantity - Flyable FY 2004 - 42, FY2005 - 14	0	0	0	0
RDT&E Articles Quantity - Non-Fly able FY 2004 - 31	0	0	0	0
RDT&E Articles Quantity - Non-functional inert FY 2004 - 18	0	0	0	0
Totals	16075	28602	183790	182932

B. Program Change Summary	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005
Previous President's Budget (FY 2003)	16592	29919	48485	64564
Current Budget (FY 2004/2005 PB)	16075	28602	183790	182932
Total Adjustments	-517	-1317	135305	118368
Congressional program reductions				
Congressional rescissions		-329		
Congressional increases				
Reprogrammings	-56	-164		
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-461	-824		
Adjustments to Budget Years			135305	118368

Additional funds of \$135.305M in FY04 and \$118.368M in FY05 accelerate First Unit Equipped (FUE) from FY10 to FY08 in support of Army Transformation.

BUDGET ACTIVITY 5 - System Development and Demonstration			MBER AND 329A - C		Missile				PROJECT 013	
		•								
C. Other Program Funding Summary	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	To Compl	Total Co
C70302 - Common Missile	0	0	0	0	0	39711	114499	102555	1729000	19857

D. Acquisition Strategy: The CMM System Definition/Risk Reduction contractors were selected via full and open competition. The CMM Program's Acquisition Strategy is based upon an evolutionary acquisition with spiral development. Full Block 1 system capability will be acquired in 60 months through a two-phased spiral approach. Spiral 1 will provide threshold Key Performance Parameter (KPP) requirements in 36 months for FUE of Apache AH-64D and Cobra AH-1Z in FY08. Spiral 2 will complete integration on the FA 18 E/F and Comanche to support FUE in FY09 and will provide full Block 1 Operational Requirements Document (ORD) requirements 12 months later. The SDD effort will be competed among the risk reduction contractors for contract award covering Spiral 1 and Spiral 2 development.

Method & Type	ARMY	RDT&E CO	ST AN	ALY	SIS(R-3)			Febi	ruary 200)3			
Method & Type		nonstration												
Reduction Open	Method &				t Award		Award		FY 2005 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract		
C. Development Engineering (Risk Reduction/SDD)		Lockheed Martin, FL;	7083	10396	i 1Q	0		0		0	17479	0		
(Risk Reduction/SDD) d . Prime Contracts (SDD) TBD TBD 0 0 160244 1Q 151530 13315 18769 166369 157686 Subtotal: II. Support Cost Contract Method & Location Performing Activity & Total Pys Cost Cost Award Date Date Date		Various	4219	5005	1-3Q	4500	1-3Q	4500	1-3Q	7850	26074	0		
Subtotal: Contract Method & Location Performing Activity & Total PYs Cost Cost Award Date D		Various	2013	3368	3 1-4Q	1625	1-4Q	1656	1-4Q	2445	11107	0		
Subtotal: Contract Performing Activity & Total FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2005 FY 2004 FY 2005	d . Prime Contracts (SDD) TBD	TBD	0	()	160244	1Q	151530	1Q	355394	667168	0		
Method & Location PYs Cost Cost Award Cost Award Type PYs Cost Date Date D	Subtotal:		13315	18769)	166369		157686		365689	721828	0		
	Method &				t Award		Award		FY 2005 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract		
a . SETA Support Various Various 1411 1589 1-3Q 2000 1-3Q 2000 1-	a . SETA Support Various	Various	1411	1589		2000	1-3Q	2000	1-3Q	3376	10376	0		
Subtotal: 1411 1589 2000 2000	Subtotal:		1411	1589)	2000		2000		3376	10376	0		

BUDGET ACTIVITY 5 - System Developm	PE N	SIS(R-3) NUMBER ANI 04329A - C	O TITLE	Missile		February 2003 PROJECT 013						
III. Test and Evaluation	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2003 Cost		FY 2004 Cost	FY 2004 Award Date	FY 2005 Cost	FY 2005 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac
a . Prime Contract/Government Inhouse	TBD	TBD	1119	1172	1-3Q	5854	1-3Q	9758	1-3Q	28393	46296	ı
Subtotal:			1119	1172		5854		9758		28393	46296	(
V. Management Services	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2003 Cost		FY 2004 Cost	FY 2004 Award Date	FY 2005 Cost	FY 2005 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Targe Value o Contra
a . System Engineering/Proj Mgt (Risk Reduction/SDD)	Various	Various	4913	7072	1-4Q	9567	1-4Q	13488	1-4Q	32806	67846	
Subtotal:			4913	7072		9567		13488		32806	67846	ı
				28602		183790		182932		430264	846346	(

Schedule Profile De	etail (R-4a	Exhibi	t)				February 2003			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 5 - System Development and Demonstration			ER AND TIT PA - Com	TLE 1 mon Mi s	·	PROJECT 013				
Schedule Detail	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009		
Design/Procure Tandem Warhead Hardware	1-2Q									
System Requirements Review	2Q									
Baseline Design Review		1Q								
Complete Performance Modeling & Virtual Prototype		3Q								
Complete Initial Design CAD/CAE		3Q								
Complete Simulation of System in Battlefield		4Q								
Milestone B Decision		4Q								
SPIRAL 1 ACTIVITIES:										
- SDD Contract Award			1Q							
- Preliminary Design Review (PDR)			2Q							
- Critical Design Review (CDR)			4Q							
- System Qualification				3-4Q	1-3Q					
- Limited User Test					4Q					
- Milestone C Decision					4Q					
- Production Qualification Test (PQT)							2Q			
- Live Fire Test							2-3Q			
- Initial Operational Test & Evaluation (IOT&E)							2-3Q			
SPIRAL 2 ACTIVITIES:										
- Contract Option Award					1Q					
- System Qualification						4Q	1-3Q			
- IOT&E								4Q		
- LRIP I (Spiral 1)						1Q				
- LRIP II (Spiral 1)							1Q			
- LRIP III (Spiral 1 & 2)								1Q		
- PQT								3Q		
INITIATE BLOCK II ACTIVITIES								1Q		