ARMY RDT&E BUDGET IT	EM JU	STIFI	CATIO	N (R-2	Exhibi	it)	Fe	bruary 2	003	
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Advanced Component Development and Pro	ototypes		e number 0 603869A			- Dem/Va	ıl		PROJECT 01B	
COST (In Thousands)	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	Cost to	Total Cost
	Actual	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Complete	
01B MEDIUM EXTENDED AIR DEFENSE SYSTEM (MEADS)	0	C	276259	267270	271689	276194	289483	295187	0	1676082

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification: The Medium Extended Air Defense System (MEADS) is an Objective Force system. It is an international codevelopment program essential to fulfill the requirements of the U.S. Army and the U.S. Marine Corps for a low-medium air and missile defense system in the 21st century. MEADS will offer a significant improvement in tactical mobility and strategic deployability over comparable missile systems. It will defend the maneuver force and other critical forward-deployed assets against short and medium range Theater Ballistic Missiles (TBMs), cruise missiles and other air-breathing threats throughout all phases of tactical operations. MEADS will operate with upper-tier systems in areas of debarkation and assembly and provide continuous coverage alone, or with Short-Range Air Defense Systems (SHORAD) systems in the division area of the battlefield during movement to contact and decisive operations. MEADS will be interoperable with other airborne and ground-based sensors and utilize a netted and distributed architecture and modularly-configurable battle elements to provide a robust, 360-degree defense against short and medium range TBMs, cruise missiles, unmanned-aerial-vehicles, tactical air-to-surface missiles, rotary-wing and fixed-wing threats.

The MEADS program is currently executing a thirty two and half month Risk Reduction Effort (RRE) phase. The primary objectives of RRE are to develop a program cost and schedule consensus, mature critical technologies, and program planning for entry into the Design and Development (D&D) Phase at Milestone B in 2004. The D&D Phase is currently planned to be a six year ten month effort producing six MEADS Fire Units with the first unit equipped in FY12. The D&D Phase will be executed under an International Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the participating nations.

There remains a critical void in maneuver force defense against the current and future AMD threat of short and medium range TBMs, cruise missiles, and low-to-medium altitude advanced air-breathing threats. This program will meet this challenge by integrating the PAC-3 missile and developing the critical technologies required for maneuver force protection, including development and testing of a light weight launcher, reloader, 360-degree multifunction fire control and survelliance radars and a netted and distributed Battle Management/Command, Control, Communications, Computers, and Intelligence (BM/C4I) Tactical Operations Center (TOC). The PAC-3 missile is the baseline interceptor for MEADS. Sensor and battle management software technology from both U.S. and international programs will be examined to enhance and augment organic-equipment functions, reducing development cost and risk. Improvements will be balanced against costs and the projected threat to develop a U.S. and Allied capability to counter the current and future AMD threats. The approach emphasizes prototyping of system-specific and surrogate hardware in key areas of BM/C4I, fire control radar, and light weight launcher to satisfy mobility, strategic deployability and interoperability requirements. The Army requirement for MEADS supports the Objective transition path of the Army Transformation Campaign Plan (TCP).

 $In \ FY03, Congress \ transferred \ the \ MEADS \ program \ from \ the \ Army \ to \ the \ Missile \ Defense \ Agency \ (MDA).$

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIF	TICATION (R-2 Exhibit)	February 2003					
SUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Advanced Component Development and Prototypes	PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0603869A - Meads Concepts - Den	/Val		PROJE 01B	CT		
Accomplishments/Planned Program Continue the U.S. contribution to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) MEADS nternational Program Office operational and administrative budgets for the MEADS Risk development of digital end-to-end simulation, continue development of a prototype launch nardware/ software, test planning, technology transfer and anti-tamper. Award the Design design, develop, build, test and evaluate the MEADS System.	Reduction Effort (RRE) contract which includes er, fire control radar and prototype BM/C4I	FY 2002 0	FY 2003 0	FY 2004 215280	FY 2005 189770		
Conduct program integration efforts that will examine Department of Defense (DOD) Joint Force mix and integration issues; support MEADS in the test and evaluation of Air and Mi and Army Family-of-Systems; support development and maintenance of Joint Data Network appropriate planning of MEADS manpower, training, human factors, safety issues, cost red J.S. background technology.	ssile Defense (AMD) task force interoperability rk interface requirements and planning and	0	0	44079	59900		
Continue funding for government agencies and support contracts to provide technical analy BM/C4I and system simulations, as well as support of conducting independent evaluations		0	0	10100	10500		
Continue MEADS program management, support and salaries for both the national and intemport U.S. unique requirements for MEADS.	ernational program offices. Includes efforts to	0	0	6800	7100		
Totals		0	0	276259	267270		

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIF	TICATION (R-2 Exhibit)	February 2003
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Advanced Component Development and Prototypes	PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0603869A - Meads Concepts - Dem/Va	PROJECT 01B

B. Program Change Summary	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005
Previous President's Budget (FY 2003)	527	117745	280580	272070
Current Budget (FY 2004/2005 PB)	0	0	276259	267270
Total Adjustments	-527	-117745	-4321	-4800
Congressional program reductions		-117745		
Congressional rescissions				
Congressional increases				
Reprogrammings				
SBIR/STTR Transfer				
Adjustments to Budget Years	-527		-4321	-4800

FY02 program was transferred from the Army to the Missile Defense Agency. \$527K of FY 2002 Army funding was realigned to the correct PE for execution.

In FY03, Congress transferred the MEADS program from the Army to the Missile Defense Agency (MDA).

C. Other Program Funding Summary: Not applicable for this item.

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUS	STIFICATION (R-2 Exhibit)	February 2003
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Advanced Component Development and Prototypes	PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0603869A - Meads Concepts - Dem/Val	PROJECT 01B
D. Acquisition Strategy: The MEADS program gained approval from the (ADM) to begin a Risk Reduction Effort (RRE) phase extending the Project missile into the MEADS system concept, identifying and reducting technic United States), and to refine the MEADS concept. In July 2001, MEADS of the RRE scope include full harmonization of the threat and requirements negotiated Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for the D&D phase. A phase will begin a six year ten month effort. Per the 2 January 2002 SEC I will be developed in consultation with the Army. The MEADS program winternational program participation.	ct Definition/Validation (PD/V) phase by three years in ordered risk, developing a cost and schedule consensus among the International (MI) was awarded a thirty-two and half months, a detailed plan and cost consensus for Design and Develo Milestone B (MS B) Defense Acquisition Board (DAB) is DEF missile defense directive memo, the U.S. national unique	er to focus on integrating the PAC-3 he partner nations (Germany, Italy and h RRE contract. Additional key elements h pment (D&D), and developing a h planned for FY04, at which time the D&D hue requirements of the MEADS program

Method & Location	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Advanced Compo	nent Devel	opment and Prototy	ypes	E NUMBER ANI 603869A - N		ncepts - L	em/Val		·	PROJEC 01B	
Huntsville, AL	I. Product Development	Method &			ost Award		Award		Award			Targe Value of Contrac
Frequency Data Link R	a . Risk Reduction	CPFF		0	0	0		0		Continue	0	(
Huntsville, AL			LMMS, Orlando, FL.	0	0	0		0		Continue	0	C
II. Support Cost Contract Method & Type Performing Activity & Location Pry Cost Cost Date	c . Design and Development	TBD		0	0	213580	3Q	187870	2Q	Continue	401450	C
Method & Type Location PYs Cost Outrage Award Date Cost Date Complete Cost Contrage	Subtotal:			0	0	213580		187870		Continue	401450	C
Method & Type Location PYs Cost Outrage Award Date Cost Date Complete Cost Contrage												
b. Program Integration LOE Various, Huntsville, AL. 0 0 0 34879 2Q 49330 2Q Continue 84209 c. U.S. Contracts Various Various, Huntsville, AL 0 0 3200 2Q 3300 2Q Continue 6500	II. Support Cost	Method &			ost Award		Award		Award			Targe Value of Contrac
c . U.S. Contracts Various Various, Huntsville, AL 0 0 3200 2Q 3300 2Q Continue 6500	a . Int'l Program Office		*	0	0	1700	2Q	1900	2Q	Continue	3600	C
	b . Program Integration	LOE	Various, Huntsville, AL.	0	0	34879	2Q	49330	2Q	Continue	84209	C
d II S OGAs MIPR Various Huntsville AI 0 0 6000 20 7200 20 Continuo 14100	c . U.S. Contracts	Various	Various, Huntsville, AL	0	0	3200	2Q	3300	2Q	Continue	6500	C
a. O.S. OOAS WILL Valious, Hullisville, AL. 0 0 0900 2Q Collillide 14100	d. U.S. OGAs	MIPR	Various, Huntsville, AL.	0	0	6900	2Q	7200	2Q	Continue	14100	C

H. Support Cost (continued) Method & Location Pys Cost Type	II. Support Cost (continued) Method & Location Type e. Composite Tracker LOE MRDEC, Huntsville, AL. Syracuse, NY., Dallas, TX. & Orlando, FL. III. Test and Evaluation Contract Method & Performing Activity & Total PYs Cost Cost Award Cost Continue 10500 III. Test and Evaluation Contract Method & Contract Method & Contract Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cos	BUDGET ACTIVITY		Y RDT&E CO	OI AIV	PE N	UMBER ANI	O TITLE			Febr	ruary 200	3 PROJEC	T
Method & Location	Continued Method & Type		nent Deve	lopment and Protot	ypes	060	3869A - N	Ieads Co	ncepts - D	em/Val				
Type	Type	II. Support Cost	Contract	Performing Activity &	Total	FY 2003	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2005	Cost To	Total	Targe
e . Composite Tracker LOE MRDEC, Huntsville, AL. f . US Only Security LOE Lockheed Martin, Syracuse, NY, Dallas, TX. & Orlando, FL. Dota O O O Subtotal: III. Test and Evaluation Contract Method & Location Performing Activity & Type 1	e . Composite Tracker	(continued)		Location	PYs Cost	Cost		Cost		Cost		Complete	Cost	Value of Contrac
Syracuse, NY., Dallas, TX. & Orlando, FL. Syracuse, NY., Dallas, TX. & Orlando, FL.	Syracuse, NY., Dallas, TX. & Orlando, FL. Syracuse, NY., Dallas, TX. & Orlando, FL. Subtotal: O O O S2879 G8430 Continue 121309	e . Composite Tracker			0	0		1200		1200		Continue	2400	(
Subtotal: Subtot	Subtotal: Subtot	f. US Only Security	LOE	Syracuse, NY., Dallas,	0	0		5000	3Q	5500	3Q	Continue	10500	(
III. Test and Evaluation Contract Method & Location Type Performing Activity & Total PYs Cost Cost Award Date Award Date Total PYs Cost Award Date Total PYs Cost Award Date Total Pys Cost Total Cost Award Date Total Pys Cost Award Date Total Pys Cost Total Cost Total Cost Date Total Pys Cost Total Cost Total Pys Cost Date Total Pys Cost Total Date Total Pys Cost Total Date Total Pys Cost Total Cost Total Cost Date Total Pys Cost Total Date Total Pys Cost Total Pys Cost Date Total Pys Cos	III. Test and Evaluation Contract Method & Location Type A Range Support TBD WSMR, White Sands, NM Contract NM Contract NM Cost NM Cost NM Cost NM FY 2003 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2005 Award Cost Award Date Date Date Contract Namard Cost Award Date Contract Nom Nom Cost Nom Nom Cost Nom Nom Contract Nom				0	0		52879		68430		Continue	121309	(
	NM	III. Test and Evaluation	Method &		Total PYs Cost		Award		Award		Award			Targe Value of Contrac
		a . Range Support			0	0		3000		3870		Continue	6870	(
	Succession .	Subtotal:			0	0		3000		3870		Continue	6870	(

	ARM	Y RDT&E CO	ST AN	ALYS	IS(R-3))			Febr	uary 200	3	
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Advanced Comp	onent Deve	lopment and Protot	ypes	PE NU 060 3	jmber ani 3869A - N	TITLE Ieads Coi	ncepts - D	em/Val			PROJEC 01B	
V. Management Services	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2003 Cost	FY 2003 Award Date	FY 2004 Cost	FY 2004 Award Date	FY 2005 Cost	FY 2005 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Targe Value of Contrac
a . Internal Operating	In-House	MEADS Prod Ofc/NAMEADSMA, Huntsville, AL.	0	0		6800	2Q	7100	2Q	0	13900	(
Subtotal:			0	0		6800		7100		0	13900	(
Project Total Cost:			0	0		276259		267270		Continue	543529	(

Schedule Profile	Detail (R-4a	Exhibi	t)				Februa	ary 2003	
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Advanced Component Development and Pro	ototypes		ER AND TIT P A - Mea	TLE ds Conce	pts - Den	n/Val		P	ROJEC 01B
Schedule Detail	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Demonstrate MEADS Functionality			2Q						
Program Review			2Q						
Milestone B			2Q						
Full System Demo			2Q						
Design and Development Phase Contract Award			3Q						
Systems Requirements Review			4Q						
System Preliminary Design Review (PDR)				2Q					