RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET (R-2 Exhibit)								DATE	DATE June 2001		
					PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0604800F Joint Strike Fighter EMD						PROJECT 3831
	COST (\$ in Thousands)	FY 2000 Actual	FY 2001 Estimate	FY 2002 Estimate	FY 2003 Estimate	FY 2004 Estimate	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Estimate	FY 2007 Estimate	Cost to Complete	Total Cost
3831	Joint Strike Fighter	0	0	769,511	1,747,738	1,953,957	2,124,460	2,115,394	1,508,255	Continuing	TBD
	Quantity of RDT&E Articles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	TBD

THIS PROGRAM ELEMENT (PE) CONTINUES DEVELOPMENT EFFORTS BUDGETED IN PE 0603800F PRIOR TO FISCAL YEAR 2002.

'FY03-FY07 USAF BUDGET NUMBERS DO NOT REFLECT THE DOD STRATEGIC REVIEW RESULTS.'

(U) A. Mission Description

The Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) program will develop and field a family of aircraft that meets the needs of the USN, USAF, and USMC and allies, with optimum commonality among the variants to minimize life cycle costs. This is a joint program with no executive service. The Navy and Air Force each provide equal shares of annual funding to the program. The United Kingdom (UK) is a partner in this phase, and agreements with several other countries are in negotiation.

PROGRAM EMD FUNDING BREAKOUT REFLECTS NAVY, AIR FORCE AND UK FUNDING ONLY. EXCLUDES TBD ANTICIPATED OTHER INTERNATIONAL FUNDING.

QUANTITY OF RDT&E ARTICLES ARE PENDING SOURCE SELECTION.

- (U) FY 2000 (\$ in Thousands)
- (U) \$0 Non applicable
- (U) \$0 Total
- (U) FY 2001 (\$ in Thousands)
- (U) \$0 FY 2001 appropriated funding was reprogrammed to PE 0603800F.
- (U) \$0 Total

Project 3831 Page 1 of 6 Pages Exhibit R-2 (PE 0604800F)

	RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICA	TION SHEET (R-2 Exhil	oit)	DATE J l	une 2001
-	GET ACTIVITY - Engineering and Manufacturing Development	PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0604800F Joint Stri	ke Fighter El	MD	PROJECT 3831
(U)	A. Mission Description Continued				
(U) (U)	FY 2002 (\$ in Thousands) \$1,631,749 Prepare for and commence execution of EMD (previously begun in associated Program Elem Further EMD funding breakout is TBD pendin \$1,631,749 Total	nent 0603800F and 0603800N) and pro-	-		-
(U)	B. Budget Activity Justification This program is funded under ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURE development end items prior to production approval decision.	NG DEVELOPMENT (EMD) becaus	e it encompasses r	new engineering and	manufacturing
(U)	C. Program Change Summary (\$ in Thousands)				
(U) (U)	Previous President's Budget (FY 2001 PBR) Appropriated Value Adjustments to Appropriated Value a. Congressional/General Reductions b. Small Business Innovative Research c. Omnibus or Other Above Threshold Reprogram d. Below Threshold Reprogram e. Rescissions Adjustments to Budget Veers Since EV 2001 PBR	FY 2000 0 0	FY 2001 299,540 101,275 -929 -100,346	FY 2002 1,297,012	Total Cost TBD
(U) (U)	Adjustments to Budget Years Since FY 2001 PBR Current Budget Submit/FY 2002 PBR	0	0	-527,501 769,511	TBD
(U)	Significant Program Changes: Funding: The FY 2001 \$299.540 million decrease reflects a Congre reduction (-\$100.346M) to PE 0603800F and a Congression General delay in EMD start. Schedule: Milestone II and EMD starts were delayed from Spring 20 demonstrations.	Reduction (-\$.929M). FY2002 \$52	7.501 million decr	ease reflects a Servic	e reduction due to the
P	Project 3831	Page 2 of 6 Pages		Exhibit I	R-2 (PE 0604800F)

RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET (R-2 Exhibit)									DATE June 2001		
BUDGET ACTIVITY 05 - Engineering and Manufacturing Development					NUMBER AND 04800F			PROJECT 3831			
(U) D. Other Program Fundin	g Summary (\$	in Thousand	ls)								
	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	Cost to	Total Cost	
	<u>Actual</u>	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Complete		
(U) AF RDT&E											
(U) RDT&E 0603800F	249,088	341,167	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,907,352	
(U) RDT&E 0603800N	238,420	341,164	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,950,617	
(U) RDT&E 0603800E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	118,006	
(U) UNITED KINGDOM	26,101	800								200,291	
(U) MULTI-LATERAL	5,100	1,700								32,100	
(U) CANADA	3,300	0								10,600	
(U) ITALY	0	0								10,000	
(U) RDT&E 0604800N	0		767,238							TBD	
(U) United Kingdom (EMD)			95,000							TBD	
(U) Other APPN											
(U) USAF 0207142F										TBD	
(U) APN-1 0204146N										TBD	
TEXAGE EXACT LIGHT DITE OF	ET MIN (DED C	DO NOT DE		DOD CED	TEGIC DE	HEIL BEGI	T TOO I				

'FY03-FY07 USAF BUDGET NUMBERS DO NOT REFLECT THE DOD STRATEGIC REVIEW RESULTS.'

FY03-FY07 NAVY AND UK BUDGET NUMBERS ARE PENDING DOD STRATEGIC REVIEW RESULTS.'

Note 1: Multi-Lateral countries include Netherlands, Norway and Denmark.

Note 2: The JSF is a joint program with no executive service.

(U) E. Acquisition Strategy

Program activities in the CDP phase center around three distinct objectives that provide a sound foundation for the start of Engineering and Manufacturing Development (EMD) in Fall 2001:

- (1) facilitating the Services' development of fully validated, affordable operational requirements;
- (2) lowering risk by investing in and demonstrating key leveraging technologies that lower the cost of development, production and ownership; and
- (3) demonstrating operational concepts.

Early warfighter and technologist interaction was an essential aspect of the requirements definition process, and key to achieving JSF affordability goals. To an unprecedented degree, the JSF program used cost-performance trades early, as an integral part of the weapon system development process. The Services defined requirements through an iterative process, balancing weapon system capability against life cycle cost at every stage. Each iteration of the requirements was provided to

Project 3831 Page 3 of 6 Pages Exhibit R-2 (PE 0604800F)

DATE RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET (R-2 Exhibit) June 2001 PE NUMBER AND TITLE BUDGET ACTIVITY **PROJECT** 05 - Engineering and Manufacturing Development 0604800F Joint Strike Fighter EMD 3831 (U) E. Acquisition Strategy Continued industry. They evolved their designs and provided cost data back to the warfighters. The warfighters evaluated trades and made decisions for the next iteration. This iterative process produced the Services' Joint Interim Requirements Documents in 1995, 1997, 1998 and culminated in the approved JSF Operational Requirements Document (ORD) in FY2000. A sizable technology maturation effort was conducted to reduce risk and life cycle cost (LCC) through technology maturation and demonstration. The primary emphasis was on technologies identified as high payoff contributors to affordability, supportability, survivability and lethality. Numerous demonstrations were accomplished to validate performance and life cycle cost impact to component, subsystem and the total system. In November 1996, contracts were competitively awarded to Boeing and Lockheed Martin for Concept Demonstration Programs. These competing contractors built and are flying concept demonstrator aircraft, conducted concept unique ground demonstrations, and refined their respective weapon system concepts. Specifically, Boeing and Lockheed Martin are demonstrating commonality and modularity, Short Take Off/ Vertical Land (STOVL) hover and transition, and low speed handling qualities of their respective weapon system concepts. Pratt and Whitney is providing propulsion hardware and engineering support. General Electric continues the development of a second, interchangeable, engine for competition in production. Downselect to a single prime weapon system contractor for EMD and Milestone II are planned in Fall 2001. (U) F. Schedule Profile X (U) Milestone II and Award of EMD Contract: Fall 2001

Exhibit R-2 (PE 0604800F)

Project 3831

	RDT&E PROC	GRAM ELE	MENT/P	ROJECT C	OST BE	REAKDO	WN (R-3)		DATE	June 200	1
	GET ACTIVITY - Engineering and Ma	nufacturing	Developn	nent		ER AND TITLE	Strike Figh			PROJECT 3831	
(U)	A. Project Cost Breakdown	ı (\$ in Thousand	<u>ds</u>)					2000	TY 1.0 0	0.4	TV 2002
(U) (U)	Engineering and Manufactur Total Note 1: - Further FY2002 EMD fund - Excludes TBD anticipated	ling breakout is	ΓBD pending	,	rce selection	n.	FY 2	2 <u>000</u> 0 0		01 0 0	FY 2002 1,631,749 1,631,749
(U)	B. Budget Acquisition History	ory and Plannin	g Informatio	on (\$ in Thousand	<u>(s</u>)						
(U)	Performing Organizations: Contractor or Government Performing Activity EMD (Note 1) Product Development Organization Organizations:	Contract Method/Type or Funding Vehicle TBD izations eganizations ations ing breakout is T		-			Budget FY 2000 0	Budget FY 2001 0	Budget FY 2002 1,631,749	Budget to Complete Continuing	<u>Total</u> <u>Program</u> TBD
(U)	Item Description Product Development Proper Support and Management Pro Test and Evaluation Property	Contract Method/Type or Funding Vehicle ty operty	Award or Obligation Date	Delivery Date		Total Prior to FY 2000	Budget FY 2000	Budget FY 2001	Budget FY 2002	Budget to Complete	<u>Total</u> <u>Program</u>
Р	roject 3831			Pag	e 5 of 6 Pag	es			Exhib	it R-3 (PE 0	604800F)

RDT&E PROGRAM ELEMENT/PROJEC	T COST BREAKDO	WN (R-3)		DATE	June 2001	
GET ACTIVITY	PE NUMBER AND TITLE			•		ROJECT
Engineering and Manufacturing Development	0604800F Joint S		3831			
	<u>Total Prior</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Budget</u>	Budget	Budget to	To
Subtotals	<u>to FY 2000</u>	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	Complete	Progr
	0	0	0	1,631,749	TBD	T
Subtotal Product Development						
Subtotal Support and Management						
Subtotal Test and Evaluation						
Total Project	0	0	0	1,631,749	TBD	T
'FY03-FY07 USAF, NAVY AND UK BUDGET NUMBERS ARE PEN	NDING DOD STRATEGIC RE	VIEW RESU	LTS.'			
Notes:						
- Excludes TBD anticipated other international funding.						
- Total program funding is TBD pending completition of source selection	on and DoD Strategic Review re	sults				
- Total program funding is TBD pending completition of source selection	on and DoD Strategic Review re	suits				

Project 3831

Exhibit R-3 (PE 0604800F)